

CERN-EP-2019-288
2020/03/17

CMS-BPH-19-003

Study of excited Λ_b^0 states decaying to $\Lambda_b^0\pi^+\pi^-$ in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV

The CMS Collaboration*

Abstract

A study of excited Λ_b^0 baryons is reported, based on a data sample collected in 2016–2018 with the CMS detector at the LHC in proton-proton collisions at a center-of-mass energy of 13 TeV, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of up to 140 fb^{-1} . The existence of four excited Λ_b^0 states: $\Lambda_b(5912)^0$, $\Lambda_b(5920)^0$, $\Lambda_b(6146)^0$, and $\Lambda_b(6152)^0$ in the $\Lambda_b^0\pi^+\pi^-$ mass spectrum is confirmed, and their masses are measured. The $\Lambda_b^0\pi^+\pi^-$ mass distribution exhibits a broad excess of events in the region of 6040–6100 MeV, whose origin cannot be discerned with the present data.

"Published in Physics Letters B as doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2020.135345."

1 Introduction

Studies of excited baryonic states are an important aspect of hadron spectroscopy and help to shed light on the mechanisms responsible for dynamics of quarks and baryon formation. In particular, spectroscopy of baryons that contain a heavy-flavor quark, such as the Λ_b^0 baryon, can test predictions of heavy-quark effective theory [1]. A number of theoretical calculations exist for various orbital and radial excitations of the ground state baryons containing a b quark [2–16], including those of the Λ_b^0 baryon. In general, there are a number of excited Λ_b^0 baryon states predicted in the 5.9–6.4 GeV mass range. However, predictions are very diverse in terms of the specific mass spectrum and do not point to any common narrow mass region in which to search for these excited states. As an additional complication, the widths and the production cross sections of various excited states are generally unknown. This situation makes experimental searches for excited heavy-quark baryons both challenging and important for testing various theoretical models.

The existence of two narrow excited Λ_b^0 states, $\Lambda_b(5912)^0$ and $\Lambda_b(5920)^0$, in the $\Lambda_b^0\pi^+\pi^-$ invariant mass spectrum near the kinematic threshold was reported by the LHCb Collaboration in 2012 [17] (charge-conjugate states are implied throughout this Letter). The measured masses are $M(\Lambda_b(5912)^0) = 5911.97 \pm 0.67$ MeV and $M(\Lambda_b(5920)^0) = 5919.77 \pm 0.67$ MeV, and the respective natural widths were found to be below 0.83 and 0.75 MeV at 95% confidence level. The latter state was confirmed by the CDF Collaboration [18] soon thereafter with the mass measured to be $M(\Lambda_b(5920)^0) = 5919.22 \pm 0.76$ MeV. The precision of these measurements was limited by the large uncertainty in the Λ_b^0 mass at the time; the current world-average values $M(\Lambda_b(5912)^0) = 5912.20 \pm 0.21$ MeV and $M(\Lambda_b(5920)^0) = 5919.92 \pm 0.19$ MeV [19] are based on the updated Λ_b^0 mass measurement [20, 21]. Recently, the LHCb experiment has also presented an observation of two narrow higher-mass states in the $\Lambda_b^0\pi^+\pi^-$ spectrum, with the following masses and widths [22]: $M(\Lambda_b(6146)^0) = 6146.17 \pm 0.43$ MeV, $\Gamma(\Lambda_b(6146)^0) = 2.9 \pm 1.3$ MeV, and $M(\Lambda_b(6152)^0) = 6152.51 \pm 0.38$ MeV, $\Gamma(\Lambda_b(6152)^0) = 2.1 \pm 0.9$ MeV.

In this Letter, a study of the $\Lambda_b^0\pi^+\pi^-$ invariant mass distribution in the 5900–6400 GeV range by the CMS Collaboration is reported. Both the $\Lambda_b(5912)^0$ and $\Lambda_b(5920)^0$ states near the kinematic threshold are confirmed and their masses are measured. In addition, the $\Lambda_b^0\pi^+\pi^-$ distribution is investigated in the higher-mass region and signals consistent with the $\Lambda_b(6146)^0$ and $\Lambda_b(6152)^0$ states are observed. The ground state baryon Λ_b^0 is reconstructed via its decays into the $J/\psi\Lambda$ and $\psi(2S)\Lambda$ channels. The analysis uses the proton-proton (pp) collision data recorded with the CMS detector in 2016–2018, during the CERN LHC Run 2 at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of up to 140 fb^{-1} .

