Analysis of polymorphisms in EGF, EGFR and HER2 genes in pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors (PNETs)

Short title: EGF, EGFR and HER2 SNPs in pancreatic NETs

Marinović, Sonja, PhD¹*, Cigrovski Berković, Maja, MD, PhD^{2,3}*, Zjačić-Rotkvić, Vanja, MD, PhD³, Kapitanović, Sanja, MD, PhD¹

- ¹ Division of Molecular Medicine, Ruđer Bošković Institute, Zagreb, Croatia
- ² Department of Endocrinology, Diabetes, Metabolism and Clinical Pharmacology, University Hospital Dubrava, Zagreb, Croatia
- ³ Department for Endocrinology, Diabetes and Metabolism, University Clinical Hospital Centre "Sestre milosrdnice", Zagreb, Croatia

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

Corresponding author:

Sanja Kapitanović, MD, PhD. Laboratory for Personalized Medicine Ruđer Bošković Institute Bijenička c. 54 10000 Zagreb Croatia Telephone: +385 1 456 1108 E-mail: kapitan@irb.hr

ABSTRACT

Objectives: Pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors (NETs) are rare and account for about 7% of all cancers occurring in the pancreas. The epidermal growth factor family of receptors and their ligands play an important role in the growth and progression of tumors but their role in PNET development remains unknown. We hypothesized that functional single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the *EGF*, *EGFR*, and *HER2* genes might affect individual susceptibility to PNETs development and invasion like it was shown for various other tumors.

Methods: We genotyped 68 patients with unresectable PNETs and 300 controls to evaluate the association between *EGF*, *EGFR*, and *HER2* polymorphisms and susceptibility to PNETs and presence of metastases.

Results: Genotype analysis of three SNPs EGF + 61A/G (rs4444903), EGFR + 1562 G/A (rs11543848), and HER2 + 1963 A/G (rs1136201) showed that carriers of EGFR + 1562 AG genotype and AA/AG EGF+61/HER2+1963 genotype combination are at risk of developing PNET. Furthermore, EGFR +1562 AA genotype could be associated with the susceptibility to insulinoma development.

Conclusions: Our results suggest involvement of EGFR signaling pathway in etiology of PNET development.

Keywords: pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors, EGF, EGFR, HER2, metastasis

Introduction

Pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors (PNETs) are a heterogeneous group of rare neoplasms that originate from pancreatic endocrine tissue and range from quite indolent to highly aggressive[1]. Functional PNETs (PNET-F) produce specific hormones and hormone-related syndromes while nonfunctional PNETs (PNET-NF) instead cause morbidity and mortality by invading normal tissues[2]. The frequency of both functional and nonfunctional PNETs is on the continuous rise[3] and over 60% of tumors are diagnosed at the advanced stages, with metastases present[4]. The only effective approach is surgical resection, which is possible in 15% of PNET patients[5] while other treatments for patients with the advanced disease include therapy for the relief of clinical symptoms and tumor growth stabilization[6]. While the genetics of a small proportion of inherited forms of PNETs is better understood, little is known about the oncogenesis of sporadic PNETs, which form the tumor majority[7, 8]. In recent years, several molecular profiling studies have revealed important PNET-signature genes and documented a strong statistical association between common genetic variations and genetic susceptibility to PNETs[9, 10]. Interestingly, many of these variants are not correlated with protein-coding changes sites suggesting that they rather play a role in gene regulation[11, 12].

In homeostasis, growth factors and their receptors have a function in the regulation of cell proliferation, differentiation, adhesion, and migration[13]. However, in pathological settings, they can become drivers of tumorigenesis[14], migration and invasion[15]. Inappropriate activation or overexpression of epidermal growth factor (EGF) and its epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) is frequently present in various tumors[16-19]. The binding of EGF polypeptide induces EGFR homodimerization or heterodimerization with human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2, ERBB2), and subsequent activation of downstream RAS-RAF-MAPK signaling pathway which, in tumor settings, has been associated with the growth and progression of neoplasia[20]. Expression of EGFR and HER2 has been identified in most

of the PNETs[21-23] and next-generation sequencing analysis showed that EGFR and HER2 have missense genomic alterations or amplification in neuroendocrine neoplasms[24]. Indeed, it has been previously demonstrated that activated EGFR expression correlates with tumor growth[25], progression, and worse prognosis in PNET patients[26].

The expression and activity of both EGF and its receptors EGFR and HER2 can be modified by several known polymorphisms in their genes[27-29]. One of the most studied *EGF* polymorphisms is +61A/G (rs4444903) that has been associated with a higher EGF levels and higher susceptibility to various carcinomas in individuals with variant alleles[30]. Similarly, in *HER2* SNP +1963 A/G (rs1136201) presence of variant allele has been associated with a higher risk of breast cancer[31] and worse survival in patients with advanced cancer of the head and neck[27]. For *EGFR*, it has been demonstrated that lysine substitution in polymorphism +1562 G/A (R521K) (rs11543848) is associated with lower EGFR expression and lower risk of the lung[32] and breast[31] cancer development.

Given that the epidermal growth factor family and their ligands play a central role in the regulation of cell growth, proliferation, and migration, the study of polymorphisms affecting their expression may address their relationship with the occurrence of the disease and its progression. Based on previous findings, in this research, we decided to investigate the correlation between *EGF* rs4444903, *EGFR* rs11543848, and *HER2* rs1136201 and susceptibility to PNETs development. Moreover, we investigated the potential correlation with polymorphisms of the studied genes and presence or absence of metastasis in patients with functional and nonfunctional PNET forms.

Patients and Methods

Patients

The study included 68 patients diagnosed with pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors and 300 healthy unrelated individuals. Patients, as well as controls, were Caucasians of Croatian nationality. Patients were recruited from the Department of Endocrinology, Diabetes, and Metabolism, University Hospital Centre "Sestre milosrdnice" and all gave written informed consent for the participation in this study. Diagnosis was confirmed by standard procedures including imaging techniques and endoscopic procedures followed by tumor tissue biopsies. Prior to analysis, we excluded 14 PNET cases because of clinical or genetic diagnoses of MEN1, MEN2 or NF1 mutation. Among patients 43 had nonfunctional and 25 functional tumors, associated with hypoglicemia[33] and diarrhea (VIP-oma [34], gastrinoma[35], glucagonoma and carcinoid syndrome[36]) respectively. Functional tumors were then further divided into PNET group consisting of patients with VIP-oma, gastrinoma, glucagonoma and carcinoid syndrome while insulinoma cases were separated from analysis of other functional PNETs due to different clinical behavior and prognosis. In 23 of 68 PNET cases, lymph node, liver, or spleen metastases were present when the primary tumor was detected. The study was approved by Ethics Committees of the University Hospital Centre "Sestre Milosrdnice" and the School of Medicine University of Zagreb. DNAs from 300 healthy volunteers were obtained from the Croatian Tumor and DNA Bank for Basic Research[37].

