W J C C World Journal of Clinical Cases

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Clin Cases 2022 June 6; 10(16): 5124-5132

DOI: 10.12998/wjcc.v10.i16.5124

ISSN 2307-8960 (online)

OPINION REVIEW

Malignant insulinoma: Can we predict the long-term outcomes?

Maja Cigrovski Berkovic, Monika Ulamec, Sonja Marinovic, Ivan Balen, Anna Mrzljak

Specialty type: Gastroenterology and hepatology

Provenance and peer review: Invited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): B Grade C (Good): 0 Grade D (Fair): D Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Sahin TT, Turkey; Yang F, China

Received: November 13, 2021 Peer-review started: November 13. 2021

First decision: January 9, 2022 Revised: January 17, 2022 Accepted: April 21, 2022 Article in press: April 21, 2022 Published online: June 6, 2022



Maja Cigrovski Berkovic, Department of Endocrinology, Diabetes, Metabolism and Clinical Pharmacology, Clinical Hospital Dubrava, Zagreb 10000, Croatia

Maja Cigrovski Berkovic, Department of Kinesiological Anthropology and Methodology, Faculty of Kinesiology, University of Zagreb, Zagreb 10000, Croatia

Monika Ulamec, Department of Pathology and Cytology "Ljudevit Jurak", University Hospital Center "Sestre milosrdnice", Zagreb 10000, Croatia

Monika Ulamec, Scientific Group for Research on Epigenetic Biomarkers and Department of Pathology, School of Medicine, University of Zagreb, Zagreb 10000, Croatia

Sonja Marinovic, Laboratory for Personalized Medicine, Division of Molecular Medicine, Rudjer Boskovic Institute, Zagreb 10000, Croatia

Ivan Balen, Department of Gastroenterology and Endocrinology, General Hospital "Dr. Josip Bencevic", Slavonski Brod 35000, Croatia

Anna Mrzljak, Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, UHC Zagreb, Zagreb 10000, Croatia

Anna Mrzljak, School of Medicine, University of Zagreb, Zagreb 10000, Croatia

Corresponding author: Maja Cigrovski Berkovic, MD, PhD, Associate Professor, Department of Endocrinology, Diabetes, Metabolism and Clinical Pharmacology, Clinical Hospital Dubrava, Avenija Gojka Suska 6, Zagreb 10000, Croatia. maja.cigrovskiberkovic@gmail.com

Abstract

Insulinomas are the most frequent type of functional pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors with a variety of neuroglycopenic and autonomic symptoms and welldefined diagnostic criteria; however, prediction of their clinical behavior and early differentiation between benign and malignant lesions remain a challenge. The comparative studies between benign and malignant cases are limited, suggesting that short clinical history, early hypoglycemia during fasting, high proinsulin, insulin, and C-peptide concentrations raise suspicion of malignancy. Indeed, malignant tumors are larger with higher mitotic count and Ki-67 proliferative activity, but there are no accurate histological criteria to distinguish benign from malignant forms. Several signaling pathways have been suggested to affect the pathophysiology and behavior of insulinomas; however, our knowledge is limited, urging a further understanding of molecular genetics. Therefore, there is a need for the identification of reliable markers of metastatic disease that could also serve as therapeutic targets in patients with malignant insulinoma. This



opinion review reflects on current gaps in diagnostic and clinical aspects related to the malignant behavior of insulinoma.

Key Words: Pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor; Malignant insulinoma; Resection; Liver; Transplantation

©The Author(s) 2022. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Insulinomas are rare but potentially malignant tumors. The only sure sign of malignancy is metastases present at diagnosis. Long-term survival of patients with malignant insulinoma is poor; however, newly available agents and approaches, are reassuring. Nonetheless, it is important to distinguish between benign and malignant insulinomas early, as well as follow the non-functioning pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors and plan the appropriate treatment and follow-up. Important initial parameters include 2-3-fold higher insulin, proinsulin, and C-peptide levels, early-onset hypoglycemia during the 72-h fasting test, and high chromogranin A from the biochemical aspect, earlier recognition of neuroglycopenic symptoms from the clinical aspect, and tumor size exceeding 3 cm and higher tumor grade (G2 or G3) from the pathohistological standpoint. Molecular genetic advances are still insufficient in adding to the individualization of treatment and prognosis, but α -internexin and chromosomal instability, where available, might add to early recognition of malignancy.

Citation: Cigrovski Berkovic M, Ulamec M, Marinovic S, Balen I, Mrzljak A. Malignant insulinoma: Can we predict the long-term outcomes? *World J Clin Cases* 2022; 10(16): 5124-5132 URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/full/v10/i16/5124.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.12998/wjcc.v10.i16.5124

INTRODUCTION

Malignant insulinoma is a rare tumor entity, with an incidence of approximately 10% of all insulinoma cases. A sure sign of malignancy, differentiating benign from malignant insulinoma, is metastases (primarily in the liver) at diagnosis. Unfortunately, some benign insulinomas alter during the disease course, and according to more current analyses, malignant forms can also arise from non-functioning pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors (PNETs), becoming clinically apparent when tumor burden and potential to secrete proinsulin increase. Although currently available therapeutic options offer symptom relief and tumor reduction even in the case of malignant insulinoma, better survival and quality of life are expected in the case of early detection of malignancy. This review summarizes the presenting characteristics that may help identify patients at risk of having malignant lesions and their therapeutic options.

FROM CLINICAL PRESENTATION TO DIAGNOSIS

The annual incidence of approximately 0.8/100000 persons makes PNETs a rare type of cancer. Functional PNETs, characterized by hypersecretion of a specific hormone, are even rarer. Of all functional PNETs, insulinomas are the most frequent, with an estimated incidence of 1-4 per million per year[1,2]. Four insulinoma characteristics are associated with the "90%" rate: 90% occur in the pancreas, 90% are benign, 90% are solitary, and 90% are less than 2 cm in diameter[3].

