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Abstract

Mineral composition and surface physicochemical properties, i.e., specific surface area (SSA), cation exchange capacity (CEC), and surface charge ($\zeta$-potential) of recent sediments and their submicron-sized mineral fractions from different sedimentological environments of the eastern Adriatic were investigated. The impact of organic matter on these properties was also examined. It was shown that illite and mixed-layered clay minerals (MLCM) were omnipresent and showed no size-related preferences while the occurrence of smectites, chlorites, and kaolinites varied. The content of smectites increased and of chlorites slightly decreased with particle size lowering. Sediments from the carbonate-rich environments did not contain smectites and chlorites and showed the highest content of kaolinite. For the first time, the poorly- and the well-crystallized kaolinite (Kl and KlD) were distinguished in the recent sediments of the Adriatic. While Kl prevailed in the submicron-sized fraction, KlD occurred only in micron-sized fractions. Authigenic submicron-sized aragonite was determined in a distinct environment of the semi-enclosed marine lake. The differences in mineral composition and particle size of sediments and their separated fractions were reflected in a wide range of the obtained SSA and CEC values. The highest values of SSA and CEC were determined in the submicron-sized fractions rich in phyllosilicates, 109 m$^2$g$^{-1}$ and 87.4 cmol.kg$^{-1}$, respectively. The submicron-sized fraction from aragonite-rich marine lake showed the lowest values of SSA (56.4 m$^2$g$^{-1}$) and CEC (38.8 cmol.kg$^{-1}$), still unexpectedly high for carbonate-rich environments. The removal of organic matter resulted in a significant increase in SSA and CEC, up to 150% and 76%, respectively.
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1. Introduction

Mineral particles, the main component of sediments, play a major role in biogeochemical processes in aquatic environment by controlling the fate and the behaviour of organic and inorganic compounds through complex physicochemical processes associated with their surfaces (Breiner et al., 2006; Hochella et al., 2008; Plathe et al., 2013). During the last decade, numerous studies have shown that the submicron-sized mineral particles, and particularly nanomineral solids, represent the most reactive mineral phases in the environment, comprising over 90% of potentially reactive surfaces (Breiner et al., 2006; Hochella et al., 2008; Plathe et al., 2013; Sondi et al., 2017; Tang et al., 2009).

The micron- and the submicron-sized mineral fractions in sediments mostly consist of clay minerals, metal oxides, and oxyhydroxides and sulphides (Perret et al., 1994; Tang et al., 2009). It is generally accepted that carbonates occur as lithogenic and biogenic micron-sized constituents in recent sediments, and can rarely be found in the submicron-size fractions (Buffle et al., 1998; Perret et al., 1994), especially in the nanosized dimensions (Wilkinson and Reinhardt, 2005). However, investigations of carbonate minerals on the nanoscale are scarce and mostly focused on those of biogenic origin (Morse et al., 2007).

The surface reactivity of mineral particles, which results from their structural, chemical and surface properties, can be described by the specific surface area (SSA), the cation exchange capacity (CEC) and the surface charge ($\zeta$-potential). These properties are used to describe physicochemical reactions occurring at the
surfaces of mineral particles (Bišćan et al., 1991; Breiner et al., 2006; Zhuang and Yu, 2002) and are considered of great importance in different biogeochemical processes.

Mineral particles in sediments are associated with various inorganic and organic compounds that form micro- and macro-aggregates. It is assumed that more than 90% of organic matter (OM) is associated with the surfaces of mineral particles (Hedges and Keil, 1995; Keil et al., 1994; Mayer, 1999). These organo-mineral aggregates alter the physicochemical properties of mineral surfaces and contribute to the preservation of OM (Kaiser and Guggenberger, 2003; Mikutta et al., 2005b; Ransom et al., 1998).

Investigation of the surface physicochemical properties of the micron- and submicron-sized mineral particles that are an integral part of the complex organo-mineral aggregates occurring in natural environments requires their separation from organic components (Citeau et al., 2006; Tang et al., 2009). The main endeavor during this process is minimizing the alterations of mineral surfaces caused by various physical and chemical treatments (Buffle and Leppard, 1995; Citeau et al., 2006).

Previous studies carried out in the Adriatic region have provided information on the primary sedimentological and mineralogical properties of recent sediments (Boldrin et al., 1992; Faganeli et al., 1994; Matijević et al., 2008; Pigorini, 1968; Pikelj et al., 2016; Ravaioli et al., 2003; Sondi and Juračić, 2010; Spagnoli et al., 2014; Tomadin, 2000a), their surface physicochemical properties (Bišćan et al., 1991; Boldrin et al., 1992; Vdović et al., 1991) and their geochemical features (De Lazzari et al., 2004; Dolenec et al., 1998; Goudeau et al., 2013; Spagnoli et al., 2014).
Still, the impacts of different mineral phases, particle size, and OM on the surface physicochemical properties of recent sediments were not systematically investigated. For the first time, this study aims to investigate the size-related mineralogical and surface physicochemical properties of the mineral particles separated from recent sediments from different sedimentological environments of the eastern Adriatic (an estuary, a delta, deep-water open-sea areas, a marine lake, an indented marine bay). Particular attention was given to the investigation of the submicron-sized fraction. In addition, the impact of the OM on the surface physicochemical properties on these solids was examined.

2. Experimental

2.1. Study area

The sampling locations (S1-S8) were distributed along the eastern coast of the Adriatic Sea, as shown in Figure 1.

