

Article

Energy Status of Stygophilous Amphipod *Synurella ambulans* as a Promising Biomarker of Environmental Stress in the Hyporheic Zone

Zuzana Redžović¹, Marijana Erk^{1,*}, Sanja Gottstein^{2,3} and Mario Cindrić¹¹ Ruder Bošković Institute, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia; zuzana.redzovic@irb.hr (Z.R.); mario.cindric@irb.hr (M.C.)² Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, University of Zagreb, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia; sanja.gottstein@biol.pmf.hr³ Graduate School, University of Nova Gorica, Vipavska 13, 5000 Nova Gorica, Slovenia

* Correspondence: marijana.erk@irb.hr; Tel.: +385-1-6384422

Abstract: The hyporheic zone (HZ) is a sensitive ecotone in river ecosystems because of its biodiversity and susceptibility to human activities. Hyporheic fauna are exposed to multiple stressors that affect the physiology and metabolism of organisms and ultimately ecosystem functioning and biodiversity. This study aimed to quantify adenine nucleotides in the stygophilous freshwater amphipod *Synurella ambulans* and to evaluate the potential of adenylate energy charge (AEC) as a physiological biomarker of general stress in the HZ of the Sava River at sites with different intensities of environmental and anthropogenic stress. Field studies were conducted seasonally (in December 2018 and April, July, and October 2019) at two sampling sites, one upstream (1-UP) and the other downstream (2-DOWN) of the discharge from the wastewater treatment plant using the standard piston pump. The amphipod population from site 1-UP had significantly higher AEC values than the population from site 2-DOWN in all seasons except summer. Coordinated changes in AEC values with the ATP/ADP ratio indicated differences in energy status between the two populations. However, no changes in the apparent equilibrium constant of adenylate kinase were observed, except in spring at site 1-UP. Multiple linear regression models showed the strongest associations of AEC with Fe and Zn accumulated in amphipods, followed by environmental factors (conductivity, dissolved O₂, and concentrations of nitrites and phosphates in the interstitial water). AEC was shown to be a useful index of environmental stress in *S. ambulans* because it can directly measure the change in available energy and thus the metabolic stress to which the organism is exposed. Finally, seasonal and spatial variations in AEC values reflected ecological status in the HZ.

Keywords: adenylate energy charge; crustaceans; groundwater-connected ecosystems; the Sava River; wastewater treatment discharge

Citation: Redžović, Z.; Erk, M.; Gottstein, S.; Cindrić, M. Energy Status of Stygophilous Amphipod *Synurella ambulans* as a Promising Biomarker of Environmental Stress in the Hyporheic Zone. *Water* **2023**, *15*, 3083. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w15173083>

Academic Editor: Craig Allan

Received: 13 July 2023

Revised: 21 August 2023

Accepted: 25 August 2023

Published: 28 August 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Adenylate energy charge (AEC) was first described 55 years ago [1] as an indicator of the energy status of cellular metabolism and reflects the level of energy available from adenylate turnover. AEC has been used as an index for assessing the general condition of organisms because it can provide information on the stress response at the cellular level and can be applied in laboratory tests and directly in situ [2,3]. Over the years, it has been used in environmental studies to confirm changes in ecological or physiological parameters, such as pollution [4,5], pollution and hypoxic stress [6,7], thermal stress [8], anoxic conditions [9,10], starvation, moulting [11] or reproductive status [12], which lead to perturbations in the production of adenylates and generally to a decrease in AEC in studied organisms, mainly invertebrates, either freshwater or marine. As a ratio of the concentrations of adenosine triphosphate (ATP), diphosphate (ADP) and monophosphate (AMP) it

varies theoretically from 0 to 1 with maximal values of 0.8–0.9 [13]. Unlike vertebrates, invertebrates can survive lower levels of energy charge (0.3–0.4) due to looser regulation and, in particular, low efficiency of the enzyme AMP deaminase [14]. This was particularly observed in the laboratory studies on crustaceans [4] and bivalves [9], as well as in the field study on bivalves *Dreissena polymorpha* (Pallas, 1771) [15] and *Mytilus galloprovincialis* Lamarck, 1819 [12]. Furthermore, all of these studies have demonstrated how accurately and reliably the stress state of an organism can be assessed and how universally AEC can be used as a tool for monitoring and assessing toxicity in different species and habitats. Although AEC has been shown to be a reliable and sensitive measure of stress in organisms in laboratory bioassay studies, it failed to reveal pollution stress in the example of a field study on the population of *Hediste diversicolor* (O.F. Müller, 1776) in the Western Scheldt estuary [16]. The population of *H. diversicolor* that could have shown reduced AEC under the field conditions did not occur at sites where pollution was too severe because it was unable to grow or reproduce [16], raising the question of the usefulness of *H. diversicolor* as a bioindicator species. On the other hand, a recent study has shown that AEC is a highly informative indicator of stress, and it was found that, unlike currently used indicators derived from blood biochemistry, AEC can directly measure the change in available energy and thus the metabolic stress to which a given tissue of chondrichthyans is exposed [17]. Therefore, one of the motivations for our current study was to test whether AEC can be reliably applied in a challenged hypogean environmental compartment, the hyporheic zone (HZ), using the stygophilous amphipod as a potential bioindicator organism.

The HZ is an active ecotone between surface water and groundwater. In a river system, the exchange of water, nutrients, and organic matter occurs due to variations in runoff, topography, and porosity of the riverbed. The upwelling subsurface water provides nutrients, while the downwelling river water provides dissolved oxygen and organic matter to the microorganisms and invertebrates inhabiting the HZ [18,19]. Sediments in the HZ of river systems are often contaminated with trace metals that are a legacy of natural processes and anthropogenic activities [20]. Fortunately, the HZ plays a crucial role in reducing pollution and has been called the “river’s liver” because the microbial communities of the HZ form a natural bioreactor for chemical contaminants [21]. However, if the level of contamination exceeds the capacity of the HZ to filter and process these contaminants, it can negatively impact the river ecosystem and its inhabitants. Because the HZ is known to be an essential habitat for invertebrates that form the basis of food webs in rivers [22], aquatic food webs can potentially be compromised by the multitude of types of contaminants that affect water and sediments in the HZ.

Although the Sava River is a major watershed in south-eastern Europe and an important corridor linking five countries, its HZ as a specific ecotone under anthropogenic pressure was poorly investigated. The middle and lower reaches of the Sava River are polluted by wastewater discharges from settlements and agricultural runoff [23]. A recent study on bacterial communities in the same section of the Sava River as in our study revealed that discharge of insufficiently treated effluents from pharmaceutical production altered physicochemical characteristics of the river sediments and the resident bacterial communities, which contributed to the enrichment of resistance genes to clinically important antibiotics [24]. Concentrations of trace elements in sediments quantified in that study (As, Cd, Cr, Co, Cu, Pb, Ni, and Zn) were higher at the discharge and downstream sites than at the upstream sites [24]. In addition, in the same study area, concentrations of potentially toxic elements (Cd, Cr, Cu, Ni, Pb, and Zn) were determined in the fine-grained fraction (< 63 µm) and bulk of the sediment, and for all of these elements, except Ni [25], the values were below the Predicted No Effects Concentration (PNEC) [26].

Species of interstitial fauna inhabiting the water in the interstices of unconsolidated sediments in the HZ, called hyporheos, may serve as sentinels of exposure to environmental stressors (both anthropogenic and natural) and environmental health effects. In groundwater-connected ecosystems, sentinel species, as bioindicators that accumulate

and concentrate pollutants in their tissues, can provide warning signals of potential impacts on both epigeal and hypogean environments at different levels of biological organization. In the study on the composition of interstitial fauna and identification of dominant groups of aquatic invertebrates in the HZ of the Sava River, the amphipod *Synurella ambulans* (F. Müller, 1846) had the highest number of individuals per sample [27]. The species *S. ambulans* is widely distributed in various freshwater ecosystems in Croatia. It is a common inhabitant of groundwater-connected ecosystems such as karst springs [28], interstitial waters next to streams, and eutrophic waters next to flooding rivers such as oxbow lakes and flooded forests [29], and it is also noted at the bottom of deep lakes [30]. Therefore *S. ambulans* could be a valuable sentinel species in groundwater-connected ecosystems such as the HZ. Recently, the use of the hyporheic amphipod *S. ambulans* as a bioindicator of metal contamination in the HZ was evaluated [31], demonstrating its strong ability to concentrate metals from the environment, even when metal concentrations in interstitial water and sediments did not exceed the environmental quality standards (EQS) set by national and EU directive [32]. Metals accumulated in *S. ambulans* showed the strongest association with metal exposure levels in interstitial water, making it a suitable bioindicator organism of chronic metal contamination in the HZ [31].

In the current study, we determined for the first time AEC in populations of *S. ambulans* sampled seasonally at two study sites in the HZ of the Sava River, upstream and downstream of the discharge of treated wastewater from the wastewater treatment plant (WWTP). The aim of this study was to evaluate the potential of the AEC of the stygophilous amphipod *S. ambulans* as a physiological biomarker of general stress in the HZ under different intensities of environmental and anthropogenic stress. The underlying biological questions were: Do the values of AEC in *S. ambulans* populations differ between sampling sites and seasons? To what extent can environmental variables and accumulated metals in *S. ambulans* explain the variability in AEC values? This study contributes to the understanding of the holistic view of the energy metabolism of this particular member of the macrofauna in the HZ as a challenging environmental compartment.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area

The study area is located in the lower section of the Upper Sava River Plain (in the north-west of the Republic of Croatia) which belongs to the water body type HR-R_5b, as very large lowland river in the Pannonian ecoregion [32–34]. The Sava River is polluted by point sources, of which the discharges from the WWTP of the town of Zaprešić and the city of Zagreb are the most important in this area. Two sampling sites were selected on gravel bars of the Sava River at different distances from the wastewater outlet of the Zaprešić WWTP. The WWTP of the town of Zaprešić receives treated wastewater from the pharmaceutical industry, bakers' yeast production and the wastewater from the local municipality, which is then treated and subsequently discharged into the Sava River. Only primary (mechanical) treatment is carried out in this WWTP. The first sampling site (1-UP) near the village of Medsave (45°50'04" N, 15°46'32" E) in the rural zone with agricultural land is located about 3 km upstream from this wastewater outlet, and the second sampling site (2-DOWN) in an urban area of the city of Zagreb, near the Jarun district (45°46'24" N, 15°55'56" E), is located about 13 km downstream from it. The two sampling sites were chosen in order to compare the energy status (concentrations of adenine nucleotides, ATP/ADP ratios, and AEC values) of *S. ambulans* populations upstream and downstream of the WWTP of the town of Zaprešić, as a point source of pollution with conditions of pronounced environmental stress.