Methods

<u>SNP genotyping</u>. The analyzed SNPs included *EGF* rs4444903, *EGFR* rs11543848, and *HER2* rs1136201. Genomic DNAs were isolated from peripheral blood of patients and healthy controls using proteinase K digestion and phenol-chloroform extraction. SNP genotypes were determined using predeveloped TaqMan® SNP Genotyping Assays C_27031637_30 for rs4444903, C_16170352_20 for rs2227983, and C_7452451_1_ for rs1136201 using the Applied Biosystems 7300 Real-Time PCR Systems (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA), according to the manufacturer's standard protocol. For quality control, 15% of randomly

selected samples of both cases and control were analyzed a second time, without finding any discrepancies. Control samples covering three possible SNP genotypes and no template control were run in parallel with tested samples in each experiment.

Statistics

The odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence intervals, as well as χ^2 and Fisher's test, were calculated for *EGF*, *EGFR* and *HER2* polymorphisms and PNET risk by using GraphPad (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA). The P values are all two-sided and the level of significance was 0.05.

Results

Blood samples of 68 patients with pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors and 300 healthy cancerfree unrelated individuals were included in the study. For all polymorphisms, observed genotype distributions were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium in both the controls and PNETs. Data on patients' clinical characteristics are presented in Table 1.

Genotype distribution of *EGF* +61A/G, *EGFR* +1562 G/A (R521K), and *HER2* +1963 A/G (I655V) polymorphisms among PNET patients and healthy controls is presented in Table 2. Multiple logistic regression analysis revealed no association between *EGF* and *HER2* polymorphism genotype variants and the risk of PNET development (p>0.05; Table 2). However, we found statistically significant association between presence of *EGFR* +1562 AG genotype and risk of PNET development (p=0.045, Table 2). Even though *EGF* and *HER* polymorphisms did not show any effect on PNET development on their own, there was a statistically significant association between AA/AG *EGF*+61/*HER2*+1963 genotype combination and risk of PNET development (p=0.038; Supplementary Table 1). Supplementary Tables 1-4 show population at risk for developing PNETs according to various *EGF*, *EGFR* and *HER2* genotype combinations.

Distribution differences in *EGF* +61A/G, *EGFR* +1562 G/A (R521K), and *HER2* +1963 A/G (I655V) genotypes divided between patients with nonfunctional and functional PNETs in comparison to healthy controls are given in Table 3 and Table 4, respectively. There were no statistically significant associations between the analyzed SNPs and the risk of developing either functional or nonfunctional PNETs (Table 3, Table 4). Likewise, separate analysis of genotype distribution among insulinoma patients and healthy controls showed no statistically significant association between above mentioned *EGF*, *EGFR*, or *HER2* polymorphism genotype variants and the risk of PNET development (Table 5).

In Table 6, distribution differences of three SNPs between patients with functional and nonfunctional PNETs are given. Even though there were no statistically significant differences between the patients with functional and nonfunctional PNETs in any of the analyzed SNP, when SNP frequencies were compared between patients with insulinoma and nonfunctional PNETs, the analysis revealed higher proportion of *EGFR* +1562 AG and AG+GG carriers in the patients with nonfunctional PNET in comparison to insulinoma patients (p=0.02 and p=0.05, Table 7).

From all PNET patients, 25 patients had metastases present at the time of diagnosis. Our analyses concerning the distribution of SNPs prevalence of these genotypes in individuals with metastases showed that there was no association between the prevalence of studied genotypes and presence or absence of metastases in our PNET patients (Table 8).

Discussion

Several research advances have been made in the field of neuroendocrine neoplasms. Despite broad research, molecular pathways that play a role in development and the genetic susceptibility in the population of patients with sporadic NETs still remain unknown[38].

Although gene mutations were confirmed in a proportion of sporadic PNETs, they are responsible for tumorigenesis of less than half of PNETs[1], while for the rest of tumors more important drivers of malignant transformation seem to be related to genetic differences between patients. EGF +61A>G (rs4444903), EGFR +1562 G>A (rs11543848) and HER2 +1963 A>G (rs1136201) have recently been proposed to play a role in carcinogenesis and impact susceptibility to various carcinomas. In addition, EGFR overexpression has been correlated with aggressive growth in gastrinoma, subtype of functional PNET[39]. Therefore, in this study, we tried to address the potential relation of polymorphisms in EGFR pathway with differences in incidence and metastatic status of functional and nonfunctional PNETs. Similar like in the other tumors, we found that SNPs in EGFR signaling pathway could be associated with an increased risk of PNET development. We observed a higher prevalence of the AG genotype of EGFR +1562 SNP in the PNET patients in comparison to control group which goes in line with previous observations that wild type allele G predisposes to higher risk of lung and breast cancer development[31, 32]. Moreover, combined AA and AG genotypes of EGF+61 and HER2+1963 were associated with the risk of PNET development which is partially in contrast with previous studies that reported EGF+61 G allele as a risk factor for gastric cancer and glioma susceptibility[40, 41]. In contrast, we found that none of the variant genotypes of neither EGF, EGFR, nor HER2 is associated with the presence of the metastasis.

The role of the epidermal growth factor family of receptor tyrosine kinases has been established in tumorigenesis of different tumors, including gastrointestinal and pancreatic cancer[42-45]. Several studies have suggested that EGFR signal transduction pathway could be targeted for a therapy of unresectable metastatic gastrointestinal carcinoid tumors and pancreatic endocrine tumors[26, 46] since EGFR pathway activation can be detected in a large proportion of PNETs with high grading and poor prognosis[22, 26]. However, trials with EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors resulted in low tumor response [47] which could be partially explained by the fact that EGFR can be detected in a large proportion of gastrinomas but much less so in insulinomas and nonfunctional PNETs[22]. Therefore, we decided to divide the PNET group into functional and nonfunctional patients. Given that previous publications showed that insulinoma PNETs are distinct tumor subtype that has different clinical behavior and prognosis[3] we decided to separated them from other functional PNETs. With this stratified analysis we showed higher presence of *EGFR* +1562 AG genotype and combined AG+GG genotype in patients with nonfunctional PNET in comparison to insulinoma patients suggesting that presence of AA genotype could be associated with the risk of insulinoma development. Interestingly, other authors have also showed that the molecular alterations in sporadic insulinoma are quite different from that in non-insulinoma PNETs. Cao et al. identified recurrent YY1 T372R mutation in insulinomas[48], however this was not found in other PNETs[49].