2 The CMS detector

The central feature of the CMS apparatus is a superconducting solenoid of 6 m internal diameter, providing a magnetic field of 3.8 T. Within the solenoid volume are a silicon pixel and strip tracker, a lead tungstate crystal electromagnetic calorimeter, and a brass and scintillator hadron calorimeter, each composed of a barrel and two endcap sections. Forward calorimeters extend the pseudorapidity coverage provided by the barrel and endcap detectors. Muons are detected in gas-ionization chambers embedded in the steel flux-return yoke outside the solenoid. A more detailed description of the CMS detector, together with a definition of the coordinate system used and the relevant kinematic variables, can be found in Ref. [23].

Events of interest are selected using a two-tiered trigger system [24]. The first level (L1), composed of custom hardware processors, uses information from the calorimeters and muon de-

tectors to select events at a rate of around 100 kHz within a time interval of less than 4 μs . The L1 trigger used in the analysis required at least two muons. The second level, known as the high-level trigger (HLT), consists of a farm of processors running a version of the full event reconstruction software optimized for fast processing, and reduces the event rate to around 1 kHz before data storage. The set of HLT algorithms used in the analysis requires two opposite-sign (OS) muons with various pseudorapidity η and transverse momentum p_T thresholds, compatible with being produced in the dimuon decays of J/ψ or $\psi(2S)$ mesons. Given that no single trigger algorithm is dedicated to the decay signature of interest, the analysis uses a combination of several triggers, with integrated luminosities up to 140 fb^{-1} .

3 Event selection

The event selection begins by requiring two OS muons passing the CMS soft-muon identification criteria [25] with $p_T > 3 \text{ GeV}$ and $|\eta| < 2.2$. The muons must form a common vertex with a χ^2 fit probability (P_{vtx}) greater than 1%. The dimuon invariant mass is required to satisfy $2.90 < M(\mu^+\mu^-) < 3.95 \text{ GeV}$. If $M(\mu^+\mu^-)$ is below 3.4 GeV, the dimuon system is considered to be a J/ψ candidate, or a $\psi(2S)$ candidate otherwise.

Another $\psi(2S)$ decay channel is also used to increase the signal yield: $\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^- \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \pi^+ \pi^-$. Two additional, high purity [26], OS tracks, assumed to be pions and labeled $\pi_{\psi(2S)}$, are required to have $p_T > 0.35 \text{ GeV}$. They are fit to a common vertex with a J/ψ candidate, using a world-average J/ψ meson mass [19] constraint. The invariant mass of the J/ψ candidate and the two tracks must satisfy the requirement $3672 < M(J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-) < 3700 \text{ MeV}$, corresponding to a window centered on the world-average $\psi(2S)$ meson mass, with a half-width of approximately three times the corresponding mass resolution.

A Λ candidate is formed from a displaced two-prong vertex, assuming the decay $\Lambda \rightarrow p \pi^-$, as described in Ref. [27]. The $p \pi^-$ invariant mass is required to be within $\pm 10 \text{ MeV}$ of the world-average Λ baryon mass m_{Λ}^{PDG} [19], which corresponds to approximately three times the Λ candidate mass resolution. The two tracks are refitted with their invariant mass constrained to m_{Λ}^{PDG} , and the obtained Λ candidate is required to have $P_{\text{vtx}} > 1\%$.

To form the Λ_b^0 candidates, the J/ψ or $\psi(2S)$ candidate and the Λ candidate are fit to a common vertex with $P_{\text{vtx}} > 1\%$, where the world-average J/ψ or $\psi(2S)$ mass [19] constraint is applied to the muon pair. In the case of the $\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$ decay channel, only the $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ mass constraint is used.

