Mild toxicity of polystyrene and polymethylmethacrylate microplastics in *Paracentrotus lividus* early life stages
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Abstract

The vast category of microplastics in the marine environment, encompassing among other aspects their persistence, degradation and impact on biota, has become an important topic of research. In spite of environmental health concerns, much work has yet to be done on understanding the potential roles of polymer sources, composition and particle sizes in causing adverse effects which have already been observed in a number of biota. The present study was aimed at adding to current knowledge by verifying if, and to what extent, embryogenesis in the sea urchin species *Paracentrotus lividus* is adversely affected by polystyrene and polymethylmethacrylate virgin microparticles over a size range 1-230 μm and at concentrations of 0.1 to 10 mg L⁻¹. Developing embryos which came in contact with the microplastics only after fertilisation did not display a significant increase of developmental defects. Unlike embryo exposures, when *P. lividus* sperm were exposed to the microplastics or their leachates, modest, yet significant effects were observed, both in terms of decreased
fertilisation rate and increase of transmissible damage to offspring. Further, it was noted that larvae more readily ingested polymethylmethacrylate than polystyrene microparticles after 3 days which may represent a route for enhancing the toxicity of the former compared to the latter. Overall, these findings provide evidence for lesser sensitivity of *P. lividus* early life stages to microplastics compared to other urchins such as *Sphaerechinus granularis*. In turn, the more robust response of *P. lividus* highlights the importance of choosing an appropriate test species with the highest sensitivity when investigating mildly harmful materials.
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**Introduction**

Ever increasing production of plastics has inevitably led to growing quantities of plastics entering the environment, typically as primary bulk items and as secondary plastics derived from the breakdown of this primary waste under the influence of abiotic factors such as, for example, UV light and the mechanical action of waves in the marine environment. While plastic particles are now ubiquitous in all environmental compartments, more recently production and use of micro-scale plastics in a wide range of consumer products has led to an additional source of polymer particles in urban wastewater streams which may reach rivers, estuaries and eventually marine systems, and particularly for the latter near large urban centres in coastal areas (Ryan et al., 2009). As a measure of the quantity of plastic present in marine waters, it has been estimated that there are over 5 trillion pieces of plastic floating in the oceans (Eriksen et al., 2014).

While macroscale plastics such as the remnants of discarded fishing nets represent a significant danger to larger species, smaller sized particles (microplastics) present a hazard to
smaller organisms at lower levels in the marine food web. Research on microplastics has to a great degree focused on particles in the 300 μm – 5 mm size range, with the lower bound deriving from the mesh size of phytoplankton nets typically used to gather microplastics from marine waters. However, with decreasing size, in particular from 300 μm down to 1 μm, the potential for interaction between microparticles and marine organisms increases greatly (Auta et al., 2017).

The most commonly reported microplastic particles encompass polystyrene (PS), polyethylene (PE) and polypropylene (PP) yet such particles comprise not only of the primary polymers but may also include a range of chemical additives which were used in the manufacturing process to impart specific properties to the plastics. As complex mixtures, microplastics thus have the potential to exert broad-ranging adverse effects on a wide range of biota (Rochman et al., 2019).

Recent research investigating microplastics’ potentially harmful effects has focused on a broad range of organisms ranging from algae and phytoplankton to mussels and crabs. In many cases microplastics have not been found to cause significant harm to marine organisms including, for example shrimp Aristeus antennatus (Carreras-Colom et al., 2018), mussel Perna perna (Santana et al., 2018) and fish Sparus aurata (Jovanović et al., 2018). On the contrary, Espinosa et al. (2017) found some adverse effects from polyvinylchloride microparticles on the immune system of the same fish species S. aurata. Sea urchins have been used in several studies probing the effects of microparticles and, as with studies on other organisms, effects range from none or very mild to moderate. For example, PE microparticles were not found to have a significant effect on Tripneustes gratilla (Kaposi et al., 2014) yet were noted to have caused developmental defects in Lytechinus variegates (Nobre et al., 2015). PS and PMMA microparticles were recently reported to cause cytogenetic anomalies
in embryogenesis of the urchin *Sphaerechinus granularis* although concentration-dependent trends were not clearly established (Trifuoggi et al., 2019). Among sea urchins *Paracentrotus lividus* is one of the most utilised species with tested endpoints ranging from microparticle ingestion to developmental abnormalities, and to fertilisation success following sperm exposure (Martínez-Gómez et al. 2017). That work showed decreased fertilisation success and increased developmental defects after exposure to PE and PS microparticles while, to the best of our knowledge, there are no reports focused on *P. lividus* sperm exposure to microplastics. Other recent pertinent research focused on determining the effects of untreated “virgin” microplastics compared to their beached analogues, microplastics with various surface-linked functional groups or those containing pigments (Della Torre et al. 2014; Beiras et al. 2018; Oliviero et al. 2019). Further, not only are polymer microparticles a cause for concern in their own right but also due to their ability to act as a vector for the transport of other compounds and contaminants (Alimi et al., 2018). For example, Mato et al. (2018) reported on the ability of polypropylene resin microparticles to sorb and accumulate significant quantities of PCBs from the surrounding water with adsorption coefficients of up to $10^6$. Further, not only can microplastics sequester harmful pollutants from the water column but they may in turn transfer such compounds to biota (Chua et al., 2014).