Details about the study area with the map and the methods used to determine the physicochemical parameters and chemical composition of interstitial water and the sediments from the HZ were described in our recent publication [31].

The ecohydrological characteristics of the hyporheic zone at selected sampling sites that indicated downwelling at site 1-UP and upwelling at site 2-DOWN are shown in Tables S1–S3 and Figures S1–S3, along with a detailed explanation of these characteristics.

2.2. Amphipod Sampling

Amphipods were sampled at both sampling sites in four sampling campaigns—winter (9–10 December 2018), spring (2–3 April 2019), summer (23–24 July 2019), and autumn (22–23 October 2019)—in the HZ of the Sava River gravel bars using the standard Bou-Rouch piston pump [35]. A mobile steel pipe (\varnothing 50 mm, 110 cm long) with a perforated distal end (thirty-five 5 mm diameter holes) was embedded into the gravel bar and equipped with a hand piston pump. For each sample, 50 L of interstitial water were pumped from an average depth of 55 cm below the surface of the gravel bar and this procedure was repeated at at least three points (along the gravel bar) per sampling site. The sampling was carried out using a set of fine-meshed hand nets (100, 200 and 500 μ m). Only amphipod specimens retained on the two largest nets (200 and 500 μ m) were stored in polyvinyl chloride bottles and transported in a portable refrigerator to the laboratory for processing. The specimens were identified under stereomicroscope (Zeiss Stemi 2000 C, Carl Zeiss AG, Jena, Germany) based on the morphological characters for *S. ambulans* as described in [36], sorted, counted, snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and then stored at -80 °C until further analyses.

2.3. Chemicals

Potassium dihydrogen phosphate (KH_2PO_4), dipotassium phosphate (K_2HPO_4), disodium phosphate (Na_2HPO_4), potassium hydroxide (KOH), potassium chloride (KCl), acetic acid (CH_3COOH) and sodium acetate (CH_3COONa) were of analytical grade ($\geq 98\%$, Kemika, Zagreb, Croatia). Adenosine 5'-monophosphate (AMP), adenosine 5'-diphosphate (ADP), adenosine 5'-triphosphate (ATP), and tetrabutylammonium (TBA) hydroxide were of analytical grade ($\geq 98\%$) as well as 3-(cyclohexylamino)-1-propanesulfonic acid ($\geq 99\%$), all purchased from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Internal standard adenosine (ADN) was of HPLC grade ($\geq 99\%$) and obtained from Tokyo Chemical Industry, Japan. Perchloric acid (PCA), ACS reagent, 70%, was from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA, USA). Deionized (18 $\text{M}\Omega\cdot\text{cm}$) water, generated in-house using a Milli-Q System from Merck Millipore (Bedford, MA, USA) was used for mobile phase and solution preparation.

2.4. Preparation of *Synuelia ambulans* Samples

Composite samples of *S. ambulans* for quantification of adenylates were prepared as described by Redžović et al. [37], who applied a modified method used by Díaz Enrich et al. [38]. Amphipods were pooled due to their small size and mass (10–50 specimens per sample, mass of a sample: 0.012–0.034 g; Table S4). The number of pooled samples per sampling site was six ($N = 6$), except in the winter sampling campaign, when it was ten ($N = 10$) at the site 1-UP and four ($N = 4$) at the site 2-DOWN (Table S4). In brief, composite samples were homogenized in 0.5 mL of 0.5 M ice-cold PCA using a Potter-Elvehjem homogenizer while keeping the sample tube in an ice bath. Samples were spiked prior to homogenization with 50.1 μ L of internal standard solution (300 μ M ADN), freshly prepared daily by dissolving in the mobile phase (150 mM $\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4/\text{K}_2\text{HPO}_4$ and 100 mM KCl, pH = 6). The homogenate was centrifuged in a cooled centrifuge at 4 °C, $16,000 \times g$ for 5 min. After the centrifugation, a volume of 200 μ L of supernatant was neutralized with the addition of 25 μ L of 25% KOH and 150 μ L of phosphate buffer (0.5 M Na_2HPO_4 and KH_2PO_4 , pH = 8.2), and the final pH was 11. The mixture was vortexed and allowed to form a precipitate for 30 min on ice. The neutralized supernatant was again centrifuged at $16,000 \times g$ at 4 °C for 5 min and the supernatant was immediately analysed by ion-pair reverse-phase chromatography.

2.5. Separation and Quantification of Adenylates and AEC Calculation

Separation of adenylates was performed by ion-pair reverse-phase chromatography [39] using the HPLC system 1100 Series (Agilent, St. Clara, CA, USA) equipped with a diode array detector (DAD) and Hypersil ODS column C18 (125 mm × 4 mm, 5 µm particle size; guard column: Hypersil ODS, 10 × 4 mm, 5 µm; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Separation conditions applied are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Separation conditions applied for analyses of adenylates in amphipod *S. ambulans*.

| HPLC Conditions | Composition, Concentration and Values |
|----------------------|--|
| Mobile phase | 150 mM KH ₂ PO ₄ /K ₂ HPO ₄ and 100 mM KCl; pH = 6 |
| Ion-pairing agent | 10 mM tetrabutylammonium (TBA) hydroxide |
| Flow rate | 1 mL min ⁻¹ |
| Detection/band width | 260 nm/80 nm |
| Injection volume | 3 µL |
| Elution time | 12 min |

Concentrations of adenylates in *S. ambulans* samples were calculated using an external standard calibration method. Concentrations were determined from calibration curves constructed from known concentrations of standards (AMP, ADP, and ATP) in the range of working concentrations of 10, 12, 25, 50, 100, 200, and 300 µM. The AMP, ADP and ATP concentrations determined in the *S. ambulans* samples were calculated from the peak area of the respective nucleotide and expressed as µmol g⁻¹ wet weight (w.w.). The final result was obtained by multiplying the adenylate concentration by the extraction recovery of internal standard ADN [37].

Adenylate energy charge (AEC) was calculated from measured concentrations of the three adenine nucleotides—ATP, ADP and AMP [1]—according to the following equation:

$$\text{AEC} = \frac{c(\text{ATP}) + \frac{1}{2}c(\text{ADP})}{c(\text{ATP}) + c(\text{ADP}) + c(\text{AMP})} \quad (1)$$

Adenylate kinase apparent equilibrium constant (K_{eq}) was calculated from coordinated variation in AEC and ATP/ADP ratios [40], according to the following equation:

$$y = \frac{-x + 0.5 - \sqrt{x(x-1)(1-4K_{eq}) + 0.25}}{2x-2} \quad (2)$$

where x = AEC, y = ATP/ADP ratio and K_{eq} = apparent equilibrium constant of adenylate kinase.

2.6. Data Analyses

Prior to data analyses, we tested the potential influence of pooling individual amphipods to obtain the composite samples on the analysed concentrations of adenine nucleotides (Spearman's rho, $p < 0.05$) and found that this had no influence. In addition, to test whether the observed patterns in adenine nucleotide concentrations and calculated AEC are likely to be non-random, the autocorrelation of the data was examined using the Durbin–Watson statistic, which yielded values of less than 2, suggesting non-randomness. These tests were performed using SPSS® Statistics Version 20 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA).

Considering the number of samples analysed ($N = 6$, except in winter at sampling site 1-UP $N = 10$ and at sampling site 2-DOWN $N = 4$) and the fact that the assumptions of normality and homogeneity of variance were not always met, the Mann–Whitney U test was applied to test the significance of differences in concentrations of AMP, ADP, ATP and total adenylate pool (TAP) and AEC in *S. ambulans* samples between two sampling sites. The significance of variability in adenylate concentrations and AEC between sampling seasons was tested using Kruskal–Wallis one-way analysis of variance on ranks for

each sampling site. Differences were considered significant when $p < 0.05$. These statistical analyses were performed using SigmaPlot 11.0 (Systat Software, Chicago, IL, USA).

The effects of environmental factors as independent variables on AEC in *S. ambulans* as dependent variable were tested using stepwise multiple linear regression (MLR). Due to the large number of environmental factors and the rather limited number of data available in this study, the environmental factors were assigned to four groups of independent variables: (I) natural factors, i.e., physicochemical parameters of the interstitial water from the HZ: water temperature, concentration of dissolved oxygen, pH, electrical conductivity, alkalinity and total water hardness, (II) nutrient concentrations: orthophosphates ($P-PO_4^{3-}$), nitrites ($N-NO_2^-$), nitrates ($N-NO_3^-$) and total organic carbon (TOC) in interstitial water and TOC in sediment from the HZ, (III) metal concentrations in the interstitial water from the HZ: Al, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mn, Ni, Pb, Sn, Zn, Ca, K, Mg and Na, and (IV) metals in the fine sediment fraction from the HZ: Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Mn, Ni, Pb, Sn and Zn. Also, metal concentrations accumulated in *S. ambulans* (Al, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mn, Ni, Pb, Sn, Zn, Ca, K, Mg and Na) were tested as a fifth group of independent variables that can affect AEC as a dependent variable. Each group of independent variables and AEC as a dependent variable were analysed separately, so five independent MLR analyses were performed. The criterion used for the stepwise method was the probability of F statistic with $p < 0.05$ for entry and $p > 0.10$ for exclusion of a variable from the model. These analyses were performed using SPSS® Statistics Version 20 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA).

For the MLR analyses, data on the above-listed environmental variables from the HZ and metal concentrations in *S. ambulans* were provided from the related study [31].

3. Results

3.1. Concentrations of Adenine Nucleotides and Adenylate Energy Charge in *S. ambulans*

The results of the determination of adenylate concentrations in stygophilous amphipod *S. ambulans* from the HZ of the Sava River are presented in Figure 1.

The measured values for AMP, ADP and ATP were in the range of 0.89–2.63 $\mu\text{mol g}^{-1}_{\text{w.w.}}$, 0.62–1.51 $\mu\text{mol g}^{-1}_{\text{w.w.}}$ and 0.43–3.01 $\mu\text{mol g}^{-1}_{\text{w.w.}}$, respectively. Comparing two sampling sites, significantly higher levels of ADP, ATP and total adenylate pool (TAP = sum of the concentrations of AMP, ADP and ATP) were observed in *S. ambulans* from sampling site 1-UP in spring, while the concentrations of AMP were higher in *S. ambulans* from sampling site 2-DOWN in winter (Figure 1). Significant seasonal differences in concentrations of all analysed adenylates and TAP were observed primarily in *S. ambulans* from sampling site 1-UP, with the highest values recorded in spring (Figure 1).