The investigated sedimentological environments include coastal areas under a significant riverine influence (S1, S2, and S5), deep-water open-sea areas (S3, S4, and S8), a semi-enclosed karstic marine lake (S6) and an indented marine bay (S7). Sampling station S1 is in the northern Adriatic, in the outer region of the area receiving the Po River sediment load (Faganeli et al., 1994; Pigorini, 1968). Location S2 is in the karstic Krka River estuary, below the calc tufa barriers formed at the uppermost part of the estuary. The open-sea sampling stations S3 and S4 are
located at the central and the outer part of the Jabuka Pit depression, respectively. Location S5 is in the Neretva Channel, in front of the Neretva River mouth, where the river discharges its sediment load and forms a delta. The karstic marine lake (Malo Jezero) on the island of Mljet (S6) represents a unique sedimentological system due to the occurrence of intense short-term authigenic aragonite precipitation (Sondi and Juračić, 2010; Sondi et al., 2017). The indented Risan Bay (S7) is the innermost part of the Bay of Kotor, where hydrodynamic conditions allow deposition of fine-grained material (Bellafiore et al., 2011; Pikelj and Juračić, 2013). Location S8 is in the Albanian coastal area, under influence of the Drin River, the largest river on the eastern Adriatic coast.

2.2. Sampling and sample preparation

Surface sediments (Fig. 1) were collected using a gravity corer (Uwitec, Austria). Immediately after sampling, sediments were frozen and stored at -20°C. Before the laboratory analyses, sediments were freeze-dried (FreeZone 2.5, Labconco, USA).

The sediment samples were treated as follows:

i. Native sediments
Fractionation was performed on samples representing distinct environments of the northern (S1), central (S3) and southern (S6, S7) Adriatic. Sediments were rinsed in deionized water to remove salts and increase particle dispersion.

ii. NaOCl-treated sediments
Fractionation was performed on all samples after OM was removed.

2.2.1. The organic matter (OM) removal
The OM was removed by the slightly modified treatment proposed by Kaiser and Guggenberger (2003). Sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) was chosen due to its effective removal of the OM from carbonate-rich sediments at room temperature (Gaffey and Bronniman, 1993; Mikutta et al., 2005a). Samples were dispersed in deionized water and the pH of the dispersion was adjusted to 8.5 and constantly controlled during the treatment. After the NaOCl-treatment, sediments were sequentially rinsed with deionized water by centrifugation. After rinsing, samples were thoroughly stirred with deionized water in a beaker and left to settle in order to perform the particle size fractionation.

2.2.2. Particle size fractionation

Size fractions (<8 μm, <4 μm, <2 μm, <1 μm and <0.45 μm) were collected from the NaOCl-treated sediment samples and several native sediments (S1, S3, S6, and S7). Different fractions were obtained from aqueous dispersions at 25°C by gravitational settling, a low-invasive fractionation technique that enabled separation of a sufficient amount of material. Fractionation was performed according to the periods presented in Table S1 (Supplementary). To determine if the particle falls into the desired size-range, the collected suspensions were analysed by dynamic light scattering (LS 13320 Beckman Coulter Inc., USA). Example of the particle size distribution (PSD) curves of the collected fractions is shown in Figure S1 (Supplementary). Only samples containing a minimum of 97 vol.% of particles of the required size were freeze-dried and used for further analysis.

It was demonstrated that the time required for the separation of mineral particles of the desired size significantly differed from the calculated values that are based on the Stokes’ law (Table S1, Supplementary). Clifton et al. (1999) investigated the
efficiency of the size fractionation after Stokes' law and found that, even though the
mean size (Mz) of particles was in the desired size-range, the number of misplaced
particles ranged from 25-65%. The reasons for this discrepancy can be found in the
Brownian motion of the submicron-sized particles and assumptions that all particles
are spherical and of the same density, as Stokes’ law anticipates. Obviously, this
assumption cannot be applied to natural sediment samples.

2.3. Analytical methods

2.3.1. Sediment characterization

Particle-size distribution (PSD) measurements were performed by laser diffraction
(LS 13320, Beckman Coulter Inc., USA). Prior to measurements, samples were
dispersed in deionized water and treated with ultrasound for 3 minutes. The particle
size was calculated with proprietary software using the Mie theory of light scattering
(optical parameters: refractive index 1.53; absorption index 0.1). Sediments were
classified according to Shepard’s classification scheme (1954) and the modified
Wentworth (1922) grade scale with the clay-silt boundary at 2 µm.

