©2022 . This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/(opens in new tab/window)

1

A study of Cobalt (II) complexes involved in marine biogeochemical
 processes: Co(II)-1,10-Phenanthroline and Co(II)-1,10-Phenanthroline-L-

- <sup>3</sup> α-Phosphatidylcholine
- 4
- 5 Anđela Bačinić<sup>a</sup>, Sanja Frka<sup>a</sup>, Marina Mlakar<sup>a,1\*</sup>
- <sup>6</sup> <sup>a</sup>Ruđer Bošković Institute, Division for Marine and Environmental Research, Bijenička
- 7 street 54, P.O. Box 180, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia
- <sup>8</sup> <sup>1</sup> ISE member
- 9 \*corresponding author mlakar@irb.hr
- 10

### 11 Abstract

The cell membrane is structured so that the surface layer is composed of lipid 12 molecules with selective permeability for micronutrients and organic ligands. Binding 13 of Co (II) to natural lipid phosphatidylcholine (PC) has been studied to identify a 14 possible mechanism of Co (II) entry through the cell membrane of the biota in detail, 15 by voltammetry followed by checking the system at the air-water boundary, by 16 Langmuir method. Binding of cobalt (II) ions to the PC molecules was enabled by the 17 Co(II)-1,10-Phenanthroline (Phen) complex formation as an intermediate. Co(II)-18 Phen-PC complex reduction was recorded in the pH range from 5 to 9.5. The 19 reduction was identified as a two-electron irreversible reaction at about -1.5 V, with 20 the reactant adsorption followed dissociation (EC mechanism). The Co(II)-Phen-PC 21 complex electrode surface concentration ( $\Gamma$ ) was calculated to be (1.45 ± 0.12) x 10<sup>-</sup> 22 10 mol 23

cm<sup>-2</sup>. Conditional stability constants  $K_{Co(II)Phen2PC} = 23.02 \pm 0.26$  and log  $K_{Co(II)Phen2PC2}$ 

25 = 29.31 ± 0.17 ( $l_c = 0.55$ ) were calculated by CLE/ACSV method. Pressure-area ( $\pi$ -26 *A*) isotherms obtained at water-air interface by Langmuir monolayer technique 27 indicated penetration of Co(II)-Phen into the PC monolayer, supporting 28 electrochemical results. The equilibrium constants of the Co (II)-PC system (1:1) at 29 the air-water interface was calculated to be  $K_1 = 2.4 \times 10^{-2} \text{ m}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ , while for Co(II)-30 Phen-PC  $K_2 = 4.86 \times 10^{10} \text{ m}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ .

31

Keywords: Cobalt (II), 1,10-Phenanthroline, Phosphatidylcholine, Voltammetry,
 Langmuir trough

34

### 35 **1. Introduction**

Cobalt is an essential trace element important for the functioning of all organisms, 36 including biota in the marine environment [1]. Micronutrient ions play a variety of 37 important roles in biota and complexation by organic ligands drives their chemical 38 speciation. Namely, cobalt is an important co-factor in vitamin B12-dependent 39 enzymes [2]. Studies on cobalt ions in the marine environment, which define their 40 behavior associated with interactions with cell membranes, have been poorly 41 described in the literature. Identification of the formation mechanism of natural 42 organic ligands is fundamental for determining the micronutrients speciation and 43 determining their bioavailability [3,4]. Cobalt ion express the nutrient profile and 44 shows high reactivity in seawater related to the functioning of the biota. The 45 complexation of cobalt ions in the marine environment is predominant by organic 46 ligands and it suggests the biological control through the production of organic cobalt 47 complexes [5,6]. Studies have shown that cyanobacteria produce cobalt complexing 48

ligands [7]. For some strains such as Synechococcus, cobalt is necessary for their 49 growth and development, however, some can substitute zinc for cobalt in trace metal 50 limited areas, such as *Prochlorococcus*. The best-known ligands that bind cobalt are 51 vitamin B12 and coenzyme B12 [8,9]. The stability constants for these ligands are 52 extremely high (log K>17). 1,10-Phenanthroline (C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>8</sub>N<sub>2</sub>. Phen) is a heteroaromatic 53 compound whose nitrogen atoms are arranged perfectly to bond various cations [10]. 54 is often used as humic/fulvic acid model ligand in natural waters [11], as well as 55 vitamin B12. 56

Two general features of phospholipid bilayers are critical to membrane function [12]. 57 First, the structure of phospholipids is responsible for the basic function of 58 membranes as barriers between two aqueous compartments. Hydrophobic fatty acid 59 chains occupy the interior of the phospholipid bilayer making the membrane 60 impermeable to water-soluble molecules, including metal ions and most biological 61 molecules. Second, bilayers of naturally occurring phospholipids are viscous liquids 62 [13]. The fatty acids of most natural phospholipids have one or more double bonds 63 that introduce hooks into the hydrocarbon chains and make them difficult to pack 64 together. Therefore, the long hydrocarbon chains of the fatty acids move freely inside 65 the membrane, making it soft and flexible [14]. In model solutions metal ions are most 66 often coordinated with carboxylate and NH groups [15,16]. Phospholipid monolayers 67 absorbed at model hydrophobic interfaces, such are the mercury electrode surface 68 and air-water interface, actually represent a biological membrane model system 69 [17,18]. Some studies reported interactions of metal ions, drugs, toxins, and 70 71 biologically important species with model phospholipid monolayers [19-22]. Additionally, liposomes are frequently used as unilamellar or multilamellar spherical 72 structures in studies of biological model membranes [23]. Adsorption of organic 73

74 molecules to the phospholipid membrane causes a change in membrane surface 75 potential and/or modifies its dipole potential. Interactions of micronutrient ions with 76 cells through membranes in the marine environment are of particular interest as they 77 are critical for the maintenance of cellular function [24].

The aim of this study was to investigate the interaction/binding mechanism of Co(II) with phospholipids, as a vital component of hydrophobic cell membranes, following the formation of their complexes through an intermediate, Co(II)-Phen complex.

