Androgen-Binding Protein (Abp) Evolutionary History: Has Positive Selection Caused Fixation of Different Paralogs in Different Taxa of the Genus *Mus*?

Robert C. Karn^{1,*}, Golbahar Yazdanifar², Željka Pezer³, Pierre Boursot⁴, and Christina M. Laukaitis⁵

¹Gene Networks in Neural and Developmental Plasticity, Institute for Genomic Biology, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois, USA

²Department of Medicine, College of Medicine, University of Arizona, USA

³Division of Molecular Biology, Ruđer Bošković Institute, Zagreb, Croatia

⁴Institut des Sciences de l'Evolution Montpellier, Université de Montpellier, CNRS, IRD, France

⁵Carle Health and Carle Illinois College of Medicine, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, USA

*Corresponding author: E-mail: rkarn@butler.edu. Accepted: 20 September 2021

Abstract

Comparison of the *androgen-binding protein* (*Abp*) gene regions of six *Mus* genomes provides insights into the evolutionary history of this large murid rodent gene family. We identified 206 unique *Abp* sequences and mapped their physical relationships. At least 48 are duplicated and thus present in more than two identical copies. All six taxa have substantially elevated LINE1 densities in *Abp* regions compared with flanking regions, similar to levels in mouse and rat genomes, although nonallelic homologous recombination seems to have only occurred in *Mus musculus domesticus*. Phylogenetic and structural relationships support the hypothesis that the extensive *Abp* expansion began in an ancestor of the genus *Mus*. We also found duplicated *Abpa27*'s in two taxa, suggesting that previously reported selection on *a27* alleles may have actually detected selection on haplotypes wherein different paralogs were lost in each. Other studies reported that *a27* gene and species trees were incongruent, likely because of homoplasy. However, L1MC3 phylogenies, supposed to be homoplasy-free compared with coding regions, support our paralog hypothesis because the L1MC3 gene that is suggested to be fixed in the three different subspecies of *Mus musculus* and to mediate sexual selection and incipient reinforcement between at least two of them. Finally, we ask why there are so many *Abp* genes, especially given the high frequency of pseudogenes and suggest that relaxed selection operates over a large part of the gene clusters.

Key words: *androgen-binding protein*, gene family expansion, alternative paralogs, copy number variant, positive selection, structural variation.

Significance

The androgen-binding protein (Abp) gene family is much larger in the house mouse than in the rat and most other vertebrates. This comparative genomics study identified 206 unique Abp sequences in six Mus taxa to trace their evolution through the genus. We previously suggested that salivary-expressed alleles of Abpa27 are under positive selection. We found evidence for duplication of Abpa27 in two taxa and asked whether previous selection tests were done with the assumption that the Abpa27 genes were orthologous when they were not. Our new evidence suggests that positive selection acted on paralogs rather than alleles. Deletion of different Abpa27 gene copies in different taxa, therefore, may better explain the apparent positive selection than fixation of different alleles.

© The Author(s) 2021. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for Molecular Biology and Evolution.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

Introduction

With the development of dideoxy chain-termination DNA seguencing (Sanger et al. 1977), the sequences of individual genes and early genomes produced a wealth of singlenucleotide polymorphism (SNP) and small insertion/deletion (indel) data. These have been invaluable for studies of molecular evolution, but since then, it has become apparent that SNPs and small indels explain only a small proportion of trait heritability (Manolio et al. 2009; Eichler et al. 2010). Massively parallel sequencing and microarray methods led to the discovery of small microscopic and submicroscopic variations, structural and guantitative chromosomal rearrangements referred to collectively as structural variation (SV; Alkan et al. 2011; Huddleston and Eichler 2016). SV includes duplications, deletions, copy-number variants (CNVs), insertions, inversions, and translocations \geq 50 bp in length (Sudmant et al. 2015). They contribute substantially to the genetic diversity of genomes and therefore are of much interest for studies of cancer genetics, rare diseases, and evolutionary genetics (Spielmann et al. 2018).

SVs have been important in evolutionary studies, for example, the role of CNVs in reproductive isolation, a requirement for biological speciation (Loire et al. 2017). They are also of interest in studies of the evolution of gene families in genomes (Higuchi et al. 2010; Young et al. 2016; Pezer et al. 2017; Zhou et al. 2017; Wang et al. 2018; Clifton et al. 2020). Gene families are important sources of phenotypic diversification and genetic innovation and are therefore central to understanding phenotypic change and the molecular origins of heritable variation (Janousek et al. 2016; Clifton et al. 2020). Their early genomic origins are, however, still poorly understood because the evolutionary processes that produce tandemly arrayed genes (TAGs) in these families are obscured by the high degree of sequence identity that have arisen from recent and rapid gene duplications (Pan and Zhang 2008; Karn and Laukaitis 2009; Pavlopoulou et al. 2010; Uriu et al. 2021). We have studied and described the extensive androgen-binding protein (Abp) gene family in the mouse genome (mm10; hereinafter "reference genome"). Our longterm goals are to understand the origin of this large and recently expanded gene family and to trace the evolutionary history of the expansion, including the role of SV, especially CNV, the mechanisms of duplication, and the contributions of retrotransposons (RTs).

ABPs are members of the secretoglobin (SCGB) superfamily. These small, soluble cytokine-like proteins share significant amino acid sequence with uteroglobin (UG; Karn 1994; Laukaitis et al. 2005) and share the UG tertiary structure of a four-helix bundle in a boomerang configuration (Callebaut et al. 2000). The first SCGB superfamily member identified was blastokinin (Krishnan and Daniel 1967), which was renamed UG when it was found to be secreted in large amounts by the rabbit endometrium around the time of embryo implantation (Beier 1968; reviewed in Mukherjee and Chilton 2000). The precise physiological role of UG is not yet known despite the production of two mouse lines with targeted disruptions of different regions of the UGencoding gene (SCGB1A1; reviewed in Mukherjee et al. 2007). Most of the emphasis of early work was on biochemistry and physiology, although unfortunately the SCGB research field has contracted substantially since the 2000 review. SCGBs are thought to be involved in autocrine, paracrine and endocrine signaling as immunomodulating agents, a role best understood for the SCGB3A2 protein that reduces airway inflammation and injury in mice (Chiba et al. 2006; Cai and Kimura 2015; Yoneda et al. 2016). In the lung, the protein encoded by SCGB1A1 is called CC10 and CC16 (Club Cell Secretory Protein). This protein is upregulated after tissue damage, in premature infants, and in allergy/asthma (Almuntashiri et al. 2020), suggesting a role in detoxifying harmful substances inhaled into the lungs.

Mouse ABPs are produced primarily by glands of the face and neck (Laukaitis et al. 2005; Karn et al. 2014; Karn and Laukaitis 2014) and, as their name suggests, some bind male sex steroid hormones with a clear specificity (Dlouhy and Karn 1983; Karn 1998; Karn and Clements 1999). Mouse salivary ABPs mediate assortative mate selection based on subspecies recognition that potentially limits gene exchange between subspecies where they meet (Laukaitis et al. 1997; Talley et al. 2001; Laukaitis and Karn 2012). There is also evidence that ABP constitutes a system of incipient reinforcement across the European hybrid zone where house mouse subspecies make secondary contact (Vošlajerová Bímová et al. 2011).

ABP proteins are secreted dimers composed of an alpha subunit, encoded by an Abpa gene, connected by disulfidebridging to a betagamma subunit, encoded by an Abpbg gene (reviewed in Laukaitis and Karn 2012). They are a mammalian novelty with variable evolutionary histories in those mammals studied to date. The last common ancestor of the eutherian and metatherian lineages 180 MYA (van Rheede et al. 2006) had both Abpa and Abpbg genes, with three each in the metatherian Monodelphis (the opossum; Laukaitis et al. 2008). By contrast, the entire Abp gene region in most mammals amounts to only a single Abpa-Abpbg gene pair as exemplified by the ground squirrel (Spermophilus tridecemlineatus), an outgroup for the purpose of this study. A few species, however, show varying degrees of expansion of the region (e.g., opossum, cattle, horse, rabbit, house mouse and rat) and evidence to date suggests that these expansions are independent of one another (Laukaitis et al. 2008). The house mouse has the largest expansion with 64 total genes spanning 3 Mb (i.e., ~0.1% of the total genome; Laukaitis et al. 2008).

The Abpa and Abpbg subunit genes in the mouse genome are most commonly associated in 5'–5' pairs, ($\langle a-bg \rangle$ or $\langle bg-a \rangle$, where the arrows point in the 3' directions), with intervening sequence lengths of 5–20 kb. The mouse reference

genome (mm10) has 27 of these gene pairs, called "modules," with ten singletons (Pezer et al. 2017). The mouse reference genome *Abp* cluster is ten times the size of that in the rat genome (rn3) which has only three modules and no singletons (Laukaitis et al. 2008; Karn and Laukaitis 2009). These two rodent *Abp* gene families appear to have expanded independently (Emes et al. 2004) and the 64 *Abp* genes in the mouse genome group into five ancestral clades that may have their origins as far back in the evolutionary past as the ancestor of the genus *Mus* (Laukaitis et al. 2008).