2.3.2. Mineralogical analysis

The total carbonate content was determined volumetrically with the Scheibler’s
apparatus (Allison and Moodie, 1965). Particle size and morphology were examined
by the high-resolution scanning field-emission electron microscopy (HR-FE-SEM,
Zeiss Supra 35 VP, Germany). The mineral composition of native sediments was
determined by the X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) using a D4 Endeavor
diffractometer (Bruker AXS, Germany). The mineral composition of fractions smaller
than 2 µm, 1 µm and 0.45 µm (where available) was determined using a Philips
dифрактометром (графитовый монохроматор, Cu-Kα излучение, пропорциональный счетчик). Ход RДР образцов был получен после высыхания на воздухе. Ходы RДР ориентированных образцов были получены после следующих обработок: (a) ионная К-сатурация, (b) К-сатурация и DMSO солвация, (c) К-сатурация и этиленгликоль солвация, (d) К-сатурация и нагревание в интервале температур 350°C, (e) К-сатурация и нагревание в интервале температур 550°C, (f) Mg-сатурация, (g) Mg-сатурация и этиленгликоль солвация, (h) Mg-сатурация и нагревание в интервале температур 350°C и (i) Mg-сатурация и нагревание в интервале температур 550°C. Несилимогенины были определены по данным Powder Diffraction File (1996) и программе Panalytical XPert HighScore (v. 1.0d). Идентификация глинистых минералов была основана на методиках, описанных в работах Brindley и Brown (1980) и Moore и Reynolds (1989). Термин “MLCM” использовался для обозначения смешанных-слойных глинистых минералов, при котором тип интерстракции и глины, составляющие смешаннослойные глины, не были определены с достоверностью. ДМСО-обработка позволила отличить слабо-кристаллический кайоунит, не образующий интеркаляционные комплексы с DMSO (Kl), от хорошо-кристаллического кайоунита, формирующего интеркаляционные комплексы с DMSO (KlD) (Range et al., 1969). Семикувантитативные оценки глинистых минералов в фракциях <2 μm, <1 μm и <0.45 μm были основаны на относительной интенсивности характерных X-лучевых пиков. Оценки количеств минералов были выражены по сокращениям X, но точное значение не было приписано каждому X.

2.3.3. Determination of the total carbon, the total organic carbon (TOC) and the total inorganic carbon (TIC) content

Тотальное углеродное содержание и общее содержание органического углерода (TOC) были определены в анализаторе углерода Leco IR–212 (USA). TOC, который используется как индикатор органического углерода (OM)
content, was determined by combustion of acid insoluble matter, after treatment with hot 1:1 diluted 36.5% HCl. The content of TIC was calculated from the difference between the total carbon and TOC.

2.3.4. The surface physicochemical characterization

The specific surface area (SSA) measurements were performed by a single-point nitrogen adsorption using the BET method, on a FlowSorb II 2300 instrument (Micromeritics, USA). The cation exchange capacity (CEC) was determined using an ammonia selective electrode based on the method described by Busenberg and Clemency (1973). The electrophoretic mobility (EPM) was measured using a Zetasizer Nano ZS (Malvern, UK). For the measurements, 10 mg of sediment sample was dispersed in 50 ml of an inert electrolyte (1 mM NaCl) and left for a few hours to reach equilibrium. The electrophoretic mobility was measured at a constant temperature of 25°C. The software automatically calculated the ζ-potential from the EPM using Henry’s equation (Hunter, 1981). The instrument was calibrated prior to measurements with a polystyrene latex standard, supplied by the manufacturer. All the data processing was evaluated using Zetasizer software 6.20 (Malvern, UK).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Granulometric characteristics of the investigated sediments

The native sediments were classified as silty sand (S1), silt (S5-S8), and clayey silt (S2-S4) (Fig. S2a, Supplementary).

Coarser sediments were found in the northern Adriatic (S1), the Neretva Channel (S5), and the Albanian coastal area (S8). These environments coincide with areas
where rivers with a large discharge such as Po, Neretva, and Drin, deposit their load in marine coastal environments. The prevalence of silts was also characteristic for the carbonate-rich sediments at the Krka River estuary (S2) and Malo Jezero on the island of Mljet (S6), and for the Risan Bay (S7) where surface freshwater run-off significantly contributes to the size and the mineral composition of the sediment. Fine-grained sediments were found in the central Adriatic open-sea area (S3, S4), where due to specific hydrodynamic conditions of the Adriatic basin and the limited coastal influence, deposition of finer particulate material occurs. The obtained results are in agreement with the previous research that showed deposition of finer sediment in the central Adriatic area and coarser sediments in the northern part of the Adriatic and along its eastern coast (De Lazzari et al., 2004; Spagnoli et al., 2014; Tomadin, 2000a; Vdović and Juračić, 1993).

Following the OM removal (Fig. S2b), all sediments were classified as clayey silts. This is the result of an increase in the share of clayey content and a decrease in particle size. Since most of the OM is found in organo-mineral aggregates (Arnarson and Keil, 2007), its removal caused their disintegration and the subsequent release of finer particles.

When comparing corresponding size fractions separated from the native and the NaOCl-treated sediment samples (Table S1, Supplementary), it was observed that the native sediment fractions <8 μm and <4 μm contained slightly coarser material and a correspondingly higher Mz. However, the Mz of fine fractions (<2 μm, <1 μm, <0.45 μm) from both sets of samples was in the same size range. This implies that the removal of OM induced disintegration of macro-aggregates and had little effect on the OM bound to the clayey mineral particles.
Indeed, the results displayed in Figure 2a show an increase in the amount of TOC with decreasing particle size (sampling station S3). The strong affinity of the OM for finer particles was already established (Bišćan et al., 1991; Buffle and Leppard, 1995). This results in their strong association and greater resistance to chemical treatments (Kahle et al., 2003; Mikutta et al., 2005b).

Indeed, Keil et al. (1994) have shown that diverse classes of OM were associated with different types of mineral particles. Namely, coarser particles were enriched in carbon-rich OM while smaller, particularly clayey particles, contained nitrogen-rich OM. These diversities may lead to differences in the degradation rate of OM associated with the surfaces of mineral particles.

Finally, the persistence of clayey particles in the water column, together with their prolonged oxygen exposure time (Coppola et al., 2007), could lead to the removal of the more labile organic compounds, leaving the residual OM tightly bound to mineral surfaces and contributing to its resistance to the applied chemical treatment.