81

#### 82 **2. Experimental**

#### 83 **2.1. Chemicals and solutions**

L- $\alpha$ -phosphatidylcholine (PC; Sigma-Aldrich, egg yolk, type XVI-E,  $\geq$  99% (TLC)) as 84 lyophilized powder was used in this study. The components of the PC commonly 85 present in natural seawater, contain approximately 33% 16:0 (palmitic acid), 13% 86 18:0 (stearic acid), 31% 18:1 (oleic acid) and 15% 18:2 (linoleic acid) (other fatty 87 acids in a smaller amounts), resulting in an average molecular weight of 88 approximately 768 g mol<sup>-1</sup>. The original stock solution was kept at 253.15 K. PC 89 solution for electrochemical measurements was prepared by dissolution in methanol 90  $(1 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mol dm}^{-3})$  (p.a. Kemika d.d., Zagreb, Croatia). Methanol stock solution of 91 PC was added in to the NaCl solution  $(1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol dm}^{-3})$  and by the accumulation 92 monolayer was formed by the accumulation at  $E_{acc} = 0.0$  V vs Ag/AgCl (Fig S1A,B). 93 For the monolayer experiments by Langmuir method the PC stock chemical (0.5 mg 94 cm<sup>-3</sup>) was dissolved in hexane of HPLC grade (Merck) and monolayer was formed at 95 the NaCl surface by the syringe applying. A stock solution  $(1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol dm}^{-3})$  of 96 1,10-Phenanthroline ( $C_{12}H_8N_2$ ,Phen) (*p.a.*, Merck), was dissolved in ultraclean water. 97

NaCl (Suprapur<sup>®</sup>, Merck, Germany) as electrolyte solution ( $I_c = 0.55 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ) was prepared by dissolution in Milli-Q water. A standard solution of Co(II) nitrate (1.69 x  $10^{-2} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ) (Fluka Chemie GmbH, Buchs, Switzerland), as the source of cobalt (II) in all experiments, was used.

102

#### 103 **2.2. Electrochemical measurements**

Voltammetry studies were performed by µ-AUTOLAB multimode potentiostat (ECO 104 Chemie, Utrecht, the Netherlands) using Metrohm 663 VA stand (Metrohm, Herisau, 105 Switzerland). The instrument was computer-controlled using GPES 4.9 control 106 software, while a static mercury drop electrode (SMDE, size 2, i .e. 0.40 mm<sup>2</sup>) was 107 working electrode, a glassy carbon stick counter electrode and Ag/AgCI (sat. NaCI) 108 (+0.197 V vs. SHE) reference one. Experiments were done in quartz cell at 25±1 °C 109 in NaCl of  $I_c = 0.55$  mol dm<sup>-3</sup> ( $I_c =$  ionic strength). Voltammetric methods used were 110 alternating current (AC), square-wave (SWV) and cyclic technique (CV). Solutions 111 were deaerated by bubbling with extra pure nitrogen for about 20 min with stirring 112 (3000 rpm) before measurements, while during measurements, nitrogen circulated 113 above the solution. pH was measured by glass-Ag/AgCI electrode linked to ATI Orion 114 PerpHecTMeter, model 320 (Cambridge, USA). All relevant measurements were 115 repeated for three times, at least. 116

117

#### 118 **2.3. Surface pressure measurements**

Surface pressure-area ( $\pi$ -A) measurements were performed in a Fromherz-type round Teflon trough (Mayer-Feintechnik, Germany), equipped with two movable barriers. Surface pressure was performed at 21 °C with a precision ± 0 .1 mN m<sup>-1</sup>

using a Wilhelmy plate (Whatman filter paper, No. 1) as pressure sensor. On the 122 initial surface area ( $A_{max} = 160 \text{ cm}^2$ ), the PC monolayer was formed by carefully 123 applying aliquots (V =  $0.02 \text{ cm}^3$ ) of the PC solution in hexane (0.5 mg cm<sup>-3</sup>) onto the 124 subphase using a microsyringe (Hamilton-Bonaduz, Switzerland). The supporting 125 monolayer was left for 3 h to allow the incorporation of the subsurface components 126 within the monolayer. The monolayer was further compressed at a rate of 60 cm<sup>2</sup> 127 min<sup>-1</sup>, and changes in surface pressure due to changes in molecular packing of the 128 formed monolayer were followed while  $\pi$ -A isotherms were recorded. The monolayer 129 was compressed up to the compression limit of 40 mN  $m^{-1}$ , prior to collapse. 130 Consistency and the reproducibility of results were ensured by repeating each 131 measurement at least five times. The limiting area per molecule,  $A_{\text{lim}}$ , was determined 132 conventionally by extrapolating the linear part of the obtained  $\pi$  - A isotherm to  $\pi$  = 0 133 mN m<sup>-1</sup>, which corresponds to the cross-sectional area of the maximally ordered 134 molecule for a given monolayer. The trough and barriers were cleaned after each run 135 with hexane and Milli-Q water. 136

137

#### 138 **3. Results and Discussion**

# 3.1. Voltammetric characterization of Co (II)-1,10-Phen and Co (II)-1,10-Phen-PC complex

Lipid membranes attached to the mercury drop electrode surface are highly promising biomimetic membranes for the elucidation of structure-function relationships micronutrients in the environment [25]. Investigations of the potential interactions of Co(II) with PC were carried out using square wave (SW), alternating current (AC) out of phase mode (tensametry, phase angle 90°) and cyclic voltammetry (CV). We anticipated a simple binding mechanism between PC with

Co(II) via Co(II)-PC complexes formation, however, our results advocated rather 147 more complex reaction pathway. The introduction of 1,10-Phenanthroline (Phen) as 148 an intermediate ligand led to the formation of Co(II) mixed ligand complex with PC. 149 According to our previous investigations, Co(II) complexes bind mainly to nitrogen-150 containing organic ligands [16]. Its entropic advantage indicates fast formation of very 151 stable complexes with metal ions, especially with transition metals. The Phen ligand 152 behaves like a weak base in aqueous solution with pKa ~ 4.9. Alternating current 153 voltammograms (ACV) indicate moderate adsorption of Phen at the mercury drop 154 electrode surface due to aromatic structure in the potential range from -0 .1 to -1.6 V. 155 By adding Co (II) to the Phen solution complex SW reduction peak appears at about -156 1.0 V (pH = 8.2). pH dependence of the Co(II)-Phen complex reduction current 157 showed an increase in the pH range from 3.5 to 5.0, while it remained constant at 158 higher pH values (up to pH 9). By titration of Phen (1 x 10<sup>-6</sup> mol dm<sup>-3</sup>) with Co(II) in 159 the range from 1 x 10<sup>-7</sup> to 1 x 10<sup>-6</sup> mol dm<sup>-3</sup>, the reduction current of the complex ( $E_{0}$ 160 ≈ -1.0 V) increased until  $c_{Co} = 8 \times 10^{-7}$  mol dm<sup>-3</sup> (Fig.1). With higher Co(II) 161 concentrations reduction current decreased due to saturation of the mercury drop 162 surface with Co(II)-Phen molecules, due to a reduction process inhibition. The 163 reduction potential of Co(II)-Phen changed slightly towards negative values (Fig 1). 164



