

Article **Pleomorphic Variants of** *Borreliella* (syn. *Borrelia*) *burgdorferi* **Express Evolutionary Distinct Transcriptomes**

Nina Čorak¹, Sirli Anniko^{2,3}, Christina Daschkin-Steinborn², Viktoria Krey^{2,4}, Sara Koska¹, Momir Futo^{1,5,6}, Tin Široki⁵, Innokenty Woichansky², Luka Opašić¹, Domagoj Kifer⁷, Anja Tušar¹, Horst-Günter Maxeiner^{2,8}, Mirjana Domazet-Lošo⁵, Carsten Nicolaus² and Tomislav Domazet-Lošo^{1,6,*}

- ¹ Laboratory of Evolutionary Genetics, Division of Molecular Biology, Ruder Bošković Institute, Bijenička Cesta 54, HR-10000 Zagreb, Croatia
- ² BCA-Research, BCA-Clinic Betriebs GmbH & Co. KG, D-86159 Augsburg, Germany
- ³ Institute of Cancer Therapeutics, Faculty of Life Sciences, University of Bradford, Bradford BD7 1DP, UK
- ⁴ Physics of Synthetic Biological Systems-E14, Physics Department and ZNN, Technische Universität München, D-85748 Garching, Germany
- ⁵ Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Computing, University of Zagreb, Unska 3, HR-10000 Zagreb, Croatia
- ⁶ School of Medicine, Catholic University of Croatia, Ilica 242, HR-10000 Zagreb, Croatia
- ⁷ Faculty of Pharmacy and Biochemistry, University of Zagreb, A. Kovačića 1, HR-10000 Zagreb, Croatia
- ⁸ Comlamed, Friedrich-Bergius Ring 15, D-97076 Würzburg, Germany
- * Correspondence: tdomazet@irb.hr

Abstract: Borreliella (syn. Borrelia) burgdorferi is a spirochete bacterium that causes tick-borne Lyme disease. Along its lifecycle B. burgdorferi develops several pleomorphic forms with unclear biological and medical relevance. Surprisingly, these morphotypes have never been compared at the global transcriptome level. To fill this void, we grew B. burgdorferi spirochete, round body, bleb, and biofilmdominated cultures and recovered their transcriptomes by RNAseq profiling. We found that round bodies share similar expression profiles with spirochetes, despite their morphological differences. This sharply contrasts to blebs and biofilms that showed unique transcriptomes, profoundly distinct from spirochetes and round bodies. To better characterize differentially expressed genes in non-spirochete morphotypes, we performed functional, positional, and evolutionary enrichment analyses. Our results suggest that spirochete to round body transition relies on the delicate regulation of a relatively small number of highly conserved genes, which are located on the main chromosome and involved in translation. In contrast, spirochete to bleb or biofilm transition includes substantial reshaping of transcription profiles towards plasmids-residing and evolutionary young genes, which originated in the ancestor of Borreliaceae. Despite their abundance the function of these Borreliaceae-specific genes is largely unknown. However, many known Lyme disease virulence genes implicated in immune evasion and tissue adhesion originated in this evolutionary period. Taken together, these regularities point to the possibility that bleb and biofilm morphotypes might be important in the dissemination and persistence of *B. burgdorferi* inside the mammalian host. On the other hand, they prioritize the large pool of unstudied Borreliaceae-specific genes for functional characterization because this subset likely contains undiscovered Lyme disease pathogenesis genes.

Keywords: transcriptomes; *Borreliella; Borrelia;* morphotypes; RNAseq; evolution; pleomorphic variants; phylostratigraphy; orphan genes

1. Introduction

Morphological plasticity is the ability of individual bacterial cells to dynamically change their shape in response to environmental conditions [1]. This feature can be found among various bacterial taxa, including pathogens, where colonization of distinct tissues, transmission between hosts, and transit through environmental reservoirs are often accompanied by morphological transformations of bacterial cells [2].



Citation: Čorak, N.; Anniko, S.; Daschkin-Steinborn, C.; Krey, V.; Koska, S.; Futo, M.; Široki, T.; Woichansky, I.; Opašić, L.; Kifer, D.; et al. Pleomorphic Variants of *Borreliella* (syn. *Borrelia*) *burgdorferi* Express Evolutionary Distinct Transcriptomes. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2023, 24, 5594. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijms24065594

Academic Editor: Alessio Mengoni

Received: 18 February 2023 Revised: 7 March 2023 Accepted: 11 March 2023 Published: 15 March 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). For instance, morphogenic changes in *Legionella pneumophila* are induced by transition from extracellular to intracellular environment as well as by changes in nutrient availability once the bacterium enters the host cell [3–5]. Similarly, *Caulobacter crescentus* differentiates into two morphologically distinctive cell shapes as a response to nutrient availability [6]. Another example of morphological plasticity is an uropathogenic strain of *Escherichia coli* which assembles into long filaments in order to evade phagocytosis during infection [2]. These types of morphological changes are often constitutive parts of bacterial life cycles that are underpinned by differential gene expression [2–4,6].

In some cases, several morphological forms can be simultaneously present in a bacterial culture at a given time. This population-level phenomenon is often referred to as pleomorphism [1], and is well described in *Escherichia coli*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, *Salmonella enterica* and *Staphylococcus aureus* [7–9]. Such morphological heterogeneity, which includes the presence of stress-tolerant persisters and viable but nonculturable (VBN) cells, often enables selective benefits to bacterial populations under stressful conditions [10]. However, these stress-tolerant cell types are often characterized by low metabolic activity and low replication rates [11]. For this reason, commonly used antibiotics targeting metabolic production have a low impact on the fitness of bacterial populations showing pleomorphism [11]. Additionally, another side-effect of slow metabolism and low replication rates is that stress-tolerant cell types are usually hard to culture in a laboratory [10].

An important example of bacterial pathogen that shows pleomorphism and the existence of stress-tolerant cell types is Borreliella (syn. Borrelia) burgdorferi [12-14]. This bacterium is the causative agent of Lyme disease, which is the most prevalent vector-borne disease in the Northern Hemisphere [15]. The versatile life cycle of this pathogen includes the mammalian reservoir as well as the tick vector [16], whose rapid spread in natural ecosystems causes the increase in Lyme disease occurrence [17,18]. Although antibiotic treatments are generally effective against B. burgdorferi, about 10-20% of patients develop so-called Post Treatment Lyme Disease Syndrome [12,19]. It has been proposed that this phenomenon may be linked to the presence of persisters in the bacterial population [20]. Nevertheless, a definitive proof is still missing [19]. Some authors further hypothesized that these persister cells correspond to alternative morphotypes of *B. burgdorferi* [12,14]. This idea is supported by the finding that several pleomorphic variants including spirochetes, round bodies, bleb forms, and biofilms can be simultaneously present in *B. burgdorferi* cultures grown in the BSK-II medium—the most common medium used in *B. burgdorferi* cultivation [13]. However, from the phylogenetic perspective, this pleomorphism is not unique to *B. burgdorferi* because other spirochaetes show similar morphotypes [21–23].

The spirochete morphotype is the pleomorphic variant of *B. burgdorferi* that shows planar wave morphology. It represents the prevailing morphotype in BSK-II medium raised cultures [13,24,25], which cell envelope consists of the protoplasmic cylinder covered by two lipid membranes and the periplasmic space between them [26,27]. The flagella are located in the periplasmic space between the inner and outer membrane where they contribute to maintaining the planar wave morphology of spirochetes [13,28]. The planar wave shape of this morphotype is an important feature that facilitates bacterial dissemination and host tissue penetration [2,29]. In comparison to alternative pleomorphic forms, the spirochete morphotype is relatively easy to cultivate under laboratory conditions, and thus it is the most commonly studied *B. burgdorferi* cell type [20].

Spherical *B. burgdorferi* cells with intact and flexible cell envelope enclosing numerous flagella are often termed as "round bodies" [13,25]. This N-acetylglucosamine polysaccharide rich morphotype makes up a small subpopulation in BSK-II medium raised cultures [13]. The exposure of spirochetes to distilled water is the commonly used method for the induction of round body morphotype under laboratory conditions [13,25,30]. Withdrawal of rabbit serum from BSK-II medium [31,32], addition of human serum to BSK-II medium [13], antibiotic treatment [12,33] and cultivation in human cerebrospinal fluid [34], monocyte [13], astrocyte [30], or tonsillar tissue laboratory cultures [35] are other conditions that significantly enrich *B. burgdorferi* cultures with the round body morphotype. Moreover, spherical structures with round body morphology were also found in vivo, both in the cerebral cortex of patients with chronic Lyme neuroborreliosis [30] and in the skin tissues of patients with erythema migrans [36].

The least studied pleomorphic form, the so called "bleb" morphotype, is characterized by the formation of outer membrane vesicles (OMVs) on the surface of *B. burgdorferi* cells [25,37]. In *B. burgdorferi*, similar to other bacterial species, these vesicles are carrying diverse cargo such as proteins, DNA, and RNA molecules [38,39]. The bleb morphotype makes up to 4% of *B. burgdorferi* cells raised in the BSK-II culture at 37 °C [13], but a significantly larger percentage of bleb cells can be induced in vitro by other environmental triggers such as antibiotics, components of the complement system and culture aging [24,33]. Additionally, the bleb morphotype was also observed in vivo, in cell cultures isolated from erythema migrans lesions on the skin of Lyme disease patients [33]. Although the role of bleb morphotype in the initiation of autoimmune reactions is proposed [40], its biological significance in these processes still remains largely unknown [13].

The *B. burgdorferi* biofilms are multicellular assemblies composed of spirochete, round body, and bleb cells embedded in a self-produced extracellular polysaccharide matrix [13]. The existence of *B. burgdorferi* biofilms in vitro was confirmed by detection of typical biofilm markers: alginate, calcium and extracellular DNA [41]. Additionally, atomic force microscopy showed that structural rearrangements are taking place at different stages of biofilm development and that channel-like structures are present in *B. burgdorferi* biofilms [41]. In comparison, these features are shown to be a signature of a true developmental process in *Bacillus subtilis*, a well-established biofilm model [42,43]. *B. burgdorferi* biofilms could be raised under various laboratory conditions [13,41], and are also observed in vivo in the brain, heart, liver, kidney [44] and the skin tissues of infected patients [45].

Despite the accumulated evidence that *B. burgdorferi* pleomorphic forms are a biological reality, their role in Lyme disease pathogenesis is still unclear [14,46]. Previous studies examined some biochemical [13,31,47,48] and structural features [13,25] of *B. burgdorferi* morphotypes. However, global expression analyses of *B. burgdorferi* morphotypes are essentially non-existent, apart from the protein profiling of spirochetes and round bodies by 2D gel electrophoresis [13,31]. To address this void in understanding transcriptional changes associated with *B. burgdorferi* pleomorphic forms, we separately grew spirochete, round body, bleb, and biofilm-dominated cultures *in vitro*, harvested their RNA, and recovered their transcriptomes by RNAseq profiling. In addition, to discern evolutionary imprints of differentially expressed genes, we also traced the evolutionary age of *B. burgdorferi* genes by the phylostratigraphic approach [42,49–52]. Our results revealed distinct transcription profiles and evolutionary imprints that underlie *B. burgdorferi* morphotypes.