The primary vertex (PV) associated with the Λ_b^0 candidate is selected among all the reconstructed vertices by requiring the smallest angle between the reconstructed Λ_b^0 candidate momentum and the vector pointing from this vertex to the Λ_b^0 decay vertex. The PV is then refitted after removing the tracks associated with the Λ and either the J/ψ or $\psi(2S)$ candidates. The decay length of the Λ_b^0 candidate in the transverse plane, L_{xy} , is computed as the two-dimensional distance between the PV and the Λ_b^0 decay vertex, and is required to exceed three times its uncertainty. This selection helps to suppress the combinatorial background. In addition, the transverse momentum of the Λ_b^0 candidate is required to be well aligned with the transverse displacement vector: $\cos \alpha > 0.99$, where α is the angle between the projections on the plane transverse to the beams of the Λ_b^0 candidate momentum and of the vector connecting the PV with the Λ_b^0 decay vertex. The numbers of Λ_b^0 signal candidates after these requirements are about 39 000, 3400, and 4300 for the $J/\psi \Lambda$, $\psi(2S) \Lambda$ ($\psi(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$), and $\psi(2S) \Lambda$ ($\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$) channels, respectively.

The $\Lambda_b^0\pi^+\pi^-$ candidates are formed by combining the selected Λ_b^0 candidates with two OS tracks originating from the PV, as in Refs. [28–30], since the lifetime of excited Λ_b^0 states is expected to be negligible, resulting in prompt decays. Combinations of a Λ_b^0 candidate with two prompt same-sign (SS) pions are used as a control channel and form the SS control region, as opposed to the OS signal region. The higher- p_T pion of the pair is labeled π_1^\pm and the lower- p_T pion π_2^\pm . To improve the $\Lambda_b^0\pi^+\pi^-$ invariant mass resolution, all tracks forming the PV and the selected Λ_b^0 candidate, taken as a single “pseudo-track” with the momentum, its uncertainty, and the assigned mass equal to those of the Λ_b^0 candidate, are refit to a common vertex. The $\Lambda_b^0\pi^+\pi^-$ invariant mass $m_{\Lambda_b^0\pi^+\pi^-}$ is then calculated using the momenta of particles returned by this vertex fit through the relation $m_{\Lambda_b^0\pi^+\pi^-} = M(\Lambda_b^0\pi^+\pi^-) - M(\Lambda_b^0) + m_{\Lambda_b^0}^{\text{PDG}}$, where $m_{\Lambda_b^0}^{\text{PDG}} = 5619.60 \pm 0.17 \text{ MeV}$ is the world-average Λ_b^0 baryon mass [19]. The PV refitting procedure improves the $\Lambda_b^0\pi^+\pi^-$ mass resolution by up to 50%. Unless specified otherwise, multiple $\Lambda_b^0\pi^+\pi^-$ candidates found in the same event are not discarded.

4 Simulated samples and selection optimization

Several simulated signal samples with different masses of excited Λ_b^0 states are used in the analysis. The PYTHIA 8.230 package [31] is used to simulate the production of the excited Λ_b^0 states. The Σ_b^0 baryon, with a modified mass value, is used as a proxy for an excited Λ_b^0 baryon. The decays are described with EVTGEN 1.6.0 [32]. Final-state photon radiation is included in EVTGEN using PHOTOS [33, 34]. Generated events are then passed to a detailed GEANT4-based simulation [35] of the CMS detector, followed by the same trigger and reconstruction algorithms used for collision data. The simulation includes effects from multiple pp interactions in the same or nearby bunch crossings (pileup) with the multiplicity distribution matching that observed in data.

Simulated samples are used to optimize the selection criteria using the Punzi figure of merit [36], i.e., optimizing the value of $S/(\frac{5}{2} + \sqrt{B})$, where S is the simulated signal yield and B is the expected background, as estimated using the SS control region. This optimization scheme is independent of the signal normalization.