PNETs tend to show an aggressive course with metastases to the lymph nodes, liver, or spleen. Despite significant advances in treatment options, the presence or development of liver metastases is a poor prognostic factor for survival of PNET patients. For many years, the only known mutation responsible for aggressive growth and metastasis in these tumors was in MEN1 gene. However, in 2011. Jiao et al. reported frequent alterations in variety of genes in the mTOR pathway that are associated with metastasis and proliferation in PNETs[50]. Since EGFR and HER, together with numerous growth factors, are a part of phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase (PI3K)/AKT/mTOR pathway we decided to investigate if above mentioned genetic polymorphisms are associated with increased risk of metastases in patients with PNET. However, in our analysis none of the targeted SNPs in investigated genes were significantly associated with the presence of metastases.

In conclusion, our study is to the best of our knowledge the first to investigate the relationship between the potential role of *EGF*, *EGFR*, and *HER2* polymorphisms and PNET susceptibility and metastasis presence. Our results showed statistically significant association between the

9

EGFR +1562 AG and AA/AG *EGF*+61/*HER2*+1963 genotype combination and susceptibility to PNET development. These results suggest involvement of EGFR signaling pathway in PNET development. The main limitation of our current study is the relatively small number of functional, but also overall PNET patients, however since this is a rare neoplasm, this problem is difficult to address. In addition, it is possible that either other metastasis-related genes or other SNPs in *EGR*, *EGFR*, and *HER2* genes might also contribute to disease and metastasis development. Therefore, further investigations are needed to better understand the genetic basis for PNET development, particularly in aggressive tumor subtypes with present metastases.

DECLARATIONS

Conflict of interests

The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

Availability of data and materials:

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request

Ethics approval and informed consent to participate

Written informed consent was obtained from all patients included in the study. The study was approved by the ethics committee of University Hospital Centre "Sestre Milosrdnice", Zagreb and Medical School, University of Zagreb, and was performed under the ethical standards of the Helsinki Declaration.

Authors' contributions

S. Marinović: Investigation, Formal analysis, Writing - Original Draft, M. Cigrovski
Berković: Resources, Investigation, Writing- Original Draft V. Zjačić-Rotkvić: Resources,
Funding Acquisition S. Kapitanović: Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, WritingReviewing and Editing.

Financial support: The present study was supported by the grants of Croatian Science Foundation (grant number HRZZ-IP-2016-06-1430) and the Ministry of Science, Education, and Sports of the Republic of Croatia (grant numbers 134-1342428-0491 and 098-0982464-2508)

Literature

[1] Scarpa A. The landscape of molecular alterations in pancreatic and small intestinal neuroendocrine tumours. Ann Endocrinol (Paris) 2019;80:153-8.

[2] Ehehalt F, Saeger HD, Schmidt CM, Grutzmann R. Neuroendocrine tumors of the pancreas. Oncologist 2009;14:456-67.

[3] Falconi M, Eriksson B, Kaltsas G, Bartsch DK, Capdevila J, Caplin M, Kos-Kudla B, Kwekkeboom D, Rindi G, Kloppel G, Reed N, Kianmanesh R, Jensen RT, Vienna Consensus Conference p. ENETS Consensus Guidelines Update for the Management of Patients with Functional Pancreatic Neuroendocrine Tumors and Non-Functional Pancreatic Neuroendocrine Tumors. Neuroendocrinology 2016;103:153-71.

[4] Yadav S, Sharma P, Zakalik D. Comparison of Demographics, Tumor Characteristics, and Survival Between Pancreatic Adenocarcinomas and Pancreatic Neuroendocrine Tumors: A Population-based Study. Am J Clin Oncol 2018;41:485-91.

[5] Schimmack S, Svejda B, Lawrence B, Kidd M, Modlin IM. The diversity and commonalities of gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. Langenbecks Arch Surg 2011;396:273-98.

[6] Perri G, Prakash LR, Katz MHG. Pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. Curr Opin Gastroenterol 2019;35:468-77.

[7] Kidd M, Modlin IM, Bodei L, Drozdov I. Decoding the Molecular and Mutational Ambiguities of Gastroenteropancreatic Neuroendocrine Neoplasm Pathobiology. Cell Mol Gastroenterol Hepatol 2015;1:131-53.

[8] Di Florio A, Sancho V, Moreno P, Delle Fave G, Jensen RT. Gastrointestinal hormones stimulate growth of Foregut Neuroendocrine Tumors by transactivating the EGF receptor. Biochim Biophys Acta 2013;1833:573-82.

[9] Campa D, Capurso G, Pastore M, Talar-Wojnarowska R, Milanetto AC, Landoni L, Maiello E, Lawlor RT, Malecka-Panas E, Funel N, Gazouli M, De Bonis A, Kluter H, Rinzivillo M, Delle Fave G, Hackert T, Landi S, Bugert P, Bambi F, Archibugi L, Scarpa A, Katzke V, Dervenis C, Lico V, Furlanello S, Strobel O, Tavano F, Basso D, Kaaks R, Pasquali C, Gentiluomo M, Rizzato C, Canzian F. Common germline variants within the CDKN2A/2B region affect risk of pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. Sci Rep 2016;6:39565.

[10] Karakaxas D, Sioziou A, Aravantinos G, Coker A, Papanikolaou IS, Liakakos T, Dervenis C, Gazouli M. Genetic polymorphisms of interleukin 1beta gene and sporadic pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors susceptibility. World J Gastrointest Oncol 2016;8:520-5.