Our previous investigations examined the expansion of the large Abp gene family in the genome of C57BL/6, a laboratory research strain derived primarily from Mus musculus domesticus, to develop a history of the evolutionary processes involved (Karn and Laukaitis 2009; Janousek et al. 2013, 2016). The comparative genomics study we report here used highguality genomes representing enough other mouse species to determine how extensive and how widespread the expansion was in the genus Mus. Our goals were to resolve old issues concerning Abp gene duplication and to reveal emerging properties of the expansion in house mice. To achieve these goals, we interrogated six recently reported genomes of members of the genus Mus and compared their Abp gene families. These include: Mus musculus domesticus (strain WSB), M. m. musculus (strain PWK), M. m. castaneus (strain CAS), M. spretus (spr), M. caroli (car), and M. pahari (pah) as well as Rattus norvegicus (Rn). Hereinafter we will refer to the subspecies of *M. m. musculus* by their strain names. We identified a total of 206 unique Abp genes from the six Mus genomes that we relate to the Abp genes in the reference genome and to each other. We determined their chromosomal positions and explored the roles of RTs in their evolutionary histories. Some of the revelations from this work required us to extensively revise what we thought we knew about Abp evolutionary history, and to discard some of it altogether.

We first determined whether the expansion that produced this large family began in an ancestor of the genus *Mus*. If that is so, we would expect that different *Mus* taxa with diverse distributions and habitats would still share an evolutionary history of their *Abp* gene region expansions. The counter, or null, expectation is that their *Abp* evolutionary histories would be dissimilar, even to the point of being unique in some taxa as we have found in the independent rat duplication. Testing this hypothesis requires answering three questions: 1) Did duplication occur primarily by $\langle a-bg \rangle$ or $\langle bg-a \rangle$ modules? 2) Does a phylogeny of *Abp* modules in these six genomes support five ancestral clades (five deeply rooted, monophyletic gene groups shown in *figure 3* of Laukaitis et al. 2008)? 3) Is the temporal history of the appearance and expansion of those clades consistent among the six taxa?

The results of this genome comparison brought us closer to an understanding of a long-standing conundrum in the evolutionary history of mouse *Abp* genes, specifically that key genes expressed in salivary glands and secreted into saliva have phylogenies noncongruent with the species phylogeny. Karn et al. (2002) studied the complex history of *Abpa* (later *Abpa27* or *a27*), a gene proposed to participate in a sexual isolation mechanism in house mice. They observed an abnormal intron phylogeny for *a27* with an unexpected topology wherein *M. musculus* is not monophyletic and its subspecies stand as outgroups relative to other Palearctic species (*M. spretus* [*spr*], *M. spicilegus*, and *M. macedonicus*). Could assessing the copy numbers (CN) of *a27* in the lineage of the genus *Mus* resolve this issue?

In this process, we revisited the question of how selection has influenced the expansion history of the Abp gene family. The evolution of gene families is still poorly understood and there is sparse evidence that an increased number of specific genes offers a selective advantage (Hastings et al. 2009), although changes (increase or decrease) in the CN of dosagesensitive genes can cause clear selective disadvantage (reviewed in Harel and Lupski 2018). Early evolutionary studies indicated that CNVs might be advantageous because the genes involved are often those that encode secreted proteins and/or are enriched for "environmental" functions, including olfaction, immunity, toxin metabolism and reproduction. Such genes were reported to be under positive selection because they contain higher than average frequencies of nonsynonymous mutations (Johnson et al. 2001; Nguyen et al. 2006; Perry et al. 2007; Emerson et al. 2008; Nguyen et al. 2008; Xue et al. 2008; Sjodin and Jakobsson 2012). Others, however, have suggested instead that a nonadaptive explanation could account for their previous observations (Nguyen et al. 2006). Finally, is it possible that these six Abp clusters are experiencing a form of genome instability in which large blocks of genes are being gained and lost by nonallelic homologous recombination (NAHR), possibly representing runaway gene duplication (Janousek et al. 2016)?

Results and Discussion

Comparative Genomics of the *Abp* Gene Families in the Genus *Mus*

Interrogation of six wild-derived mouse genomes identified the following number of *Abp* paralogs (defined as unique DNA sequences) in each taxon (table 1 and fig. 1): 11 in *Mus pahari* (*pah*), 33 in *M. caroli* (*car*), 35 in *M. spretus* (*spr*), 43 in *Mus musculus domesticus* (strain WSB), 38 in *M. m. musculus* (strain PWK), and 46 in *M. m. castaneus* (strain CAS) (supplementary tables S1–S6, Supplementary Material online). This compares with 64 found in the reference genome (mm10, C57BL/6, B6; Laukaitis et al. 2008) and we named the 206 *Mus Abp* sequences on those. We did this by: 1) comparing their associations in *Abpa* and *Abpbg* phylogenies with the reference genes and ancestral Clades 1–5 (fig. 2 and supplementary figs. S1 and S2, Supplementary

Taxon	Number	Number of <i>Abpa</i> Genes	pa Genes			Number of <i>Abpbg</i> Genes	obg Genes			Represent-
	of Genes (unique)	Total (unique)	Number of Genes (unique)	Number of Pseudogenes (unique)	Lineage Specific	Total (unique)	Number of Genes (uniaue)	Number of Pseudogenes (unique)	Lineage Specific	ed Clades
B6	64 (58)	30 (27)	14 (13)	16 (14)	7	34 (31)	12 (11)	22 (20)	7	1-5
B	79 (43)	39 (21)	21 (8)	18 (13)	NA	40 (22)	18 (10)	22 (12)	AN	1–5
PWK	41 (38)	20 (18)	13 (11)	7 (7)	-	21 (20)	10 (10)	11 (10)	-	1–5
CAS	72 (46)	36 (22)	24 (11)	12 (11)	2	36 (24)	26 (18)	10 (6)	m	1–5
spr	65 (35)	30 (17)	12 (6)	18 (11)	m	35 (18)	23 (11)	12 (7)	4	1–5
car	40 (33)	21 (17)	6) 6	12 (11)	6	19 (16)	13 (10)	6 (6)	ø	1–3,5
pah	11 (11)	6 (6)	3 (3)	3 (3)	4	5 (5)	4 (4)	1 (1)	m	3, 5

Table 1

Material online), 2) mapping intra-genome linear relationships relative to those of the paralogs (fig. 3), and 3) examining the associations of Abpa and Abpbg genes in putative modules (supplementary tables S1-S6, Supplementary Material online). Hereinafter, specific modules will be abbreviated M followed by a number, both in italics, for example, M9 and M10 for the $\langle bg9-a9 \rangle$ and $\langle bg10-a10 \rangle$ modules, respectively. We evaluated the numbers of Abp genes that could be expressed and the numbers of putative pseudogenes (supplementary table S7, Supplementary Material online; see also table 1 and supplementary tables S1–S6, Supplementary Material online) and compared them with those in the reference genome (Karn et al. 2014). The result showed high percentages of pseudogenes in the six Mus gene families (WSB, 58%; PWK, 47%; CAS, 50%; spr, 53%; car, 48%; and pah, 36%; comparable to mm10, 53%).

Copy Number Analysis

Initially, we attempted to estimate Abp gene CN with CNVnator software (Abyzov et al. 2011). That approach yielded suspiciously low numbers of small CNVs across the Abp gene regions of the six Mus genomes, very likely because of numerous gaps in the 1504 assemblies. Instead, we calculated CNs based on differences in read depth between Abp genes and putative single-copy regions (supplementary table S8, Supplementary Material online). Each "unique" gene sequence may be present in a number of copies in a diploid organism. Those that have two copies likely have one on each chromosome (i.e., alleles), whereas those with CN > 2 have been duplicated and any with CN < 2 have been subject to deletion. The numbers of unique Abp genes and pseudogenes and the inferred total gene numbers, including duplications, are summarized in table 1. The discrete numerical CN estimates from direct read-depth calculations on the 206 paralogs we found in this study (supplementary tables S1-S6, Supplementary Material online) are consistent with previous analyses in the three subspecies of *M. musculus* and in *M.* spretus (Pezer et al. 2017). Altogether, 85% (40/47) of the CN variable genes appear in the proximal region (defined as *M1–M12*; supplementary tables S1–S6, Supplementary Material online) and 95% belong to ancestral Clade 2, which has the largest number of paralogs. The expansion history of these regions hints at a complex sequence of events causing rapid *Abp* gene expansion in the genus *Mus*.

Technical Challenges

The genome data we analyzed are of high quality, however, problems remain: 1) the earlier 1504 builds were used to mine *Abp* sequences from the six genomes because they contain genes not found in the later builds, 2) there seem to be assembly problems, including unexpected gene orders, in the 1504 builds, 3) it is not possible to determine the locations of the duplicated gene copies found in the CN



Fig. 1.—A canonical phylogeny of murid rodents adapted from Chevret et al. (2005) to show the divergence of *M. m. domesticus* (strain WSB) from the ancestor of *M. m. musculus* (strain PWK) and *M. m. castaneus* (strain CAS) (White et al. 2009; Keane et al. 2011). The seven taxa are differentiated by color. The black numbers under each taxon are the different gene sequences we found and the gray numbers indicate total additional copies (CN) above the diploid number (the CN for each gene is given in supplementary tables S1–S6, Supplementary Material online). CN was not determined for the rat genome *Abp* region.

analysis, and 4) there are many gaps that make it difficult to estimate efficiency of gene finding.