The clinical presentation of insulinoma includes different neuroglycopenic and autonomic symptoms through sympathetic nervous system activation due to hypoglycemia induced by periods of substrate deficiency. Insulinoma-related signs and symptoms are non-specific. On average, there is a 12-mo lag time before the insulinoma is suspected and diagnosed, giving them the fully deserved term neurological chameleon.

The gold standard for diagnosing insulinoma is hypoglycemia detected during a 72-h fasting test, accompanied by inappropriately elevated insulin, C-peptide, and proinsulin levels, with the latter being the best indicator of insulinoma. According to the Endocrine Society Clinical Practice Guidelines, endogenous hyperinsulinism is diagnosed in the absence of oral hypoglycemic agent use when hypoglycemic signs and/or symptoms are present in association with plasma glucose level < 3 mmol/L, insulin level > 18 pmol/L, C-peptide > 0.2 nmol/L, and proinsulin > 5 pmol/L[4].

While the biochemical diagnostic criteria for insulinoma, in general, are well established and prove sensitive and specific, the differences between benign and malignant insulinoma are not always clear[4].

Gaishideng® WJCC | https://www.wjgnet.com

MOLECULAR GENETICS OF INSULINOMAS - DO WE KNOW ENOUGH TO PREDICT MALIGNANT BEHAVIOR OF INSULINOMA?

During insulinoma tumorigenesis, the cell physiology is altered through the changes in several pathways, resulting in an increased replicative potential, self-sufficiency in growth signals, evasion of apoptosis, and potential to invade other tissues [5] (Figure 1). Research on genomics and transcriptomics of human insulinomas was mainly done on hereditary forms and the MEN1 syndrome is the most common hereditary type of PNET caused by autosomal dominant germline alteration in the MEN1 (11q13) gene encoding the menin protein[6]. Because 10%-30% of individuals with MEN1 mutation develop insulinoma^[7], menin was proposed as a candidate marker in the tumorigenesis of insulinoma. In pancreatic β -cells, menin has a role in maintaining the expression of cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) inhibitors p27 and p18 to prevent enhanced pancreatic islet proliferation and subsequent tumorigenesis [8]. Moreover, menin prevents tumorigenesis by several additional mechanisms, such as the induction of transcription factor JunD to maintain normal growth regulation[9] and the induction of FANCD2 to induce the DNA repair[10]. Tumors of MEN1 syndrome patients often show an increase in the frequency of spontaneous chromosomal alterations and multiple allelic deletions[11], including the presence of mismatch-repair deficiency and a high rate of microsatellite instability in patients with malignant insulinoma[12]. Diversely, menin also acts as a repressor of SMAD3, p65-mediated transcriptional activation of NF-κB, as well as dlk1/Pref-1, Proliferating cell nuclear antigen, and QM/Jif-1, which leads to inhibition of cell growth and stimulation of apoptosis[13-14]. Apart from menin, mutations in Yin Yang1 (YY1) gene, which increases insulin secretion by β -cells, were found in approximately 20% of insulinoma patients. YY1 is a transcription factor that regulates insulin and insulin-like growth factor signaling and its mutations are only found in insulinomas, but not other malignant PNETs, suggesting that this mutation could serve for specific diagnosis of insulinoma[15].

CDK4 and its regulatory subunit cyclin D are the main regulators of cell cycle progression of Langerhans islet β -cells. Albeit there are no differences in expression of CDK4 protein[16], overexpression of cyclin D and downregulation of cyclin D inhibitors p15 and p16 have been observed in both benign and advanced lesions, suggesting their role in the tumorigenesis of insulinomas[17-18]. Apart from CDKs, that are other key regulators of cell cycle progression, and *K-ras* and *BRAF* are two key oncogenes whose somatic mutations lead to loss of cell cycle regulation.

Even though *BRAF* and K-ras gene mutations are rare events that are not involved in the tumorigenesis of neuroendocrine tumors[19], activation of the Ras/RAF/mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway and its inhibitor Raf-1 kinase inhibitory protein has been shown to play a role in the progression of insulinomas[20,21].

Furthermore, transforming growth factor α and its receptor epidermal growth factor receptor have been associated with the activation of the Ras signaling pathway and its oncogenic activity in malignant insulinoma[22].

mTOR is the other ubiquitous and highly conserved serine/threonine kinase that regulates several cellular functions, including cell proliferation. The expression of mTOR and P70S6K is high in insulinoma specimens, and *in vitro* mTOR inhibitors can prevent the proliferation of insulinoma cells [23].

Apart from cell proliferation, evasion of apoptosis is the other hallmark of most types of cancer. Even though p53 mutations in insulinoma are rarely found[24], high expression of wild-type p53 is found in almost all malignant insulinomas where it can further activate the cell death promoting factor Bax[25, 26]. Furthermore, increased expression of the apoptosis inhibitor Bcl-2, found in one-third of insulinomas, suggests that it might be involved in insulinoma tumorigenesis[27].

Survivin is another apoptosis inhibitor whose gene is located in the chromosome region changed in one-third of malignant insulinomas[28]. In addition, several candidate proteins, such as P73, NOTCH1, and BRCC2, play a role in the evasion of apoptosis and insulinoma progression; however, their exact roles in insulinoma tumorigenesis remain elusive[29].

Even though several signaling pathways have been suggested to affect the etiology and behavior of insulinomas, our knowledge is still limited. Detailed pathway-specific expression profiling and better model systems are required to resolve the conflicting results and further improve the understanding of pathway-specific genes involved in malignant insulinoma pathogenesis and improve the individual-ization of treatment.

HOW TO DIFFERENTIATE BETWEEN BENIGN AND MALIGNANT INSULINOMA?