[11] Peduzzi G, Gentiluomo M, Tavano F, Arcidiacono PG, Ermini S, Vodicka P, Boggi U, Cavestro GM, Capurso G, Morelli L, Milanetto AC, Pezzilli R, Lawlor RT, Carrara S, Lovecek M, Soucek P, Guo F, Hackert T, Uzunoglu FG, Gazouli M, Parniczky A, Kupcinskas J, Bijlsma MF, Bueno-de-Mesquita B, Vermeulen R, van Eijck CHJ, Jamroziak K, Talar-Wojnarowska R, Greenhalf W, Gioffreda D, Petrone MC, Landi S, Archibugi L, Puzzono M, Funel N, Sperti C, Piredda ML, Mohelnikova-Duchonova B, Lu Y, Hlavac V, Gao X, Schneider M, Izbicki JR, Theodoropoulos G, Bunduc S, Kreivenaite E, Busch OR, Malecka-Panas E, Costello E, Perri F, Testoni SGG, Vanella G, Pasquali C, Oliverius M, Brenner H, Loos M, Gotz M, Georgiou K, Eross B, Maiello E, Szentesi A, Bazzocchi F, Basso D, Neoptolemos JP, Hegyi P, Kiudelis V, Canzian F, Campa D. Genetic Polymorphisms Involved in Mitochondrial Metabolism and Pancreatic Cancer Risk. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2021;30:2342-5.

[12] Obazee O, Capurso G, Tavano F, Archibugi L, De Bonis A, Greenhalf W, Key T, Pasquali C, Milanetto AC, Hackert T, Fogar P, Lico V, Dervenis C, Lawlor RT, Landoni L, Gazouli M, Zambon CF, Funel N, Strobel O, Jamroziak K, Cantu C, Malecka-Panas E, Landi S, Neoptolemos JP, Basso D, Talar-Wojnarowska R, Rinzivillo M, Andriulli A, Canzian F,

Campa D. Common genetic variants associated with pancreatic adenocarcinoma may also modify risk of pancreatic neuroendocrine neoplasms. Carcinogenesis 2018;39:360-7.

[13] Rozengurt E. Growth factors, cell proliferation and cancer: an overview. Mol Biol Med 1983;1:169-81.

[14] Mendelsohn J, Baselga J. The EGF receptor family as targets for cancer therapy. Oncogene 2000;19:6550-65.

[15] Thomas R, Weihua Z. Rethink of EGFR in Cancer With Its Kinase Independent Function on Board. Front Oncol 2019;9:800.

[16] Schrevel M, Gorter A, Kolkman-Uljee SM, Trimbos JB, Fleuren GJ, Jordanova ES. Molecular mechanisms of epidermal growth factor receptor overexpression in patients with cervical cancer. Mod Pathol 2011;24:720-8.

[17] Penault-Llorca F, Bibeau F, Arnould L, Bralet MP, Rochaix P, Sabourin JC. [EGFR expression in colorectal cancer and role in tumorigenesis]. Bull Cancer 2005;92:S5-11.

[18] Jiang W, Wang X, Zhang C, Xue L, Yang L. Expression and clinical significance of MAPK and EGFR in triple-negative breast cancer. Oncol Lett 2020;19:1842-8.

[19] Yoshikawa T, Aoyama T, Sakamaki K, Oshima T, Lin J, Zhang S, Sapari NS, Soong R, Tan I, Chan XB, Bottomley D, Hewitt LC, Arai T, Teh BT, Epstein D, Ogata T, Kameda Y, Miyagi Y, Tsuburaya A, Morita S, Grabsch HI, Tan P. Comprehensive biomarker analyses identifies HER2, EGFR, MET RNA expression and thymidylate synthase 5'UTR SNP as predictors of benefit from S-1 adjuvant chemotherapy in Japanese patients with stage II/III gastric cancer. J Cancer 2019;10:5130-8.

[20] Jorissen RN, Walker F, Pouliot N, Garrett TP, Ward CW, Burgess AW. Epidermal growth factor receptor: mechanisms of activation and signalling. Exp Cell Res 2003;284:31-53.

[21] Gilbert JA, Adhikari LJ, Lloyd RV, Halfdanarson TR, Muders MH, Ames MM. Molecular markers for novel therapeutic strategies in pancreatic endocrine tumors. Pancreas 2013;42:411-21.

[22] Wulbrand U, Wied M, Zofel P, Goke B, Arnold R, Fehmann H. Growth factor receptor expression in human gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumours. Eur J Clin Invest 1998;28:1038-49.

[23] Srirajaskanthan R, Shah T, Watkins J, Marelli L, Khan K, Caplin ME. Expression of the HER-1-4 family of receptor tyrosine kinases in neuroendocrine tumours. Oncol Rep 2010;23:909-15.

[24] Zakka K, Nagy R, Drusbosky L, Akce M, Wu C, Alese OB, El-Rayes BF, Kasi PM, Mody K, Starr J, Shaib WL. Blood-based next-generation sequencing analysis of neuroendocrine neoplasms. Oncotarget 2020;11:1749-57.

[25] Townsend CM, Jr., Ishizuka J, Thompson JC. Studies of growth regulation in a neuroendocrine cell line. Acta Oncol 1993;32:125-30.

[26] Papouchado B, Erickson LA, Rohlinger AL, Hobday TJ, Erlichman C, Ames MM, Lloyd RV. Epidermal growth factor receptor and activated epidermal growth factor receptor expression in gastrointestinal carcinoids and pancreatic endocrine carcinomas. Mod Pathol 2005;18:1329-35.

[27] Stoehlmacher-Williams J, Obermann L, Ehninger G, Goekkurt E. Polymorphisms of the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) and survival in patients with advanced cancer of the head and neck (HNSCC). Anticancer Res 2012;32:421-5.

[28] Maeda H, Hazama S, Iwamoto S, Oba K, Tsunedomi R, Okayama N, Suehiro Y, Yamasaki T, Nakagami Y, Suzuki N, Nagano H, Sakamoto J, Mishima H, Nagata N. Association between polymorphisms in EGFR and tumor response during cetuximab and oxaliplatin-based combination therapy in metastatic colorectal cancer: Analysis of data from two clinical trials. Oncol Lett 2019;18:4555-62.

[29] Guo H, Xing Y, Liu R, Chen S, Bian X, Wang F, Yang C, Wang X. -216G/T (rs712829), a functional variant of the EGFR promoter, is associated with the pleural metastasis of lung adenocarcinoma. Oncol Lett 2013;6:693-8.

[30] Gholizadeh M, Khosravi A, Torabian P, Gholipoor N, Mansour Samaei N. Association of the epidermal growth factor gene +61A>G polymorphism with hepatocellular carcinoma in an Iranian population. Gastroenterol Hepatol Bed Bench 2017;10:284-8.