Expressed as a fraction of TAP, the largest fraction was accounted for by AMP, with values ranging from 42 to 56% in both sites and for all seasons studied (Figure 2). Larger AMP fractions were detected in *S. ambulans* from sampling site 2-DOWN than from sampling site 1-UP, especially in winter and spring.

The AEC values in *S. ambulans* calculated according to Equation (1) are presented in Table 2. When comparing the two *S. ambulans* populations in the study area, significantly higher AEC values were detected in the *S. ambulans* population from sampling site 1-UP in all seasons except summer (Table 2). When comparing seasonal sampling campaigns, there were no significant differences in AEC values of *S. ambulans* at sampling site 1-UP (Table 2). AEC values of *S. ambulans* at sampling site 2-DOWN were significantly different only between spring and summer (Table 2). Two populations of *S. ambulans* also differed in their ATP/ADP ratios, indicating a shift in cellular energy balance (Table 2 and Figure 3). Apparent equilibrium constant for adenylate kinase reaction (K_{eq}) was significantly lower in populations from sampling site 2-DOWN only in spring (Table 3).




Figure 1. Concentrations of AMP, ADP, ATP and total adenylate pool (TAP) determined in *S. ambulans* from the HZ at two sampling sites at the Sava River presented as box plots (with upper and lower quartile; whiskers with 10th and 90th percentile; a solid line within the box marks the median and a dotted line marks the mean; sampling site 1-UP: light blue, sampling site 2-DOWN: light grey). Significant differences between sampling sites in the same season are indicated with an asterisk * (Mann–Whitney U test, $p < 0.05$), and differences among seasons with different letters (Kruskal–Wallis one-way analysis of variance on ranks, $p < 0.05$, capital letters in sampling site 1-UP and small letters in sampling site 2-DOWN).




Figure 2. Concentrations of AMP, ADP and ATP in *S. ambulans* from the HZ at sampling sites 1-UP and 2-DOWN at the Sava River expressed as fractions (%) of the total adenylate pool (TAP).

Table 2. Adenylate energy charge (AEC) and ATP/ADP ratio in *S. ambulans* from the HZ at two sampling sites at the Sava River (number of analysed pooled samples, *N*; mean values \pm standard deviations). Significantly higher values at 1-UP sampling site are indicated with asterisk * (Mann–Whitney U test, $p < 0.05$), and significant seasonal differences are indicated with different letters (Kruskal–Wallis one-way analysis of variance on ranks, $p < 0.05$, capital letters in sampling site 1-UP and small letters in sampling site 2-DOWN).

| | 1-UP | | | 2-DOWN | | |
|-------------|----------|-------------------|--------------------------------|----------|--------------------------------|-----------------|
| | <i>N</i> | AEC | ATP/ADP | <i>N</i> | AEC | ATP/ADP |
| Winter 2018 | 10 | 0.41 \pm 0.04 * | 0.91 \pm 0.18 ^{A,B} | 4 | 0.34 \pm 0.04 ^{a,b} | 0.73 \pm 0.12 |
| Spring 2019 | 6 | 0.46 \pm 0.06 * | 1.38 \pm 0.37 ^{A,*} | 6 | 0.31 \pm 0.05 ^b | 0.69 \pm 0.22 |
| Summer 2019 | 6 | 0.42 \pm 0.01 | 0.93 \pm 0.07 ^{A,B} | 6 | 0.41 \pm 0.04 ^a | 0.87 \pm 0.14 |
| Autumn 2019 | 6 | 0.40 \pm 0.02 * | 0.85 \pm 0.11 ^B | 6 | 0.37 \pm 0.02 ^{a,b} | 0.79 \pm 0.06 |

Table 3. Apparent equilibrium constant of adenylate kinase reaction (K_{eq}) in *S. ambulans* from the HZ at two sampling sites at the Sava River (mean values \pm standard deviations). Significantly higher values at 1-UP sampling site are indicated with asterisk * (Mann–Whitney U test, $p < 0.05$), and significant seasonal differences are indicated with different letters (Kruskal–Wallis one-way analysis of variance on ranks, $p < 0.05$, capital letters in sampling site 1-UP and small letters in sampling site 2-DOWN). Number of analysed pooled samples (*N*) is given in brackets.

| | 1-UP | 2-DOWN |
|-------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | K_{eq} | K_{eq} |
| Winter 2018 | 1.395 \pm 0.186 ^A (10) | 1.313 \pm 0.178 (4) |
| Spring 2019 | 2.345 \pm 0.463 ^{B,*} (6) | 1.454 \pm 0.340 (6) |
| Summer 2019 | 1.369 \pm 0.221 ^A (6) | 1.243 \pm 0.159 (6) |
| Autumn 2019 | 1.322 \pm 0.222 ^A (6) | 1.332 \pm 0.166 (6) |




Figure 3. Coordinated changes of AEC and ATP/ADP ratios in *S. ambulans* from the HZ at two sampling sites on the Sava River. Each point represents a composite sample. Lines represent power regressions ($R^2 = 0.83$ and 0.77 for populations from 1-UP and 2-DOWN, respectively).

3.2. Effects of Environmental Variables and Metals Accumulated in the Organism on AEC of *S. ambulans* as Dependent Variable

The results of the stepwise MLR analysis of AEC as dependent variable and six natural factors as independent variables showed that 52.7% of the variance in AEC could be accounted for by the four predictors, collectively, $F(4,45) = 12.511$, $p = 1 \times 10^{-6}$ (degrees of freedom of regression and residuals, respectively, are given in brackets; Table 4). Looking at the individual contributions of the predictors, the results showed that conductivity, dissolved oxygen concentration, and alkalinity negatively predicted AEC value, while total water hardness positively predicted the AEC (Table 4). Two variables were excluded from the model, namely water temperature and pH.

The results of the stepwise MLR analysis of AEC as dependent variable and the second group of independent variables showed that 41.5% of the variance in AEC could be accounted for by the two predictors, collectively, $F(2,47) = 16.665$, $p = 3 \times 10^{-6}$ (Table 4).

Looking at the individual contributions of the predictors, it can be seen that concentrations of N-NO_2^- and P-PO_4^{3-} negatively predict the AEC value (Table 4). Three variables were excluded from the model, namely concentrations of nitrates, TOC in water and TOC in sediment.

Concentrations of dissolved trace elements (Al, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mn, Ni, Pb, Sn and Zn) and macroelements (Ca, K, Mg and Na) in the interstitial water from the HZ of the Sava river studied previously [31] were classified as a third group of independent variables that could possibly affect the AEC level in *S. ambulans* as dependent variable, because they could be easily absorbed by the organism. The results of the stepwise MLR analysis showed that 42% of the variance in AEC could be explained by the model ($F(2,25) = 9.045$, $p = 1.1 \times 10^{-3}$, Table 3) with two predictors—the concentrations of Fe and Na in the interstitial water of the HZ. The concentration of Fe in interstitial water had a positive effect on AEC values, whereas Na had a negative effect (Table 4).

Table 4. Statistics of stepwise multiple linear regression models and variables entered in the respective model for levels of AEC in *S. ambulans* as dependent variable and five groups of independent variables.

| | Independent Variables | Model | | | Coefficients | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|-------|--------|----------------------|--------------|--------|----------------------|
| | | R^2 | F | p^* | β | t | p^{**} |
| (I) group ¹ | | 0.527 | 12.511 | 1×10^{-6} | | | |
| | Conductivity | | | | -1.395 | -4.451 | 5.6×10^{-5} |
| | Dissolved O ₂ | | | | -0.971 | -3.681 | 6.2×10^{-4} |
| | Total water hardness | | | | 0.893 | 3.976 | 2.5×10^{-4} |
| | Alkalinity | | | | -0.491 | -2.476 | 1.7×10^{-2} |
| (II) group ² | | 0.415 | 16.665 | 3×10^{-6} | | | |
| | Nitrites | | | | -0.463 | -3.857 | 3.5×10^{-4} |
| | Phosphates | | | | -0.309 | -2.579 | 1.3×10^{-2} |
| (III) group ³ | | 0.420 | 9.045 | 1.1×10^{-3} | | | |
| | Fe in water | | | | 0.611 | 3.848 | 7.3×10^{-4} |
| | Na in water | | | | -0.447 | -2.817 | 9.3×10^{-3} |
| (IV) group ⁴ | | 0.268 | 5.121 | 4×10^{-2} | | | |
| | Ni in sediment | | | | -0.518 | -2.263 | 4×10^{-2} |
| Metal accumulation ⁵ | | 0.791 | 37.912 | $<1 \times 10^{-7}$ | | | |
| | Fe in <i>S. ambulans</i> | | | | -0.920 | -8.554 | $<1 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| | Zn in <i>S. ambulans</i> | | | | 1.483 | 4.219 | 4.2×10^{-4} |

Notes: R^2 —coefficient of determination; F — F -statistic (used to test for the statistical significance of the regression model as a whole); β —regression coefficients of the independent variables; t — t -statistic (used to test for the statistical significance of each independent variable); * p -value of the model; ** p -value of each independent variable; ¹ (I) group of environmental variables which are natural factors: water temperature, concentration of dissolved oxygen, pH, electrical conductivity, alkalinity and total water hardness; ² (II) group of environmental variables which are nutrient concentrations: P-PO_4^{3-} , N-NO_2^- , N-NO_3^- , TOC in interstitial water and TOC in sediment from the HZ; ³ (III) group of environmental variables which are metal concentrations in the interstitial water from the HZ: Al, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mn, Ni, Pb, Sn, Zn, Ca, K, Mg and Na; ⁴ (IV) group of environmental variables which are metal concentrations in the fine sediment fraction from the HZ: Al, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mn, Ni, Pb, Sn, Zn; ⁵ metal concentrations accumulated in *S. ambulans*: Al, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mn, Ni, Pb, Sn, Zn, Ca, K, Mg and Na.