**Figure 2.**

It is noteworthy that after the OM removal, similar PSD curves were obtained for the corresponding size-fractions in all investigated sediments (Fig. 3). A general lowering in modality, i.e. number of frequency peaks, with a decrease in the particle size occurred. Minor discrepancies were observed only for the carbonate-rich sediment from Malo Jezero (S6). In addition, the mineralogical analysis revealed presence of, in general, similar mineral phases in the corresponding size fractions of the
investigated sediments, as shown in section 3.2. A similar conclusion was reached by Keil et al. (1994) when exploring size fractions of sediments along the Washington margin (USA). Comparable granulometric characteristics of the corresponding size fractions could result from prevalence of similar mineral phases. The observed shift in the PSD curves of size fractions from the Malo Jezero (S6) sediment, characterized by slightly different mineral composition, supports this assumption. However, considering the strong affinity between OM and mineral surfaces, and its incomplete removal from the investigated samples (Table 1), it seems reasonable to presume that OM, through formation of aggregates, contributed to the observed similarity in PSD. Its influence was probably most exerted in fine fractions, due to its increased content in this size range (Fig. 2a).

A unimodal PSD curve with a small tail at 0.25 μm and a Mz of 0.1 μm was characteristic for all investigated fractions <0.45 μm (Fig. 3). Despite prolonged settling time, appreciable share of particles in smaller size range was not attainable. Plathe et al. (2013) suggested that in aquatic environments, nanoparticles in the size of 5-20 nm are mostly found in aggregates of ~100 nm, and the efficiency of aggregate disintegration is limited. The obtained Mz of 0.1 μm, observed in the finest fractions (Table S1, Supplementary), supports this assumption. According to Baalousha (2009), disintegration of micron-sized aggregates produces smaller aggregates that are 50 to 400 nm in size, which can be further disintegrated by a much slower process of surface erosion. Rapid aggregation of the nanosized particles and their limited disintegration could be a valid explanation for the observed difficulties in extracting them from the dispersion considering the settling time.
3.2. Mineralogical characteristics of the investigated sediments

3.2.1. Carbonate mineral phases

The share of carbonates in native sediments varied from 14% to 69% (Table 1). Calcite, Mg-calcite, aragonite, and dolomite were determined as major or minor constituents (Table 1). According to their carbonate content, the investigated sediments can be divided into two groups. The first group, including sediments at sampling stations S1-S6, is characterised by a significant carbonate content (>27%), originating from weathering of the karstic rocks in the drainage area of the eastern Adriatic coast, the appearance of biomineral fragments, and the authigenic formation of carbonate minerals in the marine environment. Sediments at sampling stations S7 and S8, which contain significantly lower share of carbonates, ~15%, and calcite as the only carbonate mineral phase (Table 1), belong to the second group. Among the first group, sediments from the Krka River estuary (S2) and Malo Jezero (S6) contained the highest amounts of carbonates, 59% and 69%, respectively (Table 1). However, the origin and the mineral composition of carbonates in these two systems were different. In the Krka River sediment (S2) calcite was the only determined carbonate mineral phase (Table 1, Fig. 4f). Previous investigations of these sediments indicate its detrital origin with a small contribution of biogenic components (Juračić and Prohić, 1991). Contrarily, the XRD analysis of native sediment from Malo Jezero (S6) showed the presence of aragonite, Mg-calcite, and calcite (Table 1). Aragonite was the most abundant mineral phase. Sondi and Juračić (2010) have shown that biologically induced precipitation of aragonite, occurring during the short-
term whitening events, mainly contributed to the high share of carbonates, particularly aragonite in recent sediment of Malo Jezero (S6). The FE-SEM photomicrographs (Fig. 4d) revealed the prevalence of irregular needle-like mineral particles, typical for the occurrence of authigenic aragonite in the marine environment (Sondi and Juračić, 2010). In the fine fractions from these two sediments (Fig. 4 d,f), only occasional appearance of calcite grains was observed in sampling station S2, while irregular aragonite particles were abundant even in the submicrometer size-range (S6). The occurrence of aragonite and Mg-calcite in recent sediments of the Adriatic was mainly associated with biogenic production (Pikelj et al., 2016). Indeed, Mg-calcite was determined in the central Adriatic open-sea sediments (S3 and S4) (Table 1), where the abundance of skeletal detritus (Fig. 4e), indicates its biogenous origin. According to previous investigations, carbonates in the Adriatic sediments are found mainly in the coarse-grained fractions (Faganeli et al., 1994; Pikelj et al., 2016). A decrease in their share with decreasing particle size in majority of samples, and the absence of Mg-calcite in finer fractions from the open-sea sediment (S3) (Tables 1, 2), supports these assumptions.

**Figure 4.**

However, the XRD and FE-SEM investigation of different size fractions separated from the carbonate-rich sediment from Malo Jezero (S6) showed a considerable share of aragonite present in the submicron-sized fraction (Table 2, Fig. 4d). This finding is not in line with the general assumption that carbonate particles do not occur in the finest sediment fractions, especially in the nanosized dimensions (Buffle et al.,
1998; Wilkinson and Reinhardt, 2005). Their presence in sediment from Malo Jezero (S6) is a rare example of appearance of nanosized carbonates in recent sediments that highlights the important role of authigenic processes in their formation.

The lower share of calcite in sediments at sampling stations S7 and S8 (Table 1) is in agreement with the observation reported by Faganeli et al. (1994). These stations are located in the coastal areas of Montenegro and Albania where lithology of the hinterland mostly consists of flysch deposits, metamorphic, and igneous rocks (Dolenec et al., 1998; Pikelj and Juračić, 2013; Rivaro et al., 2004). The material formed by weathering of these terrains is transported by the Albanian rivers and is the main source of sediment deposited in the coastal area.