Taking into consideration this research, and based on recent findings where microparticles equivocally have, or have not, adverse effects on marine biota (Foley et al., 2018), the present study was aimed at determining the potential toxicity of a wide size range of PS and PMMA microparticles to the early life stages of *P. lividus*, as likely the most widely used test urchin. In particular, the ability of these microplastics or their leachates to reduce fertilisation success, cause transmissible damage to offspring or show toxicity in developing embryos, either due to chemical (leachate) or mechanical (particle size) cues, were probed.
Materials and methods

Commercially produced spherical PS microparticles with nominal diameters of 1, 4, and 30 μm were obtained from Sigma Aldrich/Supelco as 10% w/v aqueous dispersions (catalogue no. 89904, 81494 (2% w/v) and 84135 respectively), while PS microparticles of 10, 80 and 230 μm nominal diameters (product no. TS10, TS80 and TS230, respectively) and PMMA microparticles with nominal diameters of 10 and 50 μm (product no. CA10 and CA50, respectively) were purchased as dry powders from Microbeads SA, Norway. All microparticles were used directly without physical or chemical modification, or ageing.

Primary stock suspensions of the microplastics were prepared at a concentration of 1 g L⁻¹ in ultrapure water (18 MΩ·cm), with subsequent serial dilutions ×10 and ×100 giving suspensions of concentration 100 mg L⁻¹ and 10 mg L⁻¹, respectively.

Microparticle characterisation

Microparticles with nominal diameters ≥10 μm were imaged during the experiment in natural filtered seawater (FSW) on a Nikon Diaphot-TMD inverted microscope with Moticam 10 camera, and particle size distributions (n=100) were calculated by ImageJ software. Stock suspensions of microparticles with nominal diameters ≤4 μm were ultrasonicated at 40 °C for 1 h and diluted ×100 before measurement by dynamic light scattering (DLS). Data were collected on a Zetasizer Nano ZS (Malvern Panalytical, UK) and the hydrodynamic diameters were determined from the number size distributions. To determine the settling rates of microplastics with size ≥10 μm, 3 mg of each were weighed into 4.5 mL polystyrene cuvettes (1 cm path length) to which was added 3 mL ultrapure water. The cuvettes were vortexed for 1 min and collection of absorption data for these suspensions was immediately initiated on a Shimadzu UV-1800 spectrometer. The centre of the beam was 1.5 cm below the surface of the suspension. Data were collected at a wavelength of 600 nm and 0.5 s acquisition rate.
Sea urchin assays

Sea urchins *P. lividus* were collected off the coast of Pula, Croatia and held in aquaria with running seawater until use. Gametes were collected by excision of the gonads from adult urchins, with those of females placed in FSW (Munktell 21/N filter paper) while male gonads/sperm were held ‘dry’ on clock glasses. Gametes at a final concentration of 100 eggs mL⁻¹ and sperm at a final dilution factor of ×10⁵ were used to generate embryos in FSW in a glass beaker prior to exposure to microplastics (Pagano et al. 2001; 2017). Previously prepared microplastic stock solutions were vortexed for 30 s immediately prior to use, and 100 uL aliquots of these stock suspensions were immediately placed in each well of polystyrene 6-well tissue culture plates to which were subsequently added 9.9 mL of the embryo suspension 10 min post fertilisation (p-f). Thus, the exposed embryos were reared in microplastic suspensions at concentrations ranging from 0.1 to 10 mg L⁻¹, with embryo exposure lasting throughout embryogenesis, starting from 10 min p-f up to the pluteus larval stage (72 h p-f). Embryos were incubated in FSW (salinity S•38.1, pH 8.0-8.2, 18±1 °C) with a total of 6 replicates for all size classes of both polymer types at each of three concentrations. Controls consisted of unexposed embryos in triplicate culture plates, each with 6 replicates. Previous work (data not shown) did not show a significant difference between embryos reared in glass or polystyrene petri-dishes.