Similarly, the concentrations of trace elements (Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Mn, Ni, Pb, Sn and Zn) in the fine fraction ($<63 \mu\text{m}$) of sediments from the HZ previously studied [31] were considered as a fourth group of independent variables potentially affecting AEC content in *S. ambulans* as dependent variable. The result of the stepwise multiple regression analysis showed that 26.8% of the variance in AEC could be explained by the model ($F(1,14) =$

5.121, $p = 4 \times 10^{-2}$, Table 4) with one predictor—the concentration of Ni in the fine fraction of sediments from the HZ. It had a negative effect on AEC values (Table 4).

Since the accumulation of both essential and non-essential metals in an organism could cause the energy costs associated with the excretion and/or detoxification of the incoming metals, the effect of the concentrations of the accumulated metals in *S. ambulans* on the AEC values was also evaluated as the fifth group of independent variables in the MLR model. When we performed the stepwise MLR analysis with the concentrations of eleven trace elements (Al, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mn, Ni, Pb, Sn and Zn) and four macroelements (Ca, K, Mg and Na) studied in *S. ambulans* [31] as independent variables, the highest percentage of variance (79.1%) in AEC as dependent variable could be explained only by the two predictors ($F(2,20) = 37.912$, $p < 1 \times 10^{-7}$, Table 4). Fe concentration in *S. ambulans* had a negative effect on AEC values, whereas Zn had a positive effect (Table 4).

4. Discussion

4.1. Energy Status of *S. ambulans*

The present study confirmed the temporal and spatial differences in concentration of all adenine nucleotides during one year of research. The highest adenine nucleotide concentrations found in two populations of *S. ambulans* from the HZ of the Sava River were those of AMP, followed by ADP and ATP (Figures 2 and 3), which was in complete contrast to studies found in the literature [6,41,42]. Namely, we first compared the concentrations of each nucleotide in *S. ambulans* with concentrations measured in other invertebrate organisms under control experimental conditions or sampled at reference environmental sites, where possible. In comparison with another related amphipod species, but euryhaline and representative of epigeic fauna, the amphipod *Gammarus lacustris* G.O. Sars, 1863, from a freshwater lake in Irkutsk (formed by a backwater of the Angara River, Russia) showed higher ATP and ADP, and lower AMP concentrations than in our study ($2.56 \pm 0.69 \mu\text{mol g}^{-1}\text{w.w.}$, $0.35 \pm 0.09 \mu\text{mol g}^{-1}\text{w.w.}$, and $0.14 \pm 0.04 \mu\text{mol g}^{-1}\text{w.w.}$, respectively) [41]. Likewise, the concentrations of ATP, ADP and AMP measured in the whole organism of juvenile whiteleg shrimp *Penaeus vannamei* Boone, 1931 (Decapoda) obtained from optimal conditions in an aquaculture facility, were higher for ATP and ADP and lower for AMP ($9.43 \pm 0.46 \mu\text{mol g}^{-1}\text{w.w.}$, $1.83 \pm 0.35 \mu\text{mol g}^{-1}\text{w.w.}$, and $0.32 \pm 0.12 \mu\text{mol g}^{-1}\text{w.w.}$, respectively) [42]. Similarly, in the tail muscle of the freshwater crayfish *Cherax destructor* Clark, 1936 (Decapoda) measured under control conditions, concentrations of ATP and ADP were higher and AMP lower ($5.64 \pm 0.75 \mu\text{mol g}^{-1}$, $1.12 \pm 0.12 \mu\text{mol g}^{-1}$, and $0.20 \pm 0.03 \mu\text{mol g}^{-1}$, respectively) [6] than in our study.

It is evident that the physiological adaptations to the challenges of life in the stygophilous amphipod species such as *S. ambulans* are geared toward a specialised energy metabolism, in which energy production involves an anaerobic metabolic pathway. The main environmental factors that prevail in the HZ are the alternation of hypoxic and normoxic conditions and the limitation of habitat, which restricts escape from unfavourable living conditions. Hypogean amphipods such as the representatives of the genus *Niphargus* have been shown to respond to long-term experimental severe hypoxia with a unique anaerobic metabolism characterised by a decrease in ATP and phosphagen, coupled utilisation of glycogen and glutamate, and accumulation of L-lactate, alanine, and succinate [43,44]. Although in this study we did not determine metabolites other than adenine nucleotides, a preliminary study on the activities of three enzymes (pyruvate kinase, PK = $39.5 \mu\text{mol min}^{-1} \text{g}^{-1}\text{w.w.}$, phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase, PEPCK = $2.1 \mu\text{mol min}^{-1} \text{g}^{-1}\text{w.w.}$, and lactate dehydrogenase, LDH = $1.93 \mu\text{mol min}^{-1} \text{g}^{-1}\text{w.w.}$) involved in energy metabolism was performed on *S. ambulans* to assess its anaerobic potential [45]. A low PK/PEPCK ratio of 18.8 and high LDH activity were determined (relative to epigeic amphipod species [44]), which indicated increased anaerobic potential in *S. ambulans* and its ability to tolerate hypoxic conditions [45], like the stygobiotic species *Niphargus rhenorhodanensis* Schellenberg,

1937 [43]. Such physiological characteristics could explain low ATP concentrations that prevail during different seasons, as observed in our study (Figures 2 and 3).

Given the low ATP concentrations and high AMP concentrations, and because AEC represents the ratio of adenine nucleotide concentrations (Equation (1)), it was expected that AEC values would be unusually low in *S. ambulans* (<0.5; Table 2). As early as the 1980s, three ranges of values for AEC in multicellular organisms or microorganisms in culture were defined, characteristic of optimal conditions (0.8 to 0.9), limiting or disturbed conditions (0.5 to ~0.75), and severe conditions (<~0.5) [2]. In all examples shown, organisms tested under anoxic conditions were found to have AEC values lower than 0.5 [2]. Indeed, very low AEC values of below 0.5 were found in several studies. Shrimps *Palaeomon varians* Leach, 1814 (Decapoda) submitted experimentally to sublethal concentration of ammonia (0.5 mg L^{-1}) for 14 days exhibited a marked consumption of ATP and consequently their AEC value decreased to 0.36 from 0.67 in control animals, which was interpreted as a type I signal—no lethality, and general and permanent lowering of all parameters [4]. Another laboratory experiment with the bivalve *Mytilus galloprovincialis* exposed to anaerobiosis (two types of treatments: exposed to air and to oxygen-free seawater) showed that AEC was highly affected by both treatments, and that after rapid breakdown of ATP in first 24 h, the latter ATP drop in 48 h was accompanied by a slight increase in ADP but strong increase in AMP, giving an AEC value of 0.35 in oxygen-free seawater [9]. In the field study for one year, AEC values in *M. galloprovincialis* mantle tissue varied from 0.8 in spring and summer to very low values in autumn and winter (0.06–0.1), which reflected the starvation and low temperature (environmental and nutritional conditions), but also the depletion of reserves during gonadal development and intense degradation of gametes in the post-spawning period [12]. In another field study, during the one-year reproductive cycle of the freshwater bivalve *Dreissena polymorpha*, AEC remained stable, with values 0.5–0.7 (May–November 2018), but unexpectedly low AEC values of 0.13–0.32 occurred in the spring (April–June 2019) when ATP concentrations decreased significantly and AMP increased; it was suggested that metabolic depletion may be a result of exceptional climatic events associated with pollution [15]. However, in specimens of the amphipod *Gammarus fossarum* Koch, 1836 transplanted and exposed at sites with varying levels of pollution, AEC (>0.8 at all sites in transplants and residents) and ATP/ADP ratio did not show any deficiency in cellular energy supply, although a trend toward lower AEC indices with increasing pollution was observed [46].

Although examined in different organisms, and in different experimental settings and conditions, these studies showed the potential of AEC to detect organisms' responses to different types of stressors.

Coordinated variations of the ATP/ADP ratio with AEC were modelled as a function dependent on the numerical value of the reaction catalysed by adenylate kinase and it was suggested that changes in apparent equilibrium constant of adenylate kinase (K_{eq}) might reveal ecotoxicological effects in invertebrates that would not be apparent in the adenylate concentrations and AEC [40]. One of the essential metabolic processes for cellular energy is the catalysed phosphotransfer reaction performed by the enzyme adenylate kinase, which is required for interconversion of adenine nucleotides and has been considered a key enzyme in energy metabolism for all organisms [47].

Our study showed that the variations in the ATP/ADP ratio with respect to AEC also conformed to the model given in [40], i.e., a “U-shaped” curve (Figure 3). ATP/ADP ratios determined in populations of *S. ambulans* at two sampling sites differed significantly (Mann–Whitney U test, $p < 0.001$; Table 2) showing a decrease at the downstream site, which clearly has conditions of pronounced environmental stress. However, in *S. ambulans* populations at the sampling site 2-DOWN a lowering of the ATP/ADP ratio was not accompanied by a significant decrease in K_{eq} (with the exception of in spring, Table 3) relative to the values of K_{eq} in the population at the site 1-UP. As a result, variations in environmental conditions at two sampling sites over the four seasons were reflected in both AEC values and a coordinated change in ATP/ADP with AEC in two populations of

S. ambulans, while K_{eq} was not perturbed. However, significantly higher K_{eq} in spring at the site 1-UP could be related to reproductive efforts that are shown to present a considerable energetic demand. Indeed, a study on the population dynamics of *S. ambulans* in the HZ of the Sava River showed the highest reproductive peak in this season [48].

Another interesting finding of our study was a very low ATP/AMP ratio, which ranged from 0.4 to 1.5 at sampling site 1-UP and was even lower at sampling site 2-DOWN (from 0.2 to 0.7). By quantifying the ATP/AMP concentration ratio, the degree of metabolic stress within a tissue or organism can be estimated, which was inferred from the observed dose-dependent inverse relationship between tributyltin chloride (TBTCl) concentration and the ATP/AMP ratio in the digestive gland of experimentally exposed European oysters (*Ostrea edulis* Linnaeus, 1758) [49]. This was a function of decreasing ATP concentrations coupled with increased AMP concentrations. Following the exposure of oysters to very low exposure of 20 ng L⁻¹ TBTCl, the ATP/AMP ratio halved [49]. Similarly, in our study, the ATP/AMP ratio was halved in the *S. ambulans* population at sampling site 2-DOWN in comparison to site 1-UP, indicating some degree of metabolic stress from living in a challenging environment.