Our previous studies have shown extensive structural variation in WSB and much less in PWK, CAS, and spr (Pezer et al. 2017). We were able to draw these conclusions because we compared the sequences of multiple individuals. Here, we were not able to detect homozygous deletions given that the CN calculations are based on the genome read depth of a single inbred individual from which the assembly was made. In our study, if there was more than average read depth at a certain locus of the assembled genome, we called it duplication (i.e., amplification), if there was less than average, we called it deletion. Thus, it is reasonable to assume that the variation in this region is even higher than we can see by sequencing and assembling the genome from only one individual. In order to find more paralogous genes and to detect possible CN variation in them, one would need to sequence more individuals of the same taxon or population.

Although the 1504 builds of these genomes provided the largest number of *Abp* genes, they were mapped to the reference genome, which may have created or perpetuated assembly problems. The very high levels of *Abp* sequence identity (\geq 95%) and the use of short reads may have caused additional issues. For example, the proximal and some central genes in *spr*, PWK, and CAS do not share the same order as they do in the reference genome, nor do any two of them share a single, alternative pattern. This is especially evident in ancestral Clade 1 (i.e., *M1 and M2* in fig. 3). We suggest several possible explanations for the "scrambled" appearance of the *Abp* genes in the 1504 builds: a) some of them are misidentified, b) the genome builds placed them incorrectly; and/or c) small chromosomal rearrangements occurred

locally. The absence of a single, alternative order favors choice (b): underlying assembly problems caused by high sequence identity and high density of repetitive sequences.

Assembly problems are expected in genome regions containing segmental duplications (SDs) because they are repeated sequences with high pairwise similarity. SDs may collapse during the assembly process causing the region to appear as a single copy in the assembly when it is actually present in two copies in the real genome (Morgan et al. 2016). Moreover, individual genes and/or groups of genes may appear to be out of order compared with the reference and other genomes. In some studies, genotyping of sites within SDs is difficult because variants between duplicated copies (paralogous variants) are easily confounded with allelic variants (Morgan et al. 2016). Latent paralogous variation may bias interpretations of sequence diversity and haplotype structure (Hurles 2002), and ancestral duplication followed by differential losses along separate lineages may result in a local phylogeny that is discordant with the species phylogeny (Goodman et al. 1979). Concerted evolution may also cause difficulties if, for example, local phylogenies for adjacent intervals are discordant due to nonallelic gene conversion between copies (Dover 1982; Nagylaki and Petes 1982).

The annotations of these sequences were complicated because existing programs for identifying orthologs between sequenced taxa (Altenhoff et al. 2019) were not applicable to our data. The databases these programs interrogate do not include many of these newly sequenced taxa of Mus and also do not include the complete sets of gene predictions we make here. Thus, we had to manually predict both gene sequences and orthology/paralogy relationships. This is a problem facing other groups working with complex gene families in other nonmodel organisms (Denecke et al. 2021). Most importantly, we treated the problem of orthology in our own, original way. Our conclusion is that orthology is not applicable to at least one of the Abpa27 paralogs, and possibly to other paralogs (Abpa26, Abpbg26, Abpbg25; fig. 5), probably due to the apparent frequencies of duplication and deletion and this is precisely the interesting point of our study.

Comparison of the gene orders of the six *Mus Abp* regions with the reference genome suggests perturbed synteny of many *Abp* genes (fig. 3). Overall, the *proximal* region (*M1–12* with some singletons) shows significant differences among the six taxa whereas the *distal* region (*M20–27*, singletons *bg34* and *a30*) has gene orders in the six taxa much more like the same regions in the reference genome. The *central* region (from singleton *a29* through *M19*, with some singletons) in WSB is unique in that it includes the penultimate and ultimate duplications, shown above the blue triangle in figure 3 (Janousek et al. 2013). The order of proximal and distal genes in *car* agrees relatively well with that in the reference genome *Abp* region, considering how early *car* diverged from the lineage compared with *spr*, PWK, and CAS. In those three taxa, however, the proximal and some central genes do not



Fig. 2.—Gene phylogenies of murid rodent *Abpa* (panel A) and *Abpbg* (panel B) genes rooted to the independent Rat clade (brown) and basal *Mus* root (gray). Paralogs from five ancestral clades (Laukaitis et al. 2008) are indicated by color coding of branches, red (1), green (2), purple (3), yellow (4), and blue (5), represented by colored bars around the periphery of the phylogeny. The taxon-specific colors of figure 1 are used for the gene names (not italicized) and genes that root more deeply than individual B6 clades are named with capital letters (e.g., *pah_aW*). Bootstrap values are shown in black. See supplementary figures S1 and S2, Supplementary Material online for parts of these trees broken out to make them easier to read.



Fig. 3.—Relationships between *Abp* paralogs identified in six rodent taxa. Genes that could be expressed are solid-filled blue (*Abpa*) or red (*Abpbg*) arrows whereas putative pseudogenes are unfilled arrows. Taxon abbreviations with their chromosome in parentheses are shown in a phylogeny on the left. A block of genes unique to the C57BL/6 (B6) reference genome is shown above a blue triangle at the top of the figure and represents genes of the ultimate and penultimate duplications in the WSB lineage (Pezer et al. 2017). Orthologs between taxa are connected by bands with the colors of the clades shown in figure 2 and the large clade numbers at the top of the figure are colored the same. Starting from *pah*, genes with no ortholog in the next taxon are highlighted in blue. Genes are shown in a proportional scale, however regions between genes are reduced 10-fold to enable clearer representation of gene relationships. Synteny was plotted with genoPlotR package (Guy et al. 2010).

share the same order as in the reference genome, nor do any two of them share a single, alternative pattern, especially *M1* and *M2*. We note that figure 3 also shows that functional copies of either *Abpa* or *Abpbg* in some species are one-to-one orthologs to pseudogenized versions of these genes, which is interesting from the standpoint of patterns of gene family evolution.

Gaps in the assembly might account for the perturbed synteny among different taxa. They might be true deletions in the sequenced genomes when compared with the mouse reference genome used to guide the genome assembly. Counting from the start position of the first *Abp* gene to the end position of the last gene in the *Abp* gene region, there are in total 1,933, 1,115, 637, 488, 75, and 32 assembly gaps in the 1504 builds of the genome for WSB, CAS, *spr*, PWK, *car*, and *pah*, respectively (supplementary fig. S4, Supplementary Material online). We looked for reads with perfect matches that span gap positions and found that only 2–6% of gaps in the *Abp* region could be closed in

this way and over 50% of them are not supported by more than two to four reads. At best, only a small fraction of gaps can be considered as truly missing sequences in the de novo assemblies, and the majority of the gaps actually represent unknown sequences. In short, there are too many gaps in this region to obtain deeper insights into its structure. This illustrates the technical limitations related to assembly of this particular region. With deeper sequencing, additional gene and intervening sequences may be identified, and the gene orders may change. For example, a significant number of new *Abp* genes were found in the mouse genome from the first report (Emes et al. 2004) to the second (Laukaitis et al. 2008).

Finally, it is important to consider a possible role for gene conversion because if it can be ruled out, then estimates of gene age are reliable. Otherwise, in addition to obscuring orthology, it can also cause problems for estimating the role of natural selection (Casola and Hahn 2009) and the potential role of pseudogenes as donors of new mutations for functional genes in the vicinity (Casola et al. 2012). Several previous studies suggested that gene conversion has played little if any role in the evolution of the *Abp* gene region. Laukaitis et al. (2008) discounted the explanation that nonallelic gene conversion caused the low divergence of the *Abp* sequences they studied because the phylogenetic tree of ribosomal protein *L23a* pseudogenes suggests that they frequently co-duplicated with *Abpa–Abpbg* gene modules.

Laukaitis and Karn (2012) pursued this at a smaller scale by analyzing the 64 Abp genes in the reference genome with GENECONV to look for evidence of short gene conversion tracks. In the case of the Abpa paralogs, GENECONV identified no inner (conversion between genes within alignment) and no outer (conversion with genes outside alignment) fragments that were globally significant, suggesting that there is no compelling evidence of gene conversion in Abpa paralogs. In the case of the Abpbg paralogs, the analysis identified only one inner (Abpbg26 and Abpbg34) and two outer fragments (Abpbg5p and Abpbg19) that were globally significant. They also calculated the GC content of the Abp gene region because sequences undergoing frequent gene conversion, either ectopic or allelic, are expected to become GC rich (Galtier et al. 2001, 2009). Their results showed that the average GC content in the Abp gene region is low (\sim 41–42%) compared with genes undergoing gene conversion, such as ribosomal operons and transfer RNAs which have much higher GC contents (Galtier et al. 2001). They concluded that gene conversion has made a minimal, but not nonexistent, contribution to the evolutionary history of the Abp gene family. Moreover, it certainly was not significant enough to have confounded the phylogenetic inference presented by Laukaitis et al. (2008), and it should not have adversely affected their analysis of recently duplicated products.

Overall, despite problems in estimating the efficiency of gene finding because of nonuniformity of read coverage, we propose that we missed relatively few unique gene sequences because we: 1) used three different methods of gene finding to interrogate the six high-quality genomes, 2) found genes with disparate sequences, even in taxa diverged many MYR apart, and 3) identified novel *Abp* genes without counterparts in the reference genome (e.g., *bgl*, *bgJ*, *bgKp*, *aL*, *aMp*, and *aN* in *car*). Similarity in the numbers of protein-coding and noncoding genes found in the *car* and *pah* genomes compared with the mouse and rat reference genomes (Thybert et al. 2018) further supports this conclusion.