The morbidity of insulinoma is primarily due to hypoglycemia-related symptoms, while malignant insulinomas add morbidity and mortality due to their aggressiveness and invasion of adjacent tissues and metastasis[30]. In patients who do not have an extra-pancreatic disease at the initial presentation, the prediction of clinical behaviour is difficult as comparative studies between benign and malignant cases are limited[31,32].

Raisbideng® WJCC | https://www.wjgnet.com



Figure 1 Schematic representation of signaling pathways involved in insulinoma development. Proteins that are mutated are marked in red, downregulated or with a loss of function are marked in yellow, and those with a gain of function or overexpression are highlighted in green. Proteins whose role is ambiguous are colored grey. Genes and proteins that are differentially expressed only in malignant insulinomas are highlighted in glow.

Although there is a new 2019 NETs classification[33,34], in most insulinoma studies the tumor classification is based on the macroscopic (tumor size and invasion of surrounding tissue/vascular invasion), microscopic (mitotic count per 10 high power fields), and immunohistochemical features (Ki-67 proliferation index and neuroendocrine markers).

Tumors are graded as: G1 or well-differentiated (low grade) tumors: Size up to 2 cm, mitotic count < 2 mitoses/10 HPFs, Ki-67 index < 2%, and no local invasion or regional or distant metastases; G2 (intermediate grade): Tumor size > 2 cm, limited to the pancreas or with minimal local extension, mitotic count of 2-20 mitoses/10 HPFs, and Ki-67 index of 3-20%, with or without metastases; G3 (high grade): Local invasion, mitotic count > 20/10 HPFs, and/or Ki-67 index > 20%, with or without metastases[34,35].

Histologically, there are no accurate criteria to distinguish benign from malignant insulinomas. Malignant tumors are usually larger than 2, even 3 cm, showing higher Ki-67 proliferative activity, mostly G2 or even G3 NETs[35,36].

Microscopically, tumors show a trabecular, nested, and solid growth pattern with uniform cells and small round nuclei showing pepper-like chromatin. Their cytoplasm is eosinophilic and abundant. Immunohistochemically, cells are positive for neuroendocrine markers like synaptophysin and chromogranin; insulin staining is not mandatory for the diagnosis (Figure 2). Insulinoma tumor cells contain less insulin and secretory granules than normal β -cells but higher levels of proinsulin.

Malignant forms can be expected in 4-14% of cases and occur more often in younger age (< 50 years) and in males (77%)[37]. Insulinemia and 2 to 3-fold higher C-peptide levels with hypoglycemia occurring within the first 8 h of the 72-h fast might suggest malignancy[38,39]. However, metastases are a sure sign of malignancy.

A retrospective series of 311 patients treated for insulinoma in Mayo Clinic showed biochemical and pathological differences between benign and malignant insulinoma[35]. Malignant cases had higher than expected insulin and proinsulin levels and tumors of a larger size (4.2 cm compared to 1.8 cm seen in patients with benign insulinoma). Both malignant and benign insulinoma occurred sporadically and in patients with MEN1 syndrome[35].

In the past decades, much effort has been made to form the risk stratification of pNETs, and staging and grading according to the Ki67 index have been proposed as a tool to differentiate between benign and malignant tumor forms.

In addition, chromosomal instability (6q loss, and gains in 12q, 14q, and 17q) was found to be a strong predictor of the metastatic potential of insulinoma, and when used combined with histopathologic parameters, tumor size, and Ki67 index, it might improve the early diagnosis of malignant insulinoma [40].

Raisbideng® WJCC | https://www.wjgnet.com



DOI: 10.12998/wjcc.v10.i16.5124 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2022.

Figure 2 Pathohistological appearance of malignant insulinoma. One of our own cases, G2 pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor, exhibited small nests of uniform cells showing infiltrative growth pattern (hemalaun and eosin, × 400). A; Brown cytoplasmic staining in tumorous cells (synaptophysin, × 100), B; Low Ki-67 proliferation index of up to 7% (Ki-67, ×200).

Patients presenting earlier with clinical symptoms of neuroglycopenia during the fast have distinctly worse histopathological tumor characteristics. Insulin concentrations at the beginning and at the end of the fast correlate with the Ki-67 index and tumor size, linking grading and size with hormonal activity in insulinoma. When applying the Ki67 5% cut-off between the patients in the GI and GII/III group, higher staged patients suffer from significantly lower glucose concentrations, and have increased insulin and C-peptide levels, and a higher insulin secretion index compared to the GI group. Additionally, the tumor recurrence rate is about threefold higher in GII/GIII stage when the same Ki67 cut-off is applied compared to GI stage tumors[41].

A series of 103 insulinoma patients from Brazil showed a greater preponderance of malignant forms in males, with higher chromogranin A levels, shorter duration of symptoms, lower blood glucose (BG) levels, higher insulin and C-peptide levels, larger tumor size, and a tumor primarily located in the pancreatic head. Malignant tumors were quite aggressive, with a mortality rate nearing 85% and five-year average survival of 24%. Interestingly, among the malignant insulinomas, time to death varied from few months to up to 25 years after the diagnosis. Thus, some malignant insulinomas seemed to have more aggressive and others more indolent behavior. In this case series, most patients (77%) had malignant insulinoma at the time of diagnosis, and 23% had this diagnosis during follow-up[36].

Similar survival rates were recorded in a widely cited 50-year-long follow-up of 237 insulinoma patients (among whom 13 with metastatic forms) at Mayo Clinic where the 10-year postoperative survival was 88% of patients with benign compared to 29% of patients with metastatic disease[42]. In a more recent study, based on the data of 81 patients with metastatic insulinoma from 30 European NET registries, a 5-year survival improved to 55.6% [43].