4.2. Effects of Environmental Variables and Metals Accumulated in the Organism on Energy Status of *S. ambulans*

Based on the physicochemical characteristics of the interstitial water, the two selected sampling sites could be distinguished without any doubt: the upstream gravel bar as the downwelling zone and the downstream gravel bar as the upwelling zone (Supplementary Material, Section: Ecohydrological characteristics of the hyporheic zone at selected sampling sites). However, the question of differential levels of pollution at these two sites was more difficult to answer, even though site 2-DOWN is located downstream of the WWTP discharge. As expected, higher phosphate concentrations were found at site 2-DOWN, while nitrate concentrations were higher at site 1-UP (Figure S2). As previously reported, concentrations of metals, phosphates, and nitrates in interstitial water from the HZ can be considered moderately elevated, likely due to agricultural activities and WWTP discharge [31]. Therefore, the totality of hydrologic characteristics (type of water movement in sediments in the HZ, i.e., upwelling or downwelling), physicochemical characteristics, nutrient concentrations, and potential metal contaminants indicated that site 2-DOWN was a more stressful environment for native populations of *S. ambulans*. The interstitial fauna inhabiting HZ sediments have been shown to exhibit aggregate distribution patterns that could be related to many environmental parameters, including temperature, dissolved oxygen concentration, particulate and dissolved organic matter, microbial flora, permeability, porosity, grain size, substrate stability, bed roughness, channel morphology, or variations in discharge [50]. The *S. ambulans* populations examined in this study also exhibited such a patch distribution, with varying densities of individuals at different sampling points (between three and ten over approximately 40 m) along the two gravel bars. However, there were no significant differences between the two populations of *S. ambulans* in concentrations of AMP, except in winter, and ADP, ATP, and TAP, except in spring (Figure 1). Nevertheless, throughout the study period of one year, the concentrations of each adenine nucleotide in the population of *S. ambulans* from site 1-UP varied significantly, i.e., they showed seasonal fluctuations (significant differences with $p < 0.05$; Figure 1). The opposite was observed in the population of *S. ambulans* from site 2-DOWN, which showed less variable seasonal adenine nucleotide concentrations (with the exception of AMP), possibly due to more stable conditions at the microlocation with upwelling properties. Expressed as the ratio of adenine nucleotide concentrations, AEC showed significant differences between two studied populations of *S. ambulans* in all seasons except summer (Table 2), which might be related to more stable environmental conditions during the summer and to the resting physiological state after the active reproductive period in the spring [48].

The results of the stepwise MLR models showed that more than 50% of the variability in AEC could be explained by natural factors, with conductivity and dissolved oxygen concentration having the highest probability (Table 4). Conductivity can serve as a useful measure of dissolved inorganic materials, and it was higher during all seasons at the site 2-DOWN compared to 1-UP [31]. It has been shown that high conductivity positively correlates with several ecotoxic elements such as Ni, Pb, and Sn accumulated in *S. ambulans* [31], which could also be the explanation for the negative prediction of AEC values based on MLR model results (Table 4). Water in the groundwater-connected ecosystems like the HZ is usually undersaturated with dissolved oxygen, and it is assumed that its levels near industrial facilities, agricultural areas and urban areas are lower than in natural regions due to pollution and anthropogenic effects, which enhance chemical and biological oxygen consumption [51]. Moreover, the nutrient retention in the HZ of the regulated rivers without a continuous riparian corridor [52,53], such as the researched segment of the Sava River, could be the reason for the lower dissolved oxygen concentrations which were determined in the HZ in contrast to the river (Table S1); they showed a negative prediction of AEC values of *S. ambulans* in MLR model (Table 4), which was surprising but expected, since we found a relatively high anaerobic capacity in this stygophilous amphipod (PK/PEPCK ratio = 18.8). Amphipods living under unfavourable environmental conditions such as hypoxia may develop a strategy of metabolic depression, manifested by a decreased metabolic rate, leading to lower metal uptake and accumulation [42,54].

Concentrations of nitrites and phosphates explained 41.5% of the variability in AEC, and these factors negatively predicted the status of metabolic energy in *S. ambulans* in MLR model (Table 4). Nitrite anion (NO_2^-) is a natural component of the nitrogen cycle in freshwater ecosystems, formed during the decomposition of organic material, and is an intermediate form of oxidation between ammonia and nitrate. Although there are no regulations in the EU for the concentration of nitrites in natural waters, the Croatian national legislation set the threshold value for good groundwater quality standards (GQS) at the concentration of 0.5 mg L^{-1} [32]. Nitrite concentrations are increasing in freshwater ecosystems as a consequence of several anthropogenic sources, such as urban sewage effluents and aquaculture [55]. Additionally, the loss of nitrification flora due to the toxic effects of antibiotics and unionized ammonia can lead to increased accumulation of nitrite in natural waters [55]. Research on fish and other aquatic animals has shown that nitrite disrupts numerous physiological functions, including ion regulation, respiration, and cardiovascular, endocrine and excretory processes [56]. Therefore, in our study, pollution from sewage treatment plant discharge and the effects of antibiotics [24] could explain the negative relationship between AEC in *S. ambulans* and nitrite concentrations (Table 4) in interstitial water from the HZ.

According to surface water quality standards (WQS, [32]), phosphate concentrations at site 2-DOWN exhibited less-than-good water quality status in all seasons except winter and even exceeded the GQS [32] in spring (Figure S2). This is further evidence of how increasing anthropogenic activities alter hydro-biogeochemical processes and nutrient fluxes in the HZ and threaten hyporheic communities and food webs [57]. Orthophosphate is an essential nutrient for plants, algae, and microorganisms and is the only form that algae can use for growth [58]. In such an environment, the metabolic energy status of *S. ambulans* could also depend on other hyporheic organisms such as phytobenthos, bacterial communities and other crustacean species as competitors for nutrients and oxygen.

According to the MLR models, groups of environmental variables that include metal concentrations in interstitial water and sediment explained a smaller percentage of AEC variability than natural factors and nutrients, but metals accumulated in *S. ambulans* explained the largest percentage of 79.1% (Table 4). In *S. ambulans*, accumulated Fe concentration was a highly significant negative predictor of energy metabolism ($p < 1 \times 10^{-7}$; Table 4), while accumulated Zn was a significant positive predictor ($p < 4.2 \times 10^{-4}$; Table 4). Due to the energy costs involved in excreting and/or detoxifying the incoming metals, metal accumulation has an impact on the ecology of crustaceans [59]. Additionally, heavy metals

which are accumulated can cause toxicity through various mechanisms. One of these mechanisms involves the impairment of cellular respiration by the inhibition of various mitochondrial enzymes and the uncoupling of oxidative phosphorylation [60]. The redox properties and coordination chemistry of iron make it an essential element involved in a number of catalytic and transport processes in living cells. However, these very properties make iron dangerous, especially because it can generate reactive oxygen species [61]. Because many iron complexes have redox potentials accessible to endogenous biological reductants, they can produce oxidative stress through Fenton/Haber–Weiss chemistry that, among other disturbances, disrupts mitochondrial energy metabolism [61]. Such a case could possibly occur also in the studied populations of *S. ambulans*, which would explain accumulated Fe concentration as a highly significant negative predictor of AEC variability (Table 4).

Zinc is also an essential element that, unlike iron, is redox inert, and actually has antioxidant properties. It is a catalytic and structural cofactor in several hundred enzymes that play a role in protein biosynthesis, energy metabolism, and protection from damage, to name a few of the functions of zinc [62]. The significant positive prediction of AEC variability by Zn concentration in *S. ambulans* (Table 4) may therefore be a consequence of such important roles of Zn ions in cellular regulation, which are so far unique among the essential transition metal ions.

However, more detailed studies aimed at revealing the energy production and utilization strategies of *S. ambulans* as a representative of hypogean stygophilous fauna, would be required.

5. Conclusions

This study provided the first insight into the concentrations of adenylate nucleotides and the AEC in the stygophilous freshwater amphipod *S. ambulans*. The potential of AEC as a physiological biomarker of general stress in the HZ was evaluated at two sites with different intensities of environmental and anthropogenic stress. The population from site 1-UP had significantly higher AEC values than the population from site 2-DOWN in all seasons except summer. Changes in ATP/ADP ratios indicated differences in energy status between the two populations. However, no spatial or temporal differences in the apparent equilibrium constant of adenylate kinase were observed in the *S. ambulans* populations, except in spring at site 1-UP, when it was elevated, probably due to reproductive effort. Of all the variables tested, the concentrations of Fe and Zn in *S. ambulans* showed the highest significant negative and positive associations with AEC, respectively. Also, several environmental factors appeared to influence AEC values in *S. ambulans*, namely conductivity, dissolved oxygen, and concentrations of nitrites and phosphates in the interstitial water, as they showed highly significant associations with AEC. Because AEC directly measures the change in available energy and thus the metabolic stress the organism is exposed to, it was shown as a useful biomarker of environmental stress in amphipods inhabiting groundwater-connected ecosystems in general. In addition, seasonal and spatial variation in AEC values has been shown to reflect ecological status in the HZ.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: <https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/w15173083/s1>, Section Ecohydrological characteristics of the hyporheic zone at selected sampling sites containing Figure S1: Concentrations of macroelements (dissolved form) in the interstitial water from the HZ and in the river water (mean value and standard deviations, $N = 3$) determined at the sampling site 2-DOWN in four sampling campaigns; Figure S2: Concentrations of phosphates, nitrates and nitrites in the interstitial water from the HZ and in the surface water from the Sava River determined at both sampling sites in four sampling campaigns. In the graphs, threshold values are indicated for phosphates and nitrates: (i) good water quality (dark red dash line), (ii) very good water quality (green dash line) established for surface water of the river type HR_R-5b and (iii) good groundwater quality status (red dash dot line; established only for phosphates); Figure S3: Daily discharge ($\text{m}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$) in the Sava River, measured at the Zagreb gauging station (45°47'11.2" N, 15°57'20.2" E, near the sampling site 2-DOWN) during four

sampling periods (period from 1 October 2018 to 31 October 2019 is shown). Data are provided from Meteorological and Hydrological Service of Croatia (DHMZ). Arrows point to the seasonal sampling campaigns; Table S1: Concentrations of dissolved oxygen (mg L^{-1}) and oxygen saturation level (%) in the river water (river) and in the interstitial water from the hyporheic zone (HZ) measured in four seasons at two sampling sites on the Sava River; Table S2: Temperatures ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) of the river water (river) and the interstitial water from the hyporheic zone (HZ) measured in four seasons at two sampling sites on the Sava River; Table S3a: Dissolved organic carbon concentrations (mg L^{-1}) in the river water (river) and the interstitial water from the hyporheic zone (HZ) measured in four seasons at two sampling sites on the Sava River; Table S3b: Total organic carbon (%) in fine fraction ($<63 \mu\text{m}$) of sediment from the hyporheic zone measured in three seasons at two sampling sites on the Sava River; Table S4: Wet weight and number of pooled samples of *Synurella ambulans* (mean \pm S.D.) analysed per sampling site in each season (References [19,24,31,32] are cited in the Supplementary Materials).