Contributions of Structural Variants

There appears to be a pattern of fewer genes in the earlierdiverging taxa (figs. 1 and 3) with a large jump from *pah* (11) to *car* (33). To the extent that deletions occurred, they seem clearly to have been outnumbered by duplications, but that may be an ascertainment bias because "presence" is easier to identify than "absence." Insertions and translocations are also not immediately recognizable, however either could have happened locally and that might explain the "scrambled" gene orders in the Palearctics, especially on the left flank of figure 3.

The *Abp* regions in the Palearctic taxa that we analyzed in this study have much higher LINE1 (L1) densities than their flanking regions, consistent with those in the mouse and rat genomes (Janousek et al. 2013) and the *car* and *pah* genomes (Thybert et al. 2018), regardless of how populous the *Abp* genes are in any individual taxon (supplementary fig. S3, Supplementary Material online). By contrast, we found intragenic RTs only in *car_a1bp* (a lineage-specific invasion of L1Md_A into Exon 3) and an insertion of IAP1-MM_I-int, a member of the ERVK family of LTRs, into the *a30* paralogs of *spr*, WSB, PWK, and CAS.

Pezer et al. (2017) used CNVnator to show that the penultimate duplication segment (M7-M12 and bg33-M19) of the large-block NAHR duplication pattern in the mouse genome is seen only in WSB and other inbred strains (their *figure* 6), as well as in six wild *Mus* genomes (their *figure 2*). That is consistent with the derivation of the mouse genome (C57BL/ 6) from an M. m. domesticus mouse. Taken together, these genes, along with those of the ultimate duplication (M14-M17) shown above the blue triangle at the top of figure 3 represent these NAHR duplications (Janousek et al. 2013). By contrast. Pezer et al. (2017) found no such correspondence in the CNVnator patterns of the other three taxa (PWK, CAS and spr) or in those of inbred strains derived from them. Here we have actual paralog data to test the idea that genes that vary in CN in the other five Mus taxa are not in these large, contiquous blocks (supplementary tables S1–S6, Supplementary Material online), despite also having enrichment in L1 sequences (supplementary fig. S3, Supplementary Material online). Instead, some show unique duplications (e.g., car_a1ap, a1bp, and a1cp; CAS_a12a and a12b), which are not paired in modules indicating that they might have duplicated after these taxa diverged from the lineage.

The *Abp* Family Expansion: Modules, Ancestral Clades, and the Growth of Three Regions

We estimate that 154/206 (~75%) of unique *Abp* genes in these six taxa are pair members of modules (supplementary tables S1–S6, Supplementary Material online). The 11 *Abp* genes of *pah* are organized into five *<bg-a>* modules and only one singleton with the *Abpbg* genes on one strand and the *Abpa* genes on the other, as expected of TAGs. *car* has a total of 33 genes with 22 in 11 modules; *spr* has a total of 35 genes with 24 in 12 modules; WSB has a total of 43 with 38 in 19 modules; PWK a total of 38 with 30 in 15 modules; and CAS has a total of 46 with 30 in 15 modules.

Because some of the new *Abp* genes we found in the six *Mus* taxa are incomplete, we could not build a phylogeny using only the large intron as done previously for *Abp*'s in



Fig. 4.—Module phylogeny constructed with a LINE1, L1MC3, that is nearly ubiquitous in the intramodular sequences of gene modules in the genome mouse (mm10) and in the six *Mus* taxa. Five ancestral clades are labeled in red (1), green (2), purple (3, represented only by *pah-M24*), yellow (4), and blue (5) and the gene-specific colors are the same as in figure 2. Bootstrap values >50 are shown in black.

the mouse reference genome (Laukaitis et al. 2008). This raised the concern that positive selection on coding regions would bias the phylogenetic trees. To test for this, we needed an unselected sequence common to both genes within a module. Because most of the *Abp* genes are organized into modules, we searched the intra-modular sequences between the 5' ends of the *Abpa* and *Abpbg* gene partners for common sequences.

Supplementary table S9, Supplementary Material online, shows that a LINE1, L1MC3, is nearly ubiquitous within modules of the reference genome and within those of the six *Mus* taxa (93/103, 90%), suggesting that it is ancestral to the genus *Mus*. It does not occur in any of the three rat *Abp* modules, however, there is an L1MC3 in the single intramodular sequence of the ground squirrel, an outgroup to these taxa. That suggests that this RT was lost in the rat ancestor following divergence from the rodent lineage. Figure 4 shows a phylogeny constructed from L1MC3 sequences in the

modules of the new genes and the reference genome rooted on the L1MC3 in *pah_M24* because it is the only module common to all six *Mus* taxa (fig. 2 and supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online). The *pah_M24* is also the only *M24* that has an L1MC3, suggesting that this RT was lost from the lineage following divergence of *pah*. In general, the module phylogeny has a topology congruent with the gene (*Abpa* and *Abpbg*) phylogenies in figure 2, suggesting that the figure 2 phylogenies built on the genes themselves were not biased by the combination of coding regions and introns that were available to use.

The module-based phylogeny we made using L1MC3 was valuable for the insights it provided into the ancestral clades in the reference genome (those most deeply rooted in the *Mus* phylogeny; Laukaitis et al. 2008). Figure 4 defines the relationships of *pah* modules to several of these ancestral clades: 1) *pah_M3* and *car_M3* group with the Palearctic *M3*s on the left flank of the large ancestral Clade 2 in the reference



Fig. 5.—Clades 4 and 5 gene and module phylogenies. Genes and modules with unusual topologies are shown with red asterisks. *Abpa27* (panel A, center) has the unexpected topology reported by Karn et al. (2002) where the PWK allele is an outgroup to the *spr* allele. The *a26* genes (panel A, top) also have an unexpected topology as do the *M27*, *M26* (panel C) and *M25* (panel D) modules, and *bg26* (panel E) and *bg25* (panel F) genes. Only *a25* (panel B) shows an expected topology.

genome, whereas *pah_MU* is basal to the rest of that ancestral clade; 2) *M24* is the sole occupant of ancestral Clade 3 and is found in all six of the *Mus* genomes (supplementary tables S1–S6, Supplementary Material online and fig. 2); however, it appears alone here because only the *M24* in *pah* has L1MC3. Comparison of the two *Abp* subunit gene phylogenies in figure 2 with the module phylogeny in figure 4 suggests that Ancestral Clade 1 is more closely related to *M3* than it is to any of the other modules in Clade 2. In fact, the *bg3* clade in the *Abpbg* phylogeny groups with Clade 1, not with Clade 2 as is the case with the *a3* clade. As well, the L1MC3 of *M3* has the shortest branch with Clade 1 in figure 4 and *M3* lies physically next to *M2* as might be expected for tandem duplication products, at least when it occurred. Figure 2 shows that the duplication that gave birth to the ancestor of *M25* and the ancestor of *M26–27–MX* occurred in an ancestor of the *Mus* lineage, prior to the divergence of *pah*, because it is older than the divergence between *pah_MX* and *M26-27*. Thus, the duplication that gave rise to *M25* is older than that which gave rise to *M26–27*. The duplication that gave rise to *M1–M2* (clade 1) must also have occurred previously to the divergence of *pah*, confirming the status of clade 1 as ancestral.

In summary, Clades 1–5 are confirmed as ancestral, although clearly Clades 4 and 5 are closely related. Clade 2 began expanding in the ancestor of *car* and the Palearctic taxa, and some copies survived and were duplicated, whereas other paralogs died after the divergence of the Palearctics (fig. 2; supplementary table S2, Supplementary Material online; see also fig. 3). This clade is larger and more complex in the three subspecies of *M. musculus* and seems to have been the source of most of the volatility identified when comparing the *Abp* gene regions of 15 inbred strains to the mouse genome using the Mouse Paralogy Browser (Karn and Laukaitis 2009).

Modules *M24*, *MX*, and *MY* in *pah* (supplementary table S2, Supplementary Material online) may represent the ancestors of the entire right flank in *car* (the segment in the mouse genome stretching from *M24* to *a30*). We did not find a "classical" ancestral Clade 1 (*M1–M2*) in *pah*, because *aU*, *bgUp*, and *aVp* are not in the reverse order (i.e., switched strands) in relation to the other *pah* genes/modules, as Clade 1 is in the other five taxa (fig. 3). One possibility, however, is that they do represent *pah* Clade 1 but the strands on the other five taxa represent the outcome of an event that occurred between the divergence of *pah* and the other five, perhaps during the massive genome rearrangement that followed divergence of *M. pahari* from the ancestral lineage and before divergence of *M. caroli* 3–6 MYA (Thybert et al. 2018).

The central gene region (ancestral Clade 2), is smaller and less complex in *pah*, probably only represented by *M3*. However, in *car*, it is comprised of nearly 20 genes: *M3*, three *a28*-like paralogs, eight genes variously related to *M21–23* and six more deeply rooted paralogs (*aL*, *aMp*, *aNp*, *bgI*, *bgJ*, and *bgKp*), which likely explains the jump from 11 genes in *pah* to 33 in *car* (see above). The gene numbers making up the populous and volatile central region in the *M. musculus* subspecies are consistently larger than in the other three taxa. Ancestral Clade 4 (*M25*) is seen only in the Palearctic taxa, however, it had to have a progenitor in the ancestor of *Mus* because it is basal to *M26* and *M27* (figs. 2 and 4). So, *M25* was either deleted or we failed to find it in both *pah* and CAS.