The selection requirements are optimized separately for the low-mass $m_{\Lambda_b^0\pi^+\pi^-} < 5950 \text{ MeV}$ and high-mass $5950 < m_{\Lambda_b^0\pi^+\pi^-} < 6400 \text{ MeV}$ regions, using the $\Lambda_b(5912)^0$ and $\Lambda_b(6150)^0$ simulated signal samples, respectively. For the low-mass region, the optimized criteria are: $p_T(\pi_2^\pm) > 0.3 \text{ GeV}$, $p_T(\pi_1^\pm) > 0.35 \text{ GeV}$, $\cos \alpha > 0.995$, $\cos \alpha^{3D} > 0.995$, and $p_T(\pi_{\psi(2S)}) > 0.4 \text{ GeV}$, where α^{3D} is a three-dimensional analog of the angle α . For the high-mass region, the optimized requirements are found to be $p_T(\pi_2^\pm) > 0.7 \text{ GeV}$, $p_T(\pi_1^\pm) > 1.4 \text{ GeV}$, $p_T(\Lambda_b^0) > 16 \text{ GeV}$, $P_{\text{vtx}}(\Lambda_b^0) > 2\%$, and $P_{\text{vtx}}(\Lambda_b^0\pi^+\pi^-) > 8\%$. In the high-mass region, due to higher backgrounds, if multiple excited Λ_b^0 candidates in an event pass the above requirements, only the highest p_T candidate is kept. In the low-mass region the average number of candidates per event is very close to one, while in the high-mass region it is around two.

5 Observed $\Lambda_b^0\pi^+\pi^-$ invariant mass spectra

The observed invariant mass distribution $m_{\Lambda_b^0\pi^+\pi^-}$ of the selected signal candidates near the threshold is shown in Fig. 1. The two narrow peaks corresponding to the $\Lambda_b(5912)^0$ and




Figure 1: Invariant mass distribution of the selected $\Lambda_b^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ candidates near threshold. The vertical bars on the data points display the statistical uncertainties in the data. The overall fit result is shown by the thick solid line, with the thin and dashed lines representing the signal and combinatorial background components, respectively.

$\Lambda_b(5920)^0$ baryons are modeled with double-Gaussian functions with the resolution parameters fixed to those obtained in simulation (effective resolutions are about 0.6 and 0.8 MeV). The background is modeled with a threshold function $(x - x_0)^\beta$, where x_0 is the mass threshold value. The value of β , as well as the masses and normalizations of the two signal functions, are free parameters of an unbinned maximum-likelihood fit to data. The best-fit signal yields are 28.4 ± 5.8 and 159 ± 14 events, and the measured masses are 5912.32 ± 0.12 MeV and 5920.16 ± 0.07 MeV, respectively, where the uncertainties are statistical only. The presence of each of the peaks is established with a statistical significance of 5.7 and well over 6 standard deviations (σ), for the $\Lambda_b(5912)^0$ and $\Lambda_b(5920)^0$ states, respectively, thereby confirming the existence of these two baryon states. The significances have been evaluated with the likelihood-ratio technique by applying the one- and two-peak signal hypotheses. The likelihood ratios are evaluated using an asymptotic formula [37, 38]. The means and resolution parameters of the two peaks are allowed to vary in the fit within the Gaussian constraints from Ref. [19] and the simulation. The significance of the $\Lambda_b(5912)^0$ state varies between 5.4 and 5.7σ with the variations in the fit model used to estimate the systematic uncertainties, as detailed in Section 6; the significance of the $\Lambda_b(5920)^0$ state remains well above 6σ .

Higher masses in the $m_{\Lambda_b^0 \pi^+ \pi^-}$ distribution are studied as well, as shown in Fig. 2. A narrow peak at approximately 6150 MeV is evident, consistent with an overlap of the $\Lambda_b(6146)^0$ and $\Lambda_b(6152)^0$ signals, as well as a broad enhancement in the region below 6100 MeV. None of these features are present in the SS control region, as shown in Appendix A.

A number of cross-checks have been performed to understand if the broad enhancement can be the result of a kinematic reflection or produced by a background process. It was found that the enhancement is not compatible with the partially reconstructed decays of $\Lambda_b(6146)^0$ or $\Lambda_b(6152)^0$ states into $\Lambda_b^0 \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ (where the π^0 is lost). To check if it can be due to some other state decaying into the $\Lambda_b^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$ channels, the $\Lambda_b^0 K \pi$ invariant mass distributions are obtained by substituting the pion mass with the kaon mass. No significant enhancements over the smooth background are found. The $m_{\Lambda_b^0 \pi^+ \pi^-}$ background distribution is found to be in agreement between the SS and OS regions in the simulation and does not show any enhancement