Dolomite was determined in sediments from the northern Adriatic (S1) and the Neretva Channel (S5). According to previous investigations, detrital dolomite in northern Adriatic originates from the drainage area of the eastern Alps (Faganeli et al., 1994; Pikelj et al., 2016; Ravaioli et al., 2003). Dolomite at sampling station S1 could also originate from the Late Glacial loess deposits. Namely, Durn et al. (2018a) found dolomite in the Late Glacial loess on the island of Susak (Northern Adriatic). The possible source of dolomite in the Neretva Channel (S5), where it was found even in the submicron-size fraction (Table 2), are flysch sediments. Particulate material entering the sedimentation system mostly originates from weathering of the Eocene flysch deposits in the upper drainage area (Jurina et al., 2015), in which dolomite is a common component in the Adriatic zone (Pikelj et al., 2016; Toševski et al., 2012).

3.2.2. Clay minerals
The XRD investigation of clay minerals in the investigated sediments showed presence of illite, smectite, chlorite, kaolinite, I/S MLCM and MLCM (Table 2). Although these results are generally in agreement with the earlier investigations (Ilijanic et al., 2014; Tomadin, 2000a), the new data were acquired based on the analysis of different micron- and submicron-sized sediment fractions of the Adriatic sediments, and provides a more detailed identification of clay minerals.

Illite was the most abundant and omnipresent clay mineral in the investigated sediments and equally present in all size fractions (Table 2). Mixed-layer clay minerals (MLCM and/or I/S MLCM) were also found in all investigated sediments and generally showed no size-related preferences (Table 2). Smectites were most abundant in sediments from the open-sea areas, and in general, their content increased with particle size lowering (Table 2). Chlorites occurred together with smectites, however their share mostly decreased in finer size fractions.

In the central Adriatic area, low hydrodynamic conditions allow deposition of very fine particulates (Spagnoli et al., 2014). The material deposited in this area consists of the clayey fraction delivered by currents flowing southward from the northern Adriatic, northwest from the southern Adriatic and the material of aeolian origin (Dolenec et al., 1998; Faganeli et al., 1994; Goudeau et al., 2013; Tomadin, 2000a). According to Tomadin (2000a), this area is supplied by the off-shore Padane flux, carrying fine-grained material rich in illite and chlorite which is delivered by the Po River, and with seasonal input of the coastal Apennine flux, transporting materials of Apennine source that are rich in smectites.

In the carbonate-rich sediments of the Krka River estuary (S2) and Malo Jezero (S6), smectites and chlorites were not determined (Table 2). These clay minerals mainly
originate from the flysch deposits located in the drainage area of the Adriatic.

However, sampling stations S2 and S6 are surrounded by carbonates with no flysch terrains that could directly act as a source of smectites and chlorites. Due its distance from the coast, terrigenous supply in Malo Jezero (S6) is limited to soil erosion and aeolian deposition while its enclosure limits the water exchange, restricting potential input of material by currents. The small amount of the suspended load carried by the Krka River is retained by the calc tufa barriers located upstream from the sampling station S2. The main source of the flysch material deposited in the Krka River estuary is located downstream of the sampling station (Juračić and Prohić, 1991).

Kaolinites were found in sediments of the northern and the central Adriatic area (S1-S6), but they were not determined with certainty in sediments of the coastal areas of Montenegro and Albania (S7 and S8, respectively). Moreover, the results of this study for the first time allowed differentiation between the well-crystallised kaolinite (Kl\textsubscript{D}) and the poorly-crystallised kaolinite (Kl) in the investigated sediments. Results in Table 2 revealed that the Kl\textsubscript{D} was not present in the submicron-sized fractions, while the Kl was equally abundant in the submicron and the clayey fractions. Rodriguez-Navarro et al. (2018) observed similar size-fractionation of kaolinite in the Saharan dust particles deposited in the Iberian Peninsula and ascribed it to different sources of dust. Coarser kaolinite particles of higher crystallinity were present in the silt fraction and the finer particles of poor crystallinity in the clay fraction. According to Tomadin (2000b), kaolinite in the Adriatic sediment is of both river-borne and aeolian origin. The wind-blown dust from different source areas in Africa has a significant effect on sediments in the Adriatic. However, since the contribution from the wind-blown transport in sediments is usually masked by the more significant river supply (Tomadin, 2000b), their presence can be revealed in sediments where the input of
terrigenous siliciclastic material is limited. Indeed, the two sediments from the carbonate-rich environments, Krka River estuary (S2) and Malo Jezero (S6), showed the highest content of the Kl. It can therefore be argued, that the Kl in the Adriatic sediments is of both river-born and aeolian origin, as Tomadin (2000b) postulated. However, kaolinite was determined in polygenetic soil, different palaeosols and loess sediments on the island of Susak (Durn et al., 2018a, b). Durn et al. (1999) postulated that kaolinite in the fine clay (Kl) of the Istrian Terra Rossas is predominantly authigenic (pedogenic), while the KlD is considered inherited from the parent materials. Durn et al. (2019) found that kaolinites (both Kl and KlD) and illitic material are the dominant clay mineral phases in the analysed Terra Rossa and Calcocambisol from Istria.