Taken together, our observations on the *Abp* gene family expansion, the modules, the Clades, and the growth of the three regions, provide strong support for the idea that expansion of the large reference genome *Abp* family began in an ancestor of the genus *Mus*. They also suggest that most or all of the *Abp* genes in these six *Mus* genomes are related as branches within one or another of the five ancestral Clades. The alternative would have been independent expansions, similar to the rat *Abp* region where individual paralogs are not orthologous with those in the genus *Mus*. Another way of thinking about this is that most of the *Abps* in *Mus* have orthologs in some or all of the six taxa we studied. That suggests that they evolved from a shared lineage whereas none of them has orthologs in the rat, which apparently had an independent expansion.

The Role of Selection in *Mus Abp* Gene Evolution: Reconciling Topologies of the Gene and Species Trees

Studies of selection on *Abp* genes have focused on *a27*, *bg27*, and *bg26*, the three saliva-expressed paralogs because

of evidence that Abp has a role in sexual selection between house mouse subspecies (Laukaitis et al. 1997; Talley et al. 2001; Bímová et al. 2005). Hwang et al. (1997) observed a high nonsynonymous/synonymous substitution ratio (dN/dS) in their Abpa (now a27) sequence data from six Mus taxa and proposed that directional selection was a sufficient explanation of their data. They envisioned the possibility of cyclical selection of certain amino acid variants that became advantageous at some stage and they posited that homoplasy occurred in the phylogeny of the Abpa haplotypes that was incongruent with the canonical phylogeny of the genus. Karn and Nachman (1999) used the HKA test (Hudson et al. 1987) to investigate patterns of DNA sequence variation at a27 within and between species of mice. Their results provided evidence that selection has shaped the evolution of Abpa in house mice and was consistent with a recent adaptive fixation (a selective sweep) at or near Abpa. They also calculated the ratio of nonsynonymous substitutions to synonymous substitutions on a per-site basis (Ka/Ks) for the Mus sequences of Hwang et al. (1997). Based on the combined observations of no variation at a27 within M. m. domesticus and uniformly high Ka/Ks values between species, they suggested that positive directional selection has acted recently at this locus. Laukaitis et al. (2012) assessed site-specific positive selection on the coding sequences of three genes, a27, bg26, and bg27, in five Mus taxa using the program CODEML in the PAML package (Yang 2007). They concluded that at least two (a27, bq26) of the three genes encoding the subunits of ABP dimers evolved under positive selection and suggested that the third one may have also.

These selection tests were based on the assumption that the a27 genes in the subspecies of M. musculus are orthologs and thus that the studied variants were alleles. However, some genes have a phylogeny at variance with the species phylogeny and Karn et al. (2002) suggested that the M. musculus taxa are not monophyletic and its subspecies are outgroups relative to other Palearctic species. Here, we provide evidence that pah and car both appear to have duplications of modules related to M27, specifically MX and MY in pah; as well as M27a (<bg27a-a27a>) and M26/27b (<bg26a27bp>) in car (figs. 2, 3, and 5). These extra M27 modules are not found in the Palearctic taxa that have their a27 topologies incongruent with that of the species phylogeny (Karn et al. 2002). Such duplications and deletions may also have occurred in the ancestor of the Palearctics, so that the copies we observe now are not necessarily all orthologous. That could provide a parsimonious explanation for why the gene phylogeny is incongruent with the species phylogeny. Interestingly, figure 2 shows that clades a26, bg25, and bg26 are also noncongruent with the species phylogeny.

Karn et al. (2002) discussed and discarded an explanation for the incongruent gene and species trees that was based on a hypothetical duplication that produced two copies of a27 in an early ancestor(s). In this view, different *a27* paralogs were fixed or lost producing very different "*a27*" sequences in *M. m. domesticus* and *M. m. musculus* that were not orthologous. The critical point is that, if duplication of *M27* and related modules led to fixation of different paralogs in *M. m. musculus* and the other Palearctic species/subspecies, then the many selection tests reported for *a27*, *bg26*, and other ancestral Clade 5 genes (Karn and Nachman 1999; Laukaitis et al. 2003, 2012) were done with the assumption that they were orthologous in all the Palearctic taxa when they were not.

In this study we discovered that *pah* and *car* both have two modules that appear to be duplicated ancestral versions of M27 (fig. 5). Later in Mus evolutionary history, random mutation could have created a situation with two haplotypes segregating in a population, one haplotype having paralog a27a with paralog a27b deleted and another haplotype that retained paralog a27b with paralog a27a deleted. These would fit the description of "pseudoalleles" if tandem duplication had produced the two paralogs. Assuming that the population gave rise to two separate migrations (as in the case of the progenitors of M. m. domesticus and M. m. musculus), selection and/or drift could have increased the frequency of paralog a27a in one population and conversely paralog a27b in the other, perhaps even to fixation in both. If individual animals in the two subpopulations could sense the different salivary proteins expressed by the pseudoalleles, it might have led to olfactory recognition resulting in homosubspecific selection and eventually incipient reinforcement. The ancestors of the M. m. domesticus and M. m. musculus subspecies made secondary contact 5,000–10,000 years ago, forming what is now the European mouse hybrid zone (Boursot et al. 1993; Sage et al. 1993). It seems clear from the literature that "a27," whether orthologous or paralogous in these two subspecies, mediates sexual selection and constitutes a system of incipient reinforcement at the mouse hybrid zone (Vošlaierová Bímová et al. 2011).

This emergent property highlights perhaps the most important contribution of the module trees because previous explanations of the topology of these genes tended to cite homoplasy as the result of strong positive selection (Hwang et al. 1997; Karn et al. 2010; Laukaitis et al. 2012). One of the reasons we used L1MC3 to build Abp module phylogenies is that L1 RTs are thought to be homoplasy-free regions compared with gene regions (Verneau et al. 1998; Semple and Steel 2002; Alexeev and Alekseyev 2018). Because the abnormal topology in the a27 phylogeny is not eliminated by building a phylogeny with the intramodular L1MC3 (fig. 4), we conclude that it is the result of SV rather than homoplasy. Moreover, it also shows that other genes in M25 and M26, which are related by descent to a27 as the result of duplications, also have abnormal topologies. Coupled with the observation that a27 and bq27 have duplicates in pah and car, this better supports the notion that duplication produced two copies of M27, which then were differentially eliminated, causing one to be fixed in an ancestor of PWK and the other in an ancestor of the rest of the Palearctic taxa. We feel that this explanation, rather than explanations such as the occurrence of secondary genetic exchanges along the lineages leading to the Palearctic taxa (Karn et al. 2002), is more parsimonious and better fits the data we report here.

Why Are There So Many Abp Genes in the Genus Mus?

Only genes found in ancestral Clade 5 of the reference genome are expressed in salivary glands and secreted into saliva, whereas many more genes from three of the other clades are expressed in lacrimal glands and secreted into tears (Karn et al. 2014). This led to the proposal that, early in the expansion of the mouse *Abp* gene family, neo- or subfunctionalization occurred to create this clear-cut partitioning of *Abp* expression between these glands of the face and neck. Their proposal raises the possibility that the function(s) of ABP proteins in tears differs from those of ABP proteins in saliva. We have already reviewed the functions of the paralogs expressed in ancestral Clade 5 above (mate recognition, sexual selection, incipient reinforcement) and no other functions have yet been found for the *Abp* paralogs in ancestral clades 1–4.

So why are there so many other *Abp* genes in the members of the genus *Mus*? We have searched repeatedly for other potential functions for *Abp* genes but as yet have found none (Karn and Dlouhy 1991; Chung et al. 2017). An equally important question is: Why does there appear to be so much genome instability and polymorphism of CNV in naturally occurring WSB populations (Karn and Laukaitis 2009; Pezer et al. 2017), suggesting runaway gene duplication (Janousek et al. 2016), and why is this not found in the other Palearctic taxa (Pezer et al. 2017- and this report)?

Nguyen et al. (2008) questioned their previous proposal (Nguyen et al. 2006) that CNVs are often retained in the human population because of their adaptive benefit. Rather, they showed that genic biases of CNVs are best explained, not by positive selection, but by reduced efficiency of selection in eliminating deleterious changes from the human population. We propose here that this might also apply to Abp genes in mouse populations. They are environmental genes (sensu Nguyen et al. 2006, 2008) associated with SDs and so are subject to frequent duplication, deletion and pseudogene formation (Lander et al. 2001; Waterston et al. 2002; Gibbs et al. 2004; Perry et al. 2008; Karn and Laukaitis 2009; Sjodin and Jakobsson 2012). This may be why so much volatility has been observed, especially in the central region of the Abp cluster in the reference genome (Karn and Laukaitis 2009) and in the six Mus genomes we studied here. It could also explain why >50% of Abp paralogs in the reference genome are pseudogenes (Karn et al. 2014) and why we found similarly high percentages of pseudogenes in the six Mus taxa. These observations suggest that the environment of the Abp gene region may be more permissive for duplication in the sense of retention of pseudogenized duplicates. On the one hand, this might facilitate duplication followed by neo- and/or subfunctionalization (e.g., Karn et al. 2014) whereas, on the other hand, volatility may have resulted from an elevated rate of gene birth and death (Karn and Laukaitis 2009; Janousek et al. 2013; Pezer et al. 2017).