2. Results

2.1. B. Burgdorferi Morphotypes Show Distinct Transcription Profiles

To obtain the transcriptome expression levels of pleiomorphic forms, we induced and sampled independent *B. burgdorferi* B31 cultures where spirochete (SP), round body (RB), bleb (BL), and biofilm (BF) morphotypes strongly predominate (Figure 1, see Section 4). When we considered all four morphotype-dominated cultures together, we found the evidence of transcription for 1370 (89%) predicted *B. burgdorferi* genes. Of these genes, 1306 (92%) were protein coding (see Section 4, Table S1). These numbers are comparable to previous transcriptomic studies in *B. burgdorferi* [38,53,54]. A principal component analysis (PCA) revealed a fairly resolved pattern where biofilm and bleb-dominated cultures have clearly distinct transcriptomes between each other, and compared to spirochete and round body morphotypes that cluster together (Figure 2a).

To identify differentially expressed genes between morphotypes, we compared round body, bleb, and biofilm-dominated cultures against spirochetes (Table S2). We chose these types of pair-wise comparisons since all alternative morphotypes here studied are derived from spirochete cultures after we had implemented specific changes in growth conditions (see Section 4). The magnitude of biologically-relevant expression change is dependent on a gene in focus, and varies largely across the genome. To cover these transcription dynamics, it is generally useful to look for biological patterns at different stringency levels [42]. For this reason, we determined two cut-offs. At the first level we considered all statistically significant differentially expressed genes regardless of their fold-change (permissive criteria), while at the second level we considered only statistically significant differentially expressed genes which had fold-change greater then two (stringent criteria) (Table 1). The comparison of the fold-change and *p*-values in volcano plots reveals that round bodies have a small number of differentially transcribed genes (4.3%, Figure 2b, Table 1). Moreover, the magnitude of fold-change for these differentially transcribed genes is below two-fold (Figure 2b, Table 1). These values show that the transcriptional profile of *B. burgdorferi* round bodies greatly resembles the profile observed in spirochetes, in line with previous work that detected only 77 differentially expressed proteins by 2D gel electrophoresis during spirochete to round body transition [13].



Figure 1. Representative images of *B. burgdorferi* B31 morphotypes. Phase contrast images of *B. burgdorferi* live cell cultures: (**a**) spirochetes (SP), (**b**) round bodies (RB), (**c**) blebs on spirochetes (BL) marked by black arrows and (**d**) biofilm (BF). White bars—10 μ m (400× magnification).



Figure 2. *B. burgdorferi* morphotypes are showing differential gene expression. Spirochete (SP) and round body (RB) dominated cultures share similar expression profiles, while the bleb (BL) and biofilm (BF) dominated cultures show very distinct transcriptomes. (**a**) Principal component analysis (PCA) of *B. burgdorferi* B31 morphotype transcriptome data. The replicates have the same color and symbol. Volcano plots show differentially expressed genes in pairwise comparisons: (**b**) the round body (RB) morphotype in comparison to spirochetes, (**c**) the bleb morphotype (BL) in comparison to spirochetes, and (**d**) biofilms (BF) in comparison to spirochetes. Genes that are significantly differentially expressed (*p*-value < 0.05) are shown in orange (RB), blue (BL), and purple (BF). Genes that are not significantly differentially expressions adjusted for multiple comparisons were calculated using the DESeq2 package.

	Pe	rmissive Crite	eria	Stringent Criteria					
DE Cutoff		p < 0.05		<i>p</i> < 0.05 and Fold-Change > 2					
N (%)	Up	Down	Total	Up	Down	Total			
RB	44 (2.85)	23 (1.49)	67 (4.34)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)			
BL	529 (34.26)	522 (33.81)	1051 (68.07)	274 (17.75)	142 (9.20)	416 (26.94)			
BF	467 (30.25)	464 (30.01)	931 (60.30)	156 (10.10)	60 (3.89)	216 (13.99)			

Table 1. The number of differentially expressed genes in *B. burgdorferi* round body (RB), bleb (BL), and biofilm (BF) dominated cultures compared to spirochetes.

In contrast to round bodies, we detected a high number of differentially transcribed genes in bleb (68%) and biofilm (60%) morphotypes (Figure 2c,d, Table 1). When we applied a more stringent criteria by considering only differentially expressed genes with the magnitude of fold-change above two-fold, we still detected a substantial number of differentially expressed genes (27% blebs, 14% biofilms, Figure 2c,d, Table 1). Our PCA analysis of all genes (Figure 2a) indicated that the bleb and biofilm morphotype express different transcriptomes, hence we tested how many differentially expressed genes are shared between the two morphotypes (Table S3). We found that roughly 70% of differentially expressed genes in biofilms were also differentially expressed in the same direction in the bleb morphotype (Table S3). Combined, this indicates that although bleb and biofilm-dominated cultures have generally distinct transcriptomes, they share a significant proportion of differentially expressed genes.

2.2. Morphotype-Specific Functional Enrichments

To detect possible functional trends among differentially expressed genes, we performed a functional enrichment analysis. To achieve this, we first annotated all *B. burgdorferi* genes with COG terms using eggNOG mapper (see Methods). This procedure returned 635 (41%) *B. burgdorferi* genes with at least one functional annotation other than unknown function; i.e., COG term S (Table S13). The round body functional enrichment analysis revealed that only the COG term J (translational, ribosomal structure, and biogenesis) is enriched among round body upregulated genes (Figure 3, Table S5). Interestingly, we found that 22 out of 44 (50%) round body upregulated genes were annotated with COG term J (Table S2). All these 22 genes are coding for structural components of the bacterial ribosome, which makes 40% of all constitutive riboproteins in *B. burgdorferi* (Table S6). Under the assumption that these transcriptional changes are also reflected in protein concentrations [55], the high percentage of riboproteins that are differentially expressed in spirochete to round body transition might relate to ribosome heterogeneity; a phenomenon where changing environmental factors induce the shift in the protein composition of ribosomes [56,57].

The functional enrichment analysis revealed that only COG term S (unknown function) is significantly enriched among bleb upregulated genes (Figure 3, Table S2). Following permissive criteria (Table 1), we found that 356 (67%) genes upregulated in blebs are of unknown function (COG term S). When we applied a more stringent criteria (Tables 1 and S2, Figure 3), which requires the magnitude of fold-change to be above twofold, we found that 229 (84%) genes upregulated in blebs have unknown function. These surprisingly high numbers of genes with unknown function among bleb-upregulated genes demonstrate that our understanding of the molecular foundations of the bleb morphotype is at present very poor.



Figure 3. The functional enrichment analysis of differentially expressed genes in *B. burgdorferi* morphotype-dominated cultures. We showed the enrichment profiles of COG functional annotations for upregulated (up, red color) and downregulated (down, blue color) genes that we detected in the round body (RB), bleb (BL), and biofilm (BF) dominated cultures. We tested the significance of enrichment by two-tailed hypergeometric test corrected for multiple comparisons at 0.05 level (Table S5). Differentially expressed genes were determined in reference to spirochetes using DeSeq2 pairwise comparisons. Under permissive criteria, we considered a gene to be differentially expressed if the shift in its expression was statistically significant (p < 0.05). Under stringent criteria, we additionally required that the magnitude of change was at least twofold. Under the stringent criteria, there were no differentially expressed genes in round bodies, thus the enrichment analysis was not performed. The magnitude of functional enrichments is depicted by log-odds (circles of different sizes) and their significance is shown in color shades (p-values). The gray shaded area marks functions with significant enrichments in upregulated genes across morphotypes.

In contrast to upregulated genes, the enrichment profiles of downregulated genes in blebs are more diverse (Figure 3, Table S5). For instance, by using the permissive criteria (Table 1) we found that 74% of genes (39 out of 53 genes in the whole genome) which are annotated with the COG term M (cell wall/membrane/envelope biogenesis) are downregulated in blebs (Figure 3, Table S2). This is indicative because blebs are characterized by the formation of large bulges on the outer *B. burgdorferi* membrane [25]. Similarly, 68% of genes (36 out of 53 genes in the whole genome) annotated with the COG term N (cell motility) are downregulated in blebs (Figure 3, Table S2). Again, this is suggestive because it points to the possibility that molecular mechanisms involved in the movement of blebs are different from those governing spirochete movement. However, when we applied a more stringent criteria there were no enriched COG terms in bleb downregulated genes (Figure 3, Table S5). This points to the fact that the magnitude of downregulation in many of these genes is moderate (Table S5).

In biofilms, the functional enrichment analysis of upregulated genes under permissive criteria showed no functionally enriched COG terms (Figure 3, Table S5). However, when we applied a more stringent criteria (Table 1), we found that 120 (77%) genes upregulated in biofilms are of unknown function (COG terms S) (Figure 3, Table S2). Similar to blebs, the high number of genes with unknown function among biofilm-upregulated genes showed that genetic mechanisms governing the biofilm formation are deeply understudied.

Genes that are downregulated in biofilms under permissive criteria showed enrichment of several COG functional categories (Figure 3, Table S5). For example, 66% of genes (35 out of 53 in the whole genome) labeled with the COG term M (cell wall/membrane/envelope biogenesis) are downregulated in biofilms (Figure 3, Table S2). Comparable to blebs, 47% of genes (25 out of 53 genes in the whole genome) labeled with the COG term N (cell motility) are downregulated in biofilms (Figure 3, Table S2). Like in the case of downregulated genes in blebs, when we applied a more stringent criteria, we were not able to find any enriched COG term in biofilm downregulated genes (Figure 3, Table S5).

Finally, with an aim to further characterize enriched functions among differentially expressed genes, we performed the analysis of Gene Ontogeny (GO) terms, which have finer functional resolution compared to COG terms. However, we were not able to extract any new information from GO enrichment analysis other than those recovered with COG terms (Table S7).

2.3. Genes Upregulated in Blebs and Biofilms Are Enriched with Plasmid-Encoded Genes

The genome of *B. burgdorferi* harbors, in addition a linear chromosome of about 900 kb in length, 9 circular and 12 linear plasmids [58,59]. Most genes on the main chromosome are homologs to genes with known housekeeping functions in other bacterial species [60]. On the other hand, although some plasmids carry essential genes, many genes on plasmids are coding for differentially expressed surface proteins important for the interactions between bacteria and their hosts [37,61]. To gain an insight as to where differentially expressed genes in our three morphotypes reside in the genome, we performed the enrichment analysis (Figure 4, Table S8). We found that out of 44 genes upregulated in round bodies, 43 (98%) are located on the main chromosome (Figure 4, Table S8), which emphasizes the importance of the main chromosome in the regulation of round body formation. On the other hand, genes downregulated in round bodies did not show any specific genome localization (Figure 4, Table S8).

Opposite to round bodies, in blebs and biofilms we found a pattern where most of the upregulated genes reside in plasmids (Figure 4, Table S8). Based on the permissive criteria, 369 (70%) genes upregulated in blebs and 255 (55%) genes upregulated in biofilms are located on plasmids. When we applied the stringent criteria, the number of genes located on plasmids remained high, both among genes upregulated in blebs (248, 91%) and among genes upregulated in biofilms (130, 83%). These upregulated genes are distributed on 18 out of the 21 *B. burgdorferi* plasmids (Table S8). Enrichment profiles reveal that genes upregulated in blebs are enriched on five linear plasmids (lp56, lp54, lp28-1, lp28-2, lp28-3) and five circular plasmids (cp32-1, cp32-3, cp32-4, cp32-6, cp32-9) (Figure 4, Table S8). The enrichment profile of upregulated genes in biofilms is similar to the one found in blebs, although the list of plasmids that show enrichments is shorter (lp56, cp32-1, cp32-3, cp32-6, and cp32-4) (Figure 4, Table S8).