Embryological analysis

After 72 h an aliquot of aqueous potassium chromium sulfate was added to each replicate (final concentration 10⁻⁴ M) to immobilise the embryos (Pagano et al. 1983), and after 10 min the first 100 plutei in each replicate were visually scored by microscope for the number of normally developed larvae, developmentally delayed larvae, i.e. less than half the size of normally developed larvae, malformed larvae with damaged skeletal structures (P1) and
abnormal blastulae or gastrulae (P2). The total number of developmental defects (DD) in each replicate was considered the sum of P1 and P2.

Sperm bioassays

Aliquots (50 μL) of neat sperm were diluted in 5 mL FSW containing three particle concentrations, both polymer types and all particles sizes, and left for 1 h with periodic gentle agitation. From each treatment 50 μL was withdrawn and the sperm contained therein used to inseminate 10 mL of egg suspensions (100 eggs mL⁻¹) in 6-well culture plates. In the period of 1 to 3 h p-f, the percent of fertilised eggs (fertilisation rate) was recorded based on visual identification of live cleaving embryos by microscope. These embryos were reared up to the pluteus larval stage and subsequently scored for developmental defects 72 h p-f, as described previously. The bioassay was carried out with 6 replicates for each microparticle size and concentration.

Leachate spermiotoxicity and embryotoxicity

Microparticles were kept in FSW (10 mg L⁻¹; 0.2 μm Whatman cellulose nitrate membrane filter) for a period of 1 month, with agitation, under a natural light-dark cycle. These dispersions were subsequently centrifuged at 2000 g for 5 min, and the supernatants retrieved for use in sperm bioassays. Specifically, 50 μL dry sperm was added to 5 mL supernatant and after 1 h this sperm suspension was used to fertilise eggs as previously described. Fertilisation rate was recorded, and the offspring of the exposed sperm were reared for 72 h upon which the plutei were scored for developmental defects.

Statistical analysis

Results are given as the mean ± standard deviation. The assumptions of data being drawn from a normal distribution and homogeneity of variance across groups were tested by
Shapiro-Wilk (p<0.05 level) and Levene (p<0.05 level) tests, respectively. Where these assumptions were met, significant differences in population means among each treatment group (i.e. three concentrations of each microplastic studied) and compared to the control were tested by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey post hoc test. Where the data were not drawn from a normally distributed population or homoscedasticity not shown, statistical differences among groups were analysed by the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis analysis of variance followed by Mann-Whitney U-test. Differences were considered significant when p<0.05. Statistical analysis was carried out using OriginPro v.2016 (OriginLab) and SigmaPlot v.11 (Systat) software. ANOVA tables giving degrees of freedom, sum of squares, mean square, F ratio and the p-value are given in Supplementary Information.

Results

Microparticles dispersed in the FSW in the multi-well plates were imaged by light microscopy and the size distributions (n=100) for a range of microparticles is shown in Figure 1(a-e). The average diameters ± standard deviations were found to be 10.29±0.45 for PS 10 μm, 78.71±2.91 for PS 80 μm, 232.3±11.81 for PS 230 μm, 9.83±0.75 for PMMA 10 μm, and 51.87±2.69 for PMMA 50 μm microparticles. Particle diameter coefficients of variation (CV) were ≤5% except for PMMA10 with CV=8%. There was no apparent change in microparticle size or morphology in FSW over 72 h, and the particles visually remained well dispersed.

Analysis of microparticles ≥10 μm by DLS did not detect the presence of any particles smaller than 6 μm (instrument upper measurement limit) after 72 h suggesting that nano- or micro-scale fragments had not detached from the primary particles. Microparticles with nominal diameters of 1 and 4 μm showed $Z_{ave}$ hydrodynamic diameters of 1.430 and 4.813 μm, respectively, based on DLS number size distributions. The sinking rates of microparticles were determined from absorption spectroscopy for a range of stock suspensions with change in absorption over time, as a percentage of their initial absorption values, shown in Figure.
Microparticles with sizes of 10 μm remained well suspended while larger particles gradually sank over a period of 2 min as a function of size and density. For example, the larger PS80 settled more slowly than PMMA50 as the latter has a greater density (1.20 g mL\(^{-1}\)) compared to the PS density of 1.05 g mL\(^{-1}\). PS230 settled the fastest with a rapid decrease in absorption after several seconds.