[31] AbdRaboh NR, Shehata HH, Ahmed MB, Bayoumi FA. HER1 R497K and HER2 I655V polymorphisms are linked to development of breast cancer. Dis Markers 2013;34:407-17.

[32] Sasaki H, Okuda K, Shimizu S, Takada M, Kawahara M, Kitahara N, Okumura M, Matsumura A, Iuchi K, Kawaguchi T, Kubo A, Kawano O, Yukiue H, Yano M, Fujii Y. EGFR R497K polymorphism is a favorable prognostic factor for advanced lung cancer. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 2009;135:313-8.

[33] Barber MD, Powell JJ, Lynch SF, Fearon KC, Ross JA. A polymorphism of the interleukin-1 beta gene influences survival in pancreatic cancer. Br J Cancer 2000;83:1443-7.

[34] Massironi S, Sciola V, Peracchi M, Ciafardini C, Spampatti MP, Conte D. Neuroendocrine tumors of the gastro-entero-pancreatic system. World J Gastroenterol 2008;14:5377-84.

[35] House MG, Herman JG, Guo MZ, Hooker CM, Schulick RD, Cameron JL, Hruban RH, Maitra A, Yeo CJ. Prognostic value of hMLH1 methylation and microsatellite instability in pancreatic endocrine neoplasms. Surgery 2003;134:902-8; discussion 9.

[36] Ankarcrona M, Dypbukt JM, Brune B, Nicotera P. Interleukin-1 beta-induced nitric oxide production activates apoptosis in pancreatic RINm5F cells. Exp Cell Res 1994;213:172-7.

[37] Spaventi R, Pecur L, Pavelic K, Pavelic ZP, Spaventi S, Stambrook PJ. Human tumour bank in Croatia: a possible model for a small bank as part of the future European tumour bank network. Eur J Cancer 1994;30A:419.

[38] Rindi G, Wiedenmann B. Neuroendocrine neoplasia of the gastrointestinal tract revisited: towards precision medicine. Nat Rev Endocrinol 2020;16:590-607.

[39] Peghini PL, Iwamoto M, Raffeld M, Chen YJ, Goebel SU, Serrano J, Jensen RT. Overexpression of epidermal growth factor and hepatocyte growth factor receptors in a proportion of gastrinomas correlates with aggressive growth and lower curability. Clin Cancer Res 2002;8:2273-85.

[40] Chen X, Yang G, Zhang D, Zhang W, Zou H, Zhao H, Zhang X, Zhao S. Association between the epidermal growth factor +61 G/A polymorphism and glioma risk: a meta-analysis. PLoS One 2014;9:e95139.

[41] Hamai Y, Matsumura S, Matsusaki K, Kitadai Y, Yoshida K, Yamaguchi Y, Imai K, Nakachi K, Toge T, Yasui W. A single nucleotide polymorphism in the 5' untranslated region of the EGF gene is associated with occurrence and malignant progression of gastric cancer. Pathobiology 2005;72:133-8.

[42] Sainsbury JR, Farndon JR, Needham GK, Malcolm AJ, Harris AL. Epidermal-growthfactor receptor status as predictor of early recurrence of and death from breast cancer. Lancet 1987;1:1398-402.

[43] Cortesina G, Martone T, Galeazzi E, Olivero M, De Stefani A, Bussi M, Valente G, Comoglio PM, Di Renzo MF. Staging of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma using the MET oncogene product as marker of tumor cells in lymph node metastases. Int J Cancer 2000;89:286-92.

[44] Chen BK, Ohtsuki Y, Furihata M, Takeuchi T, Iwata J, Liang SB, Sonobe H. Overexpression of c-Met protein in human thyroid tumors correlated with lymph node metastasis and clinicopathologic stage. Pathol Res Pract 1999;195:427-33.

[45] Sakamoto S, Kitahara S, Sumi S, Horiuchi S, Yoshida K. Relationship of epidermal growth factor binding capacity to histopathologic features and prognosis in human renal cell carcinoma. Invasion Metastasis 1997;17:94-100.

[46] Larbouret C, Gaborit N, Chardes T, Coelho M, Campigna E, Bascoul-Mollevi C, Mach JP, Azria D, Robert B, Pelegrin A. In pancreatic carcinoma, dual EGFR/HER2 targeting with cetuximab/trastuzumab is more effective than treatment with trastuzumab/erlotinib or lapatinib alone: implication of receptors' down-regulation and dimers' disruption. Neoplasia 2012;14:121-30.

[47] T. J. Hobday KH, R. Donehower, J. Camoriano, G. Kim, J. PicusP. Philip, R. Lloyd, M. Mahoney, C. Erlichman. A phase II trial of gefitinib in patients (pts) with progressive metastatic neuroendocrine tumors (NET): A Phase II Consortium (P2C) study. Journal of Clinical Oncology 2006;24:4043.

[48] Cao Y, Gao Z, Li L, Jiang X, Shan A, Cai J, Peng Y, Li Y, Jiang X, Huang X, Wang J, Wei Q, Qin G, Zhao J, Jin X, Liu L, Li Y, Wang W, Wang J, Ning G. Whole exome sequencing of insulinoma reveals recurrent T372R mutations in YY1. Nat Commun 2013;4:2810.

[49] Song YL, Xu J, Zhao DC, Zhang TP, Jin KZ, Zhu LM, Yu S, Chen YJ. Mutation and Expression of Gene YY1 in Pancreatic Neuroendocrine Tumors and Its Clinical Significance. Endocr Pract 2021;27:874-80.

[50] Jiao Y, Shi C, Edil BH, de Wilde RF, Klimstra DS, Maitra A, Schulick RD, Tang LH, Wolfgang CL, Choti MA, Velculescu VE, Diaz LA, Jr., Vogelstein B, Kinzler KW, Hruban RH, Papadopoulos N. DAXX/ATRX, MEN1, and mTOR pathway genes are frequently altered in pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. Science 2011;331:1199-203.

	Pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor cases	Healthy controls
Number (n)	68	300
Mean age, years (range)	55.22 (22-86)	64.03 (26-88)
Gender (n)		
Male	29	171
Female	39	129
Tumor functional status (n)		
Functional	25	
Nonfunctional	43	
Metastasis present		
Yes	23	
No	45	

Table 1 Characteristics of pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor patients

Table 2 Genotype frequencies of EGF +61A/G, EGFR +1562 G/A (R521K) and HER2 +1963 A/G (I655V) polymorphisms in pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor patients (excluding insulinoma) and healthy controls

Polymorphisms		PNET Controls 55 (%) 300 (%)		OR (95% CI)	Р
	AA	16 (29,1)	108 (36)	1	
	AG	31 (56,4)	134 (44.7)	0.640 (0.332-1.232)	0.200
EGF	GG	8 (14,5)	58 (19.3)	1.074 (0.433-2.660)	>0.999
+61 A/G	AG + GG	39 (70,1)	192 (64)	0.729 (0.389-1.367)	0.110
	А	63 (57,3)	350 (58.3)	1	
	G	47 (42,7)	250 (41.7)	0.957 (0.634-1.445)	0.833
	AA	0	17 (5.7)	1	
EGFR	AG	28 (50,9)	118 (39.3)	0.118 (0.006-2.036)	0.045
+1562 G/A	GG	27 (49,1)	165 (55)	0.171 (0.010-2.945)	0.136
(R521K)	AG + GG	55 (100)	283 (94.3)	0.145 (0.008-2.465)	0.086
	А	28 (25,4)	152 (25.3)	1	
	G	82 (74,6)	448 (74.7)	1.006 (0.631-1.605)	>0.999
	AA	42 (76,3)	197 (65.7)	1	
	AG	11 (20)	91 (30.3)	1.764 (0.868-3.584)	0.141
HER2 $\downarrow 1063 \text{ A/C}$	GG	2 (3,7)	12 (4)	1.279 (0.275-5.931)	0.999
+1963 A/G	AG + GG	13 (23,7)	103 (34.3)	1.689 (0.867-3.289)	0.157
	А	95 (86,4)	485 (80.8)	1	
	G	15 (13,6)	115 (19.2)	1.502 (0.839-2.686)	0.182

Table 3 Genotype frequencies of EGF +61A/G, EGFR +1562 G/A (R521K), and HER2 +1963 A/G (I655V) polymorphisms in nonfunctional pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor patients and healthy controls

Polymorphisms		PNET NF	Controls	OR (95% CI)	Р
	1	43 (%)	300 (%)		
	AA	12 (27.9)	108 (36)	1	
	AG	24 (55.8)	134 (44.7)	0.620 (0.300-1.286)	0.213
EGF	GG	7 (16.3)	58 (19.3)	0.920 (0.351-2.368)	>0.999
+61 A/G	AG + GG	31 (72.1)	192 (64)	0.688 (0.349-1.393)	0.393
	А	48 (55.8)	350 (58.3)	1	
	G	38 (44.2)	250 (41.7)	0.902 (0.572-1.410)	0.726
	AA	0 (0)	17 (5.7)	1	
_ ~ ~ ~ ~	AG	21 (48.8)	118 (39.3)	0.312 (0.028-1.773)	0.477
EGFR	GG	22 (51.2)	165 (55)	0.416 (0.038-2.737)	0.702
(R521K)	AG + GG	43 (100)	283 (94.3)	0.365 (0.034-2.198)	0.487
	А	21 (24.4)	152 (25.3)	1	
	G	65 (75.6)	448 (74.7)	0.952 (0.561-1.607)	0.895
	AA	31 (72.1)	197 (65.7)	1	
	AG	10 (23.2)	91 (30.3)	1.432 (0.696-2.918)	0.469
HER2	GG	2 (4.7)	12 (4)	0.944 (0.216-4.406)	1.000
(I655V)	AG + GG	12 (27.9)	103 (34.3)	1.351 (0.665-2.660)	0.491
()	А	72 (83.7)	485 (80.8)	1	
	G	14 (16.3)	115 (19.2)	1.219 (0.681-2.219)	0.658

Table 4 Genotype frequencies of EGF +61A/G, EGFR +1562 G/A (R521K) and HER2 +1963 A/G (I655V) polymorphisms in functional pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor patients and healthy controls

Polymorphisms		PNET F 12 (%)	Controls 300 (%)	OR (95% CI)	Р
	AA	4 (33,3)	108 (36)	1	
	AG	7 (58,3)	134 (44.7)	0.709 (0.202-2.486)	0.534
EGF	GG	1 (8,4)	58 (19.3)	2.148 (0.234-19.68)	0.207
+61 A/G	AG + GG	8 (66,7)	192 (64)	0.888 (0.261-3.021)	>0.999
	А	15 (62,5)	350 (58.3)	1	
	G	9 (37,5)	250 (41.7)	1.190 (0.512-2.764)	0.830
	AA	0 (0)	17 (5.7)	1	
EGFR	AG	5 (41,7)	118 (39.3)	0.610 (0.032-11.53)	>0.999
+1562 G/A	GG	7 (58,3)	165 (55)	0.630 (0.034-11.52)	>0.999
(R521K)	AG + GG	12 (100)	283 (94.3)	0.648 (0.036-11.41)	>0.999
	А	5 (20,8)	152 (25.3)	1	
	G	19 (79,2)	448 (74.7)	0.775 (0.284-2.113)	0.811
	AA	10 (83,3)	197 (65.7)	1	
	AG	2 (16,7)	91 (30.3)	2.310 (0.495-10.76)	0.353
HER2 ± 1062 A/C	GG	0 (0)	12 (4)	1.329 (0.073-24.03)	>0.999
+1905 A/G (I655V)	AG + GG	2 (16,7)	103 (34.3)	2.614 (0.562-12.16)	0.349
(2000 .)	А	22 (91,7)	485 (80.8)	1	
	G	2 (8,3)	115 (19.2)	2.608 (0.604-11.25)	0.284

Polymorphisms		Insulinoma 13 (%)	Controls 300 (%)	OR (95% CI)	Р
	AA	4 (30,8)	108 (36)	1	
	AG	9 (69,2)	134 (44.7)	0.551 (0.165-1.840)	0.399
EGF	GG	0 (14,5)	58 (19.3)	4.853 (0.256-91.76)	0.300
+61 A/G	AG + GG	9 (69,2)	192 (64)	0.790 (0.237-2.627)	0.777
	А	17 (65,4)	350 (58.3)	1	
	G	9 (34,6)	250 (41.7)	1.349 (0.591-3.077)	0.545
	AA	2 (15,4)	17 (5.7)	1	
EGFR	AG	2 (15,4)	118 (39.3)	6.941 (0.915-52.61)	0.090
+1562 G/A	GG	9 (69,2)	165 (55)	2.157 (0.430-10.81)	0.296
(R521K)	AG + GG	11 (84,6)	283 (94.3)	3.027 (0.620-14.76)	0.1826
	А	6 (23)	152 (25.3)	1	
	G	20 (77)	448 (74.7)	0.884 (0.348-2.243)	>0.999
	AA	6 (46,1)	197 (65.7)	1	
	AG	7 (53,9)	91 (30.3)	0.395 (0.129-1.212)	0.128
HER2	GG	0 (0)	12 (4)	0.822 (0.043-15.46)	>0.999
+1905 A/G (I655V)	AG + GG	7 (53,9)	103 (34.3)	0.448 (0.146-1.369)	0.233
	А	19 (73,1)	485 (80.8)	1	
	G	7 (26,9)	115 (19.2)	0.643 (0.264-1.568)	0.316