It is important to note that the clay mineral assemblage at sampling station S1 (illite, chlorite, smectite, kaolinites and I/S MLCM) is identical to that found in the Late Glacial loess deposits on the island of Susak (Durn et al., 2018a). This points to the same provenance of the material from which the Late Glacial loess on the island of Susak and sediments at sampling station S1 were derived. Generally, clay minerals in the Adriatic sediments originate from the material delivered by the Po River and rivers draining the Apennines, from the Albanian rivers on the southeast part of the Adriatic and aeolian transport of Saharan dust (Tomadin, 2000a). However, during the Pleistocene, the North Adriatic was a closed basin and the sea level was up to 100 m lower than today. Fluvial material was exposed to wind activity during the glacials and was subsequently deposited to form loess and aeolian sand. During the interglacials and interstadials, aeolian sedimentation was interrupted by the soil forming processes. Therefore, loess, aeolian sand, soils, and palaeosols have to be considered as a potential source of clay minerals in the recent Adriatic sediments.
(e.g. Durn and Frechen, 2018c), in addition to flysch deposits, as documented by the clay mineral composition (e.g. smectite, chlorite, illite) of sediment in the Neretva Channel (S5).

3.2.3. Other mineral phases

Plagioclases were found in the recent sediment of the open-sea environment (S3), in sediments of the Neretva Channel (S5) and the Risan Bay (S7), while both plagioclases and K-feldspars were determined in sediments of the northern Adriatic (S1) and Malo Jezero (S6) (Table 1). The weathering of feldspars does not produce submicron-sized particles (Table 1).

Pyrite was found in sediments from the Krka River estuary (S2), Malo Jezero (S6), northern Adriatic (S1) and the Neretva Channel (S5) (Tables 1, 2). Formation of pyrite is associated with reductive conditions in sediments, induced by increased productivity and degradation of a large amount of OM of terrigenous origin in the investigated areas (Giani et al., 2012; Jurina et al., 2015). In reducing conditions and the presence of a sulfidic zone, pyrite can be formed during the post-depositional early-diagenetic processes. Indeed, the frambooidal structure of pyrite of several microns in size, composed of uniform submicron-sized crystals (Fig. 4c) in sediment at sampling station S6 confirms its diagenetic origin (Wilkin et al., 1996).

Table 1.

Table 2.
3.3. Surface physicochemical properties of the investigated sediment samples

The SSA and CEC values of the investigated native sediments varied significantly according to the sampling sites and ranged from 11.3 to 27.8 m$^2$g$^{-1}$, and from 11.6 to 35.3 cmol.kg$^{-1}$, respectively (Table S2). The highest values were obtained for sediments in the open-sea area (S3, S4), in the Albanian coastal area (S8), and the Risan Bay (S7). These sediments were fine-grained and mostly consisted of clay minerals (Table 2). The lowest values were determined in sediments under the dominant influence of rivers (S1 and S5). These sediments were coarser and consisted of sand and silt fractions (Fig. S2a). Similar values were also obtained for the silty carbonate-rich sediments at sampling stations S2 and S6. This is related to the significant occurrence of carbonate mineral phases, which exhibit low SSA and CEC values. The obtained results exemplify the predominant influence of particle size and mineral composition on the determined SSA and CEC values.

The $\zeta$-potentials of native sediments, at pH of natural waters (7.4 – 9.5), were in a narrow range of values, from -30.7 mV to -26 mV (Table S2, Supplementary). It should be noted that the measurements were carried out on native samples dispersed in 1mM NaCl solutions and that the pH of dispersions were not adjusted to the same pH values. These results confirm previous findings on the crucial role of OM in the formation of the surface charge on mineral particles in natural environments (Breiner et al., 2006; Buffle et al., 1998; Plathe et al., 2013). Even a small amount of OM attached to the surfaces of mineral particles provides them with similar surface charges at pH values from 7 to 10 (Durn et al., 2019; Sondi et al., 1997). This is accomplished through complex processes of electrostatic attraction and ligand exchange between the hydroxyl groups on the mineral surfaces and carboxyl and
phenolic groups of the organic matter (Gu et al., 1994; Tombácz and Szekeres, 2004).

3.3.1. Influence of OM on the surface physicochemical properties of the investigated sediments

The OM significantly affects physicochemical properties (SSA and CEC) of mineral surfaces (Bišćan et al., 1991; Boldrin et al., 1992; Kleber et al., 2004; Ransom et al., 1998; Zhuang and Yu, 2002). The extent of modification can be estimated through changes of these properties following the OM removal from mineral surfaces.

The applied NaOCl-treatment removed 53% to 82% of the OM from the investigated sediments (Table 1). After the OM removal, the SSA of sediments ranged from 25.1 to 52.4 m²g⁻¹, and CEC from 18.5 to 39.1 cmol.kg⁻¹ (Table S2, Supplementary). The significant increase in SSA (47-150%) and CEC (5-76%) corresponds to the observed granulometric changes (Fig. S2, Supplementary), indicating disintegration of organo-mineral aggregates after the OM removal and exposure of new mineral surfaces. Numerous studies reported an increase of SSA after the OM removal (Boldrin et al., 1992; Durn et al., 2019; Kahle et al., 2003; Kaiser and Guggenberger, 2003; Kleber et al., 2004). However, contrary results were also reported (Bišćan et al., 1991; Jurina et al., 2015; Vdović and Juračić, 1993). The influence of OM on the surface physicochemical properties of mineral particles is complex and depends on the type of OM, marine vs. terrigenous (Bišćan et al., 1991), and the character of the underlying clay mineral surfaces (Zhuang and Yu, 2002). According to Bišćan et al. (1991), the impact of OM on the reactivity of mineral particles depends on the molecular weight and the porosity of the OM. The riverine type of OM, characterized by the degradable, hydrophilic organic compounds, and the more persistent
hydrophobic OM, which is characteristic for marine environments, exhibit complex
and diverse influence on SSA and CEC of mineral surfaces (Bišćan et al., 1991).
These interactions are additionally complicated by the observed size-dependent
distribution of different organic compounds. According to Kiel et al. (1998), the share
of amino acids increases in fine fractions, carbohydrates in silty fraction, while lignin
phenols prevail in sandy fraction. Previous research showed that OM forms patches
and occurs at specific localities on the surface of mineral particles (Mayer, 1999;
Mikutta et al., 2005b; Ransom et al., 1997). If a significant part of the mineral surface
remains exposed, it can be presumed that the role of the OM in lowering SSA and
CEC of mineral particles is mostly in gluing grains into aggregates.