Figure 4. The genome localization enrichment analysis of differentially expressed genes in *B. burgdorferi* morphotypes. We showed the enrichment profiles of genome locations for upregulated (up, red color)

and downregulated (down, blue color) genes that we detected in the round body (RB), bleb (BL), and biofilm (BF) dominated cultures. The leftmost column shows abbreviations for the *B. burgdorferi* B31 main chromosome (chr), circular (cp26, cp32-1, cp32-3, cp32-4, cp32-6, cp32-7, cp32-8, cp32-9, cp9) and linear plasmids (lp17, lp21, lp25, lp28-1, lp28-2, lp28-3, lp28-4, lp36, lp38, lp5, lp54, lp56). We tested the significance of enrichment by two-tailed hypergeometric test corrected for multiple comparisons at 0.05 level (Table S8). Differentially expressed genes were determined in reference to spirochetes using DeSeq2 pairwise comparisons. Under permissive criteria, we considered a gene to be differentially expressed if the shift in its expression was statistically significant (p < 0.05). Under stringent criteria, we additionally required that the magnitude of change was at least twofold. Under the stringent criteria, there were no differentially expressed genes in round bodies, thus the enrichment analysis was not performed. The magnitude of genome localization enrichments is depicted by log-odds (circles of different sizes) and their significance is shown in color shades (p-values). The gray shaded area marks significant enrichments of differentially expressed genes that reside on the main chromosome.

In contrast to upregulated genes, downregulated genes in blebs and biofilms are enriched on the main chromosome (Figure 4, Table S8). Under permissive criteria, 486 (93%) genes downregulated in blebs were located on the main chromosome. Similarly, when stringent criteria are applied, 134 (94%) genes downregulated in blebs were located on the main chromosome (Figure 4, Table S8). On the other hand, 406 (88%, permissive criteria) and 45 (75%, stringent criteria) genes downregulated in biofilms were located on the main chromosome (Figure 4, Table S8). These high percentages show that the transition from spirochete to bleb and biofilm morphotypes includes an extensive shutdown of expression programs on the main chromosome. Additionally, under the stringent criteria, we found an enrichment of downregulated genes in biofilms that come from the lp28-1 plasmid (Figure 4, Table S8).

Taken together, the genome distribution of *B. burgdorferi* differentially expressed genes showed that the transition from spirochetes into round bodies is primarily associated with the upregulation of a small number of genes on the main chromosome. In contrast, transition from spirochetes to blebs and biofilms heavily relied on the shift in the expression from the main chromosome to plasmids. Interestingly, it was previously reported that outer membrane vesicles (OMVs) that shed off the bacterial surface in blebs [25,37,62] are enriched with plasmid transcripts, in contrast to the cell body where the transcripts from the main chromosome dominate [38]. However, the functional significance of this enrichment with plasmid transcripts in OMVs is unclear.

2.4. Biofilms and Blebs Express Evolutionary Younger Genes

To reveal the evolutionary origin of differentially expressed genes in *B. burgdorferi* morphotypes, we performed a phylostratigraphic analysis [42,49–52]. After defining the consensus phylogeny, which contained eight internodes (phylostrata, ps) in the span from the ancestor of cellular organisms to the origin of B. burgdorferi (Figure 5, File S9), we successfully traced the phylogenetic origin of 1415 (99%) B. burgdorferi protein-coding genes using blastp sequence similarity search algorithm at the e-value threshold of 10^{-3} (Figure 5, Table S10). In our phylogeny, all known Lyme disease related Borrelia species cluster together in the Lyme disease group (LDG), which was recently taxonomically renamed as a new genus Borreliella (ps7, Figure 5, File S9). According to the new taxonomy, its sister clade, which contains all known *Borrelia* species linked to relapsing fever, remained the genus Borrelia [63-65]. However, as only recently the debate on this taxonomic split within Borreliaceae [63–67] has been resolved by International Committee on Systematics of Prokaryotes [68], we marked the respective clades with new and old taxonomic names to avoid any confusion (Figure 5, File S9). Of note, this taxonomic debate does not influence in any way our phylostratigraphic analyses because the species phylogeny we used is unaffected by naming conventions.



 ps1 Cellularorganisms - 558 (39.4%)
 ps2 Bacteria A - 148 (10.5%)
 ps3 Bacteria B - 24 (1.7%)

 ps4 Spirochaetes - 18 (1.3%)
 ps5 Spirochaetales - 46 (3.3%)
 ps6 Borreliaceae - 511 (36.1%)

 ps7 Borreliella (LDG Borrelia) - 91 (6.4%)
 ps8 Borreliella (syn. Borrelia) burgdorferi - 19 (1.3%)

Figure 5. The consensus phylogeny used in the phylostratigraphic analysis. The consensus tree covers divergence from the last common ancestor of cellular organisms to *B. burgdorferi* as a focal species (see File S9 for a fully resolved tree). The tree is constructed by considering the importance of evolutionary transitions, availability of reference genomes, and their completeness estimated using BUSCO scores. The eight internodes (phylostrata) that lead from the root of the tree to the focal species (*B. burgdorferi* B31) are marked by ps1–ps8. Numbers at the top of terminal nodes represent the number of species in the fully resolved tree and correspond to the genomes used to populate the reference database for sequence similarity searches. The number of *B. burgdorferi* genes traced to each phylostratum, and a corresponding percentage, is written following the phylostratum name.

The obtained distribution of *B. burgdorferi* genes on the phylogeny is comparable to previous analyses of *Bacillus subtilis* [42], in that the genes in both species could be tracked to a broad range of evolutionary periods. For instance, we traced 558 (39%) *B. burgdorferi* genes to the oldest phylostratum (Cellular organisms-ps1), while the second most populated phylostratum *Borreliaceae* (ps6) harbored 511 (36%) genes (Figure 5, Table S10). In the two evolutionary youngest phylostrata *Borreliella* (LDG *Borrelia*, ps7) and *B. burgdorferi* (ps8) we found 91 (6.4%) and 19 (1.3%) genes, respectively (Figure 5, Table S10).

To explore if differentially expressed genes in round body, bleb, and biofilm-dominated cultures show some evolutionary biases, we performed an enrichment analysis (Figure 6, Table S11). In the permissive set of round body differentially expressed genes (Table 1) we found the strong enrichment signal at Cellular organisms (ps1), which represents the evolutionary oldest phylostratum (Figure 6, Table S11). The distribution of genes on the phylostratigraphic map showed that 39 (89%) differentially expressed genes in round bodies contribute to this signal (Table S11). On the other hand, genes downregulated in round bodies did not show any evolutionary enrichment signals (Figure 6, Table S11). Like in previous analyses here, the lack of differentially expressed genes in round bodies under the stringent criteria (Table 1), precluded further enrichment analyses. These results suggest that the spirochete to round body transition heavily relies on the moderate transcriptional upregulation of evolutionary ancient genes that are common to all cellular organisms.

In bleb and biofilm morphotypes we found completely opposite evolutionary imprints compared to round bodies. In the permissive and stringent sets of upregulated genes in blebs (Table 1), we found strong enrichment signals at the origin of Borreliaceae (ps6) (Figure 6, Table S11). These enrichments signals are underpinned by 244 (49%) and 176 (69%) bleb upregulated genes in the permissive and stringent analyses respectively (Figure 6, Table S11). When we considered bleb downregulated genes (Table 1) we found that they are enriched with genes that are specific for Spirochaetales (ps5) (Figure 6, Table S11) in the permissive and stringent analyses. This pattern suggests that, during the morphotype transition from spirochetes to blebs, B. burgdorferi turns off transcription programs specific for Spirochaetales (ps5) and switches on an evolutionary younger gene set specific for Borreliaceae (ps6). Similar to blebs, we found that genes upregulated in biofilms are enriched with genes that originated in *Borreliaceae* (ps6) (Figure 6, Table S11). These enrichment signals are underpinned by 189 (42%) and 97 (66%) Borreliaceae specific genes in the permissive and stringent datasets, respectively (Figure 6, Table S11). The enrichment profile of biofilm downregulated genes is identical to the bleb profiles for the permissive dataset, but is not retained in the stringent analysis (Figure 6, Table S11). Nevertheless, these profiles suggest that spirochete to biofilm transition relies on the upregulation of genes specific for *Borreliaceae* (ps6).

To test the robustness of the obtained enrichment signals we repeated phylostratigraphic analysis in a range of blastp e-value thresholds between 1 and 10^{-30} [42] and again calculated evolutionary enrichment profiles (Table S14, Figures S1 and S2). This robustness test confirmed that our enrichment signals are fairly stable in a broad range of e-value cut-offs (Table S14, Figures S1 and S2). Taken together, our evolutionary analysis showed that the genes differentially expressed in *B. burgdorferi* morphotypes have distinct phylogenetic origin. It is striking that blebs and biofilms heavily rely on the genes that are specific for *Borreliaceae* (ps6, Table S14, Figures 6, S1 and S2). Almost all species of this family, which is made of two lineages, are tick-borne pathogens of various vertebrates [65]. The family *Borreliaceae* (ps6) is a very diverged clade within the order Spirochaetales [69], which evolutionary origin is probably linked to the switch from the symbiosis with arachnid species to the biphasic parasitic lifestyle that includes arachnid and vertebrate hosts [65]. This suggests that bleb and biofilm upregulated genes, many of which emerged at the base of *Borreliaceae* (ps6, Figure 6, Table S11), might have functions that allowed adaptations to the biphasic parasitic lifestyle.



Figure 6. The phylostratigraphic enrichment analysis of differentially expressed genes in *B. burgdorferi* morphotypes. We showed the enrichment profiles in phylostrata along *B. burgdorferi* evolutionary lineage for upregulated (up, red color) and downregulated (down, blue color) genes that we detected in the round body (RB), bleb (BL), and biofilm (BF) dominated cultures. We tested the significance of enrichment by two-tailed hypergeometric test corrected for multiple comparisons at 0.05 level (Table S11). Differentially expressed genes were determined in reference to spirochetes using DeSeq2 pairwise comparisons. Under permissive criteria, we considered a gene to be differentially expressed if the shift in its expression was statistically significant (p < 0.05). Under stringent criteria, we additionally required that the magnitude of change was at least twofold. Under the stringent criteria, there were no differentially expressed genes in round bodies, thus the enrichment analysis was not performed. The magnitude of enrichments within a phylostratum is depicted by log-odds (circles of different sizes) and their significance is shown in color shades (p-values). Gray shaded area marks phylostratum 6 (*Borreliaceae*) where we found strong enrichment signals for bleb and biofilm upregulated genes.