Fig 1. Dependence of (•) peak current and ( $\circ$ ) peak potential of the Co(Phen)<sub>2</sub> complex on  $c_{(Co)}$  in range from 1x10<sup>-7</sup> to 1x10<sup>-6</sup> mol dm<sup>-3</sup>;  $c_{(Phen)} = 1x10^{-6}$  mol dm<sup>-3</sup>,  $E_{acc} = 0 \text{ V}, t_{acc} = 180 \text{ s}, f = 50 \text{ s}^{-1}, a = 25 \text{ mV}.$ 

Furthermore, interaction of Co(II) with PC was investigated. The ACV point to PC 169 adsorption in the potential range from about -0.1 V and desorption at potential 170 > -1.65 V (Fig. S1A). The capacitive peak registered at about -1.1 V represents the 171 reorientation process of PC molecules as the consequence of charging current. 172 revealing structural/electrostatic changes in the adsorbed lipid layer from flat to 173 perpendicular position [16, 24-26]. No interaction of PC with Co (II) ions was 174 recorded on SW voltammograms (Fig 2, curve 2). The sequence of the ligands 175 176 (Phen, PC) addition to the solution revealed to be very important. Namely, the hydrophobic ligand – PC adsorbs at the mercury drop surface by the accumulation, 177 and added Phen adhere to the PC layer and only slightly affects the surface 178

organization of its monolayer (Fig. 2, curve 3). With the addition of Co(II) to the 179 solution of both ligands, neutral hydrophilic Co(II)-Phen complex forms in the solution 180 and by the accumulation at the electrode surface creates hydrophobic mixed ligand 181 complex. Namely, PC molecules replace the remaining water molecules from the 182 Co(II) ion coordination sphere. As described in the literature, the ion channels open 183 in response to the initiating stimulus [27]. In ligand-gated channels, ligand-binding 184 domains are attached to the pore in the adsorption layer at the electrode surface. In 185 neutral ligand channels attached to the electrode surface, the parts that bind the 186 hydrophilic complex are in the pores of the adsorption layer. Therefore, the Co(II)-187 Phen complex as intermediate assisted Co(II) mixed ligand complex formation with 188 lipid. Co(II)-Phen-PC complex in the adsorption layer allows the electron path from 189 the electrode to Co(II) ion through the ligand gated channel. A sharp reduction signal 190 191 at about -1.5 V was registered as Co(II) was added to the solution of both ligands present (Fig. 2, curve 4) and it is assigned to two-electron reduction of Co(II)-Phen-192 PC complex. After reduction process mixed ligand complex dissociate (Fig. 2, CV 193 inset), only the PC layer remains on the electrode surface, as evident when two 194 subsequent scans were performed at the same Hg drop. 195

Accumulation time as well as accumulation potential variation influenced on the amount of adsorbed mixed ligand complex at the electrode. The reduction peak of Co(II)-Phen-PC complex increased with the variation of the accumulation potential between -0.3 and -1.3 V. With  $E_{acc} = -1.3$  V for 3 minutes or more, it was calculated that by SWV measurable Co (II) concentrations would be down to 10<sup>-10</sup> mol dm<sup>-3</sup>.

9



Fig 2. SW voltammograms of  $c_{PC} = 1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ,  $c_{Phen} = 10^{-6} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ,  $c_{Co} = 5 \times 10^{-7} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ; 1) PC, 2) PC+Co, 3) Phen+PC, 4) Phen+PC+Co;  $E_{acc} = -0.3 \text{ V}$ ,  $t_{acc} = 60$ s,  $E_{step} = 2 \text{ mV}$ , a = 25 mV,  $f = 25 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ; inset: CV of Co(II)-Phen-PC, 2 scans at the same mercury drop; **A** first plot, **B** second plot; **C** Co(II)-Phen linear scan voltammogram;  $c_{Phen} = 10^{-6} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ,  $c_{Co} = 1 \times 10^{-6} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ;  $E_{acc} = -0.3 \text{ V}$ ,  $t_{acc} = 180$ s,  $v = 50 \text{ mV} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ; 0.55 mol dm<sup>-3</sup> NaCl, pH = 8.2.

208

201

The Co(II)-Phen-PC complex was registered in the pH range from 5 to 9, largely corresponding to the pH range in which Co(II)-Phen is present in solution. In the pH range from 5 to 8 the reduction current of Co(II)-Phen-PC complex increased, while at higher pH values current decreased due to the formation of Co (II) hydroxides. Simultaneously, the Co(II)-Phen-PC the reduction potential shifts to more negative values due to the thicker layer of adsorbed complex molecules. Co(II)-Phen-PC complex reduction peak current increased linearly in the Co(II) concentration range 1  $\times 10^{-9}$  mol dm<sup>-3</sup> - 5 × 10<sup>-7</sup> mol dm<sup>-3</sup> with the slope 20.91 ± 0.21 nA/µM (Fig. 3; Fig. S2). With further additions of Co(II) to the solution, the reduction current decreased due to the redox process inhibition.





Fig. 3. Dependence of (•) peak current and ( $\circ$ ) peak potential of the Co-Phen-PC complex on  $c_{(Co)}$  in range from 1x10<sup>-9</sup> to 1x10<sup>-6</sup> mol dm<sup>-3</sup>.  $c_{(Phen)} = 1x10^{-6}$  mol dm<sup>-3</sup>,  $c_{PC} = 1x10^{-5}$  mol dm<sup>-3</sup>;  $E_{acc} = -0.3$  V,  $t_{acc} = 180$ , f = 50 s<sup>-1</sup>, a = 25 mV.

223

# 3.1.1. Estimation of the electrochemical redox process mechanisms and calculated conditional stability constants

By SWV and CV was examined reduction process mechanisms and electrochemical characteristics of described Co (II) complexes. Their reduction peak currents and

potential dependencies on SW frequency, SW amplitude and CV scan rate, were 228 measured with  $c_{\text{Phen}} = 1 \times 10^{-6} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ ,  $c_{\text{Co}} = 1 \times 10^{-6} \text{ mol dm}^{-3} \text{ pH} = 8.2$  for Co (II)-229 Phen complex. Mixed ligand complex measurements were done with  $c_{PC} = 1 \times 10^{-5}$ 230 mol dm<sup>-3</sup>,  $c_{\text{Phen}} = 10^{-6}$  mol dm<sup>-3</sup>,  $c_{\text{Co}} = 5 \times 10^{-7}$  mol dm<sup>-3</sup> at  $E_{\text{acc}} = -0.3$  V with  $t_{\text{acc}} = 180$ 231 s. As SWV technique discriminates faraday and capacitive currents, and provides an 232 insight into both half-electrode reactions, it is particularly suitable for studying the 233 mechanisms of electrode processes [28]. The SWV response separation into forward 234 current measured before the "down" pulse and backward, and reverse current 235 measured at the "down" pulse of staircase, showed their reduction characteristics. 236 SW forward-backward (f-b) showed that Co-Phen reduction process is reversible 237 (Fig. 4 A), while of Co(II)-Phen-PC mixed ligand complex completely irreversible (Fig. 238 4.B). 239



240

Fig 4. backward-forward SW voltammograms of **A**) Co(II)-Phen; **B**) Co(II)-Phen-PC complexes;  $c_{PC} = 1 \times 10^{-5}$  mol dm<sup>-3</sup>,  $c_{Phen} = 1 \times 10^{-6}$  mol dm<sup>-3</sup>,  $c_{Co} = 10^{-6}$  mol dm<sup>-3</sup>.