Broader Evolutionary Implications

Given that most of the emphasis of past secretoglobin work was on biochemistry and physiology, there is little information on the evolution of this superfamily, a regrettable situation that may account for the lack of a holistic picture of secretoglobin function(s). We have pursued guestions about the evolution of the extensive Abp families in house mice but it seems that we and others in the field have been remiss in not considering the cytokine nature of these molecules in an evolutionary context. For example, gene families, such as those involved in chemosensation, reproduction, host defense and immunity, and toxin metabolism that are expanded, usually as tandem duplications, in one lineage are often expanded in another (Janousek et al. 2013). Cytokines are part of the body's immune response to infection, and considering that in conjunction with their detoxifying capability and with ABP's reproductive function (mate recognition), we propose that there may be a connection among these seemingly diverse capabilities. Karn et al. (2014) observed that a partitioning of Abp expressions occurred early in the evolution of the ancestral clades in the genome of the ancestor of the genus Mus. They suggested that neo- and/or subfunctionalization was responsible for partitioning the expression of eleven Abp paralogs from ancestral Clades 1, 2 and 3 into lacrimal gland/tears, and three Abp paralogs from ancestral Clade 5 into submandibular gland/saliva. Again considering possible multiple functions, this time in the context of the large Abp gene family in the mouse, could it be that a small group of these secretoglobins initially served in an immune and/or detoxification capacity but that one or more mutated to take on a communication function in the reproductive sense of sexual selection either exclusively or in addition to the earlier function? This might explain the extensive expansion of the Abp families not only in rodents but also in a few other more distant mammals.

Conclusions

We identified 206 unique *Abp* gene sequences in the genomes of six taxa of the genus *Mus* and mapped their relative positions in those *Abp* clusters. Our CN estimates suggest that the total number of paralogs is closer to 300. We present evidence that the roots of the mouse reference genome expansion in the ancestor of the *Mus Abp* lineage had substantially elevated L1 densities over their *Abp* regions. Further, we suggest that previous analyses of selection on

a27 alleles fixed in different species and subspecies of Mus actually detected selection on different paralogs that resulted from tandem duplication (i.e., pseudoalleles) of a27 in an ancestor of Mus. This alternative explanation is based on our finding that *pah* and *car* both have two modules that appear to be duplicated ancestral versions of M27. These alternative a27 paralogs could have arisen as haplotypes having lost different copies through random mutation. If these became recognizable by olfaction, it could have led to sexual selection and eventually incipient reinforcement. We offer evidence for this paralog hypothesis from our module phylogenies built with L1MC3 because L1 RTs are thought to be homoplasy-free regions compared with gene regions. Fixation of different a27 paralogs in the subspecies by selection is consistent with; 1) different a27 sequences fixed in each of the three subspecies of M. musculus, 2) finding that ABP-mediated sexual selection and incipient reinforcement in previous behavioral analyses, and 3) the incongruence of the gene and species phylogenies which was explained previously by homoplasy.

Finally, we propose that the roles of cytokines in immune response to infection and detoxification be considered in further work on the evolutionary histories of the secretoglobins generally and the ABPs specifically. A unique aspect of ABPs in the secretoglobin superfamily evolution is that some diverse mammal species have Abp families that expanded extensively. Genes involved in adaptation and functional innovation are subject to frequent duplication, deletion, and pseudogene formation and prevalent among rapidly evolving genes are those involved in immunity, reproduction, chemosensation, and toxin metabolism. Considering possible multiple functions in the context of the large Abp gene family in the mouse, we ask if a small group of them initially served in an immune and/ or detoxification capacity but that one or more mutated to take on a communication function in the reproductive sense of sexual selection. That might explain the extensive expansion of Abp families not only in rodents but in a few other more distant mammals.

Materials and Methods

Genomic Sequences

Paired-end Illumina HiSeq2000 sequencing data were obtained from the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) as fastq files for WSB (*Mus musculus domesticus*, ENA accession number ERS076380); PWK (*M. m. musculus*, ERS076378); CAST (*M. m. castaneus*, ERS076381); and *M. spretus* (ERS076388, ERS138732). The 1504 builds of the genomes of WSB, PWK, CAST, and *M. spretus* were obtained from Sanger Mouse Genomes project (ftp://ftp-mouse.sanger.ac. uk/, last accessed August 16, 2021; Keane et al. 2011; Lilue et al. 2018) as were *M. caroli and M. pahari* (Thybert et al. 2018). Although the later de novo assemblies uncoupled from

the reference genome had better overall statistics than the 1504 builds, more unique *Abp* sequences were identified on chromosome 7 (chromosome 1 in *pah*) in the 1504 builds (Thybert et al. 2018). Because they yielded the largest number of *Abp* genes from each genome and the most parsimonious set of gene assignments to chromosomes, we used the gene predictions and coordinates of the 1504 builds.

Data Mining Genomes for Abp Sequences

We employed the BLASTn function in DNA Workbench v3.1.0 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/gbench/, last accessed September 30, 2021), hmmersearch in HMMER/3.1 (https:// github.com/EddyRivasLab/hmmer, last accessed September 30, 2021), and Exonerate/2.2.0 (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ about/vertebrate-genomics/software/exonerate, last accessed September 30, 2021) to search for sequences similar to Abp genes identified previously in rodents. We then searched again with the newly identified sequences but did not obtain additional genes. The sequences we identified by these methods were searched manually for start and stop codons and for donor and acceptor intron splice sites. We also verified the flanking genes Scn1b and Uba2 (formerly Uble1b). Once a mouse taxon Abp gene sequence was identified bioinformatically, it was verified by designing a set(s) of primers, amplifying it in genomic DNA, and sequencing it (UAGC core facility, University of Arizona) as reported previously (Laukaitis et al. 2005). The DNA samples used as PCR templates for these six genus Mus taxa were obtained from Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME). We aligned the sequences we obtained in the laboratory with the corresponding data-mined sequences using DNAsis max/3.0 (MiraiBio, San Francisco, CA). This allowed us to verify and/or correct the data-mined sequences and complete some that were not full length. Supplementary tables S1-S6, Supplementary Material online, contain the gene descriptions by taxon with their GenBank number; supplementary table S7, Supplementary Material online, shows their gene/pseudogene status.

Sequence Coverage and Calculation of Gene Copy Numbers

We first attempted to estimate CN of *Abp* genes using CNVnator software (Abyzov et al. 2011), but due to numerous gaps in the *Abp* regions of the 1504 build assemblies (see below), this yielded suspiciously low numbers of small CNVs across the *Abp* gene regions of the six mouse genomes. Hence, we calculated the CNs based on differences in read depth between *Abp* genes and supposedly single-copy regions. With samtools (Li et al. 2009), we extracted the number of reads mapped to each *Abp* gene and calculated the coverage as (read count/gene length) × (average read length). In the same way, we also calculated the coverage for the 1,000 randomly chosen regions of 2 kb in length of each *Abp*-containing chromosome where

CNVnator did not reveal CNVs. Regions with less than 10% of reads of low mapping quality (defined as MAPQ < 20) were chosen for calculating the average coverage for single-copy sequences (supplementary table S8, Supplementary Material online). We derived a diploid CN for each Abp gene by dividing the coverage of the Abp gene with the average coverage for single-copy sequences. Very few reads in any of the *Abp* genes were of low quality (MAPQ < 20). In the case of two sequences of car_a28a and b (supplementary table S2, Supplementary Material online), multiple mapping locations could have inflated their apparent coverage. This made only a minor contribution (<1% of the 206 genes we found) to CN determination. We have shown that the average GC content in the Abp gene region is in line with the genome average (Karn and Laukaitis 2009). Hence, we assumed that GC bias is not an issue for read-depth-based inference of CN in the Abp region.

Analysis of Gaps in the Assemblies

To examine the possibility that some of the gaps represent truly missing sequences rather than undefined difficult to assemble regions, we looked for read evidence to dismiss gap placement in the assembly. For that reason, we deleted all gaps (N's) in the reference chromosome where the *Abp* cluster resides in order to create a new reference chromosome without gaps. We next performed mapping and subsequent processing steps with the gap-free reference as described above. We searched for reads that span gap positions and are either \geq 80 nucleotides (nt) long or have mapping quality >0. The reads were also required to exactly match the gap-free reference over the entire read length and to have at least 8 nt of sequence around the predicted gap position (i.e., that the gap position is not at the very end of the read).

Retrotransposon Content

The data table "rmsk" was obtained from the UCSC FTP server for C57BI/6 and the Sanger Mouse Genomes project for six mouse taxa (see above). Data for LINEs was extracted (Wicker et al. 2007; Kapitonov and Jurka 2008). Sliding windows of 100- with 10-kb steps were created across each genome assembly using the "bedtools makewindows" command of bedtools. The number of bases within each window that is covered by LINEs was calculated using bedtools coverage (https://github.com/arg5x/bedtools 2, last accessed September 30, 2021). When gaps were present within the assembly, two coordinate systems were created: one before the gap removal and one after the gap removal, and positions of LINEs and Abp genes were converted between these (https://github.com/ucscGenomeBrowser/kent, last accessed September 30, 2021). The density plots with gaps removed were made using the ggplot2 package in R.

The rmsk RT data table was obtained from the Sanger Mouse Genomes project for six mouse taxa (ftp://ftpmouse.sanger.ac.uk/, last accessed August 16, 2021; Keane et al. 2011; Lilue et al. 2018). Positions of L1MC3 Elements were extracted from the rmsk table and were filtered using the *Abp* intra-module coordinates. L1MC3 sequences were then obtained using "bedtools getfasta" command of bedtools (https://github.com/arq5x/bedtools 2, last accessed September 30, 2021). Those intramodule sequences negative for L1MC3 when searched in that manner were searched again by aligning L1MC3 sequences from other modules, and in some cases this revealed the RT in the intramodule sequences.