**Figure 1.** Size distributions and corresponding light microscopy images (insets) for a) PS 10 μm, b) PS 80 μm, c) PS 230 μm, d) PMMA 10 μm and e) PMMA 50 μm –diameter microparticles. f) Temporal change in absorption (\(\lambda=600\, \text{nm}\)) for suspensions of microparticles in ultrapure water.
These data indicated that to achieve the targeted concentration in the test wells of the multi-
well plates an aliquot had to be drawn from a stock suspension within 10 s after vortexing,
while for PS230 aliquots had to be withdrawn within 5 s of vortexing and from depths of at
least 3 cm in the tubes containing these suspensions. This step was carried out for every
aliquot of PS230. Subsequently, after addition of fertilised embryos to the microplastic-
containing wells, microparticles of ≥10 μm diameters were noted to have soon settled to the
bottom of the wells with the embryos, with the smaller particles settling within 24 h.

Embryo exposures

Developing embryos exposed to the PS and PMMA microparticles, for all sizes and at
concentrations ranging from 0.1 to 10 mg L⁻¹, did not result in any increase in developmental
defects after a period of 72 h p-f (data not shown). The percentage of developmental defects
in all cases did not surpass 10%, and overlapped with control values. No statistically
significant differences between treatment schedules and control values were found. However,
it was noted that plutei in some treatment schedules clearly showed ingestion and
accumulation of microparticles in their gut. Specifically, 10 μm PMMA microparticles were
oftentimes observed in the gut of larvae 72 h p-f with the particle accumulations appearing as
black areas under microscope inspection. In contrast, the corresponding 10 μm PS
microparticles were not observed to be taken up (Figure 2).
**Figure 2.** *P. lividus* larvae 72 h post fertilisation showing the A) absence of PS and B) presence of PMMA microparticles in the gut (all microparticles 10 μm diameter; scale bar 100 μm).

**Sperm exposure**

The fertilisation rates of eggs from sperm pre-exposed to PS microplastics, ranging in diameter from 1 to 230 μm and at concentrations from 0.1 to 10 mg L⁻¹, are given in Figure 3. For all sizes and concentrations of PS microplastics, the percentage of successfully fertilised eggs (52-72%) was found to be less than control values (75%). Comparing the group of three concentrations of each microplastic and the control sample, some treatments with larger microplastics (diameters of 10, 30, 80 and 230 μm) were found to show statistically significant reductions in fertilisation success compared to controls (ANOVA tables in Supplementary Information (SI)) although no significant difference was found between the...
different concentrations of the same microplastic sample. Subsequent Tukey post hoc tests indicated significant differences at the p<0.05 and p<0.01 levels, as shown in Figure 3. However, a clear trend in reduced ability to fertilise eggs as a function of particle concentration was not found. For example, treatments with particles of 30 and 80 μm diameters showed significant effects at the lowest concentration of 0.1 mg L⁻¹, while particles with diameters of 10 and 230 μm gave significantly reduced fertilisation rates only at the highest concentration of 10 mg L⁻¹.

Figure 3. Fertilisation success (mean ± SD) after sperm pre-treatment with various sizes and concentrations of PS microparticles. Significance differences from the control are indicated at the levels *p<0.05, **p<0.01 (Tukey post hoc test).

However, clearer trends were noted for the fertilisation ability of sperm pre-exposed to 10 and 50 μm diameter PMMA microparticles as shown in Figure 4. Just as for PS microparticles, the pre-treated sperm showed reduced ability to fertilise eggs in all cases, with fertilisation rates in the range 50–62%. In nearly all cases, these differences with respect to controls (75%) were significant. For example, for 10 μm particles, fertilisation reduction was statistically significant (ANOVA tables in SI) for the 0.1 mg L⁻¹ treatment (Tukey; p<0.05) with greater significance for the 10 mg L⁻¹ treatment (Tukey; p<0.01). This trend was also noted for the
larger 50 μm diameter particle treatments (SI). Surprisingly, treatment of sperm with PMMA particles at a concentration 1 mg L⁻¹ had a slightly less pronounced effect.