Table 5 Genotype frequencies of *EGF* +61A/G, *EGFR* +1562 G/A (R521K) and *HER2* +1963 A/G (I655V) polymorphisms in insulinoma patients and healthy controls

Table 6 Genotype frequencies of *EGF* +61A/G, *EGFR* +1562 G/A (R521K), and *HER2* +1963 A/G (I655V) polymorphisms in functional and nonfunctional pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor patients

Polymorphisms		PNET F 12 (%)	PNET NF 43 (%)	OR (95% CI)	Р
	AA	4 (33,3)	12 (27.9)	1	
	AG	7 (58,3)	24 (55.8)	1.143 (0.278-4.685)	>0.999
EGF	GG	1 (8,4)	7 (16.3)	2.333 (0.215-25.26)	0.631
+61 A/G	AG + GG	8 (66,7)	31 (72.1)	1.292 (0.327-5.099)	0.729
	А	15 (62,5)	48 (55.8)	1	
	G	9 (37,5)	38 (44.2)	1.319 (0.520-3.343)	0.644
	AA	0 (0)	0 (0)	1	
EGFR	AG	5 (41,7)	21 (48.8)		Can not
+1562 G/A	GG	7 (58,3)	22 (51.2)		be
(R521K)	AG + GG	12 (100)	43 (100)		calculated
	А	5 (20,8)	21 (24.4)	1	
	G	19 (79,2)	65 (75.6)	0.814 (0.270-2.450)	0.729
	AA	10 (83,3)	31 (72.1)	1	
	AG	2 (16,7)	10 (23.2)	1.613 (0.301-8.633)	0.711
HER2	GG	0 (0)	2 (4.7)	1.667 (0.073-37.61)	>0.999
+1905 A/G (I655V)	AG + GG	2 (16,7)	12 (27.9)	1.935 (0.368-10.16)	0.709
(2000 .)	А	22 (91,7)	72 (83.7)	1	
	G	2 (8,3)	14 (16.3)	2.139 (0.450-10.15)	0.515

Table 7 Genotype frequencies of *EGF* +61A/G, *EGFR* +1562 G/A (R521K), and *HER2* +1963 A/G (I655V) polymorphisms in insulinoma and nonfunctional pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor patients

Polymorphisms		Insulinoma PNET NF 13 (%) 43 (%)		OR (95% CI)	Р
	AA	4 (30,8)	12 (27.9)	1	
	AG	9 (69,2)	24 (55.8)	0.888 (0.226-3.487)	>0.999
EGF	GG	0 (14,5)	7 (16.3)	5.400 (0.253-115.1)	0.277
+61 A/G	AG + GG	9 (69,2)	31 (72.1)	1.148 (0.296-4.445)	>0.999
	А	17 (65,4)	48 (55.8)	1	
	G	9 (34,6)	38 (44.2)	1.495 (0.599-3.728)	0.497
	AA	2 (15,4)	0 (0)	1	
EGFR	AG	2 (15,4)	21 (48,8)	43.00 (1.574-1175)	0.020
+1562 G/A	GG	9 (69,2)	22 (51,2)	11.84 (0.517-271.0)	0.104
(R521K)	AG + GG	11 (84,6)	43 (100)	18.91 (0.847-422.3)	0.050
	А	6 (23)	21 (24,4)	1	
	G	20 (77)	65 (75,6)	0.928 (0.329-2.619)	>0.999
	AA	6 (46,1)	31 (72.1)	1	
	AG	7 (53,9)	10 (23.2)	0.276 (0.075-1.018)	0.083
HER2 $\downarrow 1063 \text{ A/C}$	GG	0 (0)	2 (4.7)	1.032 (0.044-24.13)	>0.999
(I655V)	AG + GG	7 (53,9)	12 (27.9)	0.331 (0.092-1.191)	0.103
(2000 -)	А	19 (73,1)	72 (83.7)	1	
	G	4 (30,8)	14 (16.3)	0.923 (0.272-3.132)	>0.999

Table 8 Genotype frequencies of EGF +61A/G, EGFR +1562 G/A (R521K) and HER2 +1963 A/G (I655V) polymorphisms in pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor patients with or without metastases

Polymorphisms		PNET with metastases 23 (%)	PNET without metastases 45 (%)	OR (95% CI)	р
	AA	6 (26.1)	14 (31.1)	1	
	AG	15 (65.2)	25 (55.6)	0.7143 (0.2094-2.215)	0.774
EGF	GG	2 (8.7)	6 (13.3)	1.286 (0.2285-7.622)	>0.999
+61 A/G	AG + GG	17 (68)	31 (68.9)	0.7815 (0.2394-2.284)	0.782
	А	27 (58.7)	53 (58.9)	1	
	G	19 (41.3)	37 (41.1)	0.9921 (0.4745-1.996)	>0.999
	AA	0 (0)	2 (4.4)	1	
EGFR	AG	7 (30.4)	21 (46.7)	1.000 (0.06818-7.718)	>0.999
+1562 G/A	GG	16 (69.6)	22 (48.9)	0.4375 (0.03345-3.376)	0.635
(R521K)	AG + GG	23 (100)	43 (95.6)	0.4583 (0.04618-4.410)	>0.999
	А	7 (15.2)	25 (27.8)	1	
	G	39 (84.8)	65 (72.2)	0.4667 (0.1849-1.126)	0.135
	AA	18 (78.3)	30 (66.7)	1	
	AG	5 (21.7)	13 (28.9)	1.560 (0.4891-4.584)	0.568
HER2 $\downarrow 1963 \Lambda/G$	GG	0 (0)	2 (4.4)	1.800 (0.2493-24.53)	>0.999
(I655V)	AG + GG	5 (21.7)	15 (33.3)	1.810 (0.5908-5.161)	0.405
	А	41 (89.1)	73 (81.1)	1	
	G	5 (10.9)	17 (18.9)	1.910 (0.6976-4.984)	0.3256