Data Analysis

We assigned exons and introns to the verified and/or corrected DNA sequences of the six taxa of Mus musculus by aligning them with the known exon and intron sequences of four Abpa and four Abpbg genes from the mouse genomes (a2, a7, a24, a27, bg2, bg7, bg24, and bg27). The donor and acceptor splice sites were identified and the exons were assembled into putative mRNAs and translated in silico. From the translations, we identified each gene as either a potentially expressed gene or as a pseudogene if it had either a disruption in the coding region and/or a noncanonical splice site (Emes et al. 2004). Supplementary tables S1–S6, Supplementary Material online, show the disruptions for the putative pseudogenes. MAFFT was used to align the Abp gene sequences from the genus Mus and the mouse and rat reference genomes, IQtree (http://www.igtree.org, last accessed September 30, 2021; Trifinopoulos et al. 2016) was used to build maximum-likelihood phylogenetic trees that were visualized with FigTree v1.4.3 (http://tree.bio.ed. ac.uk/software/figtree, last accessed September 30, 2021). Initially, we built trees with the larger intron b, that lies between Exons 2 and 3, in order to avoid bias caused by selection (Laukaitis et al. 2008). Comparisons with trees constructed with the full genes (ATG to the stop codon) showed essentially the same topologies and allowed us to include partial sequences lacking most or all of intron b. Bootstrap values (1,000 repetitions) were obtained with the MAFFT ultrafast bootstrap approximation. L1MC3 RTs from the intramodular regions were aligned and used for producing MAFFT and IQTree files.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary data are available at Genome Biology and Evolution online.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Cancer Institute at the National Institutes of Health (Grant No. P30 CA23074) for laboratory infrastructure. The authors gratefully acknowledge Willie Swanson for helpful discussions and Miloš Macholán for additional recommendations.

Author Contributions

R.C.K., G.Y., and C.M.L. conceived of the project, mined the *Abp* and L1MC3 sequence data, designed primers and sequenced the genes and built phylogenies. Z.P. did the *Abp* module alignments, the CN analyses, and the gap analyses. P.B. and R.C.K. assessed the evolutionary forces acting on *Abp* orthologs versus paralogs. All the authors participated in writing the manuscript.

Data Availability

All sequence data are released into GenBank and their accession numbers are listed in supplementary tables S1–S6, supplementary material online.

Literature Cited

- Abyzov A, Urban AE, Snyder M, Gerstein M. 2011. CNVnator: an approach to discover, genotype, and characterize typical and atypical CNVs from family and population genome sequencing. Genome Res. 21(6):974–984.
- Alexeev N, Alekseyev MA. 2018. Combinatorial scoring of phylogenetic trees and networks based on homoplasy-free characters. J Comput Biol. 25(11):1203–1219.
- Alkan C, Coe BP, Eichler EE. 2011. Genome structural variation discovery and genotyping. Nat Rev Genet. 12(5):363–376.
- Almuntashiri S, et al. 2020. Club cell secreted protein CC16: potential applications in prognosis and therapy for pulmonary diseases. J Clin Med. 9: 4039–4051.
- Altenhoff AM, Glower NM, Dessimoz C. 2019. Inferring orthology and paralogy. In: Anisimova M, editor. Evolutionary genomics: statistical and computational methods inferring orthology and paralogy. New York: Humana Press. p. 149–176.
- Beier HM. 1968. Uteroglobin: a hormone-sensitive endometrial protein involved in blastocyst development. Biochim Biophys Acta. 160(2):289–291.
- Bímová B, Karn RC, Pialek J. 2005. The role of salivary androgen-binding protein in reproductive isolation between two subspecies of house mouse: *Mus musculus* and *Mus musculus domesticus*. Biol J Linn Soc. 84(3):349–361.
- Boursot P, Auffray J-C, Britton-Davidian J, Bonhomme F. 1993. The evolution of house mice. Annu Rev Ecol Syst. 24(1):119–152.
- Cai Y, Kimura S. 2015. Secretoglobin 3A2 exhibits anti-fibrotic activity in bleomycin-induced pulmonary fibrosis model mice. PLoS One. 10(11):e0142497.
- Callebaut I, et al. 2000. The uteroglobin fold. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 923:90–112.
- Casola C, Conant GC, Hahn MW. 2012. Very low rate of gene conversion in the yeast genome. Mol Biol Evol. 29(12):3817–3826.
- Casola C, Hahn MW. 2009. Gene conversion among paralogs results in moderate false detection of positive selection using likelihood methods. J Mol Evol. 68(6):679–687.
- Chevret P, Veyrunes F, Britton-Davidian J. 2005. Molecular phylogeny of the genus *Mus* (Rodentia: Murinae) based on mitochondrial and nuclear data. Biol J Linn Soc. 84(3):417–427.
- Chiba Y, et al. 2006. Uteroglobin-related protein 1 expression suppresses allergic airway inflammation in mice. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 173(9):958–964.

- Chung AG, Belone PM, Bímová BV, Karn RC, Laukaitis CM. 2017. Studies of an androgen-binding protein knockout corroborate a role for salivary ABP in mouse communication. Genetics 205(4):1517–1527.
- Clifton BD, et al. 2020. Understanding the early evolutionary stages of a tandem *Drosophila melanogaster*-specific gene family: a structural and functional population study. Mol Biol Evol. 37(9):2584–2600.
- Denecke S, et al. 2021. Comparative and functional genomics of the ABC transporter superfamily across arthropods. BMC Genomics. 22(1):553.
- Dlouhy SR, Karn RC. 1983. The tissue source and cellular control of the apparent size of androgen binding protein (Abp), a mouse salivary protein whose electrophoretic mobility is under the control of sex-limited saliva pattern (Ssp). Biochem Genet. 21(11–12):1057–1070.
- Dover G. 1982. Molecular drive: a cohesive mode of species evolution. Nature 299(5879):111–117.
- Eichler EE, et al. 2010. Missing heritability and strategies for finding the underlying causes of complex disease. Nat Rev Genet. 11(6):446–450.
- Emerson JJ, Cardoso-Moreira M, Borevitz JO, Long M. 2008. Natural selection shapes genome-wide patterns of copy-number polymorphism in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Science. 320(5883):1629–1631.
- Emes RD, et al. 2004. Comparative evolutionary genomics of androgenbinding protein genes. Genome Res. 14(8):1516–1529.
- Galtier N, Duret L, Glemin S, Ranwez V. 2009. GC-biased gene conversion promotes the fixation of deleterious amino acid changes in primates. Trends Genet. 25(1):1–5.
- Galtier N, Piganeau G, Mouchiroud D, Duret L. 2001. GC-content evolution in mammalian genomes: the biased gene conversion hypothesis. Genetics 159(2):907–911.
- Gibbs RA, et al. 2004. Genome sequence of the Brown Norway rat yields insights into mammalian evolution. Nature 428:493–521.
- Goodman M, Czelusniak J, William Moore G, Romero-Herrera AE, Matsuda G. 1979. Fitting the gene lineage into its species lineage, a parsimony strategy illustrated by cladograms constructed from globin sequences. Syst Zool. 28(2):132–163.
- Guy L, Kultima JR, Andersson SG. 2010. genoPlotR: comparative gene and genome visualization in R. Bioinformatics 26(18):2334–2335.
- Harel T, Lupski JR. 2018. Genomic disorders 20 years on-mechanisms for clinical manifestations. Clin Genet. 93(3):439–449.
- Hastings PJ, Lupski JR, Rosenberg SM, Ira G. 2009. Mechanisms of change in gene copy number. Nat Rev Genet. 10(8):551–564.
- Higuchi DA, et al. 2010. Structural variation of the mouse natural killer gene complex. Genes Immun. 11(8):637–648.
- Huddleston J, Eichler EE. 2016. An incomplete understanding of human genetic variation. Genetics 202(4):1251–1254.
- Hudson RR, Kreitman M, Aguade M. 1987. A test of neutral molecular evolution based on nucleotide data. Genetics 116(1):153–159.
- Hurles M. 2002. Are 100,000 "SNPs" useless? Science 298(5598):1509; author reply 1509.
- Hwang JM, Hofstetter JR, Bonhomme F, Karn RC. 1997. The microevolution of mouse salivary androgen-binding protein (ABP) paralleled subspeciation of Mus musculus. J Hered. 88(2):93–97.
- Janousek V, Karn RC, Laukaitis CM. 2013. The role of retrotransposons in gene family expansions: insights from the mouse *Abp* gene family. BMC Evol Biol. 13:107.
- Janousek V, Laukaitis CM, Yanchukov A, Karn RC. 2016. The role of retrotransposons in gene family expansions in the human and mouse genomes. Genome Biol Evol. 8(9):2632–2650.
- Johnson ME, et al. 2001. Positive selection of a gene family during the emergence of humans and African apes. Nature 413(6855):514–519.
- Kapitonov VV, Jurka J. 2008. A universal classification of eukaryotic transposable elements implemented in Repbase. Nat Rev Genet. 9(5):411–412; author reply 414.
- Karn RC. 1994. The mouse salivary androgen-binding protein (ABP) alpha subunit closely resembles chain 1 of the cat allergen Fel dl. Biochem Genet. 32(7–8):271–277.