Amplitude dependence (in the range from 5 to 50 mV) on the reduction current of the Co(II)-Phen complex increased linearly with a slope of 24.18  $\pm$  0.11 nAV<sup>-1</sup>, while with A >50 mV reduction peak split in two what is characteristic for reversible reduction processes from adsorbed state [29]. Reversible reduction with reactant reduction Co(II)-Phen reduction current linear dependence on the scan rate (CV) in the range from 1 to 100 mV/s with the slope of  $1.65 \pm 02 \text{ nAV}^{-1}$ , and shift of the reduction potential towards negative values, is characteristic for reversible reduction processes with the reactant adsorption [16].

Impact of the SW frequency and amplitude on the net reduction current  $(i_p)$  and 252 potential ( $E_p$ ) on Co(II)-Phen-PC complex reduction was investigated as well. Co(II)-253 Phen-PC complex reduction current ( $E_p \sim -1.5$  V,  $t_{acc} = 60$  s) depends linearly on f in 254 the range 8 –300 s<sup>-1</sup>, with the slope 21.25  $\pm$  0. 12 nA, while reduction peak potential 255 256 dependence was linear with log f (slope 20.07  $\pm$  0.08 mV/d.u.) implying the irreversible reactant reduction from adsorbed state [30]. Co(II)-Phen-PC complex 257 reduction peak current dependence on SW amplitude was linear in range 5-60 mV 258 AV<sup>-1</sup>. with the slope 89.52 ± 0.14 259 By of the CV reduction current dependence inspection of the Co(II)-Phen-PC 260 complex on the scan rate (v) in the range 1-100 mV/s, linear dependence of the 261 reduction current with the shift of the reduction potential towards negative values 262 confirmed that mechanism is irreversible with the reactant adsorption. Mixed ligand 263 complex reduction current increased exponentially with  $v^{1/2}$ . 264

Furthermore, reactant adsorption of the irreversible reduction process revealed that the reduction peak width at half-height, satisfied the relationship  $\Delta E_{p/2}$  (mV) = (63.5 ± 0.5)/ $\alpha$ n, where n = 2 (number of simultaneously transferred electrons) and where  $\alpha$  is the average transfer coefficient [29,30]. Form the experimental data calculated peak width at half-height of Co(II)-Phen was  $E_{p/2} = 73$  mV and relates to  $\alpha = 0.5 \pm 0.05$ , while for Co(II)-Phen-PC complex was  $E_{p/2} = 85$  mV and relates to  $\alpha = 0.37 \pm 0.02$ .

271 According to equation:

$$i_{\rm p} = (5\pm 1) \times 10^2 q \alpha n^2 F \alpha f \Delta E \Gamma$$

from the slope  $i_p/\alpha$  using values  $\alpha_{Co(II)-Phen-PC} = 0.37$ , n = 2 and q = 0.004 cm<sup>2</sup> (the surface of the mercury drop), F = 96 485 s A mol<sup>-1</sup> (Faraday constant) and  $\Delta E$  = 20 mV (square-wave scan increment) the amount of the adsorbed reactant ( $\Gamma$ ) was calculated. Co(II)-Phen-PC complex maximum concentration adsorbed at the mercury drop electrode amount to  $\Gamma$  = (1.45 ± 0. 12) x10<sup>-10</sup> mol cm<sup>-2</sup>.

278 Conditional stability constants and apparent stoichiometry of Co(II) complexes were 279 determined by CLE/ACSV method [31]. The method was described as competitive 280 ligand equilibrium (CLE) followed by adsorptive cathode stripping measurements 281 (ACSV). The conditional stability constant for Co(II)-Phen was determined using 282 Nitrilotriacetic acid (NTA) as a competitive ligand [16, 32], while for Co(II)-Phen-PC 283 complex Phen. Conditional stability constant was calculated based on following 284 equations.

285 
$$c_{Co} = [Co'] + [(CoPhen)_n] + [(CoNTA)]$$
 (2)

286 or for the mixed complex:

287 
$$c_{Co} = [Co'] + [(CoPhen_x PC_y)_n] + [(CoPhen)_n]^*$$
 (3)

where [Co'] is the sum of concentrations of all inorganic species  $(Co(OH)^{+}$  and Co(OH)<sub>2</sub> and Co(Phen)<sub>n</sub> Co(Phen<sub>x</sub>PC<sub>y</sub>)<sub>n</sub> (complex of Co with n molecules of ligand). [(CoNTA)<sub>n</sub>] represents the concentration quantity of all present Co(II) species with NTA or Phen<sup>\*</sup> as a competitive ligand at given pH. Peak currents were directly related to [(CoPhen)<sub>n</sub>] or [(CoPhen<sub>x</sub>PC<sub>y</sub>)<sub>n</sub>] through the proportionally factor S:

293 
$$I_{\rm p} = S \left[ Co(CoPhen)_{\rm n} \right]$$
 (4)

294 
$$I_{\rm p} = S \left[ Co(CoPhen_{\rm x}PC_{\rm y})_{\rm n} \right]$$
 (5)

(1)

The ratio, X, of cobalt peak current in presence of 1,10-Phenanthroline as a 295 296 competitive ligand  $(i_{p,i})$  to one without it,  $i_{p,0}$  can be written as  $X = i_p/i_{p,0} = [(CoPhen)_n] / [(CoPhen)_n] + [CoNTA]$ (6) 297  $X = i_p / i_{p,0} = [(CoPhen_x PC_y)_n] / [(CoPhen_x PC_y)_n] + [(CoPhen)_n]^*$ 298 (7) Using expressions for  $[(CoPhen)_n]$  and  $[(CoPhen_xPC_y)_n]$ 299  $X = K_{CoPhen}^{cond}$ [Phen]<sub>n</sub> /  $K_{CoPhen2}^{cond}$ [Phen]<sub>n</sub> +  $K_{CoOHNTA}$ [NTA]<sub>n</sub> (8) 300  $X = K_{\text{CoPhenxPCy}}^{cond} [\text{CoPhen}_{x}\text{PC}_{y}]_{n} / K_{\text{CoPhenxPCy}}^{cond} [\text{CoPhen}_{x}\text{PC}_{y}]_{n} + K_{CoOHPhen} [\text{Phen}]_{n}^{*}$ (9) 301 From the mean value of the experimentally obtained values it was calculated log 302  $K_{Co(II)-1.10-Phen2}$  to amount to 22.75 ± 0.48. Obtained conditional constants amount to 303 log K <sub>Co(II)Phen2PC</sub> = 23.02  $\pm$  0.26 and log K<sub>Co(II)Phen2PC2</sub> = 29.31  $\pm$  0.17. The 304

stoichiometry was determined so that the calculated curve agreed well with our
experimental data (Fig. S2 A, B, C).