in the 6000–6100 MeV mass region. The two-dimensional distributions of the $\Lambda_b^0\pi^+\pi^-$ mass versus the $\Lambda_b^0\pi^+$ and $\Lambda_b^0\pi^-$ masses from data are shown in Appendix A. If the $\Lambda_b^0\pi^\pm$ invariant mass ranges corresponding to the Σ_b^- , Σ_b^+ , Σ_b^{*-} , and Σ_b^{*+} baryons are vetoed, the SS and OS mass distributions in data are found to be in agreement in the region below 6100 MeV and do not exhibit a broad enhancement, as shown in Appendix A. This suggests that the broad excess might be related to the intermediate Σ_b^\pm and $\Sigma_b^{*\pm}$ baryon states, although the current size of the data set does not allow this hypothesis to be tested.




Figure 2: Invariant mass distribution of the selected $\Lambda_b^0\pi^+\pi^-$ candidates in the high-mass region. The vertical bars on the data points represent the statistical uncertainties in the data. The overall fit result is shown by the thick solid line. The thin lines present the contributions from the two signal peaks and the broad enhancement. The dashed line displays the combinatorial background.

The observed $m_{\Lambda_b^0\pi^+\pi^-}$ distribution in the high-mass region is fit with a sum of three signal functions and a smooth background function obtained by multiplying the threshold function $(x - x_0)^\beta$ by a first-order polynomial. The signal function describing the broad structure below 6100 MeV is a single Breit–Wigner function convolved with a double-Gaussian resolution function obtained from simulation. The narrow peak around 6150 MeV is modeled with the sum of two Breit–Wigner functions, each convolved with a double-Gaussian resolution function obtained from simulation, having an effective mass resolution of about 3.8 MeV. The natural widths of the two signals are fixed to those measured by the LHCb Collaboration [22]. The fit results for the yields and masses, respectively, are 301 ± 72 and 6073 ± 5 MeV for the broad enhancement, 70 ± 35 and 6146.5 ± 1.9 MeV for the $\Lambda_b(6146)^0$, and 113 ± 35 and 6152.7 ± 1.1 MeV for the $\Lambda_b(6152)^0$. The measured natural width of the broad excess is 55 ± 11 (stat) MeV. While this work was under the journal review, a similar structure, consistent with the one reported here and possibly a new baryon state, has been observed by the LHCb Collaboration [39].

Using the likelihood-ratio technique and the one- versus two-peak hypotheses, the presence of two peaks has a statistical significance of 0.4σ , indicating that the data are also consistent with a single peak at 6150 MeV. For the double-peak hypothesis, the natural widths of the two states are allowed to vary in the fit within the Gaussian constraints from the LHCb measurement [22]. In the single-peak hypothesis, the mass and the natural width of the signal peak are free parameters of the fit. In both cases, the mass resolution is allowed to float in the fit within its Gaussian uncertainty estimated from simulation. The local statistical significance of the single-peak hypothesis with respect to the background-only hypothesis is found to be over 6σ in the baseline

fit, and varies between 5.4 and 6.5σ with the changes in the fit range and the model used to estimate the systematic uncertainties, as detailed in Section 6. The broad enhancement has a local statistical significance of about 4σ . Resonances with masses between 6200 and 6400 MeV have been also considered in the fit model and no significant excess was found. The present amount of data does not allow us to perform conclusive studies of $\Sigma_b^{*\pm} \rightarrow \Lambda_b^0 \pi^\pm$ decay contributions to the resonances decaying into $\Lambda_b^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$.

6 Systematic uncertainties

Several sources of systematic uncertainties in the measured masses are considered. To evaluate the systematic uncertainties related to the choice of the fit model, several alternative signal and background functions are tested. Uncertainties related to the choice of the signal and background models are evaluated separately. The systematic uncertainty in each measurement is calculated as the maximum deviation of the observed mass value from the baseline fit result. The alternative signal model corresponds to a single-Gaussian resolution function; the alternative background models for the low- and high-mass regions are first- and second-order polynomials, respectively, multiplied by the same threshold function as in the baseline fit.