Supplementary Table 1 *EGF* and *EGFR* genotype combination frequencies in pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor patients (excluding insulinoma) and healthy controls

Geno combin	otype nations	PNET	Controls		D
EGF	HER2	N=55 (%)	N=300 (%)	OR (95% CI)	P
+61	+1963				
AA	AA	14 (25,4)	71 (23,7)	1	
AA	AG	1 (1,8)	35 (11,7)	6.901 (0.871-54.65)	0.038
AA	GG	1 (1,8)	3 (1)	0.5915 (0.057-6.112)	0.528
AG	AA	21 (38,2)	85 (28,3)	0.7981 (0.378-1.683)	0.578
AG	AG	9 (16,4)	41 (13,7)	0.8983 (0.357-2.258)	0.816
AG	GG	1 (1,8)	7 (2,3)	1.380 (0.157-12.12)	>0.999
GG	AA	7 (12,8)	40 (13,3)	1.127 (0.420-3.023)	>0.999
GG	AG	1 (1,8)	16 (5,3)	3.155 (0.386-25.78)	0.455
GG	GG	0(0)	2 (0,7)	1.014 (0.046-22.27)	>0.999

*Fisher exact test

Supplementary Table 2 *EGF and HER2* genotype combination frequencies in pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor patients (excluding insulinoma) and healthy controls

Genotype combinations		PNET	Controls		
EGF	EGFR	N=55 (%)	N=300 (%)	OR (95% CI)	P
+61	+1562				
AA	AA	0 (0)	6 (2)	1	
AA	AG	10 (18,2)	42 (14)	0.311 (0.016-5.981)	0.577
AA	GG	6 (10,9)	60 (20)	0.716 (0.036-14.22)	>0.999
AG	AA	0 (0)	9 (3)	Cannot be calculated	
AG	AG	13 (23,6)	55 (18,3)	0.316 (0.016-5.970)	0.582
AG	GG	18 (32,7)	70 (23,4)	0.293 (0.015-5.448)	0.591
GG	AA	0 (0)	2 (0,7)	Cannot be calculated	
GG	AG	3 (5,5)	22 (7,3)	0.494 (0.022-10.87)	>0.999
GG	GG	5 (9,1)	34 (11,3)	0.482 (0.023-9.835)	>0.999

*Fisher exact test

Genotype combinations		PNET Controls			
EGFR	HER2	N=55 (%)	N=300 (%)	OR (95% CI)	Р
+1562	+1963				
AA	AA	0 (0)	14 (4,7)	1	
AA	AG	0 (0)	2 (0,6)	Cannot be calculated	
AA	GG	0 (0)	1 (0,4)	Cannot be calculated	
AG	AA	22 (40)	77 (25,7)	0.1188 (0.006-2.071)	0.068
AG	AG	4 (7,3)	40 (13,3)	0.3103 (0.015-6.131)	0.563
AG	GG	0 (0)	2 (0,6)	Cannot be calc	ulated
GG	AA	20 (36,4)	105 (35)	0.1775 (0.010-3.097)	0.220
GG	AG	7 (12,7)	50 (16,7)	0.2322 (0.012-4.315)	0.331
GG	GG	2 (3,6)	9 (3)	0.1310 (0.005-3.044)	0.183

Supplementary Table 3 *EGFR and HER2* genotype combination frequencies in pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor patients (excluding insulinoma) and healthy controls

*Fisher exact test

Supplementary Table 4 *EGF, EGFR and HER2* genotype combination frequencies in pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor patients (excluding insulinoma) and healthy controls

Genotype combinations		PNET		Controls				
EGF	EGFR	HER2	N =	55 (%)	N=3	300 (%)	OR (95% CI)	P
+61	+1562	+1963						
AA	AA	AA	0	(0)	5	(1,7)	1	
AA	AA	AG	0	(0)	1	(0,3)	Cannot be calculated	
AA	AA	GG	0	(0)	0	(0)	Cannot be calculated	
AA	AG	AA	10	(18,2)	29	(9,7)	0.2554 (0.012-5.030)	0,573
AA	AG	AG	0	(0)	13	(4,3)	Cannot be calculat	ted
AA	AG	GG	0	(0)	0	(0)	Cannot be calculat	ted
AA	GG	AA	4	(7,3)	37	(12,3)	0.7576 (0.035-16.10)	>0.999
AA	GG	AG	1	(1,8)	21	(7)	1.303 (0.046-36.61)	>0.999
AA	GG	GG	1	(1,8)	3	(1)	0.2121 (0.006-6.822)	0.444
AG	AA	AA	0	(0)	7	(2,3)	Cannot be calculat	ted

AG	AA	AG	0	(0)	1	(0,3)	Cannot be calculated	
AG	AA	GG	0	(0)	1	(0,3)	Cannot be calculated	
AG	AG	AA	10	(18,2)	31	(10,3)	0.2727 (0.013-5.363)	0.570
AG	AG	AG	3	(5,5)	22	(7,3)	0.5844 (0.026-13.07)	>0.999
AG	AG	GG	0	(0)	2	(0,7)	Cannot be calculated	
AG	GG	AA	11	(20)	47	(15,7)	0.3755 (0.019-7.294)	0.576
AG	GG	AG	6	(10,9)	18	(6)	0.2587 (0.012-5.358)	0.552
AG	GG	GG	1	(1,8)	4	(1,3)	0.2727 (0.008-8.466)	>0.999
GG	AA	AA	0	(0)	2	(0,7)	Cannot be calculated	
GG	AA	AG	0	(0)	0	(0)	Cannot be calculated	
GG	AA	GG	0	(0)	0	(0)	Cannot be calculated	
GG	AG	AA	2	(3,6)	17	(5,7)	0.6364 (0.026-15.37)	>0.999
GG	AG	AG	1	(1,8)	5	(1,7)	0.3333 (0.010-10.12)	>0.999
GG	AG	GG	0	(0)	0	(0)	Cannot be calculated	
GG	GG	AA	5	(9,1)	21	(7)	0.3554 (0.016-7.455)	0.560
GG	GG	AG	0	(0)	11	(3,7)	Cannot be calculated	
GG	GG	GG	0	(0)	2	(0,7)	Cannot be calculated	

*Fisher exact test