**Figure 4.** Fertilisation success (mean ± SD) after sperm pre-treatment with various sizes and concentrations of PMMA microparticles (Tukey post hoc test; significance level *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001).

**Offspring Quality**

The offspring larvae derived from eggs fertilised with sperm pre-exposed to PS microparticles in nearly all cases showed increased developmental defects, as shown in Figure 5. While 10% of control pluteus larvae displayed developmental defects including skeletal deformations such as crossed or separated tips or arms, offspring from pre-treated sperm showed such developmental defects, including developmental delays due to embryos remaining at gastrula or blastula phases 72 h p-f, in 9-30% of larvae. The increased developmental defects were found to be significant (ANOVA tables in SI) for the 1 mg L⁻¹ treatments for 4 μm and 80 μm particles (p<0.05) while the highest concentration of 10 μm particles corresponded with significantly increased (p<0.01) development defects.
Developmental defects (mean ± SD) in offspring after treatment of sperm with various sizes and concentrations of PS microparticles (significance level *p<0.05, **p<0.01).

More consistent data were recorded for PMMA microparticles where the percentage developmental defects were greater (16–18%) in all treatment schedules compared to control values (10%) except for the 1 mg L⁻¹ treatment with 50 μm PMMA particles (26%; Figure 6). Shapiro-Wilk test indicated that all PMMA data groups were drawn from normally distributed populations except for the 10 mg L⁻¹ PMMA50 group, which was excluded from analysis of variance. At a significance level of p<0.05, Levene’s test indicated that the data for the 0.1 and 1 mg L⁻¹ groups were homoscedastic (SigmaPlot software P=0.061) or heteroscedastic (OriginPro software F=3.438, P=0.047). Such differences are known to arise on occasion from the specific algorithms used by different software packages, hence both scenarios of equal or unequal variance are presented (Bergmann et al., 2000). ANOVA with equal variance indicated means statistically different from the controls (F=6.629, P=0.005) while KW for unequal variance gave a similar result (Chi-square=6.044, P=0.049). The rate of developmental defects in urchins after treatment with 1 mg L⁻¹ of 50 μm PMMA was correspondingly determined by post hoc Tukey test to be significant at p<0.01 and Mann-Whitney U-test at p(exact)=0.01 (U=16.5). It should be noted that applying the Mann-
Whitney U-test in cases where there are unequal variances may tend towards Type 1 error (Kasuya, 2001).

![Figure 6](image)

**Figure 6.** Developmental defects (mean ± SD) in offspring after treatment of sperm with various sizes and concentrations of PMMA microparticles (significance level **p<0.01**).

*Leachates*

The ability of microplastic leachate to negatively impact on fertilisation success after sperm pre-treatment in most cases showed only slightly reduced numbers of fertilised eggs (Figure 7) although a more significant effect was noted for PS10 with 4.5% lower fertilisation success compared to the control (Tukey *post hoc* test p<0.05; ANOVA tables in SI).

Scores for offspring of sperm pre-treated with microplastic leachate in terms of developmental defects are given in Figure 8. While PMMA50 showed a similar level of developmental defects to the control (8%), the other treatments were noted to have caused a higher level of developmental defects (12-24%). The number of developmental malformations in offspring of PMMA50 was significantly different (p<0.05) from the control (ANOVA tables in SI).
Figure 7  Fertilisation success (mean ± SD) after sperm pre-treatment with leachate (derived from 10 mg L$^{-1}$ microplastic in FSW) from various sizes of PS and PMMA microparticles (Tukey post hoc test; significance level *p<0.05).