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, M.E. and S.G.; formal analysis, M.E. and Z.R.; investigation, Z.R. and M.E.; resources, Z.R., M.E. and S.G.; writing—original draft preparation, Z.R. and M.E.; writing—review and editing, S.G. and M.C.; visualization, M.E.; supervision, M.E.; project administration, M.C., S.G. and M.E.; funding acquisition, M.E. and M.C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the European Regional Development Fund, project KK.01.1.1.07.0023 “Qualitative and Quantitative Protein Analysis in Medicine and Biotechnology Industry” (Qua/Qua Protein) and by the Croatian Science Foundation, project IP-2014-09-4255 “Accumulation, subcellular mapping and effects of trace metals in aquatic organisms” (AQ-UAMAPMET).

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

Acknowledgements: The authors sincerely thank Ivica Barač for his great help in the field work during the sampling campaigns. The authors are grateful to the Meteorological and Hydrological Service of the Republic of Croatia for providing the hydrological data.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Atkinson, D.E. Energy charge of adenylate pool as a regulatory parameter. Interaction with feedback modifiers. *Biochemistry* **1968**, *7*, 4030–4034. <https://doi.org/10.1021/bi00851a033>.
2. Ivanovici, A.M. Application of adenylate energy charge to problems of environmental impact assessment in aquatic organisms. *Helgoland Mar. Res.* **1980**, *33*, 556–565. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02414779>.
3. Koop, J.H.E.; Winkelmann, C.; Becker, J.; Hellmann, C.; Ortman, C. Physiological indicators of fitness in benthic invertebrates: A useful measure for ecological health assessment and experimental ecology. *Aquat. Ecol.* **2011**, *45*, 547–559. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10452-011-9375-7>.
4. Marazza, D.; Bornens, P.; Le Gal, Y. Effect of ammonia on survival and adenylate energy charge in the shrimp *Palaemonetes varians*. *Ecotox. Environ. Saf.* **1996**, *34*, 103–108. <https://doi.org/10.1006/eesa.1996.0050>.
5. Zhang, J.; Zhang, M.; Jayasundara, N.; Ren, X.; Gao, B.; Liu, P.; Li, J.; Meng, X. Physiological and molecular responses in the gill of the swimming crab *Portunus trituberculatus* during long-term ammonia stress. *Front. Mar. Sci.* **2021**, *8*, 797241. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2021.797241>.
6. Morris, S.; van Aardt, W.J.; Ahern, M.D. The effect of lead on the metabolic and energetic status of the Yabby, *Cherax destructor*, during environmental hypoxia. *Aquat. Toxicol.* **2005**, *75*, 16–31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2005.07.001>.
7. Shofer, S.L.; Tjeerdema, R.S. Effects of hypoxia and toxicant exposure on adenylate energy charge and cytosolic ADP concentrations in abalone. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol.* **1998**, *119*, 51–57. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0742-8413\(97\)00181-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0742-8413(97)00181-3).
8. Werner, I.; Viant, M.R.; Rosenblum, E.S.; Gantner, A.S.; Tjeerdema, R.S.; Johnson, M.L. Cellular response to temperature stress in steelhead trout (*Onchorynchus mykiss*) parr with different rearing histories. *Fish Physiol. Biochem.* **2006**, *32*, 261–273. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10695-006-9105-6>.
9. Babarro, J.M.F.; Labarta, U.; Fernández Reiriz, M.J. Energy metabolism and performance of *Mytilus galloprovincialis* under anaerobiosis. *J. Mar. Biol. Ass. U.K.* **2007**, *87*, 941–946. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0025315407053726>.
10. Isani, G.; Serra, R.; Cattani, O.; Cortesi, P.; Carpena, E. Adenylate energy charge and metallothionein as stress indices in *Mytilus galloprovincialis* exposed to cadmium and anoxia. *J. Mar. Biol. Ass. U.K.* **1997**, *77*, 1187–1197. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0025315400038704>.

11. Dehn, P.F.; Haya, K.; Aiken, D.E. Adenylate energy charge, arginine phosphate and ATPase activity in juvenile *Homarus americanus* during the molt cycle. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol.* **1985**, *81*, 629–633. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0305-0491\(85\)90377-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0305-0491(85)90377-3).
12. Blanco, S.L.; Suárez, M.P.; San Juan, F. Seasonal changes of nucleotides in mussel (*Mytilus galloprovincialis*) mantle tissue. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol.* **2006**, *143*, 384–390. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpb.2005.12.021>.
13. Atkinson, D.E. Adenylate control and the adenylate energy charge. In *Cellular Energy Metabolism and Its Regulation*; Atkinson, D.E., Ed.; Academic Press Inc.: New York, NY, USA, 1977; pp. 85–107.
14. Thébault, M.T.; Biegniewska, A.; Raffin, J.P.; Skorkowski, E.F. Short term cadmium intoxication of the shrimp *Palaemon serratus*: Effect on adenylate metabolism. *Comp. Biochem. Phys.* **1996**, *113*, 345–348. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0742-8413\(95\)02044-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0742-8413(95)02044-6).
15. Louis, F.; Rocher, B.; Barjhoux, I.; Bultelle, F.; Dedourge-Geffard, O.; Gaillet, V.; Bonnard, I.; Delahaut, L.; Pain-Devin, S.; Gefard, A.; et al. Seasonal monitoring of cellular energy metabolism in a sentinel species, *Dreissena polymorpha* (bivalve): Effect of global change? *Sci. Total Environ.* **2020**, *725*, 138450. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138450>.
16. Verschraegen, K.; Herman, P.M.J.; Van Gansbeke, D.; Braeckman, A. Measurement of the adenylate energy charge in *Nereis diversicolor* and *Nephtys* sp. (Polychaeta: Annelida): Evaluation of the usefulness of AEC in pollution monitoring. *Mar. Biol.* **1985**, *86*, 233–240. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00397509>.
17. Guida, L.; Walker, T.I.; Reina, R.D. The adenylate energy charge as a new and useful indicator of capture stress in chondrichthyan. *J. Comp. Physiol. B* **2016**, *186*, 193–204. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00360-015-0948-y>.
18. Boulton, A.J.; Findlay, S.; Marmonier, P.; Stanley, E.H.; Valett, H.M. The functional significance of the hyporheic zone in streams and rivers. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* **1998**, *29*, 59–81. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.29.1.59>.
19. Mueller, B.M.; Schulz, H.; Lewandowski, J. Hyporheic zone and processes. In *Encyclopedia of Inland Waters*, 2nd ed.; Mehner, T., Tockner, K., Eds.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2022; Volume 2, pp. 301–311. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-819166-8.00103-1>.
20. Yu, K.; Duan, Y.; Liao, P.; Xie, L.; Li, Q.; Ning, Z.; Liu, C. Watershed-scale distributions of heavy metals in the hyporheic zones of a heavily polluted Maozhou River watershed, southern China. *Chemosphere* **2020**, *239*, 124773. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.124773>.
21. Fischer, H.; Kloep, F.; Wilczek, S.; Pusch, M.T. A river's liver—Microbial processes within the hyporheic zone of a large lowland river. *Biogeochemistry* **2005**, *76*, 349–371. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-005-6896-y>.
22. Pacioglu, O. Ecology of the hyporheic zone: A review. *Cave Karst Sci.* **2009**, *36*, 69–76.
23. Kapetanović, D.; Vardić Smrzlić, I.; Valić, D.; Teskeredžić, E.; Kolarević, S.; Sunjog, K.; Tomović, J.; Kračun-Kolarević, M.; Knežević-Vukčević, J.; Paunović, M.; et al. Microbial characterization of the Sava River. In *The Sava River*; Series The Handbook of Environmental Chemistry; Milačić, R., Ščančar, J., Paunović, M., Eds.; Springer-Verlag: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2015; Volume 31, pp. 201–228. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-44034-6_17.
24. Milaković, M.; Vestergaard, G.; González-Plaza, J.J.; Petrić, I.; Šimatović, A.; Senta, I.; Kublik, S.; Schloter, M.; Smalla, K.; Udinković-Kolić, N. Pollution from azithromycin manufacturing promotes macrolide-resistance gene propagation and induces spatial and seasonal bacterial community shifts in receiving river sediments. *Environ. Int.* **2019**, *123*, 501–511. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2018.12.050>.
25. Vdović, N.; Lučić, M.; Mikac, N.; Bačić, N. Partitioning of metal contaminants between bulk and fine-grained fraction in freshwater sediments: A critical appraisal. *Minerals* **2021**, *11*, 603. <https://doi.org/10.3390/min11060603>.
26. Bakke, T.; Källqvist, T.; Ruus, A.; Breedveld, G.D.; Hylland, K. Development of sediment quality criteria in Norway. *J. Soils Sediments* **2010**, *10*, 172–178. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-009-0173-y>.
27. Gottstein, S.; Redžović, Z.; Erk, M.; Cindrić, M. The hyporheic composition of the Sava River in the city of Zagreb after more than 60 years of research. In *Book of Abstracts of the International Conference City Windows in Nature, Zagreb, Croatia, 9–10/09/2021*; Javna Ustanova Maksimir za Upravljanje Zaštićenim Područjima Grada Zagreba: Zagreb, Hrvatska, 2021. Available online: <https://park-maksimir.hr/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/Knjiga-sazetak.pdf> (accessed on 15 May 2023).
28. Žutinić, P.; Petrić, I.; Gottstein, S.; Gligora Udovič, M.; Kralj Borojević, K.; Kamberović, J.; Kolda, A.; Plenković-Moraj, A.; Trenje, I. Microbial mats as shelter microhabitat for amphipods in an intermittent karstic spring. *Knowl. Manag. Aquat. Ecosyst.* **2018**, *419*, 7. <https://doi.org/10.1051/kmae/2017061>.
29. Gottstein, S.; Mihaljević, Z.; Perović, G.; Kerovec, M. The distribution of amphipods (Crustacea) in different habitats along the Mura and Drava river system in Croatia. In *Limnological Reports*; Horvatić, J., Ed.; Faculty of Education, J.J. Strossmayer University of Osijek: Osijek, Croatia; Croatian Ecological Society: Zagreb, Croatia, 2000; Volume 33, pp. 231–236.
30. Gottstein Matočec, S.; Bakran-Petricioli, T.; Bedek, J.; Bukovec, D.; Buzjak, S.; Franičević, M.; Jalžić, B.; Kerovec, M.; Kletečki, E.; Kralj, J.; et al. An overview of the cave and interstitial biota of Croatia. *Nat. Croat.* **2002**, *11* (Suppl. 1), 1–112. Available online: <https://hrcak.srce.hr/file/52154> (accessed on 22 March 2023).
31. Redžović, Z.; Erk, M.; Gottstein, S.; Sertić Perić, M.; Dautović, J.; Fiket, Ž.; Brkić, A.L.; Cindrić, M. Metal bioaccumulation in stygophilous amphipod *Synurella ambulans* in the hyporheic zone: The influence of environmental factors. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2023**, *866*, 161350. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.161350>.
32. GRC (Government of the Republic of Croatia). Directive on Water Quality Standard. *Official Gazette* **2019**, *96*. Available online: https://narodne-novine.nn.hr/clanci/sluzbeni/2019_10_96_1879.html (accessed on 2 February 2023).