2.5. Many B. burgdorferi Virulence Genes Are Differentially Expressed in Blebs and Biofilms

Unfortunately, genes that emerged at the origin of *Borreliaceae* (ps6) are functionally extremely understudied, with 95% of them without any functional COG annotation (Table S15, Figure S3). The lack of annotation is even more severe among genes that are specific for *Borreliella* (LDG *Borrelia*, ps7) where 99% of genes have no COG function assigned. Nevertheless, it is very indicative that the function of those that are studied is linked to Lyme disease pathogenesis (Figure 7). Essentially all known *B. burgdorferi* immune evasion genes, which are particularly important for persistent disseminated infection, are specific for *Borreliaceae* (ps6) or *Borreliella* (LDG *Borrelia*, ps7) (Figure 7). For instance, we traced the evolutionary origin of the *vlsE* gene, which codes for the continuously modified surfaceexposed lipoprotein (VIsE) [70], to *Borreliaceae* (ps6). In *B. burgdorferi*, VIsE undergoes antigenic variation while bacterial cells reside in the vertebrate host, and is essential for initial and persistent infection [71]. Similarly, the majority of *B. burgdorferi* adhesion genes, required for dissemination and colonization of diverse tissues, have evolutionary origin in the *Borreliaceae* (ps6) or *Borreliella* (LDG *Borrelia*, ps7, Figure 7). Examples are decorin binding proteins (DbpA and DbpB) and fibronectin-binding proteins (RevA and BBK32) which are known to be important in the dissemination and persistence of *B. burgdorferi* inside the mammalian host [72].

Taken together, this indicates that the set of functionally uncharacterized genes specific for *Borreliaceae* (ps6) and *Borreliella* (LDG *Borrelia*, ps7) likely contains undiscovered virulence genes (Table S13). Finally, the genes related to Lyme disease pathogenesis, especially those involved in immune evasion and adhesion, showed significant regulation in blebs and to a lesser extent in biofilms (Figure 7, Table S16). This suggests that bleb and biofilm morphotypes might be involved in the progression of Lyme disease [33,40,73].

		gene			RefSeg	GeneBank	permissive criteria	stringent criteria	log ₂ fold cha
	gene description	locus	ps	gene symbol	locus tag	locus tag	RB BL BF	BL BF	
evasion evasion	protection against neutrophils, suppression of host complement-mediated killing	lp54	ps7	VIsE	BB_RS05355 BB_RS05840	BB_A57			
	variable surface antigen, evasion of adaptive immunity and persistence plasminogen binding, resistance to killing by human serum and complement system	lp28-1 lp54	рs6 ps7	CspA	BB_RS05395	BB_F0041 BB A68			
	factor H-binding protein, complement resistanc, dissemination and colonization	lp28-3	ps6	CspZ	BB RS04320	BB H06			
	joint colonization, bloodstream survival and infectivity, antiphagocytic effect	cp26	ps6	OspC	BB_RS05565	BB_B19			
	decorin-binding protein, promotes joint colonization and arthritis	lp54	ps7	DbpB	BB_RS05220	BB_A25			
	decorin-binding protein, promotes joint colonization and arthritis	lp54	ps6	DbpA	BB_RS05215	BB_A24			
	lipoprotein, binds to collagen types IV and VI	lp54	ps7	David	BB_RS05240 BB_RS06615	BB_A33 BB M27			
	fibronectin and lamin binding protein, promotes heart colonization fibronectin and lamin binding protein, promotes heart colonization	cp32-6 cp32-1	ps7 ps7	RevA RevA	BB_RS05975	BB_M27 BB P27			
	nucleosidase, binds heparin and aggrecan, promotes colonization	chr	ps1	Bgp	BB_RS02955	BB_0588			
adhesion	BMP family protein, binds laminin, contribute to joint persistence and arthritis	chr	ps1	BmpA	BB_RS01885	BB_0383			
ĥ	DUF3996 domain-containing protein, required for optimal infection in mice	chr	ps6		BB_RS02010	BB_0406			
ad	DUF3996 domain-containing protein, required for optimal infection in mice	chr	ps6		BB_RS02005	BB_0405			
	binds fibronectin, blocks complement activation, promotes joint colonization	lp36	ps6		BB_RS04915	BB_K32			
	surface-located membrane protein, binding to the vascular endothelium	chr	ps1	Lmp1	BB_RS01035	BB_0210			
	BMP family protein, binding to the vascular endothelium fibronectin-binding domain-containing protein, increases binding in joints	chr chr	ps1 ps1	BmpD P66	BB_RS01895 BB_RS01710	BB_0385 BB 0347			
	integrin-binding, required for infectivity, dissemination and vascular transmigration	chr	psi ps6	F00	BB_RS03030	BB_0347 BB 0603			
	VWA domain-containing protein, binds integrin α3β1	chr	ps2		BB_RS00835	BB_0172			
oute	outer membrane protein, provides a competitive advantage during tick transmission	lp54	ps6		BB RS05125	BB A03			
	immunogenic lipoprotein, contributes to transmission by tick	lp54	ps6		BB_RS05380	BB_A64			
	immunogenic lipoprotein, contributes to transmission by tick	lp54	ps6		BB_RS05390	BB_A66			
N N	induced during tick feeding, contribution to transmission by tick	lp54	ps6		BB_RS05140	BB_A07			
i Š	identified as possible adhesin but is subsurface, mutant is attenuated in mice	chr	ps4		BB_RS03765	BB_0744			
5	lipolytic and hemolytic activities, inactivation leads to reduced bacterial loads in mice	chr	ps1		BB_RS03275	BB_0646			
molecular function unknown	LysM peptidoglycan-binding protein, important for morphology and cell division	chr	ps2		BB_RS01595	BB_0323			
	critical for mammalian infection and persistence, and heart and joint inflammation promotes proliferation and dissemination, especially in skin	chr lp36	ps6 ps2		BB_RS01180 BB_RS04865	BB_0238 BB K13			
expression regulation	c-di-GMP-binding receptor, controls virulence gene expression, important for motility	chr	psz ps5	PlzA	BB_RS03715	BB_0733			
	required for activation of rpoN expression, activated by acetyl phosphate	chr	ps1	Rrp2	BB RS03865	BB_0763			
	response regulator, histidine kinase, required for survival in ticks	chr	ps1	HK1	BB_RS02075	BB_0420			
	cyclic-di-GMP-producing response regulator, overexpression leads to avirulence in mice	chr	ps1	Rrp1	BB_RS02070	BB_0419			
2	ATP-dependent RNA helicase, required for mouse infection and tick transmission	chr	ps1	HrpA	BB_RS04190	BB_0827			
sio	oxidative stress transcriptional regulator, required for infection in mice	chr	ps1	BosR	BB_RS03280	BB_0647			
Se	RNA polymerase sigma factor, important for transmission and infectivity	chr	ps1	RpoN	BB_RS02255	BB_0450			
ğ	S-ribosylhomocysteine lyase, quorum sensing, competitive advantage during infection	chr	ps2	LuxS LtpA	BB_RS01855 BB_RS01750	BB_0377 BB_0355			
9	important for in vitro growth and cold stress, infection in mice and survival in ticks cyclic di-GMP phosphodiesterase, important for motility, essential for infection of mice	chr chr	ps2 ps1	PdeA	BB_RS01785	BB_0355 BB_0363			
gene	carbon storage regulator, controls expression of a number of infection-relevant genes	chr	ps1 ps2	CsrA	BB_RS00895	BB_0303 BB_0184			
	RNA polymerase sigma factor, required for infection, persistence and dissemination	chr	ps1	RpoS	BB_RS03905	BB_0771			
	peptide ABC transporter substrate-binding protein, important for persistence in mice	lp54	ps1	OppAV	BB_RS05245	BB_A34			
basic cellular functions	PTS transporter subunit EIIC, critical for mouse infection	chr	ps2	PtsG	BB_RS03270	BB_0645			
	inosine-5'-monophosphate dehydrogenase, involved in purine salvage	cp26	ps1	GuaA	BB_RS05555	BB_B17			
	Holliday junction branch migration DNA helicase, required for vIsE recombination	chr	ps1	RuvB	BB_RS00110	BB_0022			
	NCS2 family permease, essential for hypoxanthine, adenine and guanine transport	cp26	ps1		BB_RS05575	BB_B23			
	Holliday junction branch migration protein, required for vIsE recombination	chr	ps1	RuvA GuaB	BB_RS00115 BB RS05560	BB_0023 BB B18			
art	glutamine-hydrolyzing GMP synthase, required for mouse and replication in tick ZIP family Manganese transporter, required for mammalian and tick infection	cp26 chr	ps1 ps1	GuaB BmtA	BB_RS05560 BB_RS01085	BB_B18 BB_0219			
Ĭ	nicotinamidase involved in NAD metabolism, important for infectivity in mice	lp25	ps1 ps1	PncA	BB_RS04465	BB_0219 BB_E22			
8	adenine deaminase, involved in purine interconversion, required for infectivity in mice	lp36	ps1	AdeC	BB_RS04875	BB_K17			
	endopeptidase La, resistance to osmotic and oxidative stress	chr	ps1	Lon-1	BB_RS01255	BB_0253			
ă	NCS2 family permease, essential for hypoxanthine, adenine and guanine transport	cp26	ps1		BB_RS05570	BB_B22			
	CoA-disulfide reductase, intracellular redox and the oxidative stress response	chr	ps1		BB_RS03690	BB_0728			
	ATP-and Mg-dependent endoprotease La, resistance to osmotic and oxidative stress	chr	ps1	Lon-2	BB_RS03095	BB_0613			
	DegQ family serine endoprotease, infection-relevant target proteins	chr	ps1	HtrA	BB_RS00510	BB_0104			
	critical for resistance to oxidative stress, macrophage killing and mouse infectivity	chr	ps1		BB_RS01575	BB_0318			
							RB BL BF	BL BF	
							permissive	stringent	
							criteria	criteria	

Figure 7. *B. burgdorferi* virulence genes are showing a morphotype-dependent transcription profile. We sorted out *B. burgdorferi* virulence genes known to be involved in Lyme disease pathogenesis [74], Table S16) and showed their normalized fold change values in round body (RB), bleb (BL), and biofilm (BF) dominated cultures in reference to spirochete-dominated cultures (Figure 2b–d, Table S4). We showed only significant fold changes. Virulence genes specific for *Borreliaceae* (ps6) are in red, while those specific for *Borreliella* (LDG *Borrelia*, ps7) are in orange. It is evident that *B. burgdorferi* immune evasion genes, which are particularly important for persistent disseminated infection, are all specific for *Borreliaceae* (ps6) or *Borreliella* (LDG *Borrelia*, ps7). Similarly, the majority of *B. burgdorferi* adhesion genes, required for dissemination and colonization of diverse tissues, are coming from these two evolutionary periods. Immune evasion and adhesion genes showed significant regulation in blebs and to lesser extent in biofilms.

3. Discussion

It is rather surprising that the transcriptomes of *B. burgdorferi* morphotype-dominated cultures were not previously systematically explored. This is puzzling for two reasons. First, the next generation transcriptome sequencing technology has been available for a relatively long time [75,76] and *B. burgdorferi* morphotypes were routinely grown in the laboratories [13,14,25]. Second, global transcriptome profiles are a basic-level analysis in discerning the biological relevance of different morphotypes [76]. This points to the fact that the knowledge-base on *B. burgdorferi* transcription patterns is obviously largely incomplete, which inevitably hampers the progress in Lyme disease research [14,77].