More contemporary analysis of malignant insulinoma cases suggests their origin from nonfunctioning PNETs, developing phenotypic shift characterized by metachronous insulin hypersecretion after several years. Non-functioning PNET cells originally probably harbor rare cells expressing insulin and/or proinsulin, but the quantity of their concentration in circulation is initially too small to cause clinically recognizable symptoms. Only after the tumor burden grows large enough, usually with liver metastasis, the tumor cells can produce the amounts of insulin and proinsulin large enough to cause hypoglycemia[44]. Therefore, nonfunctioning PNETs, especially when accompanied by elevated chromogranin A levels (an ubiquitous NET marker which is usually low in case of benign tumors) as well as pancreastatin, need to be surveilled more closely, while they potentially give rise to malignant tumors. Moreover, at the time of diagnosis, in case benign insulinoma is initially suspected, additional molecular and genetic markers, such as α -internexin, might add awareness of malignant potential[45].

Recurrence of the tumor after initial surgery has always been accompanied by hypoglycemia, therefore continuing regular monitoring of BG levels is needed during patients visits[36].

Additionally, there was an attempt to analyze postoperative hyperglycemia as a prognostic sign after resection. The data coming from a small patient series could not differentiate immediate or perioperative glucose levels (as a threshold BG > 10 mmol/L) by tumor size, insulin production, and/or malignancy, making it an unreliable marker for surgical cure/residual tumor[46]. On the other hand, high proinsulin levels and large proinsulin/insulin molar ratio (although at present there is no unique cut-off value) are indicative of malignant insulinoma, therefore a new term "proinsulinoma" might be more appropriate for malignant tumor forms[45].

TREATMENT OF INSULINOMA - ARE THERE DIFFERENCES IN APPROACH AND FOLLOW-UP OF PATIENTS WITH MALIGNANT INSULINOMA

A comprehensive approach is required to treat malignant insulinoma, and includes medication, surgery, and interventional therapy.

Regardless of benign or malignant insulinoma, behavior dietary modifications, treatment with diazoxide, and octreotide or pasireotide represent plausible options for hypoglycemia avoidance [37,47-49] and patients with the malignant type more often demonstrate pronounced neuroglycopenic symptoms^[50]

In case of malignant insulinoma, extensive surgical procedures, including complete oncological resection along with regional lymph nodes dissections, are warranted [35]. Since more than 80% of the patients have liver metastasis^[50], hepatic burden can be treated by hepatic resection or hepatic artery embolization combined with doxorubicin or streptozotocin[51,52]. Liver transplantation represents a treatment option for macroscopic and concealed micrometastases in the liver[53] after curative resection of primary insulinoma, for selected patients with less than 50% of liver involvement and Ki-67 index of less than 5 to 10%[54].

Antiproliferative effect of everolimus and sunitinib prolongs progression-free survival in patients with unresectable or metastatic insulinoma [55,56]. In case of failure, chemotherapy protocol with streptozotocin, doxorubicin, and/or 5-fluorouracil for G1 and G2, or cisplatin with etoposide for G3 malignant insulinoma should be considered[57].

In patients with somatostatin receptor positive tumors, peptide receptor radionuclide therapy with radiolabelled peptides yttrium (90Y) or lutetium (177Lu) linked to a somatostatin analogue significantly extends progression-free survival[58,59].

In context of insulinoma management, surgical procedures can be potentially curable, in addition treatments with newer agents prolong progression-free survival of patients with malignant insulinoma. Cytoreductive surgery is favorable to control hormone secretion. Moreover, patients' quality of life is improved by new hypoglycemia detection technological tools (continuous glucose monitoring systems-CGM) that help patients in real time glucose monitoring and through audio and vibration-based alerts prevent severe hypoglycemic episodes[60].

Biochemical and imaging follow-up in patients with insulinoma should be done frequently: Every 3 to 6 mo for G1 and G2, and every 2 to 3 mo for G3, along with yearly somatostatin receptor imaging or FDG PET (if positive at diagnosis)[61].

The diagnostic and therapeutic pathway of an insulinoma patient mostly relies on the awareness of clinical symptoms prerogative to a single specialist such as gastroenterologists, endocrinologists, or oncologists. However, an integrated approach in a form of a multidisciplinary team combined with current NET related guidelines should aspire to improve clinical management and outcomes[62].

CONCLUSION

Malignant insulinomas, besides hypoglycemia-related symptoms, cause morbidity and mortality due to their local aggressiveness. To our current knowledge, the presence of metastasis differentiates benign from malignant insulinoma since histological criteria are insufficient. Detailed pathway-specific expression profiling would improve the understanding of malignant insulinoma pathogenesis, and identification of specific genes could predict the long-term outcomes of insulinoma management. The small number of affected patients precludes quality research of therapeutic options. Further research is mandatory to improve the individualization of treatment for patients with insulinoma, particularly malignant variants.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Cigrovski Berkovic M made contribution to the conception and design of the study, and drafted and revised the manuscript critically; Marinovic S, Ulamec M, Balen I, and Mrzljak A collected the data, and drafted and wrote the manuscript; all authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflict-of-interest statement: The authors declare no conflict of interest for this article.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is noncommercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Country/Territory of origin: Croatia



ORCID number: Maja Cigrovski Berkovic 0000-0003-0750-9785; Monika Ulamec 0000-0002-4843-8154; Sonja Marinovic 0000-0002-4054-338X; Ivan Balen 0000-0002-7071-539X; Anna Mrzljak 0000-0001-6270-2305.