33. EEA (European Environment Agency). Ecoregions for Rivers and Lakes. 2009. Available online: <https://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-maps/figures/ecoregions-for-rivers-and-lakes> (accessed on 2 February 2023).
34. Tomas, D.; Čurlin, M.; Marić, A.S. Assessing the surface water status in Pannonian ecoregion by the water quality index model. *Ecol. Indic.* **2017**, *79*, 182–190. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2017.04.033>.
35. Bou, C.; Rouch, R. Un nouveau champ de recherche sur la faune aquatique souterraine. *Acad. Sci. Paris* **1967**, *265*, 369–370.
36. Karaman, G.S. Crustacea—Amphipoda of freshwaters. In *Fauna d'Italia*; Edizioni Calderini: Bologna, Italy, 1993; Volume 31, pp. 1–337.
37. Redžović, Z.; Erk, M.; Svetličić, E.; Dončević, L.; Gottstein, S.; Hozić, A.; Cindrić, M. Determination of adenylate nucleotides in amphipod *Gammarus fossarum* by ion-pair reverse phase liquid chromatography: Possibilities of positive pressure micro-solid phase extraction. *Separations* **2021**, *8*, 20. <https://doi.org/10.3390/separations8020020>.
38. Díaz Enrich, M.J.; Villamarín, J.A.; Ramos Martínez, J.I.; Ibarra, I. Measurement of adenosine 3',5'-cyclic monophosphate and guanosine 3', 5'-cyclic monophosphate in mussel (*Mytilus galloprovincialis* Lmk.) by high-performance liquid chromatography with diode array detection. *Anal. Biochem.* **2000**, *285*, 105–112. <https://doi.org/10.1006/abio.2000.4731>.
39. Czarnecka, J.; Cieślak, M.; Michał, K. Application of solid phase extraction and high-performance liquid chromatography to qualitative and quantitative analysis of nucleotides and nucleosides in human cerebrospinal fluid. *J. Chromatogr. B* **2005**, *822*, 85–90. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2005.05.026>.
40. Thébault, M.T.; Raffin, J.P.; Picado, A.M.; Mendonça, E.; Skorkowski, E.F.; Le Gal, Y. Coordinated changes of adenylate energy charge and ATP/ADP: Use in ecotoxicological studies. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* **2000**, *46*, 23–28. <https://doi.org/10.1006/eesa.1999.1870>.
41. Vereshchagina, K.P.; Lubyaga, Y.A.; Shatilina, Z.; Bedulina, D.; Gurkov, A.; Axenov-Gribanov, D.V.; Baduev, B.; Kondrateva, E.S.; Gubanov, M.; Zadereev, E.; et al. Salinity modulates thermotolerance, energy metabolism and stress response in amphipods *Gammarus lacustris*. *PeerJ* **2016**, *4*, e2657. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.2657>.
42. Robles-Romo, A.; Arjona, O.; Racotta, I.S. Influence of sampling, storage, processing and optimal experimental conditions on adenylate energy charge in penaeid shrimp. *Arch. Biol. Sci.* **2014**, *66*, 651–666. <https://doi.org/10.2298/ABS1402651R>.
43. Hervant, F.; Mathieu, J.; Garin, D.; Fréminet, A. Behavioral, ventilatory, and metabolic responses to severe hypoxia and subsequent recovery of the hypogean *Niphargus rhenorhodanensis* and the epigeal *Gammarus fossarum* (Crustacea: Amphipoda). *Physiol. Zool.* **1995**, *68*, 223–244. <https://doi.org/10.1086/physzool.68.2.30166501>.
44. Hervant, F. The activities of enzymes associated with the intermediary and energy metabolism in hypogean and epigeal crustaceans. *Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci.-Ser. III* **1996**, *319*, 1071–1077.
45. Redžović, Z.; Sokolova, I.; Erk, M.; Sokolov, E.; Gottstein, S. Anaerobic potential of *Synurella ambulans* (Müller, 1846) (Crustacea, Amphipoda) from hyporheic zone of Sava River and Stalnjak Spring, Croatia. In *Book of Abstracts of 3rd Faculty of Science PhD Student Symposium, Zagreb, Croatia, 22/02/2019*; Prirodoslovno-Matematički Fakultet Sveučilišta u Zagrebu: Zagreb, Croatia, 2019. Available online: https://www.pmf.unizg.hr/_download/repository/Knjiga_sazetaka_SSDS_PMF-a_2019.pdf (accessed on 3 February 2023).
46. Schill, R.O.; Köhler, H.-R. Does the environment or the source of the population define stress status and energy supply in the freshwater amphipod, *Gammarus fossarum*? *Ecotoxicology* **2004**, *13*, 683–695. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10646-002-4428-2>.
47. De la Fuente, I.M.; Cortés, J.M.; Valero, E.; Desroches, M.; Rodrigues, S.; Malaina, I.; Martínez, L. On the dynamics of the adenylate energy system: Homeorhesis vs homeostasis. *PLoS ONE* **2014**, *9*, e108676. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0108676>.
48. Gottstein, S.; Redžović, Z.; Erk, M.; Sertić Perić, M.; Dautović, J.; Cindrić, M. Life history traits of the stygophilous amphipod *Synurella ambulans* in hyporheic zone of the Sava River, Croatia. *Water* **2023**, submitted.
49. Zapata-Restrepo, L.M.; Hauton, C.; Hudson, M.D.; Williams, I.D.; Hauton, D. Toxicity of tributyltin to the European flat oyster *Ostrea edulis*: Metabolomic responses indicate impacts to energy metabolism, biochemical composition and reproductive maturation. *PLoS ONE* **2023**, *18*, e0280777. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0280777>.
50. Dole-Olivier, M.J.; Marmonier, P. Patch distribution of interstitial communities: Prevailing factors. *Freshw. Biol.* **1992**, *27*, 177–191. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.1992.tb00532.x>.
51. Becher, J.; Englisch, C.; Griebler, C.; Bayer, P. Groundwater fauna downtown—Drivers, impacts and implications for subsurface ecosystems in urban areas. *J. Contam. Hydrol.* **2022**, *248*, 104021. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconhyd.2022.104021>.
52. Boulton, A.J. Hyporheic rehabilitation in rivers: Restoring vertical connectivity. *Freshw. Biol.* **2007**, *52*, 632–650. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.2006.01710.x>.
53. Harvey, J.; Gooseff, M. River corridor science: Hydrologic exchange and ecological consequences from bedforms to basins. *Water Resour. Res.* **2015**, *51*, 6893–6922. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015wr017617>.
54. Jakob, L.; Bedulina, D.S.; Axenov-Gribanov, D.V.; Ginzburg, M.; Shatilina, Z.M.; Lubyaga, Y.A.; Madyarova, E.V.; Gurkov, A.N.; Timofeyev, M.A.; Pörtner, H.O.; et al. Uptake kinetics and subcellular compartmentalization explain lethal but not sublethal effects of cadmium in two closely related amphipod species. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2017**, *51*, 7208–7218. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b06613>.
55. Alonso, A.; Camargo, J.A. Toxicity of nitrite to three species of freshwater invertebrates. *Environ. Toxicol.* **2006**, *21*, 90–94. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tox.20155>.

56. Jensen, F.B. Nitrite disrupts multiple physiological functions in aquatic animals. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol.* **2003**, *135*, 9–24. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1095-6433\(02\)00323-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1095-6433(02)00323-9).
57. Astiz, S.; Sabater, F. Anthropogenic effects on the meiofauna and physicochemical characteristics of the hyporheic zone in a Mediterranean stream. *Eur. J. Environ. Sci.* **2015**, *5*, 2. <https://doi.org/10.14712/23361964.2015.91>.
58. Jansson, M. Phosphate uptake and utilization by bacteria and algae. *Hydrobiologia* **1988**, *170*, 177–189. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00024904>.
59. Marsden, I.D.; Rainbow, P.S. Does the accumulation of trace metals in crustaceans affect their ecology—The amphipod example? *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* **2004**, *300*, 343–371. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2003.12.009>.
60. Viarengo, A.; Nott, J.A. Mechanisms of heavy metal cation homeostasis in marine invertebrates. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol.* **1993**, *104*, 355–372. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0742-8413\(93\)90001-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0742-8413(93)90001-2).
61. Huang, X.P.; O'Brien, P.J.; Templeton, D.M. Mitochondrial involvement in genetically determined transition metal toxicity: I. Iron toxicity. *Chem.-Biol. Interact.* **2006**, *163*, 68–76. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbi.2006.05.007>.
62. Maret, W. Zinc biochemistry: From a single zinc enzyme to a key element of life. *Adv. Nutr.* **2013**, *4*, 82–91. <https://doi.org/10.3945/an.112.003038>.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Energy Status of Stygophilous Amphipod *Synurella ambulans* as a Promising Biomarker of Environmental Stress in the Hyporheic Zone

Zuzana Redžović¹, Marijana Erk^{1,*}, Sanja Gottstein^{2,3} and Mario Cindrić¹

¹ Ruđer Bošković Institute, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia; zuzana.redzovic@irb.hr (Z.R.); mario.cindric@irb.hr (M.C.)