For the high-mass region, the nature of the broad excess below 6100 MeV is unclear, therefore an additional fit is performed in the region $m_{\Lambda_b^0 \pi^+ \pi^-} > 6100$ MeV, and the observed deviations from the baseline fit result in the measured masses are taken as the systematic uncertainties related to the possible presence of the broad resonance.

The systematic uncertainty from the choice of the fit range is evaluated by extending the range up to 6650 MeV. The observed deviations in the measured masses are taken as the systematic uncertainties. The systematic uncertainties due to fit range variations are negligible for the $\Lambda_b(5912)^0$ and $\Lambda_b(5920)^0$ states.

In the baseline fits of the $m_{\Lambda_b^0 \pi^+ \pi^-}$ distributions, the mass resolutions are fixed to those estimated from simulated event samples. The systematic uncertainty associated with a possible differences between data and simulation is calculated using the following procedure. The mass resolutions are compared between data and simulation for the copious $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \Lambda$ signal: they are, respectively, 15.25 and 15.78 MeV, corresponding to a difference of 3.5% . This difference is considered to be the uncertainty in the resolution due to the data-simulation difference. To estimate the effect of this uncertainty on the measured masses, the baseline fits are redone with the resolutions increased or decreased by 3.5% , and the largest deviation in the measured masses from the baseline fit results is considered as the systematic uncertainty due to the mass resolution.

The measured masses of the $\Lambda_b(6146)^0$ and $\Lambda_b(6152)^0$ states have an additional systematic uncertainty due to the fact that their natural widths were fixed in the nominal fit to the values reported by LHCb. To estimate the respective uncertainty, the nominal fit is repeated with the natural widths fixed to the central values obtained by LHCb plus or minus the corresponding uncertainties (in total 8 additional fits are performed).

A potential bias in the mass measurement due to a possible misalignment of the tracker detectors has been evaluated by comparing distributions obtained in 2016, 2017, and 2018 running periods, which is a reasonable comparison, given that an important fraction of the CMS tracking detector was replaced between the 2016 and 2017 data taking. As expected, the alignment of the detector leads to a negligible systematic uncertainty in the results reported in this Letter.

Table 1: Systematic uncertainties (in MeV) in the measured masses. A dash means that the corresponding uncertainty is negligible, and “N/A” means that it does not apply.

Source	$M(\Lambda_b(5912)^0)$	$M(\Lambda_b(5920)^0)$	$M(\Lambda_b(6146)^0)$	$M(\Lambda_b(6152)^0)$
Signal model	0.005	0.011	0.21	0.23
Background model	0.004	—	0.16	0.14
Inclusion of the broad excess region	N/A	N/A	0.35	0.14
Fit range	—	—	0.40	0.02
Mass resolution	0.007	0.001	0.01	0.09
Knowledge of Γ	N/A	N/A	0.43	0.26
Total	0.009	0.011	0.77	0.41

The various systematic uncertainties are summarized in Table 1, together with the total uncertainties calculated as the quadratic sum of the individual sources.

7 Summary

In summary, using the pp collision data recorded with the CMS detector at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of up to 140 fb^{-1} , the existence of the $\Lambda_b(5912)^0$ and $\Lambda_b(5920)^0$ baryons is confirmed. Their masses, with respect to the Λ_b^0 mass, are measured to be $292.72 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.01$ MeV and $300.56 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.01$ MeV, respectively, where the first uncertainty is statistical and the second is systematic. By adding the known Λ_b^0 mass of 5619.60 ± 0.17 MeV [19], we report the mass measurements

$$\begin{aligned} M(\Lambda_b(5912)^0) &= 5912.32 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.17 \text{ MeV}, \\ M(\Lambda_b(5920)^0) &= 5920.16 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.17 \text{ MeV}, \end{aligned}$$

where the third uncertainty is the uncertainty in the world-average Λ_b^0 mass. The obtained values are consistent with the world-average values and have similar precision.