Discussion
The data presented herein show the effects of small (1-10 μm), mid-sized (30-80 μm) and large (230 μm) PS microparticles on *P. lividus* sperm and their corresponding offspring after a period of three days. The sizes were selected as being similar to urchin eggs (mid-sized) and
larvae (large) while the small-sized microparticles were sufficiently small so as to allow them
to be accommodated in the gut of urchins should they be taken up. The fertilisation ability of
sperm decreased and developmental defects in offspring increased after sperm exposure to
these microplastics suggesting the potential for harm to male gametes which may in turn be
transferred to offspring. These results are in line with those of Martínez-Gómez et al. (2017)
who found that fertilisation of P. lividus eggs by sperm in the presence of 6 μm PS
microparticles at concentrations of $10^3$-$10^5$ particles mL$^{-1}$ led to a significantly reduced
fertilisation rate (Table 1). In comparison, a recent study on the potential for transmissible
damage from sperm pre-treated with PS microparticles to their offspring in the urchin
Sphaerechinus granularis (Trifuoggi et al., 2019), showed even greater negative effects in
terms of fertilisation ability, with fertilisation rates reduced by up to 50% for 10, 80 and
230 μm virgin PS microparticles at concentrations of 0.1 mg L$^{-1}$. Interestingly, both these
investigations found the greatest negative effect on fertilisation success at lower rather than
higher tested concentrations. Offspring from PS-treated gametes were further shown by
Martínez-Gómez et al. (2017) to have significant reductions in larval length while in the
present work the offspring generally displayed only a mild level of developmental arrest or
defects. In contrast, a consistently higher level of developmental defects was noted in the
offspring of S. granularis sperm exposed to various sizes and concentrations of PS
microparticles (Table 1) with 5-8 times greater developmental anomalies than in controls
(Trifuoggi et al., 2019). It was found in the present work that leachate from virgin PS
microparticles also negatively affected fertilisation success, with leachate from PS10 showing
a similar effect to sperm treated with PS10 microparticles where there was a significant
reduction in fertilisation success. A monotonic increase in developmental defects in offspring
from pre-treated sperm with decreasing particle size was also noted, with PS10 leachate
giving rise to 3 times more malformations than control samples.
Table 1. Summary of key findings in investigations of the impact of microplastics on sea urchin early development.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Urchin</th>
<th>MP</th>
<th>Size μm</th>
<th>Concentration mg L⁻¹</th>
<th>Exposure h</th>
<th>End-point</th>
<th>Effects</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>P. lividus</em></td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.001, 0.01, 0.1, 1, 10</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>development defects, immobilisation, speed alteration of swimming</td>
<td>no effect on larval development or immobilisation; increased swimming speed for 0.001-0.1 mg L⁻¹, LOEC 0.001 mg L⁻¹</td>
<td>Gambardella et al. (2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. lividus</em></td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.125, 1.25, 12.5, 25</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>larval length, survival</td>
<td>no effect on survival; arm length and body width alterations at all concentrations; ingestion noted</td>
<td>Messinetti et al. (2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. granularis</em></td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>10, 80, 230</td>
<td>0.1, 1, 5, 50</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>development defects, cytogenetic anomaly, transmissible damage</td>
<td>concentration-dependent increase in defects; cytogenetic damage ≥5 mg L⁻¹; offspring damage, ingestion noted</td>
<td>Trifuoggi et al. (2019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. lividus</em></td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>&gt;0-80 fluff</td>
<td>10⁻¹⁻¹⁰⁰ spheres mL⁻¹</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>larval length, developmental defects, fertilisation rate</td>
<td>reduced fertilisation; retarded growth and developmental anomalies for virgin and aged particles, and leachates; ingestion</td>
<td>Martínez-Gómez et al. (2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>T. gratilla</em></td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>25-32</td>
<td>1, 10, 100, 300 spheres mL⁻¹</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>larval length, development defects, ingestion/egestion</td>
<td>smaller body widths at the highest dose, dose; ingestion in the absence of food, egestion within 7 h</td>
<td>Kaposi et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. lividus</em></td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>4-15, &lt;40ᵃ</td>
<td>0, 1, 3, 10, 30, 100</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>larval length</td>
<td>LOEC ≥100 mg L⁻¹; ingestion noted</td>
<td>Beiras et al. (2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. lividus</em></td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>4-6</td>
<td>1, 10</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>larval length</td>
<td>no acute effects; ingestion noted</td>
<td>Beiras and Tato (2019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. lividus</em></td>
<td>PVC</td>
<td>≤20ᵇ</td>
<td>0.3, 1, 3, 10, 30</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>larval length</td>
<td>growth retarded at 10, 30 mg L⁻¹; LOEC 10 mg L⁻¹, EC50 16.2 mg L⁻¹</td>
<td>Oliviero et al. (2019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. lividus</em></td>
<td>PET</td>
<td>5-60, 61-499, 500- flakesᶜ</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>72 h</td>
<td>larval length, development defects</td>
<td>mixed effects from leachates and particles depending on pH (7.5 / 8) and if larvae fed or not</td>
<td>Piccardo et al. (2020)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MP – microplastic; LOEC – lowest observed effect concentration; ᵈ micronised from 500 μm pellets; ᵇ micronized from inflatable toys; ᵇⁿ micronised from 1 mm pellets
Experiments focused towards determining the effects on developing *P. lividus* embryos exposed to PS microparticles after fertilisation have given a diverse range of results. Compared to the present work or investigations by Gambardella et al. (2018) where an increase in developmental defects was not found, other investigations have noted a significant increase in developmental anomalies (Martínez-Gómez et al., 2017), and significant reductions in larval arm length and body width (Messinetti et al., 2018) (Table 1). Significant increases in developmental defects after exposure to larger PS microparticles were also noted in *S. granularis* plutei (Trifuoggi et al., 2019). In addition to growth retardation and occurrence of deformities, PS microparticles have also been reported to have an impact on larval swimming speed (Gambardella et al., 2018).