In addition, the results showed that the OM removal induced a more significant
increase of SSA compared to CEC values (Table S2, Supplementary). If the binding
of OM occurs on the more reactive sites on mineral surfaces, this indicates that the
edge (amphoteric) surfaces of clay minerals would be mostly associated with OM
(Kahle et al., 2003; Kaiser et al., 2002; Kaiser and Guggenberger, 2003; Mikutta et
al., 2005b). This would leave the basal and the interlayer siloxane surfaces free to
exchange cations with the surroundings. However, the role of OM cannot be
neglected, and since its removal was incomplete (Table 1), the remaining OM could
contribute to the determined CEC of the NaOCl-treated samples.

The incomplete removal of OM is also considered the main reason for the observed
negligible effect of the NaOCl-treatment on the ζ-potential values (Table S2,
Supplementary). It was already established that the ζ-potential of clay mineral
surfaces was significantly influenced by a small amount of fulvic and polyacrylic acid
(Sondi et al., 1997). This supports the assumption that even small amounts of the OM govern the formation of surface charge of mineral particles.

**3.3.2. Size-related variations in the surface physicochemical properties of the investigated sediments**

A significant increase of SSA and CEC values occurred in finer size fractions in all investigated sediments (Fig. 5). The reason for this is the reduction in particle size and, more importantly, the presence of minerals with higher surface reactivity, e.g. clay minerals (illite, I/S MLCM, smectite, kaolinite, chlorite).

The significant impact of mineral composition on the increase of SSA and CEC values can be observed from the comparison of the two carbonate-rich sediments from the Krka River estuary (S2) and Malo Jezero (S6). While native sediments exhibited similar SSA and CEC values (Table S2, Supplementary), significant changes were observed with decreasing particle size (Fig. 5). In sediment at sampling station S2, a rise in SSA and CEC values was observed already in the fraction <8 μm, while further reduction in grain size caused an additional increase of both parameters (Fig. 5). These results suggest that carbonate minerals, characterized by low surface reactivity, were predominantly found in coarser fractions, and efficiently removed by fractionation in the first step. The remaining clay minerals in finer fractions (illite, poorly-crystallised kaolinite and I/S MLCM), caused a more significant increase of SSA and CEC (Fig. 5). Contrarily, size fractions separated from sampling station S6 exhibited a minor increase with particle size lowering, and the lowest SSA and CEC values. The reason for this is the presence of carbonates (calcite, Mg-calcite and aragonite) in all size fractions (Table 2, Fig. 4d), a lower share of clay minerals (illite, kaolinite and MLCM) and the absence of I/S...
MLCM (Table 2). This indicates a low surface reactivity of carbonates even when they are present in submicron-sized dimensions.

The increase of SSA and CEC in the finest fractions (<0.45 μm) was not observed as expected. The reason for this can be found in the increase of the TOC content (Fig. 2a), suggesting strong association of OM to mineral surfaces in the submicron-sized mineral phases (Kahle et al., 2003; Mikutta et al., 2005b). Mikutta et al. (2005b) showed that more SSA was occupied by the OM in the size fraction <0.2 μm compared to coarser particles, e.g. 0.2 – 2 μm. In addition, it was shown that the interaction of clay minerals and the OM depends on the different types of OM and specific clay minerals (Ransom et al., 1998). In particular, Ransom et al. (1998) showed that the preservation of OM was higher in sediments rich in smectites, compared to chlorite-dominated sediments. Indeed, the results showed that samples with increased share of smectites in the finest fraction (Table 2) exhibited a decrease of SSA values. Complex interactions of specific clay minerals and OM could have, therefore, contributed to the observed changes in SSA and CEC of the finest fractions. A continuous size-dependent increase of both SSA and CEC determined in sediment from Malo Jezero (S6) supports these assumptions. Sediment from this location showed unique characteristics, with carbonates present in all size fractions and a minor share of the non-expandable clay minerals determined in the submicron-sized fraction (Table 2). The observed mineral composition could have contributed to a more straightforward relationship between the mineral surfaces and OM, mostly governed by weaker associations of carbonates and the OM. The presence of different types of OM, e.g. non-degradable terrigenous plant debris from the surrounding area (Lojen et al., 2010) and the plankton remains from intense primary...
production during summer periods (Sondi and Juračić, 2010), could have induced the occurrence of particulate OM, not associated with mineral surfaces.