307

#### 308 **3.2. Monolayer surface pressure - area measurements**

Monolayer studies at the air-water interface were performed to complement the 309 voltammetric conclusions of the mixed ligand complex Co(II)-Phen-PC formation at a 310 model hydrophobic interface. An aqueous solution of 0.55 mol dm<sup>-3</sup> NaCl (pH = 8.2; 1 311 mol dm<sup>-3</sup> borate buffer) was used as pure subphase, while pure subphase with 312 additions of Co(II) ions (1 ×  $10^{-5}$  mol dm<sup>-3</sup>), Phen (1.2 ×  $10^{-5}$  mol dm<sup>-3</sup>), and/or both 313 Co (II) ions and Phen was further used for penetration experiments. The compression 314 behavior of the PC monolayer on the pure subphase was firstly evaluated (Fig. 4, 315 curve 1). At  $A_{max}$  (> 60 Å<sup>2</sup>), PC molecules were in the liquid-expanded state with 316 some degree of cooperative interaction but still not closely packed, as previously well 317 described [33-36]. As the area per molecule decreased during the compression of the 318

monolayer, the surface pressure steadily increased due to the coexistence of liquid 319 expanded and liquid condensed phases, reaching the maximal surface pressure. 320 Determined  $A_{lim}$  of PC monolayer on pure subphase was 58 Å<sup>2</sup>, which is in the range 321 of the previous results. Penetration experiments were further performed during 3h at 322 the constant  $A_{max}$  where PC monolayer was in the liquid-expanded phase, facilitating 323 the interactions of the different subphase constituents with supporting monolayer. A 324 comparison of the specific  $\pi$ -A isotherms of PC monolayer on pure subphase as a 325 reference with those where additions of Co(II) ions  $(1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol dm}^{-3})$  and both Co(II) 326 ions and Phen, are present in subphase (Fig.5), enables information on the 327 intermolecular interactions within the reference monolayer. 328



Fig 5. Surface pressure ( $\pi$ ) - area (A) compression isotherms of the PC monolayer on a pure subphase (0.55 mol dm<sup>-3</sup> NaCl; 1 mmol dm<sup>-3</sup> borate buffer) (1); pure subphase containing  $c_{Co}$  1x10<sup>-5</sup> mol dm<sup>-3</sup> (2); 1x10<sup>-5</sup> mol dm<sup>-3</sup> Co(II) + 1.2 × 10<sup>-5</sup> mol dm<sup>-3</sup> Phen (3); pH=8.2; T = 294 K.

The  $\pi$ -A isotherms of the PC monolayer on a subphase containing Co(II) ions (Fig. 334 5, curve 2) showed that a weak interaction take place between the PC molecules 335 and the Co(II), allowing the PC molecules to pack more densely, i.e. to occupy a 336 smaller surface area,  $A_{lim} = (53.0 \pm 0.5) \text{ Å}^2$ , relative to PC monolayer on pure 337 subphase (Fig.5, curve 1). The observed condensation effect is noticed for the 338 dipalmitoylphosphatidylcholine (DPPC) monolayers affected by the increasing 339 concentrations of Zn<sup>2+</sup> in the subphase where dehydration of phosphate groups of 340 the DPPC occurred through direct interaction with metal ions [37]. The 341 neutralization processes within the lipid head-group moiety may change the local 342 343 conformation and have a general electrical screening effect [38-41]. The strength of the interaction between the divalent ion and the lipid monolayer most likely increase 344 in the area of the lipid head-group, where the dielectric permittivity of the 345 346 environment and, thus, the electrostatic screening of the charges was reduced.

Compared to PC monolayer on a subphase containing Co(II) ions, an increase of 347  $A_{lim}$  to (64.0 ± 0.8) Å<sup>2</sup> was observed when of Co(II) and Phen were present in the 348 subphase (Fig. 5, curve 3). Both components present in the subphase, thus, 349 affected the surface organization of the PC monolayer, resulting in a more 350 expanded film. The observed expansion effect was different from the previously 351 detected condensation effect due to the presence of Co (II) ions (without Phen) in 352 the subphase. Since Phen was not absorbed on the PC monolayer itself (isotherm 353 identical to PC on pure subphase, not shown), we hypothesized that the observed 354 effect of expansion on the incorporation of Co (II) -Phen complex into the PC 355 monolayer and the formation of Co (II) -Phen-PC complex is the explanation for 356 such behavior.--This is supported by the fact that the expansion of PC in the 357 subphase Co (II) + Phen in relation to the reference PC monolayer occurred even 358

17

at the highest surface pressures. This indicates the strong incorporation of dissolved forms into the carrier monolayer of PC molecules, so that, "extrusion" from the lipid head region was not observed in the tightly packed state of the monolayer [34,37].

The expansion of the  $\pi$ -A isotherms, as a result of the interaction between the lipid 363 monolayer and solutes from the subphase, was commonly interpreted as 364 penetration or incorporation of the solute forms into the supporting monolayer 365 366 [42,43]. It is worth noting that the isotherms of the subphase containing only Co(II) ions or Phen in the absence of the PC layer at the surface did not show significant 367 adsorption at the interface itself (data not shown), as reported previously [44]. The 368 observed effects with the monolayer study supported previous electrochemical 369 findings on the Co(II) -Phen complex binding within the PC layer and formation of a 370 mixed Co(II)-Phen-PC complex at the surface. 371

The equilibria of observed system at the air-water interface can be described by following chemical reactions:

$$374 \quad PC + Co^{2+} \leftrightarrow PCCo^{2+} \tag{10}$$

375  $PCCo^{2+} + 2Phen \leftrightarrow PCCo^{2+}Phen_2$  (11)

and the equilibrium state of the system could be described by following equations:

377 
$$K1 = \frac{cPCCo^{2+}}{cPC * cCo^{2+}}$$
 (12)

378 
$$K2 = \frac{cPCCo^{2+}Phen_2}{cPCCo^{2+}*c^2Phen}$$
 (13)

- $379 \quad C_{PC} * A_{PC} + C_{PCCo2+} * A_{PCCo2+} + C_{PCCo2+Phen} * A_{PCCo2+Phen} = 1$ (14)
- $380 \quad C_{PC} + C_{PCCO2+} + 2C_{PCCo2+Phen2} = C$ (15)

where  $c_{PC}$ ,  $c_{PCCo2+}$ ,  $c_{PCCo2+Phen2}$  (mol m<sup>-2</sup>) are the surface concentrations of components PC, PCCo<sup>2+</sup>, PCCo<sup>2+</sup>Phen<sub>2</sub>;  $c_{Co2+}$  (mol m<sup>-3</sup>) is the concentration of Co<sup>2+</sup> ions;  $A_{PC}$ ,  $A_{PCCo2+}$ ,  $A_{PCCo2+Phen}$  (m<sup>2</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>) are surface areas occupied by 1 mol of components PC, PCCo<sup>2+</sup>, PCCo<sup>2+</sup>Phen<sub>2</sub>.  $K_1$  (m<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>)  $K_2$  (m<sup>2</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>) are the stability constants of systems PCCo<sup>2+</sup> and PCCo<sup>2+</sup>Phen<sub>2</sub>, and *C* (mol m<sup>-2</sup>) is the total surface concentration.