- Karn RC. 1998. Steroid binding by mouse salivary proteins. Biochem Genet. 36(3–4):105–117.
- Karn RC, Chung AG, Laukaitis CM. 2014. Did androgen-binding protein paralogs undergo neo- and/or subfunctionalization as the *Abp* gene region expanded in the mouse genome? PLoS One 9(12):e115454.
- Karn RC, Clements MA. 1999. A comparison of the structures of the alpha:beta and alpha:gamma dimers of mouse salivary androgenbinding protein (ABP) and their differential steroid binding. Biochem Genet. 37(5–6):187–199.
- Karn RC, Dlouhy SR. 1991. Salivary androgen-binding protein variation in Mus and other rodents. J Hered. 82(6):453–458.
- Karn RC, Laukaitis CM. 2009. The mechanism of expansion and the volatility it created in three pheromone gene clusters in the mouse (Mus musculus) genome. Genome Biol Evol. 1:494–503.
- Karn RC, Laukaitis CM. 2014. Selection shaped the evolution of mouse androgen-binding protein (ABP) function and promoted the duplication of *Abp* genes. Biochem Soc Trans. 42(4):851–860.
- Karn RC, Nachman MW. 1999. Reduced nucleotide variability at an androgen-binding protein locus (Abpa) in house mice: evidence for positive natural selection. Mol Biol Evol. 16(9):1192–1197.
- Karn RC, Orth A, Bonhomme F, Boursot P. 2002. The complex history of a gene proposed to participate in a sexual isolation mechanism in house mice. Mol Biol Evol. 19(4):462–471.
- Karn RC, Young JM, Laukaitis CM. 2010. A candidate subspecies discrimination system involving a vomeronasal receptor gene with different alleles fixed in *M. m. domesticus* and *M. m. musculus*. PLoS One 5:e12638.
- Keane TM, et al. 2011. Mouse genomic variation and its effect on phenotypes and gene regulation. Nature 477(7364):289–294.
- Krishnan RS, Daniel JC. Jr. 1967. "Blastokinin": inducer and regulator of blastocyst development in the rabbit uterus. Science 158(3800):490–492.
- Lander ES, et al. 2001. Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome. Nature 409(6822):860–921.
- Laukaitis C, Karn RC. 2012. Recognition of subspecies status mediated by androgen-binding protein (ABP) in the evolution of incipient reinforcement on the European house mouse hybrid zone. In: Macholan M, Munclinger P, Baird SJ, Pialek J, editors. Evolution of the house mouse Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. p. 150–190.
- Laukaitis CM, Critser ES, Karn RC. 1997. Salivary androgen-binding protein (*Abp*) mediates sexual isolation in *Mus musculus*. Evolution 51(6):2000–2005.
- Laukaitis CM, Dlouhy SR, Emes RD, Ponting CP, Karn RC. 2005. Diverse spatial, temporal, and sexual expression of recently duplicated androgen-binding protein genes in *Mus musculus*. BMC Evol Biol. 5:40.
- Laukaitis CM, Dlouhy SR, Karn RC. 2003. The mouse salivary androgenbinding protein (*Abp*) gene cluster on chromosomes 7: characterization and evolutionary relationships. Mamm Genome. 14(10):679–691.
- Laukaitis CM, et al. 2008. Rapid bursts of androgen-binding protein (*Abp*) gene duplication occurred independently in diverse mammals. BMC Evol Biol. 8:46.
- Laukaitis CM, Mauss C, Karn RC. 2012. Congenic strain analysis reveals genes that are rapidly evolving components of a prezygotic isolation mechanism mediating incipient reinforcement. PLoS One 7(4):e35898.
- Li H, et al. 2009. The sequence alignment/map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics 25(16):2078–2079.
- Lilue J, et al. 2018. Sixteen diverse laboratory mouse reference genomes define strain-specific haplotypes and novel functional loci. Nat Genet. 50(11):1574–1583.
- Loire E, et al. 2017. Do changes in gene expression contribute to sexual isolation and reinforcement in the house mouse? Mol Ecol. 26(19):5189–5202.

- Manolio TA, et al. 2009. Finding the missing heritability of complex diseases. Nature 461(7265):747–753.
- Morgan AP, et al. 2016. The evolutionary fates of a large segmental duplication in mouse. Genetics 204(1):267–285.
- Mukherjee A, Chilton BE. 2000. The uteroglobin/clara cell protein family. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 932:1–356.
- Mukherjee AB, Zhang Z, Chilton BS. 2007. Uteroglobin: a steroid-inducible immunomodulatory protein that founded the Secretoglobin super-family. Endocr Rev. 28(7):707–725.
- Nagylaki T, Petes TD. 1982. Intrachromosomal gene conversion and the maintenance of sequence homogeneity among repeated genes. Genetics 100(2):315–337.
- Nguyen DQ, et al. 2008. Reduced purifying selection prevails over positive selection in human copy number variant evolution. Genome Res. 18(11):1711–1723.
- Nguyen DQ, Webber C, Ponting CP. 2006. Bias of selection on human copy-number variants. PLoS Genet. 2(2):e20.
- Pan D, Zhang L. 2008. Tandemly arrayed genes in vertebrate genomes. Comp Funct Genomics. 2008:545269–545280.
- Pavlopoulou A, Pampalakis G, Michalopoulos I, Sotiropoulou G. 2010. Evolutionary history of tissue kallikreins. PLoS One 5(11):e13781.
- Perry GH, et al. 2007. Diet and the evolution of human amylase gene copy number variation. Nat Genet. 39(10):1256–1260.
- Perry GH, et al. 2008. The fine-scale and complex architecture of human copy-number variation. Am J Hum Genet. 82(3):685–695.
- Pezer Z, Chung AG, Karn RC, Laukaitis CM. 2017. Analysis of copy number variation in the Abp gene regions of two house mouse subspecies suggests divergence during the gene family expansions. Genome Biol Evol. 9(6):evx099.
- Sage RD, Atchley WR, Capanna E. 1993. House mice as models in systematic biology. Syst. Biol. 42(4):523–561.
- Sanger F, Nicklen S, Coulson AR. 1977. DNA sequencing with chain-terminating inhibitors. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 74(12):5463–5467.
- Semple C, Steel M. 2002. Tree reconstruction from multi-state characters. Adv Appl Math. 28(2):169–184.
- Sjodin P, Jakobsson M. 2012. Population genetic nature of copy number variation. Methods Mol Biol. 838:209–223.
- Spielmann M, Lupianez DG, Mundlos S. 2018. Structural variation in the 3D genome. Nat Rev Genet. 19(7):453–467.
- Sudmant PH, et al. 2015. An integrated map of structural variation in 2,504 human genomes. Nature 526(7571):75–81.

- Talley HM, Laukaitis CM, Karn RC. 2001. Female preference for male saliva: implications for sexual isolation of *Mus musculus* subspecies. Evolution 55(3):631–634.
- Thybert D, et al. 2018. Repeat associated mechanisms of genome evolution and function revealed by the *Mus caroli* and *Mus pahari* genomes. Genome Res. 28(4):448–459.
- Trifinopoulos J, Nguyen LT, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. 2016. W-IQ-TREE: a fast online phylogenetic tool for maximum likelihood analysis. Nucleic Acids Res. 44(W1):W232–W235.
- Uriu K, Kosugi Y, Ito J, Sato K. 2021. The battle between retroviruses and APOBEC3 genes: its past and present. Viruses 13: 124–135.
- van Rheede T, et al. 2006. The platypus is in its place: nuclear genes and indels confirm the sister group relation of monotremes and Therians. Mol Biol Evol. 23(3):587–597.
- Verneau O, Catzeflis F, Furano AV. 1998. Determining and dating recent rodent speciation events by using L1 (LINE-1) retrotransposons. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 95(19):11284–11289.
- Vošlajerová Bímová B, et al. 2011. Reinforcement selection acting on the European house mouse hybrid zone. Mol Ecol. 20(11):2403–2424.
- Wang Y, et al. 2018. Structural variation, functional differentiation, and activity correlation of the cytochrome P450 gene superfamily revealed in ginseng. Plant Genome. 11(3):170106–170117.
- Waterston RH, et al. 2002. Initial sequencing and comparative analysis of the mouse genome. Nature 420(6915):520–562.
- White MA, Ané C, Dewey CN, Larget BR, Payseur BA. 2009. Fine-scale phylogenetic discordance across the house mouse genome. PLoS Genet. 5(11):e1000729.
- Wicker T, et al. 2007. A unified classification system for eukaryotic transposable elements. Nat Rev Genet. 8(12):973–982.
- Xue Y, et al. 2008. Adaptive evolution of UGT2B17 copy-number variation. Am J Hum Genet. 83(3):337–346.
- Yang Z. 2007. PAML 4: phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood. Mol Biol Evol. 24(8):1586–1591.
- Yoneda M, et al. 2016. Secretoglobin superfamily protein SCGB3A2 alleviates house dust mite-induced allergic airway inflammation in mice. Int Arch Allergy Immunol. 171(1):36–44.
- Young ND, Zhou P, Silverstein KA. 2016. Exploring structural variants in environmentally sensitive gene families. Curr Opin Plant Biol. 30:19–24.
- Zhou P, et al. 2017. Exploring structural variation and gene family architecture with de novo assemblies of 15 Medicago genomes. BMC Genomics. 18(1):261.

Associate editor: Federico Hoffmann