**Figure 5.**

**Conclusion**

For the first time, the mineral composition and the surface physicochemical properties of successive sediment size fractions from different sedimentological environments of the eastern Adriatic were investigated. Special attention was given to the submicron-sized sediment fractions and the influence of OM on the surface reactivity of mineral particles. It was shown that the mineral composition and the content of clay minerals were different in the studied environments and moderately varied among the separated micron/submicron-sized fractions. Illite and MLCM were omnipresent and showed no size-related preferences while the occurrence of smectites, chlorites, and kaolinites varied. The content of smectites increased in the submicron-size fraction, while the share of chlorite decreased with particle size lowering. Sediments from the carbonate-rich environments did not contain smectite and chlorite and showed the highest content of kaolinite. The poorly-crystallised kaolinite prevailed in the submicron-sized fraction and the well-crystallised kaolinite was limited to the micron fraction. Increase in the surface reactivity of mineral particles with the particle size lowering was related to smaller particle size and accumulation of minerals characterised by large SSA and CEC (e.g. clay minerals). Authigenic formation of the nanosized carbonate minerals resulted in their increased
presence even in the submicron-size fraction, resulting in lower SSA and CEC values. The OM removal induced disintegration of macroaggregates and exposure of mineral surfaces, reflected in an increase of SSA and CEC values.
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**Table 1.** Mineral composition of the investigated native sediments, the OM removal efficiency and the TOC content before (native) and after the NaOCl treatment (treated\textsubscript{NaOCl}).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>TOC, mass. % \textit{Native / treated\textsubscript{NaOCl}}</th>
<th>OM removal efficiency %</th>
<th>Carbonates, mass. %</th>
<th>Mineral composition of the native sediment samples</th>
<th>\textit{Minor} constituents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$S1$</td>
<td>0.47 / 0.17</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Quartz, Phyllosilicates</td>
<td>Feldspars, Calcite, Dolomite, Pyrite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S2$</td>
<td>0.92 / 0.16</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>Calcite, Quartz, Pyrite</td>
<td>Phyllosilicates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S3$</td>
<td>0.51 / 0.09</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Calcite, Phyllosilicates</td>
<td>Mg-Calcite, Quartz, Feldspars</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S4$</td>
<td>0.35 / 0.17</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Calcite, Phyllosilicates</td>
<td>Mg-Calcite, Quartz, Feldspars, Pyrite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S5$</td>
<td>0.63 / 0.11</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Calcite, Dolomite, Quartz, Phyllosilicates</td>
<td>Feldspars, Pyrite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S6$</td>
<td>1.52 / 0.30</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>Aragonite, Mg-Calcite, Pyrite</td>
<td>Calcite, Quartz, Phyllosilicates, Feldspars</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S7$</td>
<td>0.88 / 0.18</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Phyllosilicates, Quartz, Feldspars</td>
<td>Calcite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S8$</td>
<td>0.43 / 0.20</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Quartz, Phyllosilicates</td>
<td>Feldspars, Calcite</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Mineral composition of the separated size fractions of the investigated sediments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Size fraction (µm)</th>
<th>Mean size (µm)</th>
<th>Calcite</th>
<th>Mg-calcite</th>
<th>Aragonite</th>
<th>Dolomite</th>
<th>Quartz</th>
<th>Plagioclase</th>
<th>K-feldspar</th>
<th>Pyrite</th>
<th>Illite</th>
<th>Chlorite</th>
<th>Smectite</th>
<th>K-fêtre</th>
<th>K-fêtre</th>
<th>MLCM</th>
<th>I/S MLCM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>XXX</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>XXX</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>XXX</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>XXX</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>XXX</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S6</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S7</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>XXX</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>XXX</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.45</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>XXX</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S8</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>XXX</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.45</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>XXX</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*+* non-clay mineral present in the sample
*X* relative abundance of clay minerals based on X-ray diffraction (no quantitative value is assigned to X)
*Kd* Kaolinite that forms intercalation compounds with DMSO
*K* Kaolinite that does not intercalate with DMSO
*MLCM* mixed-layer clay minerals in which type of interstratification and constituting clay minerals were not recognized with certainty
*I/S MLCM* Illite-smectite mixed-layer clay mineral
*?* mineral phase was not detected with certainty

Sediments.
Figure 1. Map of the investigated area with sampling stations and their depth: S1 – northern Adriatic (36 m); S2 - Krka River estuary (6 m); S3 - central Adriatic open-sea (266 m); S4 - central Adriatic open-sea (166 m); S5 - Neretva Channel (30 m); S6 - Malo Jezero, island of Mljet (28 m); S7 - Risan Bay (33 m); S8 – open-sea in front of Albanian coast (100 m).
Figure 2. Size fractions separated from the sampling station S3 showing: (a) the TOC content in the native and the NaOCl-treated size fractions and (b) the TIC content in the NaOCl-treated size fractions.
Figure 3. PSD curves of fractions (<8 μm, <4 μm, <2 μm, <1 μm, <0.45 μm) separated from the NaOCl-treated sediment samples.
Figure 4. FE-SEM photomicrographs showing: (a) phyllosilicates in sediment from the Albanian coastal area (S8) and (b) in sediment of the Risan Bay (S7); (c) diagenetic framboidal pyrite and (d) inorganically precipitated aragonite in sediment from Malo Jezero on the island of Mljet (S6); (e) prevalence of biogenic detritus in
sediment from the central Adriatic open-sea area (S3); (f) calcite in dominantly phyllosilicate submicrometer fraction from the Krka River estuary (S2).

Figure 5. SSA and CEC of different size fractions of sediments after the OM removal.
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