Multiple linear regression was performed, following the established procedure described in details previously [45,46], *K*1, *K*2,  $A_{PC}$ ,  $A_{PCCo2+}$ ,  $A_{PCCo2+Phen}$  were calculated. Equations used are shown following instructions from the literature [34]:

390 
$$A PC = (-m3)/b$$
 (16)

391 
$$A \text{ PCCo} = (-m1)/m4$$
 (17)

392 
$$A \text{ PCCoPhen} = 2 (A \text{ PC} * \text{m4} - A \text{ PCCo} * b)/(\text{m4} - b)$$
 (18)

393 
$$K_1 = -\frac{2*APCCo^{2+} - APCCo^{2+} Phen_2}{m_4 * K_2 * A^2 PCCo^{2+} Phen_2}$$
(19)

394 
$$K_{2} = -\frac{(2APC - APCCo^{2} + Phen_{2}) + (2APCCo^{2} + -APCCo^{2} + Phen_{2})}{4 + m_{5} * A^{2}PCCo^{2} + Phen_{2}}$$
(20)

The stability constant of the PC-Co system (1:1) was calculated to amount to  $K_1 = 2.4$ 395 x 10<sup>-2</sup> m<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>, while  $K_2 = 4.86 \times 10^{10} \text{ m}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1}$  upon the addition of Phen describing 396 Pc-Co-Phen<sub>2</sub> system the air-water boundary. Although the monolayer study at the 397 398 air-water interface was accomplished to complement the electrochemical results, it is important to note that the obtained complex constants calculated based on the 399 electrochemical and monolayer data, are not comparable due to the different 400 approaches applied. Although a single-layer air-water boundary study was conducted 401 to confirm the electrochemical results, it is important to note that the equilibrium 402 constants obtained based on electrochemical and monolayer data, are not 403 comparable due to the application of different approaches. However, both constant 404

types showed higher stability of the mixed complex than the stability of the Co(II)Phen or Co(II)-Phen-PC complex.

407

## 408 Conclusion

The penetration of cobalt (II) as one of the crucial micronutrient in numerous 409 biological processes, through the cell membrane is important for understanding its 410 fate and role in the marine environment living organisms. Studies of PC adsorbed on 411 hydrophobic surfaces (mercury drop electrode and air-water interface) used as a 412 model for a cell membrane, showed that direct binding between Co(II) and PC is very 413 weak, and not registered by electrochemical measurements. Conditional Stability 414 415 Constants of the complexes are essential for assessing the ability of ligands to bind micronutrients under actual conditions. The study of the ligand substitution 416 mechanism in the Co(II) coordination sphere enable to predict the micronutrients 417 behavior in biological processes. Co(II) complexation takes place with Phen forming 418 a stable complex with a stoichiometry of 1:2. Co (II)-Phen complex with conditional 419 stability constant log  $K_{Co(II)-1.10-Phen2} = 22.75 \pm 0.48$ . Co (II)-Phen complex as an 420 intermediate interacts with PC molecules by substituting two remained water 421 molecules in its coordination sphere resulting most probably in the stable octahedral 422 configuration. In that way is formed hydrophobic mixed ligand complex Co(II)-Phen-423 PC. The mixed ligand complex reduction occurs at about -1.5 V by an irreversible 424 mechanism, followed by dissociation, leaving only PC molecules adsorbed at the 425 426 electrode surface (EC mechanism). The net stoichiometry of the mixed ligand complexes formed at the mercury drop electrode was calculated to be 1:2:1 and 1:2:2 427 for Co:Phen:PC. The conditional stability constants of mixed ligand complexes were 428 calculated to amount log K <sub>Co(II)Phen2PC</sub> = 23.02  $\pm$  0.26 and log K <sub>Co(II)Phen2PC2</sub> = 29.31 $\pm$ 429

0.17 ( $I_c = 0.55$ ). The quantity of adsorbed reactant ( $\Gamma$ ) Co (II)-Phen-PC complex was 430 calculated to be  $(1.45 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-10}$  mol cm<sup>-2</sup>. The totally irreversible mechanism of 431 reduction with adsorption of the reactant was confirmed by examining the reduction 432 current and potential in dependence on the SW frequency and amplitude, as well as 433 by examining the SW forward and backward current. Our electrochemical 434 measurement results s were supported by experiments at the air-water interface. The 435 change in the parameters of obtained  $\pi$ -A isotherms indicated a penetration of Co(II)-436 Phen complex into the supporting PC monolayer. A weak stability constant of the PC-437 Co system (1:1) at the air-water interface was determined to be  $K_1 = 2.4 \times 10^{-2} \text{ m}^3$ 438 mol<sup>-1</sup>. Obtained PC isotherms affected by the addition of both Co(II) ions and Phen in 439 the subphase, indicated a possibility of a mixed ligand complex formation. 440 Furthermore, calculated stability constant for described system amount to  $K_2 = 4.86 \text{ x}$ 441 10<sup>10</sup> m<sup>2</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>. This study, performed at model hydrophobic surfaces, is a base for a 442 better understanding of the cobalt (II) - lipid association mechanism in 443 biogeochemical processes mediated by cell membranes, with particular relevance to 444 the marine environment. 445

446

#### 447 Acknowledgement

The financial support for this work was provided by BiREADI project IP-2018-01-3105 (Biochemical responses of oligotrophic Adriatic surface ecosystems to atmospheric deposition inputs), funded by the Croatian Science Foundation.