S-Editor: Ma YJ L-Editor: Wang TQ P-Editor: Ma YJ

REFERENCES

- Vaidakis D, Karoubalis J, Pappa T, Piaditis G, Zografos GN. Pancreatic insulinoma: current issues and trends. Hepatobiliary Pancreat Dis Int 2010; 9: 234-241 [PMID: 20525548]
- Mehrabi A, Fischer L, Hafezi M, Dirlewanger A, Grenacher L, Diener MK, Fonouni H, Golriz M, Garoussi C, Fard N, 2 Rahbari NN, Werner J, Büchler MW. A systematic review of localization, surgical treatment options, and outcome of insulinoma. Pancreas 2014; 43: 675-686 [PMID: 24921202 DOI: 10.1097/MPA.00000000000110]
- 3 Okabayashi T, Shima Y, Sumiyoshi T, Kozuki A, Ito S, Ogawa Y, Kobayashi M, Hanazaki K. Diagnosis and management of insulinoma. World J Gastroenterol 2013; 19: 829-837 [PMID: 23430217 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v19.i6.829]
- Cryer PE, Axelrod L, Grossman AB, Heller SR, Montori VM, Seaquist ER, Service FJ; Endocrine Society. Evaluation and management of adult hypoglycemic disorders: an Endocrine Society Clinical Practice Guideline. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2009; 94: 709-728 [PMID: 19088155 DOI: 10.1210/jc.2008-1410]
- Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. The hallmarks of cancer. Cell 2000; 100: 57-70 [PMID: 10647931 DOI: 10.1016/s0092-8674(00)81683-9
- Ma ZY, Gong YF, Zhuang HK, Zhou ZX, Huang SZ, Zou YP, Huang BW, Sun ZH, Zhang CZ, Tang YQ, Hou BH. Pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors: A review of serum biomarkers, staging, and management. World J Gastroenterol 2020; 26: 2305-2322 [PMID: 32476795 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v26.i19.2305]
- Brandi ML, Gagel RF, Angeli A, Bilezikian JP, Beck-Peccoz P, Bordi C, Conte-Devolx B, Falchetti A, Gheri RG, Libroia 7 A, Lips CJ, Lombardi G, Mannelli M, Pacini F, Ponder BA, Raue F, Skogseid B, Tamburrano G, Thakker RV, Thompson NW, Tomassetti P, Tonelli F, Wells SA Jr, Marx SJ. Guidelines for diagnosis and therapy of MEN type 1 and type 2. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2001; 86: 5658-5671 [PMID: 11739416 DOI: 10.1210/jcem.86.12.8070]
- Karnik SK, Hughes CM, Gu X, Rozenblatt-Rosen O, McLean GW, Xiong Y, Meyerson M, Kim SK. Menin regulates 8 pancreatic islet growth by promoting histone methylation and expression of genes encoding p27Kip1 and p18INK4c. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2005; 102: 14659-14664 [PMID: 16195383 DOI: 10.1073/pnas.0503484102]
- 9 Agarwal SK, Novotny EA, Crabtree JS, Weitzman JB, Yaniv M, Burns AL, Chandrasekharappa SC, Collins FS, Spiegel AM, Marx SJ. Transcription factor JunD, deprived of menin, switches from growth suppressor to growth promoter. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2003; 100: 10770-10775 [PMID: 12960363 DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1834524100]
- Jin S, Mao H, Schnepp RW, Sykes SM, Silva AC, D'Andrea AD, Hua X. Menin associates with FANCD2, a protein 10 involved in repair of DNA damage. Cancer Res 2003; 63: 4204-4210 [PMID: 12874027]
- 11 Hessman O, Skogseid B, Westin G, Akerström G. Multiple allelic deletions and intratumoral genetic heterogeneity in men1 pancreatic tumors. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2001; 86: 1355-1361 [PMID: 11238532 DOI: 10.1210/jcem.86.3.7332]
- Starr J, Puebla G, McMillan J, Lewis JT, Kasi PM. Microsatellite Instability-High, Malignant Insulinoma With Brain 12 Metastasis. Cureus 2021; 13: e16969 [PMID: 34527457 DOI: 10.7759/cureus.16969]
- 13 La P, Schnepp RW, D Petersen C, C Silva A, Hua X. Tumor suppressor menin regulates expression of insulin-like growth factor binding protein 2. Endocrinology 2004; 145: 3443-3450 [PMID: 15044367 DOI: 10.1210/en.2004-0124]
- Liu L, Broaddus RR, Yao JC, Xie S, White JA, Wu TT, Hamilton SR, Rashid A. Epigenetic alterations in neuroendocrine 14 tumors: methylation of RAS-association domain family 1, isoform A and p16 genes are associated with metastasis. Mod Pathol 2005; 18: 1632-1640 [PMID: 16258509 DOI: 10.1038/modpathol.3800490]
- Cao Y, Gao Z, Li L, Jiang X, Shan A, Cai J, Peng Y, Li Y, Huang X, Wang J, Wei Q, Qin G, Zhao J, Jin X, Liu L, Wang W, Ning G. Whole exome sequencing of insulinoma reveals recurrent T372R mutations in YY1. Nat Commun 2013; 4: 2810 [PMID: 24326773 DOI: 10.1038/ncomms3810]
- 16 Vax VV, Bibi R, Diaz-Cano S, Gueorguiev M, Kola B, Borboli N, Bressac-de Paillerets B, Walker GJ, Dedov II, Grossman AB, Korbonits M. Activating point mutations in cyclin-dependent kinase 4 are not seen in sporadic pituitary adenomas, insulinomas or Leydig cell tumours. J Endocrinol 2003; 178: 301-310 [PMID: 12904177 DOI: 10.1677/joe.0.1780301]
- 17 Tomita T. Cyclin-dependent kinase (cdk6) and p16 in pancreatic endocrine neoplasms. Pathology 2004; 36: 566-570 [PMID: 15841692 DOI: 10.1080/00313020400011342]
- 18 Lubomierski N, Kersting M, Bert T, Muench K, Wulbrand U, Schuermann M, Bartsch D, Simon B. Tumor suppressor genes in the 9p21 gene cluster are selective targets of inactivation in neuroendocrine gastroenteropancreatic tumors. Cancer Res 2001; 61: 5905-5910 [PMID: 11479232]
- 19 Allen A, Qin ACR, Raj N, Wang J, Uddin S, Yao Z, Tang L, Meyers PA, Taylor BS, Berger MF, Yaeger R, Reidy-Lagunes D, Pratilas CA. Rare BRAF mutations in pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors may predict response to RAF and MEK inhibition. PLoS One 2019; 14: e0217399 [PMID: 31158244 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0217399]
- 20 Tannapfel A, Vomschloss S, Karhoff D, Markwarth A, Hengge UR, Wittekind C, Arnold R, Hörsch D. BRAF gene mutations are rare events in gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. Am J Clin Pathol 2005; 123: 256-260 [PMID: 15842051]
- 21 Lai TH, Ahmed M, Hwang JS, Zada S, Pham TM, Elashkar O, Kim DR. Transcriptional Repression of Raf Kinase Inhibitory Protein Gene by Metadherin during Cancer Progression. Int J Mol Sci 2021; 22 [PMID: 33802672 DOI: 10.3390/ijms22063052]
- Hsieh ET, Shepherd FA, Tsao MS. Co-expression of epidermal growth factor receptor and transforming growth factor-22