In this study, we explored the transcriptomes of three non-spirochete morphotypes that were induced by simple changes in growth conditions. However, to get a full picture of morphotype-related transcription programs in *B. burgdorferi*, the transcriptomes of morphotypes induced by alternative in vitro environmental triggers should be also investigated [12–14,30]. In addition, it would be very informative to perform the global protein quantification of *B. burgdorferi* morphotype-dominated cultures using the same set of environmental cues. This would yield a comprehensive overview of morphotype-related expression dynamics in *B. burgdorferi*, given that transcriptome and proteome levels are generally largely decoupled [42,55].

Another caveat relates to the fact that our morphotype cultures did not consist of entirely pure populations. The most heterogenous population was present in the bleb samples, which contained around 20% of spirochete cells without blebs. Although we tried to maximize the percentage of desired morphotypes, it is rather difficult to further reduce the remaining heterogeneity in cultures. However, the relevance of an entirely pure population is biologically questionable because it is highly unlikely that such populations exist *in vivo*. In any case, our bleb samples showed a very distinct transcriptome compared to spirochetes (Figure 1, Table 1). This suggests that if we would analyze an absolutely pure bleb population, these differences would be even more pronounced.

The bleb morphotype is currently the least studied pleiotropic form of *B. burgdorferi*. However, our analyses point to its importance for the biology of *B. burgdorferi*, because blebs showed a very distinct transcriptome which includes differential expression of many virulence genes (Figures 2 and 7). However, we studied its transcriptome at only one time point; i.e., two days after we started to grow bacterial cells under aerobic conditions. At this time point exposure to aerobic conditions induces bleb formation in high percentage, which means that this morphological transformation is strongly coupled with oxygen exposure. In turn, this suggests that the bleb morphotype has some adaptive meaning for the bacterial cells under aerobic conditions. In future studies this could be improved by sampling bleb's growth trajectory at several time points and then independently sequencing transcriptomes of these samples. This would provide much deeper understanding on the transcription dynamics that underpin this pleiomorphic form.

Similarly, we showed that *B. burgdorferi* biofilms are not a simple mix of spirochetes and blebs (Figure 1d). Their transcriptome is the most similar to bleb dominated cultures, however 30% of their differentially expressed genes do not match the bleb expression profiles. This suggest that biofilms have a unique transcriptome which should be explored in more detail in the future. In this study, we focused on morphotypes per se, and not on the developmental trajectories that lead to them. However, it would be highly interesting to sample *B. burgdorferi* biofilms along their in vitro ontogeny at several timepoints and then to recover their transcriptomes and proteomes [42]. Such a dataset would reveal temporal expression dynamics in biofilms, with the potential to uncover new coregulation patterns between *B. burgdorferi* genes. Finally, the transcription profile of round body dominated cultures was not substantially different from spirochetes. However, we explored only transcriptomes of round bodies that were sampled 30 min after this morphotype was induced by distilled water osmotic shock. Most likely, a much better picture on the transcriptional change in round bodies would be gained if samples are taken at additional time points. This suggests that further transcriptome studies of *B. burgdorferi* morphotypes

are needed to reach a comprehensive understanding of their transcription profiles. In this regard, we consider our study as a starting point for future work.

Our phylostratigraphic analysis revealed that in evolutionary terms *B. burgdorferi* has a highly specialized genome. Due to its obligative parasitic lifestyle, its genome is simplified through the loss of many biosynthetic pathways [58]. This could be the result, at least in part, of functional outsourcing where an organism simplifies its genome through biological interactions [78]; in the *B. burgdorferi* case through interactions with its hosts [79]. Yet, the gene losses that lead to the strict dependence of *B. burgdorferi* on its hosts are accompanied by genome innovations linked to its parasitic lifestyle. Some of these adaptations, such as immune evasion, obviously evolved to counteract selective pressures imposed by hosts' immune defenses.

We found that as much as 43% (621) of *B. burgdorferi* genes emerged at the origin and during diversification of *Borreliaceae* (ps6 to ps8, Figure 5). This is a noticeably higher value compared to *Bacillus subtilis* genome where we previously found that around 12% (538) of *B. subtilis* genes emerged at the origin or during diversification of *Bacillaceae* [42]. Altogether, this demonstrates that the *B. burgdorferi* genome is highly derived not only because of its simplification through extensive gene loss [58], but also due to the considerable accumulation of novel genes; i.e., orphan genes or taxonomically restricted genes [80–82]. These genome properties suggest that the biology and pathogenic mechanisms of *B. burgdorferi* will be evolutionary quite unique, and that the transfer of functional information via homology inference form other bacterial lineages will not be possible for many genes. It is then of no surprise that this highly diverged organism has a unique behavior and pathology that do not fit expectations largely constructed on the experience accumulated through the microbiological studies of evolutionary distant bacterial clades [14].

We showed that many *B. burgdorferi* virulence genes involved in immune evasion and adhesion evolved at the origin and during diversification of *Borreliaceae* (ps6, ps7, Figure 7). However, the vast majority of genes that evolved in these evolutionary periods have not been functionally studied, and thus their function is unknown (Figure S3). This together indicates that *Borreliaceae* specific genes, and those that emerged in younger phylostrata, (ps6–ps8, Figure 5) most likely harbor currently undiscovered Lyme disease virulence genes. A previous work on sporulation genes in *Bacillus* demonstrated that the evolutionary origin of genes is an important parameter that could be used to prioritize genes for functional analysis [83]. Given that genetic tools are available in *B. burgdorferi* [84,85], this evolutionary information opens up the possibility of narrowing down the collection of promising candidate genes for functional analyses. Taken together, we believe that many of the ongoing controversies related to Lyme disease pathogenesis and treatment strategies [14,77] could be resolved by improving our understanding of *B. burgdorferi* biology and evolution, which for unclear reasons have not yet been explored.

4. Methods

4.1. Culturing Conditions and Imaging of B. burgdorferi Pleomorphic Forms

We cultured *Borreliella* (syn. *Borrelia*) *burgdorferi* B31 (DSMZ, Brunswick, Germany, https: //www.dsmz.de/collection/catalogue/details/culture/DSM-4680 accessed on 8 March 2023) in BSK-H containing 6% rabbit serum (bio&sell, Feucht, Germany) at 37 °C. We grew the typical motile *B. burgdorferi* with planar wave morphology by inoculating 40 mL BSK-H to the final concentration of 10^7 cells/mL in 50 mL sterile and disposable conical tubes with a tightly closed lid, which created the microaerobic conditions required for growing *B. burgdorferi* [86]. After 24 h of growth in these microaerobic conditions, we collected 5×10^8 cells per sample. These samples contained around 95% cells with spirochete morphotype. Our general strategy in sampling non-spirochete pleomorphic forms was to apply methods which generate the majority of cells with a specific morphotype in the shortest time. This was the optimal strategy for answering our main question: Do the transcriptomes of cultures in which round-body, bleb, or biofilm morphotype prevails? To obtain round body morphotype cultures, we harvested around 5×10^8 spirochetes per sample by centrifugation at $5000 \times g$ for 5 min, resuspended them in molecular-biology grade water, and incubated them for 30 min. By applying this harsh osmotic shock, we obtained cultures where around 90% of cells had the round body morphotype. To obtain the bleb morphotype, we inoculated 6 mL BSK-H with spirochetes to the final concentration of 10^7 cells/mL in 15 mL conical tubes with a vented lid. After 48 h of incubation under these aerobic conditions we collected around 5×10^8 cells per sample. Approximately 80% of these cells had the bleb morphotype. We obtained biofilms by growing cells in 6-well tissue-culture dishes (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany). In each well we put 5 mL BSK-H inoculated with spirochetes to the final concentration of 10⁸ cells/mL. After 120 h of incubation, we sampled biofilm cultures for downstream analysis. We confirmed the presence of biofilms and determined their ratio against free bacteria cells by the visual observation of biofilm cultures under the microscope. We sampled only those cultures where approximately 90% of cells were located within biofilm clumps. Cells were counted using a C-Chip Disposable Haemocytometer (Neubauer Improved system, DHC-N01, Merck Millipore/Biochrom, Berlin, Germany) and a Leica DM6 B fluorescence microscope with a 40x objective using the phase-contrast (PH) setting. Different pleomorphic forms were visualized by imaging 10 μ L samples under 400 \times magnification using a Leica DM6 B fluorescence microscope with the PH setting.

4.2. RNA Extraction and Sequencing

All samples were taken in three biological replicates per morphotype. All replicates contained approximately 5×10^8 *B. burgdorferi* cells which we harvested by centrifugation at $5000 \times g$ for 5 min. The cell pellets were resuspended in 300 µL of peqGOLD TriFastTM reagent (VWR Peqlab, Darmstadt, Germany) and frozen at -20 °C. Direct-zolTM RNA Miniprep Plus Kit (Zymo Research, Freiburg, Germany) was used to extract and process RNA samples. An on-column DNA digestion was performed with the RNase-free DNase set (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The RNA was eluted in 50 µL of RNAse-free water and stored at -80 °C. The RNA quantity was measured spectroscopically, and the integrity was assessed by agarose gel electrophoresis.

Ribosomal RNA was removed from the total RNA samples by the Ribo-Zero rRNA Removal Kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). RNA-seq libraries were prepared using the Illumina TruSeq RNA Sample Preparation v2 Kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). Bidirectional RNA sequencing was performed on the Illumina NextSeq 500 platform at the EMBL Genomics Core Facility (Heidelberg, Germany), generating approximately 450 million reads per run. Using BBMap (V37.66) 927,047,716 paired-end sequences (75 bp) were mapped onto the B. burgdorferi reference genome (NCBI Assembly accession: ASM868v2; GCF_000008685.2) with an average of 94.32% mapped reads per sample (Table S1). On average, 84 million reads per replicate were mapped with low variation between the samples (Table S1). The mapping was performed using the standard settings and the option of trimming the read names after the first whitespace was enabled. The SAM tools package V2.0.3 [87] was used to generate, sort, and index BAM files for downstream data analysis. RNAseq data processing was analyzed in R V3.6.0 using custom-made scripts. Mapped reads were quantified per each B. burgdorferi open reading frame using the R rsamtools package V2.0.3. Raw counts for 1544 open reading frames were retrieved using the GenomicAlignments R package V1.20.1 [88]. Expression similarity across morphotypes and replicates was assessed using principal component analysis (PCA) (Figure 2a) implemented in the R package DESeq2 V1.24.0 [89] and visualized using the R package ggplot2 V3.3.2 [90] (Figure 2a).