452 References:

453

[1] K. W. Bruland, J. R. Donat, D. A. Hutchins, Interactive influences of bioactive
trace metals on biological production in oceanic waters, Limnol. Oceanogr., 36(8)
(1991) 1555; doi:<u>10.4319/LO.1991.36.8.1555</u>

457

[2] E. I. Hamilton, The geobiochemistry of cobalt, Sci. Total Environ., 150 (1–3)
(1994) 7.; doi: 10.1016/0048-9697(94)90126-0

460

[3] K. N. Buck, M. C. Lohan, S. G. Sander, C. Hassler, and I. Pižeta, Editorial:
Organic ligands-A key control on trace metal biogeochemistry in the ocean, Front.
Mar. Sci., 4 (2017) 1; doi: org/10.3389/fmars.2017.00313

464

[4] T. W. Lane, M. A. Saito, G. N. George, I. J. Pickering, R. C. Prince, F. M. M.
Morel, A cadmium enzyme from a marine diatom, Nature, 435 (2005),42;
doi: <u>10.1038/435042a</u>

468

[5] M. J. Ellwood, C. M. G. Van den Berg, Determination of organic complexation of
cobalt in seawater by cathodic stripping voltammetry, Mar. Chem., 75(1-2) (2001) 33;
doi: org/10.1016/S0304-4203(01)00024-X

472

[6] M. A. Saito, J. W. Moffett, Complexation of cobalt by natural organic ligands in the
Sargasso sea as determined by a new high-sensitivity electrochemical cobalt
speciation method suitable for open ocean work, Mar. Chem., 75 (1–2) (2001) 49;
doi: org/10.1016/S0304-4203(01)00025-1

- 477 [7] J. M. Vraspir, A. Butler, Chemistry of marine ligands and siderophores, Ann. Rev.
  478 Mar. Sci., 1 (2009) 43; doi:<u>10.1146/annurev.marine.010908.163712</u>
- 479
- [8] Deenah Osman, Anastasia Cooke, Tessa R. Young, EvelyneDeery, Nigel J.
  Robinson, Martin J. Warren, The requirement for cobalt in vitamin B12: A paradigm
  for protein metalation, BBA Molecular Cell Research 1868 (2021) 118896; doi:
  org/10.1016/j.bbamcr.2020.118896
- 484
- [9] Q. Z. Zhu, Robert Curwood Aller, Robert Curwood Aller, Aleya Kaushik, Analysis
  of vitamin B12 in seawater and marine sediment porewater using ELISA, 2011
  Limnology and Oceanography, Methods 9(10):515-523; doi:
  <u>org/10.4319/lom.2011.9.515</u>
- 489
- [10] A. Bencini and V. Lippolis, 1,10-Phenanthroline: A versatile building block for the
  construction of ligands for various purposes, Coord. Chem. Rev., 254(17–18) (2010)
- 492 2096; doi: <u>org/10.1016/j.ccr.2010.04.008</u>
- 493
- [11] N. Fujisawa, K. Furubayashi, M. Fukushima, M. Yamamoto, T. Komai, K.
  Ootsuka, Y. Kawabe, Evaluation of the Iron(II)-binding Abilities of Humic Acids by
  Complexometric Titration using Colorimetry with ortho-Phenanthroline, Humic Sub
  Res., 8 (2011) 1.
- 498
- [12] R. Pichot, R. L. Watson, I. T. Norton, Phospholipids at the interface: Current 499 trends and challenges, Int. J. Mol. Sci., 14(6) (2013)11767; 500 doi: 10.3390/ijms140611767; doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2017.01513 501

502 [13] Y. Ma, K. Poole, J. Goyette, K. Gaus, Introducing membrane charge and 503 membrane potential to T cell signaling, Front. Immunol., 8 (2017) 1; doi: 504 <u>10.3389/fimmu.2017.01513</u>

505

[14] L. O. Gerlach, J. S. Jakobsen, K. P. Jensen, M. R. Rosenkilde, R. T. Skerlj, U.
Ryde, G. J. Bridge, T. W. Schwartz, Metal ion enhanced binding of AMD3100 to
Asp262 in the CXCR4 receptor, Biochemistry, 42(3) (2003), 710; doi:
<u>10.1021/bi0264770</u>

- [15] J. J. Giner-Casares, G. Brezesinski, H. Möhwald, Langmuir monolayers as
  unique physical models, Curr. Opin. Colloid Interface Sci., 19(3) (2014) 176; doi:
  <u>org/10.1016/j.cocis.2013.07.006</u>
- 514
- 515 [16] A. Bačinić, L. M. Tumir, and M. Mlakar, Electrochemical characterization of 516 Cobalt(II)-Complexes involved in marine biogeochemical processes. I. Co(II)-4-517 nitrocatechol and Co(II)-Humate, Electrochim. Acta, 337 (2020) 135797; doi: 518 org/10.1016/j.electacta.2020.135797
- 519
- [17] S. M. Baumler, G. J. Blanchard, The Influence of Metal Ions on the Dynamics of
   Supported Phospholipid Langmuir Films, Langmuir, 33(12) (2017) 2986; doi:
   org/10.1021/acs.langmuir.7b00042
- 523
- [18] A. P. Serro, R. Galante, A. Kozica, P. Paradiso, A. M. P. S Gonçalves da Silva,
- 525 K. V. Luzyanin, A. C. Fernandes, B. Saramago, Effect of tetracaine on DMPC and

526 DMPC + cholesterol biomembrane models: Liposomes and monolayers, Colloids 527 Surfaces B Biointerfaces, 116 (2014) 63; doi: <u>10.1016/j.colsurfb.2013.12.042</u> 528

[19] V. P. N. Geraldo, F. J. Pavinatto, T. M. Nobre, L. Caseli, O. N. Oliveira, Langmuir
films containing ibuprofen and phospholipids, Chem. Phys. Lett., 559 (2013), 99; doi:
<u>10.1016/j.cplett.2012.12.064</u>

532

[20] J. J. Leitch, C. L. Brosseau, S. G. Roscoe, K. Bessonov, J. R. Dutcher, J. 533 Lipkowski, Electrochemical and PM-IRRAS characterization of cholera toxin binding 534 535 at a model biological membrane, Langmuir, 29(3) (2013) 965; doi: org/10.1021/la304939k 536

537

[21] A. Akbarzadeh, R. Rezaei-sadabady, S. Davaran, S. W. Joo, N. Zarghami,
Liposome : classification , prepNew aspects of liposomesaration , and applications,
Nanoscale Res. Lett., 8(102) (2003) 1; doi: <u>org/10.1186/1556-276X-8-102</u>

541

[22] M. N. Jones, The surface properties of phospholipid liposome systems and their
characterisation, Adv. Colloid Interface Sci., 54 (1995) 93; doi: <u>10.1016/0001-</u>
<u>8686(94)00223-y</u>

545

[23] A. Nelson, N. Auffret, J. Borlakoglu, Interaction of hydrophobic organic
compounds with mercury adsorbed dioleoylphosphatidylcholine monolayers, BBA Biomembr., 1021(2) (1990) 205; doi: <u>org/10.1016/0005-2736(90)90035-M</u>