alpha is independent of ras mutations in lung adenocarcinoma. Lung Cancer 2000; 29: 151-157 [PMID: 10963846 DOI: 10.1016/s0169-5002(00)00116-1]

- Zhan HX, Cong L, Zhao YP, Zhang TP, Chen G, Zhou L, Guo JC. Activated mTOR/P70S6K signaling pathway is 23 involved in insulinoma tumorigenesis. J Surg Oncol 2012; 106: 972-980 [PMID: 22711648 DOI: 10.1002/jso.23176]
- 24 Jonkers YM, Claessen SM, Veltman JA, Geurts van Kessel A, Dinjens WN, Skogseid B, Ramaekers FC, Speel EJ. Molecular parameters associated with insulinoma progression: chromosomal instability vs p53 and CK19 status. Cytogenet Genome Res 2006; 115: 289-297 [PMID: 17124412 DOI: 10.1159/000095926]
- 25 Pavelic K, Hrascan R, Kapitanovic S, Vranes Z, Cabrijan T, Spaventi S, Korsic M, Krizanac S, Li YQ, Stambrook P, Gluckman JL, Pavelic ZP. Molecular genetics of malignant insulinoma. Anticancer Res 1996; 16: 1707-1717 [PMID: 87126891
- Batta K, Kundu TK. Activation of p53 function by human transcriptional coactivator PC4: role of protein-protein 26 interaction, DNA bending, and posttranslational modifications. Mol Cell Biol 2007; 27: 7603-7614 [PMID: 17785449 DOI: 10.1128/MCB.01064-07]
- 27 Wang DG, Johnston CF, Buchanan KD. Oncogene expression in gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumors: implications for pathogenesis. Cancer 1997; 80: 668-675 [PMID: 9264349 DOI: 10.1002/(SICI)1097-0142(19970815)80:4<668::AID-CNCR4>3.0.CO;2-J]
- Ekeblad S, Lejonklou MH, Stålberg P, Skogseid B. Prognostic relevance of survivin in pancreatic endocrine tumors. World 28 J Surg 2012; 36: 1411-1418 [PMID: 22089920 DOI: 10.1007/s00268-011-1345-7]
- 29 Jonkers YM, Claessen SM, Feuth T, van Kessel AG, Ramaekers FC, Veltman JA, Speel EJ. Novel candidate tumour suppressor gene loci on chromosomes 11q23-24 and 22q13 involved in human insulinoma tumourigenesis. J Pathol 2006; 210: 450-458 [PMID: 17068744 DOI: 10.1002/path.2072]
- 30 Queiroz Almeida M, Machado MC, Correa-Giannella ML, Giannella-Neto D, Albergaria Pereira MA. Endogenous hyperinsulinemic hypoglycemia: diagnostic strategies, predictive features of malignancy and long-term survival. J Endocrinol Invest 2006; 29: 679-687 [PMID: 17033255 DOI: 10.1007/BF03344176]
- 31 Bégu-Le Corroller A, Valéro R, Moutardier V, Henry JF, Le Treut YP, Gueydan M, De Micco C, Sierra M, Conte-Devolx B, Oliver C, Raccah D, Favre R, Digue L, Heim M, Seitz JF, Delpero JR, Vialettes B. Aggressive multimodal therapy of sporadic malignant insulinoma can improve survival: a retrospective 35-year study of 12 patients. Diabetes Metab 2008; 34: 343-348 [PMID: 18556231 DOI: 10.1016/j.diabet.2008.01.013]
- 32 Baudin E, Caron P, Lombard-Bohas C, Tabarin A, Mitry E, Reznick Y, Taieb D, Pattou F, Goudet P, Vezzosi D, Scoazec JY, Cadiot G, Borson-Chazot F, Do Cao C; Société française d'endocrinologie; Groupe d'étude des tumeurs endocrines. Malignant insulinoma: recommendations for characterisation and treatment. Ann Endocrinol (Paris) 2013; 74: 523-533 [PMID: 23993836 DOI: 10.1016/j.ando.2013.07.001]
- Kloeppel G. Pancreatic neuroendocrine neoplasias. In: The WHO Classification of Endocrine Tumors. Lyon, France: 33 IARC Press; 2017: 209-240