4.3. Transcriptome Data Analyses and Functional Annotation

Pairwise differential gene expression between *B. burgdorferi* round body, bleb, and biofilm morphotype compared to spirochete morphotype was estimated from raw counts (1544 genes) using DESeq2 V1.24.0 package (Table S4). We performed the significance testing of differential expression by DESeq2 pipeline using Wald test [89]. The obtained

p-values were adjusted for multiple comparisons across genes in DESeq2 pipeline using the Benjamini and Hochberg procedure [89,91]. Differences in expression between round body, bleb, and biofilm morphotypes compared to spirochetes were visualized by plotting the negative log₁₀ *p*-values against log₂ fold change values (Figure 2b–d) using the ggplot2 V3.3.2 package [90]. Two criteria were used to define which genes were considered differentially expressed. Under permissive criteria, the *p*-value had to be below 0.05 for a gene to be assigned as differentially expressed. Under the stringent criteria, in addition to *p*-value below 0.05, the fold change had to be greater than two for a gene to be assigned as differentially expressed (Tables S2 and S3). To assign functional annotation to 1544 *B. burgdorferi* genes, we searched eggNOG V5.0 database using V2 eggNOG-mapper [92] (Table S13). Clusters of Orthologous Genes (COG) and Gene Ontology (GO) functional annotations were transferred from orthologs in the Bacteria taxa (taxID:2) if the e-value was below 0.001, the bit-score was above 60, and at least 20% of the query was covered. This procedure returned a total of 635 (41.1%) genes with COG and 289 (18.7%) genes with GO annotations which are different from "unknown function".

4.4. Phylostratigraphic Analyses

We performed phylostratigraphic analysis as previously described [42,49]. Following the relevant phylogenetic literature [66,69,93–101], we constructed a consensus phylogeny that covers the lineage from the last common ancestor of cellular organisms to the *B. burgdorferi* as a focal organism (Figure 5, File S9). We chose the nodes based on their support in phylogenetic literature, their importance in evolutionary transitions, and availability of reference genomes. We retrieved the full set of protein sequences for 743 terminal taxa, which made the reference protein sequence database, from ENSEMBL (719) and NCBI (24) databases (Table S10) and checked their completeness using BUSCO [102]. To construct the phylostratigraphic map [42,49] of *B. burgdorferi*, we compared 1425 *B. burgdorferi* protein coding genes with the reference database using the BLASTp algorithm V2.8.1 [103] and the e-value threshold of 10^{-3} . We mapped 1415 protein sequences that passed phylostratigraphic procedure on the eight phylostrata of the consensus phylogeny (Table S10, Figure 6) using the previously described pipeline [42]. To test the robustness of the obtained phylostratigraphy-dependent enrichment patterns, we remapped *B. burgdorferi* protein sequences using an e-value cutoff range from 1 to 10^{-30} (Table S14, Figures S1 and S2) [42].

4.5. Enrichment Analyses

We performed all enrichment analyses using two-way hypergeometric tests [42]. In all enrichment analyses, *p*-values were adjusted for multiple comparisons using the Benjamini and Hochberg procedure [91]. We visualized enrichment analyses using custom-made scripts based on the R package ggplot2 V3.3.2 [90].

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https: //www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/ijms24065594/s1, Figure S1: The phylostratigraphic enrichment analysis (permissive criteria); Figure S2: The phylostratigraphic enrichment analysis (stringent criteria); Figure S3: The distribution of COG annotations; Table S1: Transcription data; Table S2: Differentially expressed genes (permissive and stringent criteria); Table S3: Differentially expressed genes in the same direction (blebs and biofilms); Table S4: Test of differential expression (all genes); Table S5: Functional enrichment analysis (COG); Table S6: Ribosomal proteins (round body); Table S7: Functional enrichment analysis (GO); Table S8: Gene localization enrichment; File S9: Full phylogeny; Table S10: Phylostratigraphic map; Table S11: Phylostratigraphic enrichment analysis; Table S13: *B. burgdorferi* gene information; Table S14: Phylostratigraphic enrichment analysis (robustness); Table S15: Annotation enrichment across phylostrata; Table S16: Known *B. burgdorferi* infection genes. **Author Contributions:** C.N., H.-G.M. and T.D.-L. initiated the study; C.N., H.-G.M., C.D.-S., V.K. and T.D.-L. supervised the study; S.A. and I.W. performed the microbiological work, microscopy and isolated RNA; L.O. and A.T. managed transcriptome sequencing; N.Č., M.F. and S.K. processed raw transcriptome data, mapped reads, and established expression levels; N.Č., D.K. and M.D.-L. prepared the proteome database; N.Č., S.K. and T.Š. made phylostratigraphic maps; N.Č., M.F., S.K. and T.D.-L. analyzed the data and prepared the figures; N.Č. and T.D.-L. wrote the manuscript with contributions of all authors. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This study was supported by BCA-research funds, the Croatian Science Foundation under the project IP-2016-06-5924, the Adris Foundation, the European Regional Development Fund (KK.01.1.1.01.0009 DATACROSS), and the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement 955626 (PEST-BIN).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: All transcriptome data have been deposited in NCBI's Gene Expression Omnibus and are accessible through GEO Series accession number GSE199941.

Acknowledgments: We thank Thomas C. G. Bosch and Alexander Klimovich for many helpful discussions on the topic of holobiont and pathogenic bacteria.