² University of Zagreb, Faculty of Science, Department of Biology, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia; sanja.gottstein@biol.pmf.hr (S.G.)

³ University of Nova Gorica, Vipavska 13, 5000 Nova Gorica, Slovenia

* Correspondence: marijana.erk@irb.hr (M.E.); Tel.: +385-1-6384422

Ecohydrological characteristics of the hyporheic zone at selected sampling sites

The physicochemical properties and chemical composition of groundwater and surface water, including temperature, dissolved oxygen, organic carbon, and nutrient concentrations, can vary significantly. Depending on the difference between the hydraulic heads of the surface water and the adjacent groundwater, two different scenarios can occur in the HZ - "gaining river" or "upwelling" and "losing river" or "downwelling" [19].

In our study, lower dissolved oxygen concentrations (and oxygen saturation) were found in the interstitial water of the HZ compared to the surface water of the river (Table S1). This difference was particularly evident at 2-DOWN sampling site, where dissolved oxygen concentrations were approximately 50% lower than in the river water. Comparing the sampling sites, there was no difference in oxygen concentration in the surface water, but higher values were found in the interstitial water from the HZ at 1-UP site (Table S1).

Table S1. Concentrations of dissolved oxygen (mg L^{-1}) and oxygen saturation level (%) in the river water (river) and in the interstitial water from the hyporheic zone (HZ) measured in four seasons at two sampling sites at the Sava River.

| | 1-UP - river | | 1-UP - HZ | | 2-DOWN - river | | 2-DOWN - HZ | |
|-------------|--------------------|-----|--------------------|----|--------------------|-----|--------------------|----|
| | mg L^{-1} | % | mg L^{-1} | % | mg L^{-1} | % | mg L^{-1} | % |
| Winter 2018 | 10.7 | 94 | 8.7 | 78 | 10.7 | 89 | 6.0 | 42 |
| Spring 2019 | 11.0 | 106 | 8.0 | 79 | 11.5 | 105 | 5.9 | 57 |
| Summer 2019 | 7.2 | 85 | 6.1 | 65 | 8.6 | 98 | 4.4 | 44 |
| Autumn 2019 | 10.8 | 107 | 8.9 | 88 | 11.0 | 109 | 7.4 | 74 |

Generally, hyporheic water temperatures are cooler in summer and warmer in winter compared to water in the channel [19]. This trend was also observed in our study, especially at the sampling site 2-DOWN, where the temperature in the HZ was $13.1\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ in winter, while the water temperature in the river was $7.5\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ (Table S2). Thus, it was $5.6\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ warmer in the HZ than in the surface water in winter, while it was $5.5\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ cooler in the HZ than in the surface water in summer (Table S2).

Table S2. Temperatures ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) of the river water (river) and the interstitial water from the hyporheic zone (HZ) measured in four seasons at two sampling sites at the Sava River.

| | 1-UP - river | 1-UP - HZ | 2-DOWN - river | 2-DOWN - HZ |
|--------------------------|--------------|-----------|----------------|-------------|
| Winter 2018 | 9.0 | 10.4 | 7.5 | 13.1 |
| Spring 2019 | 12.3 | 12.1 | 13.2 | 12.7 |
| Summer 2019 | 23.3 | 23.3 | 22.0 | 16.5 |
| Autumn 2019 | 14.5 | 14.5 | 14.9 | 16.1 |
| Δ Summer – Winter | 14.3 | 12.9 | 14.6 | 3.5 |

Based on the water temperature and dissolved oxygen concentrations, the interstitial water from the HZ at sampling site 1-UP was more influenced by the river, so "downwelling" could occur at this site. At the sampling site 2-DOWN, the HZ was more influenced by groundwater, which was colder and lower in oxygen, so "upwelling" could occur.

Only at site 2-DOWN were higher concentrations of dissolved macroelements (Na^+ , K^+ , Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+}) determined in the interstitial water from the HZ than in the river water (Figure S1). This could be due to the greater influence of groundwater, which generally contains higher concentrations of macroelements than surface water [19]. This, in turn, confirms our finding that "upwelling" has occurred in the HZ at sampling site 2-DOWN.




Figure S1. Concentrations of macroelements (dissolved form) in the interstitial water from the HZ and in the river water (mean value and standard deviations, $N = 3$) determined at the sampling site 2-DOWN in four sampling campaigns.

Concentrations of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) were higher in surface water than in interstitial water of the HZ at both sampling sites (Table S3a). Concentrations of DOC in interstitial water of the HZ were higher at site 1-UP than at site 2-DOWN in all seasons, with the highest values measured at site 1-UP in spring and summer (1.367 mg L⁻¹ in both seasons) and at site 2-DOWN in spring (0.825 mg L⁻¹) and autumn (0.904 mg L⁻¹) (Table S3a).

Table S3a. Dissolved organic carbon concentrations (mg L⁻¹) in the river water (river) and the interstitial water from the hyporheic zone (HZ) measured in four seasons at two sampling sites at the Sava River.

| | 1-UP - river | 1-UP - HZ | 2-DOWN - river | 2-DOWN - HZ |
|-------------|--------------|-----------|----------------|-------------|
| Winter 2018 | 1.512 | 1.364 | 1.027 | 0.623 |
| Spring 2019 | 1.404 | 1.367 | 1.441 | 0.825 |
| Summer 2019 | 1.462 | 1.367 | 1.252 | 0.700 |
| Autumn 2019 | 0.967 | 0.938 | 1.426 | 0.904 |

Total organic carbon (TOC) in sediment from the HZ was higher at site 1-UP than at 2-DOWN, with a maximum value of 2.97% in summer (Table S3b). Previous studies near the Medsave village (site 1-UP) showed maximum TOC concentrations in river sediments of 0.97% [24], which were much lower than in our study. The elevated TOC concentrations in sediments from the HZ in the Medsave area could be related to the intensive use of agricultural fertilizers. All forms of organic carbon present in interstitial water and sediment are very important for determining contaminant mobility, its potential bioavailability, and toxicity to biota inhabiting the HZ.

Table S3b. Total organic carbon (%) in fine fraction (< 63 μm) of sediment from the hyporheic zone measured in three seasons at two sampling sites at the Sava River.

| | 1-UP | 2-DOWN |
|-------------|------|--------|
| Spring 2019 | 2.7 | 1.8 |
| Summer 2019 | 3.0 | 1.9 |
| Autumn 2019 | 2.8 | 1.7 |

In general, higher concentrations of phosphates and nitrates were found in the interstitial water of the HZ compared to the surface water of the river (Figure S2) at both sampling sites. In the interstitial water at site 2-DOWN phosphate concentrations were higher than threshold values established for good water quality status for river type HR_R-5b [32] in all seasons except winter. In spring, phosphate concentration (0.236 mg L^{-1}) exceeded the threshold value for good groundwater quality status [32]. Concentrations of phosphates and nitrates in the interstitial water from the HZ in the studied area may be considered moderately increased, probably due to agricultural activities and wastewater treatment discharge [31].




Figure S2. Concentrations of phosphates, nitrates and nitrites in the interstitial water from the HZ and in the surface water from the Sava River determined at both sampling sites in four sampling campaigns. In the graphs, threshold values are indicated for phosphates and nitrates: i) good water quality (dark red dash line), ii) very good water quality (green dash line) established for surface water of the river type HR_R-5b and iii) good groundwater quality status (red dash dot line is shown only for phosphates; threshold values in groundwaters for nitrates 50 mg L^{-1} [32] and nitrites 0.5 mg L^{-1} [32] are not shown in graphs).

Concentrations of nutrients and organic carbon were influenced not only by the release of treated wastewater, but also by the hydrological conditions (river water level and discharge, Figure S3) as the consequence of the amount of atmospheric precipitation and the extent of snowmelt occurring in the spring. Similarly, seasonal variations in dissolved O₂ and temperature related to discharge (Figure S3) were observed; e.g. in summer, low dissolved oxygen concentrations in the HZ (Table S1) coincided with low discharge (Figure S3) and elevated water temperature in the Sava River (Table S2).




Figure S3. Daily discharge ($\text{m}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$) in the Sava River, measured at the Zagreb gauging station ($45^\circ 47' 11.2'' \text{N}$, $15^\circ 57' 20.2'' \text{E}$, near the sampling site 2-DOWN) during four sampling periods (period from 1st October 2018 to 31st October 2019 is shown). Data are provided from Meteorological and Hydrological Service of Croatia (DHMZ). Arrows point to the seasonal sampling campaigns.

References

19. Mueller, B.M.; Schulz, H.; Lewandowski, J. Hyporheic zone and processes. In *Encyclopedia of Inland Waters*, 2nd ed., Mehner, T., Tockner, K., Eds., Publisher: Elsevier, Amsterdam, the Netherlands, 2022, Volume 2, pp. 301–311. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-819166-8.00103-1.
24. Milaković, M.; Vestergaard, G.; González-Plaza, J.J.; Petrić, I.; Šimatović, A.; Senta, I.; Kublik, S.; Schloter, M.; Smalla, K.; Udiković-Kolić, N. Pollution from azithromycin manufacturing promotes macrolide-resistance gene propagation and induces spatial and seasonal bacterial community shifts in receiving river sediments. *Environ. Int.* **2019**, *123*, 501–511. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2018.12.050.
31. Redžović, Z.; Erk, M.; Gottstein, S.; Sertić Perić, M.; Dautović, J.; Fiket, Ž.; Brkić, A.L.; Cindrić, M. Metal bioaccumulation in stygophilous amphipod *Synurella ambulans* in the hyporheic zone: The influence of environmental factors. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2023**, *866*, 161350. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.161350.
32. GRC (Government of the Republic of Croatia) Directive on Water Quality Standard. *Official Gazette* **2019**, No. 96. Narodne novine, Zagreb, Croatia.

Table S4. Wet weight and number of pooled samples of *Synurella ambulans* (mean \pm S.D) analysed per sampling site in each season.

| | 1-UP | | 2-DOWN | |
|-------------|-------------------|----------|-------------------|----------|
| | g | N | g | N |
| Winter 2018 | 0.031 \pm 0.006 | 10 | 0.012 \pm 0.010 | 4 |
| Spring 2019 | 0.025 \pm 0.003 | 6 | 0.026 \pm 0.004 | 6 |
| Summer 2019 | 0.030 \pm 0.010 | 6 | 0.021 \pm 0.006 | 6 |
| Autumn 2019 | 0.034 \pm 0.007 | 6 | 0.032 \pm 0.006 | 6 |