549

26

[24] L. M. Pfeffer, B. C. P. Kwok B.C.P., F.R. Landsberger., I. Tamm, Interferon
stimulates cholesterol and phosphatidylcholine synthesis but inhibits cholesterol ester
synthesis in HeLa-S3 cells (human (3-interferon/lipid metabolism/membrane
structure/endocytosis/low density lipoprotein). Proc. Nati. Acad. Sci. USA 82 (1985)
2417–2421; doi:10.1073/pnas.82.8.2417

555

[25] I. Čuljak, M. Mlakar, M. Branica, Synergetic adsorption of the copperphenanthroline-tributylphosphate complex at a mercury drop electrode, Anal. Chim.
Acta, 297(3) (1994) 427; doi: <u>org/10.1016/0003-2670(94)00225-8</u>

559

[26] X. Liang, D. J. Campopiano, P. J. Sadler, Metals in membranes, Chem. Soc.
Rev., 36, (6) (2007) 968; doi: org/10.1039/B617040B

562

563 [27] M. Zelić, Reverse Scan as a Source of Information in Square Wave
564 Voltammetry, Croat. Chem. Acta 79 (1) (2006) 49

565

[28] M. Lovrić and Š. Komorsky-Lovrić, Theory of Square-Wave Voltammetry of TwoElectron Reduction with the Adsorption of Intermediate, International Journal of
Electrochemistry, 2012, (2012) 7; doi: <u>org/10.1155/2012/596268</u>

569

[29] M. Lovrić, Š. Komorsky-Lovrić, R. W. Murray, Adsorption effects in square-wave
voltammetry of totally irreversible redox reactions, Electrochim. Acta, 33(6) (1988)
739; doi: <u>org/10.1016/S0013-4686(98)80002-9</u>

573

[30] E. L. Rue, K. W. Bruland. Complexation of iron(III) by natural organic ligands in
the central North Pacific as determined by a new competitive ligand
equilibration/adsorptive cathodic stripping voltammetric method, Mar. Chem. 50
(1995) 117–138; doi.org/<u>10.1016/0304-4203(95)00031-L</u>

578

579 [31] P. Vukosav, V. Tomišić, M. Mlakar, "Iron(III)-complexes engaged in the 580 biochemical processes in seawater. II. Voltammetry of Fe(III)-malate complexes in 581 model aqueous solution, Electroanalysis, 22(19) (2010) 2179; doi: 582 org/10.1002/elan.200900632

583

[32] G. L. Gaines, Insluble monolayers at liquid-gas interfaces, Academic press, New
York 1966, p. 254; doi: <u>10.1007/978-94-011-2272-6\_3</u>

586

[33] A. Aroti, E, Leontidis, M. Dubois, T. Zembb, G. Brezesinski, Monolayers, bilayers
and micelles of zwitterionic lipids as model systems for the study of specific anion
effects. Colloids Surf A. 303 (2007) 144–158., doi: <u>10.1016/j.colsurfa.2007.03.011.</u>

590

[34] M. Sovago M, W. H. George, M. S. Wurpel, M. Müller, M. Bonn, Calciuminduced phospholipid ordering depends on surface pressure. J Am Chem Soc. 129
(2007) 11079–11084. doi: <u>10.1021/ja071189i.</u>

594

[35] A. D. Petelska, Z. A. Figaszewski, Phosphatidylcholine - Mg<sup>2+</sup> equilibria in a
monolayer at the air/water interface. Open Chem. 11 (2013) 424–429; doi:
10.2478/s11532-012-0170-7

598

- [36] P. Laszuk, W. Urbaniak, A. D. Petelska, The Equilibria in Lipid–Lipoic Acid
  Systems Monolayers, Microelectrophoretic and Interfacial Tension Studies,
  Molecules, 25(16) (2020), 3678; doi.org/10.3390/molecules25163678
- [37] S. Li, L. Du, N. T. Tsona, W. Wang, The interaction of trace heavy metal with
  lipid monolayer in the sea surface microlayer, Chemosphere 196 (2018) 323–330;
  doi: org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.12.157
- 606
- 607 [38] M. Bara, A. Guiet-Bara, J. Durlach, Analysis of magnesium membraneous 608 effects:
- 609 612 binding and screening, Magnes Res 29 (1990) 4121–4128; pmid: 3274925
- 610
- [39] Adams, E.M., Casper, C.B., Allen, H.C., Effect of cation enrichment on
  dipalmitoylphosphatidylcholine (DPPC) monolayers at the air-water interface, J.
  Colloid Interface Sci. 478(2016) 353–364;doi: <u>10.1016/j.jcis.2016.06.016</u>
- 614

[40] S. Kewalramani, H. Hlaing, B.M. Ocko, I. Kuzmenko, M. Fukuto Effects of
divalent cations on phase behavior and structure of a zwitterionic phospholipid
(DMPC) monolayer at the air–water interface. J Phys Chem Lett 1 (2010) 489–498,
doi: org/10.1021/jz9002873

619

[41] A. D. Petelska, M. Naumowicz, M. The effect of divalent ions on L-αphosphatidylcholine from egg yolk monolayers at the air/water interface, J Biol Inorg
Chem 22 (2017)1187–1195; doi: <u>org/10.1007/s00775-017-1495-7</u>

623

- [42] Z. Kozarac, B. Ćosović, R. C. Ahuja, D. Moebius, W. Budach, Interaction of
  Pyrene-3-sulfonate with Lipid Monolayers // Langmuir, 12(22) (1996) 5387-5392; doi:
  <u>10.1021/la950908g</u>
- 627
- [43] Z. Kozarac, D. Möbius, D. B. Spohn, Investigation of Sea-surface Microlayer and
  Phytoplankton Culture Samples by Monolayer Techniques and Brewster Angle
  Microscopy, Croatica Chemica Acta, 71(2)(1998) 285-301
- 631
- [44] R. Verger, F. Pattus, Lipid-Protein Interactions in Monolayers, Chemistry and
- 633 physics of lipids 30 (1982) 189-227; doi: <u>org/10.1016/0009-3084(82)90052-4</u>
- 634

[45] M. Ivanova, M. G., Verger, R., Bois, A. G., Panaiotov, I. Proteins at the air/water
interface and their inhibitory effects on enzyme lipolysis. Colloids Surf. 54 (1991)
279–296; doi: org/10.1016/0166-6622(91)80067-X

- 638
- [46] M. Mlakar, V. Cuculić, S. Frka, B. Gašparović, Copper-phospholipid interaction at
- cell membrane model hydrophobic surfaces, Bioelectrochemistry, 120 (2018) 10; doi:
- 641 org/10.1016/j.bioelechem.2017.11.004
- 642
- 643