Conflicts of Interest: C.N. declares that he was the founder and owner of BCA-clinic Betriebs GmbH & Co. KG, which offered Lyme disease diagnosis and treatments. H.-G.M., C.D.-S., V.K., S.A. and I.W. were employees of BCA-clinic Betriebs GmbH & Co. KG. The other authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Caccamo, P.D.; Brun, Y.V. The Molecular Basis of Noncanonical Bacterial Morphology. *Trends Microbiol.* 2018, 26, 191–208. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yang, D.C.; Blair, K.M.; Salama, N.R. Staying in Shape: The Impact of Cell Shape on Bacterial Survival in Diverse Environments. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 2016, 80, 187–203. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bruggemann, H.; Hagman, A.; Jules, M.; Sismeiro, O.; Dillies, M.-A.; Gouyette, C.; Kunst, F.; Steinert, M.; Heuner, K.; Coppee, J.-Y.; et al. Virulence Strategies for Infecting Phagocytes Deduced from the in Vivo Transcriptional Program of Legionella Pneumophila. *Cell. Microbiol.* 2006, *8*, 1228–1240. [CrossRef]
- 4. Hindré, T.; Brüggemann, H.; Buchrieser, C.; Héchard, Y. Transcriptional Profiling of Legionella Pneumophila Biofilm Cells and the Influence of Iron on Biofilm Formation. *Microbiology* **2008**, *154*, 30–41. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Oliva, G.; Sahr, T.; Buchrieser, C. The Life Cycle of L. Pneumophila: Cellular Differentiation Is Linked to Virulence and Metabolism. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 2018, 8, 3. [CrossRef]
- Gober, J.W.; Marques, M.V. Regulation of Cellular Differentiation in Caulobacter Crescentus. *Microbiol. Rev.* 1995, 59, 31–47. [CrossRef]
- 7. Helaine, S.; Kugelberg, E. Bacterial Persisters: Formation, Eradication, and Experimental Systems. *Trends Microbiol.* 2014, 22, 417–424. [CrossRef]
- 8. Harms, A.; Maisonneuve, E.; Gerdes, K. Mechanisms of Bacterial Persistence during Stress and Antibiotic Exposure. *Science* 2016, 354, aaf4268. [CrossRef]
- 9. Michiels, J.E.; Van den Bergh, B.; Verstraeten, N.; Michiels, J. Molecular Mechanisms and Clinical Implications of Bacterial Persistence. *Drug Resist. Updates* **2016**, *29*, 76–89. [CrossRef]
- 10. Ayrapetyan, M.; Williams, T.; Oliver, J.D. Relationship between the Viable but Nonculturable State and Antibiotic Persister Cells. *J. Bacteriol.* **2018**, 200, e00249-18. [CrossRef]
- Fisher, R.A.; Gollan, B.; Helaine, S. Persistent Bacterial Infections and Persister Cells. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 2017, 15, 453–464. [CrossRef]
- Feng, J.; Shi, W.; Zhang, S.; Zhang, Y. Persister Mechanisms in *Borrelia Burgdorferi*: Implications for Improved Intervention. *Emerg. Microbes Infect.* 2015, 4, e56. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Meriläinen, L.; Brander, H.; Herranen, A.; Schwarzbach, A.; Gilbert, L. Pleomorphic Forms of Borrelia Burgdorferi Induce Distinct Immune Responses. *Microbes Infect.* 2016, 18, 484–495. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Bamm, V.V.; Ko, J.T.; Mainprize, I.L.; Sanderson, V.P.; Wills, M.K.B. Lyme Disease Frontiers: Reconciling Borrelia Biology and Clinical Conundrums. *Pathogens* **2019**, *8*, 299. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Rosenberg, R.; Lindsey, N.P.; Fischer, M.; Gregory, C.J.; Hinckley, A.F.; Mead, P.S.; Paz-Bailey, G.; Waterman, S.H.; Drexler, N.A.; Kersh, G.J.; et al. *Vital Signs*: Trends in Reported Vectorborne Disease Cases—United States and Territories, 2004–2016. MMWR Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep. 2018, 67, 496–501. [CrossRef]
- 16. Radolf, J.D.; Caimano, M.J.; Stevenson, B.; Hu, L.T. Of Ticks, Mice and Men: Understanding the Dual-Host Lifestyle of Lyme Disease Spirochaetes. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **2012**, *10*, 87–99. [CrossRef]
- Stafford, K.C.; Cartter, M.L.; Magnarelli, L.A.; Ertel, S.-H.; Mshar, P.A. Temporal Correlations between Tick Abundance and Prevalence of Ticks Infected with *Borrelia Burgdorferi* and Increasing Incidence of Lyme Disease. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 1998, 36, 1240–1244. [CrossRef]
- Dumic, I.; Severnini, E. "Ticking Bomb": The Impact of Climate Change on the Incidence of Lyme Disease. Can. J. Infect. Dis. Med. Microbiol. 2018, 2018, 5719081. [CrossRef]
- 19. Wong, K.H.; Shapiro, E.D.; Soffer, G.K. A Review of Post-Treatment Lyme Disease Syndrome and Chronic Lyme Disease for the Practicing Immunologist. *Clinic. Rev. Allerg Immunol.* **2022**, *62*, 264–271. [CrossRef]
- Rudenko, N.; Golovchenko, M.; Kybicova, K.; Vancova, M. Metamorphoses of Lyme Disease Spirochetes: Phenomenon of Borrelia Persisters. *Parasites Vectors* 2019, 12, 237. [CrossRef]
- Vesey, P.M.; Kuramitsu, H.K. Genetic Analysis of Treponema Denticola ATCC 35405 Biofilm Formation. *Microbiology* 2004, 150, 2401–2407. [CrossRef]
- Ristow, P.; Bourhy, P.; Kerneis, S.; Schmitt, C.; Prevost, M.-C.; Lilenbaum, W.; Picardeau, M. Biofilm Formation by Saprophytic and Pathogenic Leptospires. *Microbiology* 2008, 154, 1309–1317. [CrossRef]
- Umemoto, T.; Namikawa, I.; Yamamoto, M. Colonial Morphology of Treponemes Observed by Electron Microscopy. *Microbiol. Immunol.* 1984, 28, 11–22. [CrossRef]
- 24. Barbour, A.G. Isolation and Cultivation of Lyme Disease Spirochetes. Yale J. Biol. Med. 1984, 57, 521–525.
- Vancová, M.; Rudenko, N.; Vaněček, J.; Golovchenko, M.; Strnad, M.; Rego, R.O.M.; Tichá, L.; Grubhoffer, L.; Nebesářová, J. Pleomorphism and Viability of the Lyme Disease Pathogen Borrelia Burgdorferi Exposed to Physiological Stress Conditions: A Correlative Cryo-Fluorescence and Cryo-Scanning Electron Microscopy Study. *Front. Microbiol.* 2017, *8*, 596. [CrossRef]
- Rosa, P.A.; Tilly, K.; Stewart, P.E. The Burgeoning Molecular Genetics of the Lyme Disease Spirochaete. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 2005, 3, 129–143. [CrossRef]
- 27. Kudryashev, M.; Cyrklaff, M.; Baumeister, W.; Simon, M.M.; Wallich, R.; Frischknecht, F. Comparative Cryo-Electron Tomography of Pathogenic Lyme Disease Spirochetes. *Mol. Microbiol.* **2009**, *71*, 1415–1434. [CrossRef]
- Motaleb, M.A.; Corum, L.; Bono, J.L.; Elias, A.F.; Rosa, P.; Samuels, D.S.; Charon, N.W. Borrelia Burgdorferi Periplasmic Flagella Have Both Skeletal and Motility Functions. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2000, 97, 10899–10904. [CrossRef]
- Harman, M.W.; Dunham-Ems, S.M.; Caimano, M.J.; Belperron, A.A.; Bockenstedt, L.K.; Fu, H.C.; Radolf, J.D.; Wolgemuth, C.W. The Heterogeneous Motility of the Lyme Disease Spirochete in Gelatin Mimics Dissemination through Tissue. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2012, 109, 3059–3064. [CrossRef]
- 30. Miklossy, J.; Kasas, S.; Zurn, A.D.; McCall, S.; Yu, S.; McGeer, P.L. Persisting Atypical and Cystic Forms of Borrelia Burgdorferi and Local Inflammation in Lyme Neuroborreliosis. *J. Neuroinflammation* **2008**, *5*, 40. [CrossRef]
- Alban, P.S.; Johnson, P.W.; Nelson, D.R. Serum-Starvation-Induced Changes in Protein Synthesis and Morphology of Borrelia Burgdorferi. *Microbiology* 2000, 146, 119–127. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Murgia, R.; Piazzetta, C.; Cinco, M. Cystic Forms of Borrelia Burgdorferi Sensu Lato: Induction, Development, and the Role of RpoS. Wien. Klin. Wochenschr. 2002, 114, 574–579.
- 33. Kersten, A.; Poitschek, C.; Rauch, S.; Aberer, E. Effects of Penicillin, Ceftriaxone, and Doxycycline on Morphology of Borrelia Burgdorferi. *Antimicrob. Agents. Chemother.* **1995**, *39*, 1127–1133. [CrossRef]
- Brorson, Ø.; Brorson, S.H. In Vitro Conversion OfBorrelia Burgdorferi to Cystic Forms in Spinal Fluid, and Transformation to Mobile Spirochetes by Incubation in BSK-H Medium. *Infection* 1998, 26, 144–150. [CrossRef]
- Duray, P.H.; Yin, S.; Ito, Y.; Bezrukov, L.; Cox, C.; Cho, M.; Fitzgerald, W.; Dorward, D.; Zimmerberg, J.; Margolis, L. Invasion of Human Tissue Ex Vivo by *Borrelia Burgdorferi*. J. Infect. Dis. 2005, 191, 1747–1754. [CrossRef]
- Hulínska, D.; Barták, P.; Hercogová, J.; Hančil, J.; Bašta, J.; Schramlová, J. Electron Microscopy of Langerhans Cells and Borrelia Burgdorferi in Lyme Disease Patients. Zentralblatt Für Bakteriologie 1994, 280, 348–359. [CrossRef]
- Berndtson, K. Review of Evidence for Immune Evasion and Persistent Infection in Lyme Disease. *IJGM* 2013, *6*, 291–306. [CrossRef]
- Malge, A.; Ghai, V.; Reddy, P.J.; Baxter, D.; Kim, T.-K.; Moritz, R.L.; Wang, K. MRNA Transcript Distribution Bias between Borrelia Burgdorferi Bacteria and Their Outer Membrane Vesicles. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 2018, 365, fny135. [CrossRef]
- Karvonen, K.; Tammisto, H.; Nykky, J.; Gilbert, L. Borrelia Burgdorferi Outer Membrane Vesicles Contain Antigenic Proteins, but Do Not Induce Cell Death in Human Cells. *Microorganisms* 2022, 10, 212. [CrossRef]
- 40. Whitmire, W.M.; Garon, C.F. Specific and Nonspecific Responses of Murine B Cells to Membrane Blebs of Borrelia Burgdorferi. *Infect. Immun.* **1993**, *61*, 1460–1467. [CrossRef]
- 41. Sapi, E.; Bastian, S.L.; Mpoy, C.M.; Scott, S.; Rattelle, A.; Pabbati, N.; Poruri, A.; Burugu, D.; Theophilus, P.A.S.; Pham, T.V.; et al. Characterization of Biofilm Formation by Borrelia Burgdorferi In Vitro. *PLoS ONE* **2012**, *7*, e48277. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Futo, M.; Opašić, L.; Koska, S.; Čorak, N.; Široki, T.; Ravikumar, V.; Thorsell, A.; Lenuzzi, M.; Kifer, D.; Domazet-Lošo, M.; et al. Embryo-Like Features in Developing *Bacillus Subtilis* Biofilms. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 2021, 38, 31–47. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Futo, M.; Široki, T.; Koska, S.; Čorak, N.; Tušar, A.; Domazet-Lošo, M.; Domazet-Lošo, T. A Novel Time-Lapse Imaging Method for Studying Developing Bacterial Biofilms. *Sci. Rep.* 2022, 12, 21120. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sapi, E.; Kasliwala, R.S.; Ismail, H.; Torres, J.P.; Oldakowski, M.; Markland, S.; Gaur, G.; Melillo, A.; Eisendle, K.; Liegner, K.B.; et al. The Long-Term Persistence of Borrelia Burgdorferi Antigens and DNA in the Tissues of a Patient with Lyme Disease. *Antibiotics* 2019, *8*, 183. [CrossRef]
- 45. Sapi, E.; Theophilus, P.A.S.; Pham, T.V.; Burugu, D.; Luecke, D.F. Effect of RpoN, RpoS and LuxS Pathways on the Biofilm Formation and AntibiotIc Sensitivity of *Borrelia Burgdorferi*. *Eur. J. Microbiol. Immunol.* **2016**, *6*, 272–286. [CrossRef]
- 46. Lantos, P.M.; Auwaerter, P.G.; Wormser, G.P. A Systematic Review of Borrelia Burgdorferi Morphologic Variants Does Not Support a Role in Chronic Lyme Disease. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **2014**, *58*, 663–671. [CrossRef]
- Al-Robaiy, S.; Dihazi, H.; Kacza, J.; Seeger, J.; Schiller, J.; Huster, D.; Knauer, J.; Straubinger, R.K. Metamorphosis of Borrelia Burgdorferi Organisms—RNA, Lipid and Protein Composition in Context with the Spirochetes' Shape. *J. Basic Microbiol.* 2010, 50, S5–S17. [CrossRef]
- Drecktrah, D.; Lybecker, M.; Popitsch, N.; Rescheneder, P.; Hall, L.S.; Samuels, D.S. The Borrelia Burgdorferi RelA/SpoT Homolog and Stringent Response Regulate Survival in the Tick Vector and Global Gene Expression during Starvation. *PLoS Pathog* 2015, 11, e1005160. [CrossRef]
- Domazet-Lošo, T.; Brajković, J.; Tautz, D. A Phylostratigraphy Approach to Uncover the Genomic History of Major Adaptations in Metazoan Lineages. *Trends Genet.* 2007, 23, 533–539. [CrossRef]
- Domazet-Lošo, T.; Tautz, D. A Phylogenetically Based Transcriptome Age Index Mirrors Ontogenetic Divergence Patterns. *Nature* 2010, 468, 815–818. [CrossRef]
- 51. Domazet-Lošo, T.; Tautz, D. Phylostratigraphic Tracking of Cancer Genes Suggests a Link to the Emergence of Multicellularity in Metazoa. *BMC Biol.* **2010**, *8*, 66. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Domazet-Lošo, T.; Carvunis, A.-R.; Mar Albà, M.; Sebastijan Šestak, M.; Bakarić, R.; Neme, R.; Tautz, D. No Evidence for Phylostratigraphic Bias Impacting Inferences on Patterns of Gene Emergence and Evolution. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 2017, 4, msw284. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Arnold, W.K.; Savage, C.R.; Brissette, C.A.; Seshu, J.; Livny, J.; Stevenson, B. RNA-Seq of Borrelia Burgdorferi in Multiple Phases of Growth Reveals Insights into the Dynamics of Gene Expression, Transcriptome Architecture, and Noncoding RNAs. *PLoS* ONE 2016, 11, e0164165. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 54. Wu, Q.; Guan, G.; Liu, Z.; Li, Y.; Luo, J.; Yin, H. RNA-Seq-Based Analysis of Changes in Borrelia Burgdorferi Gene Expression Linked to Pathogenicity. *Parasites Vectors* **2015**, *8*, 155. [CrossRef]
- 55. Liu, Y.; Beyer, A.; Aebersold, R. On the Dependency of Cellular Protein Levels on MRNA Abundance. *Cell* **2016**, *165*, 535–550. [CrossRef]
- 56. Byrgazov, K.; Vesper, O.; Moll, I. Ribosome Heterogeneity: Another Level of Complexity in Bacterial Translation Regulation. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* **2013**, *16*, 133–139. [CrossRef]
- 57. Genuth, N.R.; Barna, M. The Discovery of Ribosome Heterogeneity and Its Implications for Gene Regulation and Organismal Life. *Molecular. Cell* **2018**, *71*, 364–374. [CrossRef]
- 58. Fraser, C.M.; Casjens, S.; Huang, W.M.; Sutton, G.G.; Clayton, R.; Lathigra, R.; White, O.; Ketchum, K.A.; Dodson, R.; Hickey, E.K.; et al. Genomic Sequence of a Lyme Disease Spirochaete, Borrelia Burgdorferi. *Nature* **1997**, *390*, 580–586. [CrossRef]
- 59. Casjens, S.; Palmer, N.; Van Vugt, R.; Mun Huang, W.; Stevenson, B.; Rosa, P.; Lathigra, R.; Sutton, G.; Peterson, J.; Dodson, R.J.; et al. A Bacterial Genome in Flux: The Twelve Linear and Nine Circular Extrachromosomal DNAs in an Infectious Isolate of the Lyme Disease Spirochete Borrelia Burgdorferi: Borrelia Plasmids. *Mol. Microbiol.* 2000, *35*, 490–516. [CrossRef]
- 60. Kurokawa, C.; Lynn, G.E.; Pedra, J.H.F.; Pal, U.; Narasimhan, S.; Fikrig, E. Interactions between Borrelia Burgdorferi and Ticks. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **2020**, *18*, 587–600. [CrossRef]
- 61. Schwartz, I.; Margos, G.; Casjens, S.R.; Qiu, W.-G.; Eggers, C.H. Multipartite Genome of Lyme Disease *Borrelia*: Structure, Variation and Prophages. *Curr. Issues Mol. Biol.* **2022**, *42*, 409–454. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 62. Jan, A.T. Outer Membrane Vesicles (OMVs) of Gram-Negative Bacteria: A Perspective Update. *Front. Microbiol.* 2017, *8*, 1053. [CrossRef]
- 63. Adeolu, M.; Gupta, R.S. A Phylogenomic and Molecular Marker Based Proposal for the Division of the Genus Borrelia into Two Genera: The Emended Genus Borrelia Containing Only the Members of the Relapsing Fever Borrelia, and the Genus Borreliella Gen. Nov. Containing the Members of the Lyme Disease Borrelia (Borrelia Burgdorferi Sensu Lato Complex). *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek* 2014, 105, 1049–1072. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 64. Gupta, R.S. Distinction between Borrelia and Borreliella Is More Robustly Supported by Molecular and Phenotypic Characteristics than All Other Neighbouring Prokaryotic Genera: Response to Margos' et al. "The Genus Borrelia Reloaded" (*PLoS ONE* 13(12): E0208432). *PLoS ONE* 2019, 14, e0221397. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Barbour, A.G.; Gupta, R.S. The Family *Borreliaceae* (Spirochaetales), a Diverse Group in Two Genera of Tick-Borne Spirochetes of Mammals, Birds, and Reptiles. *J. Med. Entomol.* 2021, 58, 1513–1524. [CrossRef]
- 66. Margos, G.; Gofton, A.; Wibberg, D.; Dangel, A.; Marosevic, D.; Loh, S.-M.; Oskam, C.; Fingerle, V. The Genus Borrelia Reloaded. *PLoS ONE* **2018**, *13*, e0208432. [CrossRef]
- 67. Margos, G.; Fingerle, V.; Cutler, S.; Gofton, A.; Stevenson, B.; Estrada-Peña, A. Controversies in Bacterial Taxonomy: The Example of the Genus Borrelia. *Ticks Tick-Borne Dis.* **2020**, *11*, 101335. [CrossRef]