- Nagtegaal ID, Odze RD, Klimstra D, Paradis V, Rugge M, Schirmacher P, Washington KM, Carneiro F, Cree IA; WHO 34 Classification of Tumours Editorial Board. The 2019 WHO classification of tumours of the digestive system. Histopathology 2020; 76: 182-188 [PMID: 31433515 DOI: 10.1111/his.13975]
- 35 Sada A, Yamashita TS, Glasgow AE, Habermann EB, Thompson GB, Lyden ML, Dy BM, Halfdanarson TR, Vella A, McKenzie TJ. Comparison of benign and malignant insulinoma. Am J Surg 2021; 221: 437-447 [PMID: 32873371 DOI: 10.1016/j.amjsurg.2020.08.003]
- 36 Câmara-de-Souza AB, Toyoshima MTK, Giannella ML, Freire DS, Camacho CP, Lourenço DM Jr, Rocha MS, Bacchella T, Jureidini R, Machado MCC, Almeida MQ, Pereira MAA. Insulinoma: A retrospective study analyzing the differences between benign and malignant tumors. Pancreatology 2018; 18: 298-303 [PMID: 29452754 DOI: 10.1016/j.pan.2018.01.009]
- 37 Brown E, Watkin D, Evans J, Yip V, Cuthbertson DJ. Multidisciplinary management of refractory insulinomas. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf) 2018; 88: 615-624 [PMID: 29205458 DOI: 10.1111/cen.13528]
- Ekeblad S, Skogseid B, Dunder K, Oberg K, Eriksson B. Prognostic factors and survival in 324 patients with pancreatic 38 endocrine tumor treated at a single institution. Clin Cancer Res 2008; 14: 7798-7803 [PMID: 19047107 DOI: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-08-0734]
- 39 Panzuto F, Boninsegna L, Fazio N, Campana D, Pia Brizzi M, Capurso G, Scarpa A, De Braud F, Dogliotti L, Tomassetti P, Delle Fave G, Falconi M. Metastatic and locally advanced pancreatic endocrine carcinomas: analysis of factors associated with disease progression. J Clin Oncol 2011; 29: 2372-2377 [PMID: 21555696 DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2010.33.0688]
- 40 Jonkers YM, Claessen SM, Perren A, Schmid S, Komminoth P, Verhofstad AA, Hofland LJ, de Krijger RR, Slootweg PJ, Ramaekers FC, Speel EJ. Chromosomal instability predicts metastatic disease in patients with insulinomas. Endocr Relat Cancer 2005; 12: 435-447 [PMID: 15947114 DOI: 10.1677/erc.1.00960]
- 41 Wolf P, Winhofer Y, Smajis S, Anderwald CH, Scheuba C, Niederle B, Gessl A, Luger A, Krebs M, Koperek O. Clinical presentation in insulinoma predicts histopathological tumour characteristics. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf) 2015; 83: 67-71 [PMID: 25817061 DOI: 10.1111/cen.12777]
- 42 Service FJ, McMahon MM, O'Brien PC, Ballard DJ. Functioning insulinoma--incidence, recurrence, and long-term survival of patients: a 60-year study. Mayo Clin Proc 1991; 66: 711-719 [PMID: 1677058 DOI: 10.1016/s0025-6196(12)62083-7]
- Lepage C, Ciccolallo L, De Angelis R, Bouvier AM, Faivre J, Gatta G; EUROCARE working group. European disparities 43 in malignant digestive endocrine tumours survival. Int J Cancer 2010; 126: 2928-2934 [PMID: 19569047 DOI: 10.1002/ijc.24698]
- de Mestier L, Hentic O, Cros J, Walter T, Roquin G, Brixi H, Lombard-Bohas C, Hammel P, Diebold MD, Couvelard A, 44 Ruszniewski P, Cadiot G. Metachronous hormonal syndromes in patients with pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors: a caseseries study. Ann Intern Med 2015; 162: 682-689 [PMID: 25984844 DOI: 10.7326/M14-2132]
- Yu R, Nissen NN, Hendifar A, Tang L, Song YL, Chen YJ, Fan X. A Clinicopathological Study of Malignant Insulinoma 45