Just as for polystyrene, polyethylene microparticles have also shown varied behaviour although this may be related to the test organism used, for example no adverse effects on larval growth were found in *P. lividus* (Beiras et al., 2018; Beiras and Tato, 2019) yet growth retardation was noted in *T. gratilla* (Kaposi et al., 2014). Unlike PE, other microplastics such as PVC (Oliveiro et al., 2019) and PET (Piccardo et al., 2020) have also been reported to cause growth retardation in *P. lividus* larvae.

As the sizes of microparticles and concentrations being tested are in many cases similar, it is becoming increasingly possible to draw general conclusions on the ability of these materials to induce negative effects on developing embryos, particularly as the same test organism, *P. lividus*, is commonly used. However, the few studies carried out on other species of urchin hint at the possibility that not all species are equally sensitive to specific sizes, concentrations and types of microplastics. Indeed a similar conclusion was reached regarding the toxicity of a wide range of rare earth elements to the embryos of three urchin species (Trifuoggi et al., 2017), with *S. granularis* broadly found to be the most, and *P. lividus* the least, sensitive.
Silver nanoparticles were also found to cause significant defects during embryonic development of *S. granularis*, *P. lividus* and *Arbacia lixula*, with the latter proving to be the most sensitive, followed by *S. granularis*, and *P. lividus* being the least sensitive (Burić et al., 2015). The use of *P. lividus* embryos in toxicity testing is convenient for a number of reasons including ease of rapidly determining fertilisation, their well differentiated early life stages and skeletal features, and adults which may yield fertile gametes for much of the year. However, as this species may be the most robust among Mediterranean urchin species, it may be worthwhile to use potentially more sensitive species such as *S. granularis* for determining baselines in microplastics toxicity testing.

For comparison with more widely researched PS microplastics, this study also probed the effect of small (10 μm) and mid-sized (50 μm) PMMA microparticles. PMMA microparticles may be an important pollutant in the marine environment; for example, while significantly less researched than other microplastics, PMMA microparticles have been found in North Sea fish while all other types of microplastic (>100 μm) were absent (Hermsen et al., 2017). In the present study, even greater deleterious effects on embryonic development of offspring of sperm pre-exposed to PMMA particles compared to PS particles were noted. This is consistent with data previously reported for *S. granularis* by Trifuoggi et al. (2019) where PMMA microparticles also caused greater negative effects than PS microparticles. However, it should be noted that leachate from PMMA microparticles in the present study only showed a significant increase in offspring developmental defects for PMMA10 although, again, this was greater than that induced by PS. Thus, in addition to possible sensitivity differences between urchin species, the chemical identity of the microplastics may also play a role in governing toxicity. For example, the hydrophobicity of a range of microplastics, deriving from their chemical identity, has been shown to affect larval survival and settlement of the barnacle *Amphibalanus amphitrite* (Li et al., 2016). Hence, not only can the specific
microplastic polymers potentially give rise to different effects but also the life stages of test organisms may not be equally sensitive to toxicants as previously shown for fertilised eggs, blastula, gastrula and plutei phases in early urchin development (Burić et al., 2015).