In addition, the $\Lambda_b^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ invariant mass spectrum is investigated in the mass range up to 6400 MeV. A narrow peak is observed with a mass close to 6150 MeV, with a significance over 5 standard deviations, consistent with the superposition of the $\Lambda_b(6146)^0$ and $\Lambda_b(6152)^0$ baryons recently observed by the LHCb Collaboration [22]. The masses of these states are measured to be

$$\begin{aligned} M(\Lambda_b(6146)^0) &= 6146.5 \pm 1.9 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.2 \text{ MeV}, \\ M(\Lambda_b(6152)^0) &= 6152.7 \pm 1.1 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.2 \text{ MeV}, \end{aligned}$$

where the first uncertainty is statistical, the second is systematic, and the third is the uncertainty in the world-average Λ_b^0 mass value. The corresponding mass differences with respect to the Λ_b^0 mass are

$$\begin{aligned} M(\Lambda_b(6146)^0) - M(\Lambda_b^0) &= 526.9 \pm 1.9 \pm 0.8 \text{ MeV}, \\ M(\Lambda_b(6152)^0) - M(\Lambda_b^0) &= 533.1 \pm 1.1 \pm 0.4 \text{ MeV}. \end{aligned}$$

These measurements are not as precise as, but are in good agreement with the LHCb results [22].

In addition, a broad excess of events is observed in the region 6040–6100 MeV, not present in the same-sign $\Lambda_b^0 \pi^\pm \pi^\pm$ distribution. If it is fit with a single Breit-Wigner function, the returned mass and width are 6073 ± 5 (stat) MeV and 55 ± 11 (stat) MeV. However, it is not excluded that this enhancement is an overlap of more than one state with close masses or is created by the partially reconstructed decays of higher-mass states. More data are needed to elucidate the nature of this excess.

Acknowledgments

We congratulate our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC and thank the technical and administrative staffs at CERN and at other CMS institutes for their contributions to the success of the CMS effort. In addition, we gratefully acknowledge the computing centers and personnel of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid for delivering so effectively the computing infrastructure essential to our analyses. Finally, we acknowledge the enduring support for the construction and operation of the LHC and the CMS detector provided by the following funding agencies: BMBWF and FWF (Austria); FNRS and FWO (Belgium); CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ, FAPERGS, and FAPESP (Brazil); MES (Bulgaria); CERN; CAS, MoST, and NSFC (China); COLCIENCIAS (Colombia); MSES and CSF (Croatia); RPF (Cyprus); SENESCYT (Ecuador); MoER, ERC IUT, PUT and ERDF (Estonia); Academy of Finland, MEC, and HIP (Finland); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); BMBF, DFG, and HGF (Germany); GSRT (Greece); NKFI (Hungary); DAE and DST (India); IPM (Iran); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); MSIP and NRF (Republic of Korea); MES (Latvia); LAS (Lithuania); MOE and UM (Malaysia); BUAP, CINVESTAV, CONACYT, LNS, SEP, and UASLP-FAI (Mexico); MOS (Montenegro); MBIE (New Zealand); PAEC (Pakistan); MSHE and NSC (Poland); FCT (Portugal); JINR (Dubna); MON, RosAtom, RAS, RFBR, and NRC KI (Russia); MESTD (Serbia); SEIDI, CPAN, PCTI, and FEDER (Spain); MOSTR (Sri Lanka); Swiss Funding Agencies (Switzerland); MST (Taipei); ThEPCenter, IPST, STAR, and NSTDA (Thailand); TUBITAK and TAEK (Turkey); NASU (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE and NSF (USA).