- Arahal, D.R.; Bull, C.T.; Busse, H.-J.; Christensen, H.; Chuvochina, M.; Dedysh, S.N.; Fournier, P.-E.; Konstantinidis, K.T.; Parker, C.T.; Rossello-Mora, R.; et al. Judicial Opinions 123–127. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 2022, 72, 005708. [CrossRef]
- Gupta, R.S.; Mahmood, S.; Adeolu, M. A Phylogenomic and Molecular Signature Based Approach for Characterization of the Phylum Spirochaetes and Its Major Clades: Proposal for a Taxonomic Revision of the Phylum. *Front. Microbiol.* 2013, 4, 217. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, J.-R.; Hardham, J.M.; Barbour, A.G.; Norris, S.J. Antigenic Variation in Lyme Disease Borreliae by Promiscuous Recombination of VMP-like Sequence Cassettes. *Cell* 1997, *89*, 275–285. [CrossRef]
- 71. Anderson, C.; Brissette, C.A. The Brilliance of Borrelia: Mechanisms of Host Immune Evasion by Lyme Disease-Causing Spirochetes. *Pathogens* 2021, *10*, 281. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 72. Caine, J.A.; Coburn, J. Multifunctional and Redundant Roles of Borrelia Burgdorferi Outer Surface Proteins in Tissue Adhesion, Colonization, and Complement Evasion. *Front. Immunol.* **2016**, *7*, 442. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Shoberg, R.J.; Thomas, D.D. Specific Adherence of Borrelia Burgdorferi Extracellular Vesicles to Human Endothelial Cells in Culture. *Infect. Immun.* 1993, 61, 3892–3900. [CrossRef]
- Coburn, J.; Garcia, B.; Hu, L.T.; Jewett, M.W.; Kraiczy, P.; Norris, S.J.; Skare, J. Lyme Disease Pathogenesis. *Curr. Issues Mol. Biol.* 2022, 42, 473–518. [CrossRef]
- Croucher, N.J.; Thomson, N.R. Studying Bacterial Transcriptomes Using RNA-Seq. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 2010, 13, 619–624. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 76. Hör, J.; Gorski, S.A.; Vogel, J. Bacterial RNA Biology on a Genome Scale. Molecular. Cell. 2018, 70, 785–799. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 77. Couzin-Frankel, J. Long-Underfunded Lyme Disease Research Gets an Injection of Money—And Ideas. Science 2019. [CrossRef]
- Domazet-Lošo, M.; Široki, T.; Domazet-Lošo, T. Macroevolutionary Dynamics of Gene Family Gain and Loss along Multicellular Eukaryotic Lineages; Evolutionary Biology. *bioRxiv* 2022. [CrossRef]
- McFall-Ngai, M.; Hadfield, M.G.; Bosch, T.C.G.; Carey, H.V.; Domazet-Lošo, T.; Douglas, A.E.; Dubilier, N.; Eberl, G.; Fukami, T.; Gilbert, S.F.; et al. Animals in a Bacterial World, a New Imperative for the Life Sciences. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2013, 110, 3229–3236. [CrossRef]
- 80. Domazet-Loso, T. An Evolutionary Analysis of Orphan Genes in Drosophila. Genome Res. 2003, 13, 2213–2219. [CrossRef]
- 81. Khalturin, K.; Hemmrich, G.; Fraune, S.; Augustin, R.; Bosch, T.C.G. More than Just Orphans: Are Taxonomically-Restricted Genes Important in Evolution? *Trends Genet*. **2009**, *25*, 404–413. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 82. Tautz, D.; Domazet-Lošo, T. The Evolutionary Origin of Orphan Genes. Nat. Rev. Genet. 2011, 12, 692–702. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Shi, L.; Derouiche, A.; Pandit, S.; Rahimi, S.; Kalantari, A.; Futo, M.; Ravikumar, V.; Jers, C.; Mokkapati, V.R.S.S.; Vlahoviček, K.; et al. Evolutionary Analysis of the Bacillus Subtilis Genome Reveals New Genes Involved in Sporulation. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 2020, 37, msaa035. [CrossRef]
- Drecktrah, D.; Samuels, D.S. Genetic Manipulation of *Borrelia* Spp. In *Spirochete Biology: The Post Genomic Era*; Adler, B., Ed.; Current Topics in Microbiology and Immunology; Springer International Publishing: Cham, Switzerland, 2017; Volume 415, pp. 113–140, ISBN 978-3-319-89637-3.
- 85. Rosa, P.A.; Jewett, M.W. Genetic Manipulation of Borrelia. Curr. Issues Mol. Biol. 2022, 42, 307–332. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 86. Zückert, W.R. Laboratory Maintenance of Borrelia Burgdorferi. CP Microbiol. 2007, 4, 12C.1.1-10. [CrossRef]
- 87. Li, H.; Handsaker, B.; Wysoker, A.; Fennell, T.; Ruan, J.; Homer, N.; Marth, G.; Abecasis, G.; Durbin, R. 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup The Sequence Alignment/Map Format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* **2009**, *25*, 2078–2079. [CrossRef]
- 88. Lawrence, M.; Huber, W.; Pagès, H.; Aboyoun, P.; Carlson, M.; Gentleman, R.; Morgan, M.T.; Carey, V.J. Software for Computing and Annotating Genomic Ranges. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **2013**, *9*, e1003118. [CrossRef]
- 89. Love, M.I.; Huber, W.; Anders, S. Moderated Estimation of Fold Change and Dispersion for RNA-Seq Data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* 2014, *15*, 550. [CrossRef]
- 90. Wickham, H. Ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis; Springer-Verlag: New York, NY, USA, 2016; ISBN 978-3-319-24277-4.
- 91. Benjamini, Y.; Hochberg, Y. Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B (Methodol.) 1995, 57, 289–300. [CrossRef]
- 92. Huerta-Cepas, J.; Forslund, K.; Coelho, L.P.; Szklarczyk, D.; Jensen, L.J.; von Mering, C.; Bork, P. Fast Genome-Wide Functional Annotation through Orthology Assignment by EggNOG-Mapper. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **2017**, *34*, 2115–2122. [CrossRef]
- Parks, D.H.; Chuvochina, M.; Waite, D.W.; Rinke, C.; Skarshewski, A.; Chaumeil, P.-A.; Hugenholtz, P. A Standardized Bacterial Taxonomy Based on Genome Phylogeny Substantially Revises the Tree of Life. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 2018, 36, 996–1004. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mukherjee, S.; Seshadri, R.; Varghese, N.J.; Eloe-Fadrosh, E.A.; Meier-Kolthoff, J.P.; Göker, M.; Coates, R.C.; Hadjithomas, M.; Pavlopoulos, G.A.; Paez-Espino, D.; et al. 1,003 Reference Genomes of Bacterial and Archaeal Isolates Expand Coverage of the Tree of Life. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 2017, 35, 676–683. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 95. Hug, L.A.; Baker, B.J.; Anantharaman, K.; Brown, C.T.; Probst, A.J.; Castelle, C.J.; Butterfield, C.N.; Hernsdorf, A.W.; Amano, Y.; Ise, K.; et al. A New View of the Tree of Life. *Nat. Microbiol.* **2016**, *1*, 16048. [CrossRef]
- 96. Paster, B.J.; Dewhirst, F.E. Phylogenetic Foundation of Spirochetes. J. Mol. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 2000, 2, 341–344. [PubMed]
- 97. Raymann, K.; Brochier-Armanet, C.; Gribaldo, S. The Two-Domain Tree of Life Is Linked to a New Root for the Archaea. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2015, 112, 6670–6675. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 98. Di, L.; Pagan, P.E.; Packer, D.; Martin, C.L.; Akther, S.; Ramrattan, G.; Mongodin, E.F.; Fraser, C.M.; Schutzer, S.E.; Luft, B.J.; et al. BorreliaBase: A Phylogeny-Centered Browser of Borrelia Genomes. *BMC Bioinform.* 2014, 15, 233. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 99. Rinke, C.; Schwientek, P.; Sczyrba, A.; Ivanova, N.N.; Anderson, I.J.; Cheng, J.-F.; Darling, A.; Malfatti, S.; Swan, B.K.; Gies, E.A.; et al. Insights into the Phylogeny and Coding Potential of Microbial Dark Matter. *Nature* **2013**, *499*, 431–437. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wu, D.; Hugenholtz, P.; Mavromatis, K.; Pukall, R.; Dalin, E.; Ivanova, N.N.; Kunin, V.; Goodwin, L.; Wu, M.; Tindall, B.J.; et al. A Phylogeny-Driven Genomic Encyclopaedia of Bacteria and Archaea. *Nature* 2009, 462, 1056–1060. [CrossRef]
- Richter, D.; Postic, D.; Sertour, N.; Livey, I.; Matuschka, F.-R.; Baranton, G. Delineation of Borrelia Burgdorferi Sensu Lato Species by Multilocus Sequence Analysis and Confirmation of the Delineation of Borrelia Spielmanii Sp. Nov. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 2006, 56, 873–881. [CrossRef]
- 102. Simão, F.A.; Waterhouse, R.M.; Ioannidis, P.; Kriventseva, E.V.; Zdobnov, E.M. BUSCO: Assessing Genome Assembly and Annotation Completeness with Single-Copy Orthologs. *Bioinformatics* **2015**, *31*, 3210–3212. [CrossRef]
- Altschul, S.F.; Gish, W.; Miller, W.; Myers, E.W.; Lipman, D.J. Basic Local Alignment Search Tool. J. Mol. Biol. 1990, 215, 403–410. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.