In addition to the impact of polymer composition of the microparticles on embryos and larvae, consistent increases, monotonic or otherwise, in toxicity as a function of concentration were generally not observed. The mid-sized and large microparticles were too big to be ingested yet in cases showed the greatest negative effects on larvae. Further, the small-sized microparticles typically did not show any strong negative effect on the larvae even though they were ingested and accumulated in the larvae. Thus, developmental defects noted in other studies of microplastics in P. lividus larvae and ascribed to ingestion effects (Beiras et al. 2018) contrast with the results of the present study, hence the exact source of the toxicity of the microparticles used herein remains unresolved. Ingestion of a range of microplastics by P. lividus has also been shown, including PS (Messinetti et al., 2018), PVC (Oliveiro et al., 2019) and high density PE (Martínez-Gómez et al., 2017) with an egestion rate for PE microparticles calculated at 7 h in T. gratilla (Kaposi et al., 2014). However, it was not possible to unambiguously ascribe deleterious effects to microparticle uptake alone. Further, why the PMMA microparticles in the present work seemed to be preferentially ingested compared to the PS microparticles is unclear, and how such ingestion might lead to any adverse effects on subsequent larval growth and eventual metamorphosis remains a topic to be investigated. For example, in terms of feeding, microplastics may impede movement of food through the intestinal tract (Tourinho et al., 2010) or cause a decrease in food intake due to pseudo-satiation (Derraik, 2002).

Bio-physical interactions between developing embryos or larvae and microplastics, based on varying surface curvature deriving from different microparticle radii, is not thought to be a
basis for negative effects and no adherence of larvae to microparticles was noted, as also reported by Martínez-Gómez et al. (2017). Larger particles individually have a much greater surface area than the smaller particles, and greater toxicity was noted for larger particles. However, on the basis of equal mass, the total surface area of the larger particles is actually far less than the total surface area of small particles, thus the small particles present a greater potential for interaction with the embryos and larvae. While external physical interaction between the particles and larvae might not be of significance in its own right, the large surface area of the small particles increases the potential for increased and faster leaching of secondary chemicals such as plasticisers, emollients, colourants and unreacted monomers. Further, it has been postulated that microplastics at higher concentrations may tend to aggregate, thus presenting a smaller surface-water interface that may lead to reduced leaching rates and thus less toxicity (Martínez-Gómez et al., 2017). Indeed, leaching of chemicals from microplastics has been suggested as an important source of toxicity (Gandara e Silva et al., 2016) apart from many polymers in their own right representing a significant hazard (Lithner et al., 2011). In particular, even very low concentrations of such chemicals may be sufficient to cause signals of endocrine disruption, for example as found for Japanese medaka *Oryzias latipes* exposed to polyethylene microplastics (Rochman et al., 2014). Leachates from microparticles did not prove particularly deleterious for treated sperm in terms of fertilisation ability or the quality of the ensuing offspring, suggesting that chemical cues from these specific virgin microplastics is not a major source of toxicity. However, it should be borne in mind that volatilisation of chemicals such as polystyrene monomers leaching from the microplastics may provide a pathway to lower toxicity of leachates than might otherwise be expected (Fu and Alexander, 1992). In the present work, ingestion of PMMA microparticles and lack of uptake of PS microparticles corresponds with the more negative effects of the former. Indeed, studies on even smaller PS particles (0.1 μm) also did not find ingestion of these polymer spheres (Gambardella et al., 2018). However, this does not explain why larger
particles with a lower overall surface area for a given mass of microparticles have, in cases, stronger negative effects than smaller particles which may potentially leach chemicals faster. Efforts at determining the presence of secondary chemicals in microparticle leachates by high performance liquid chromatography - mass spectrometry (HPLC Agilent 1200, MS Agilent 6410), that would indicate a possible source for toxicity in this study, gave equivocal results (data not shown). Similar difficulty, due to likely very low concentrations of such chemicals, was also reported in other studies that found biological signals of endocrine disruption in oysters after exposure to 6 μm PS microparticles (Sussarellu et al., 2016). However, more research is required to unequivocally determine the primary source of toxicity of virgin and/or aged microplastics.

**Conclusion**

Exposure of *P. lividus* sperm to PS and PMMA microparticles in the size range 1-230 μm, and their corresponding leachates, resulted in modestly reduced fertilisation success and offspring quality, with the greatest effects noted for PMMA. *P. lividus* larvae were found to have ingested PMMA microparticles but not those of PS, indicating a potential mode for enhancing toxicity. Developing embryos/larvae generally did not display strong developmental defects after exposure to the microplastics over a range of concentrations, with leachates also showing only mild spermioxic effects. These limited embryo- and spermioxicity outcomes in *P. lividus* sharply differ from the previously reported data from *S. granularis* indicating potential interspecies sensitivity to microplastics. By extension, different species sensitivities, or different life stage sensitivity within a species, indicate that diverse microplastics – and possibly other xenobiotics – may cause different responses and impacts in terms of environmental effects at the community level, pointing to the need for future relevant mesocosm studies.
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