in a Contemporary Series. Pancreas 2017; 46: 48-56 [PMID: 27984486 DOI: 10.1097/MPA.00000000000718]

- 46 Nockel P, Tirosh A, El Lakis M, Gaitanidis A, Merkel R, Patel D, Nilubol N, Sadowski SM, Cochran C, Gorden P, Kebebew E. Incidence and management of postoperative hyperglycemia in patients undergoing insulinoma resection. Endocrine 2018; 61: 422-427 [PMID: 29923016 DOI: 10.1007/s12020-018-1633-1]
- 47 Gill GV, Rauf O, MacFarlane IA. Diazoxide treatment for insulinoma: a national UK survey. Postgrad Med J 1997; 73: 640-641 [PMID: 9497974 DOI: 10.1136/pgmj.73.864.640]
- Kunz PL, Reidy-Lagunes D, Anthony LB, Bertino EM, Brendtro K, Chan JA, Chen H, Jensen RT, Kim MK, Klimstra DS, 48 Kulke MH, Liu EH, Metz DC, Phan AT, Sippel RS, Strosberg JR, Yao JC; North American Neuroendocrine Tumor Society. Consensus guidelines for the management and treatment of neuroendocrine tumors. Pancreas 2013; 42: 557-577 [PMID: 23591432 DOI: 10.1097/MPA.0b013e31828e34a4]
- 49 Hendren NS, Panach K, Brown TJ, Peng L, Beg MS, Weissler J, Mirfakhraee S. Pasireotide for the treatment of refractory hypoglycaemia from malignant insulinoma. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf) 2018; 88: 341-343 [PMID: 29055143 DOI: 10.1111/cen.13503
- 50 Yu J, Ping F, Zhang H, Li W, Yuan T, Fu Y, Feng K, Xia W, Xu L, Li Y. Clinical Management of Malignant Insulinoma: a single Institution's experience over three decades. BMC Endocr Disord 2018; 18: 92 [PMID: 30522468 DOI: 10.1186/s12902-018-0321-8]
- Steinmüller T, Kianmanesh R, Falconi M, Scarpa A, Taal B, Kwekkeboom DJ, Lopes JM, Perren A, Nikou G, Yao J, 51 Delle Fave GF, O'Toole D; Frascati Consensus Conference participants. Consensus guidelines for the management of patients with liver metastases from digestive (neuro)endocrine tumors: foregut, midgut, hindgut, and unknown primary. Neuroendocrinology 2008; 87: 47-62 [PMID: 18097131 DOI: 10.1159/000111037]
- Kress O, Wagner HJ, Wied M, Klose KJ, Arnold R, Alfke H. Transarterial chemoembolization of advanced liver 52 metastases of neuroendocrine tumors--a retrospective single-center analysis. Digestion 2003; 68: 94-101 [PMID: 14593235 DOI: 10.1159/0000745221
- 53 Moris D, Tsilimigras DI, Ntanasis-Stathopoulos I, Beal EW, Felekouras E, Vernadakis S, Fung JJ, Pawlik TM. Liver transplantation in patients with liver metastases from neuroendocrine tumors: A systematic review. Surgery 2017; 162: 525-536 [PMID: 28624178 DOI: 10.1016/j.surg.2017.05.006]
- Lim C, Lahat E, Osseis M, Sotirov D, Salloum C, Azoulay D. Liver Transplantation for Neuroendocrine Tumors: What 54 Have We Learned? Semin Liver Dis 2018; 38: 351-356 [PMID: 30357772 DOI: 10.1055/s-0038-1669936]
- 55 Yao JC, Shah MH, Ito T, Bohas CL, Wolin EM, Van Cutsem E, Hobday TJ, Okusaka T, Capdevila J, de Vries EG, Tomassetti P, Pavel ME, Hoosen S, Haas T, Lincy J, Lebwohl D, Öberg K; RAD001 in Advanced Neuroendocrine Tumors, Third Trial (RADIANT-3) Study Group. Everolimus for advanced pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. N Engl J Med 2011; 364: 514-523 [PMID: 21306238 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1009290]
- 56 Raymond E, Dahan L, Raoul JL, Bang YJ, Borbath I, Lombard-Bohas C, Valle J, Metrakos P, Smith D, Vinik A, Chen JS, Hörsch D, Hammel P, Wiedenmann B, Van Cutsem E, Patyna S, Lu DR, Blanckmeister C, Chao R, Ruszniewski P. Sunitinib malate for the treatment of pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. N Engl J Med 2011; 364: 501-513 [PMID: 21306237 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1003825]
- Eriksson B, Annibale B, Bajetta E, Mitry E, Pavel M, Platania M, Salazar R, Plöckinger U; Mallorca Consensus 57 Conference participants; European Neuroendocrine Tumor Society. ENETS Consensus Guidelines for the Standards of Care in Neuroendocrine Tumors: chemotherapy in patients with neuroendocrine tumors. Neuroendocrinology 2009; 90: 214-219 [PMID: 19713713 DOI: 10.1159/000225950]
- 58 Bodei L, Mueller-Brand J, Baum RP, Pavel ME, Hörsch D, O'Dorisio MS, O'Dorisio TM, Howe JR, Cremonesi M, Kwekkeboom DJ, Zaknun JJ. The joint IAEA, EANM, and SNMMI practical guidance on peptide receptor radionuclide therapy (PRRNT) in neuroendocrine tumours. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2013; 40: 800-816 [PMID: 23389427 DOI: 10.1007/s00259-012-2330-6
- Strosberg J, El-Haddad G, Wolin E, Hendifar A, Yao J, Chasen B, Mittra E, Kunz PL, Kulke MH, Jacene H, Bushnell D, 59 O'Dorisio TM, Baum RP, Kulkarni HR, Caplin M, Lebtahi R, Hobday T, Delpassand E, Van Cutsem E, Benson A, Srirajaskanthan R, Pavel M, Mora J, Berlin J, Grande E, Reed N, Seregni E, Öberg K, Lopera Sierra M, Santoro P, Thevenet T, Erion JL, Ruszniewski P, Kwekkeboom D, Krenning E; NETTER-1 Trial Investigators. Phase 3 Trial of ¹⁷⁷Lu-Dotatate for Midgut Neuroendocrine Tumors. N Engl J Med 2017; 376: 125-135 [PMID: 28076709 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1607427
- 60 Rodbard D. Continuous Glucose Monitoring: A Review of Recent Studies Demonstrating Improved Glycemic Outcomes. Diabetes Technol Ther 2017; 19: S25-S37 [PMID: 28585879 DOI: 10.1089/dia.2017.0035]
- Knigge U, Capdevila J, Bartsch DK, Baudin E, Falkerby J, Kianmanesh R, Kos-Kudla B, Niederle B, Nieveen van Dijkum 61 E, O'Toole D, Pascher A, Reed N, Sundin A, Vullierme MP; Antibes Consensus Conference Participants; Antibes Consensus Conference participants. ENETS Consensus Recommendations for the Standards of Care in Neuroendocrine Neoplasms: Follow-Up and Documentation. Neuroendocrinology 2017; 105: 310-319 [PMID: 28222443 DOI: 10.1159/000458155]
- 62 Spada F, Rossi RE, Kara E, Laffi A, Massironi S, Rubino M, Grimaldi F, Bhoori S, Fazio N. Carcinoid Syndrome and Hyperinsulinemic Hypoglycemia Associated with Neuroendocrine Neoplasms: A Critical Review on Clinical and Pharmacological Management. Pharmaceuticals (Basel) 2021; 14 [PMID: 34199977 DOI: 10.3390/ph14060539]



Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