Individuals have received support from the Marie-Curie program and the European Research Council and Horizon 2020 Grant, contract Nos. 675440, 752730, and 765710 (European Union); the Leventis Foundation; the A.P. Sloan Foundation; the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation; the Belgian Federal Science Policy Office; the Fonds pour la Formation à la Recherche dans l'Industrie et dans l'Agriculture (FRIA-Belgium); the Agentschap voor Innovatie door Wetenschap en Technologie (IWT-Belgium); the F.R.S.-FNRS and FWO (Belgium) under the "Excellence of Science – EOS" – be.h project n. 30820817; the Beijing Municipal Science & Technology Commission, No. Z191100007219010; the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports (MEYS) of the Czech Republic; the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) under Germany's Excellence Strategy – EXC 2121 "Quantum Universe" – 390833306; the Lendület ("Momentum") Program and the János Bolyai Research Scholarship of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, the New National Excellence Program ÚNKP, the NKFI research grants 123842, 123959, 124845, 124850, 125105, 128713, 128786, and 129058 (Hungary); the Council of Science and Industrial Research, India; the HOMING PLUS program of the Foundation for Polish Science, cofinanced from European Union, Regional Development Fund, the Mobility Plus program of the Ministry of Science and Higher Education, the National Science Center (Poland), contracts Harmonia 2014/14/M/ST2/00428, Opus 2014/13/B/ST2/02543, 2014/15/B/ST2/03998, and 2015/19/B/ST2/02861, Sonata-bis 2012/07/E/ST2/01406; the National Priorities Research Program by Qatar National Research Fund; the Ministry of Science and Education, grant no. 14.W03.31.0026 (Russia); the Programa Estatal de Fomento de la Investigación Científica y Técnica de Excelencia María de Maeztu, grant MDM-2015-0509 and the Programa Severo Ochoa del Principado de Asturias; the Thalís and Aristeia programs cofinanced by EU-ESF and the Greek NSRF; the Rachadapisek Sompot Fund for Postdoctoral Fellowship, Chulalongkorn University and the Chulalongkorn Academic into Its 2nd Century Project Advancement Project (Thailand); the Kavli Foundation; the Nvidia Corporation; the SuperMicro Corporation; the Welch Foundation, contract C-1845; and the Weston Havens Foundation (USA).

References

- [1] Y. Chen et al., eds., “Heavy quark effective theory”. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2004. doi:10.1007/b79301, ISBN 978-3-540-20692-7.
- [2] S. Capstick and N. Isgur, “Baryons in a relativized quark model with chromodynamics”, *Phys. Rev. D* **34** (1986) 2809, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.34.2809.
- [3] H. Garcilazo, J. Vijande, and A. Valcarce, “Faddeev study of heavy baryon spectroscopy”, *J. Phys. G* **34** (2007) 961, doi:10.1088/0954-3899/34/5/014, arXiv:hep-ph/0703257.
- [4] D. Ebert, R. N. Faustov, and V. O. Galkin, “Masses of excited heavy baryons in the relativistic quark model”, *Phys. Lett. B* **659** (2008) 612, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2007.11.037, arXiv:0705.2957.
- [5] W. Roberts and M. Pervin, “Heavy baryons in a quark model”, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **23** (2008) 2817, doi:10.1142/S0217751X08041219, arXiv:0711.2492.
- [6] M. Karliner, B. Keren-Zur, H. J. Lipkin, and J. L. Rosner, “The quark model and b baryons”, *Annals Phys.* **324** (2009) 2, doi:10.1016/j.aop.2008.05.003, arXiv:0804.1575.
- [7] J.-R. Zhang and M.-Q. Huang, “Heavy baryon spectroscopy in QCD”, *Phys. Rev. D* **78** (2008) 094015, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.78.094015, arXiv:0811.3266.
- [8] C. Garcia-Recio et al., “Odd parity bottom-flavored baryon resonances”, *Phys. Rev. D* **87** (2013) 034032, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.87.034032, arXiv:1210.4755.
- [9] Y. Yamaguchi et al., “Heavy quark symmetry in multihadron systems”, *Phys. Rev. D* **91** (2015) 034034, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.91.034034, arXiv:1402.5222.
- [10] J. M. Torres-Rincon, L. Tolos, and O. Romanets, “Open bottom states and the \bar{B} -meson propagation in hadronic matter”, *Phys. Rev. D* **89** (2014) 074042, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.89.074042, arXiv:1403.1371.
- [11] B. Chen, K.-W. Wei, and A. Zhang, “Assignments of Λ_Q and Ξ_Q baryons in the heavy quark-light diquark picture”, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **51** (2015) 82, doi:10.1140/epja/i2015-15082-3, arXiv:1406.6561.
- [12] K.-W. Wei et al., “Spectroscopy of singly, doubly, and triply bottom baryons”, *Phys. Rev. D* **95** (2017) 116005, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.95.116005, arXiv:1609.02512.
- [13] K. Thakkar, Z. Shah, A. K. Rai, and P. C. Vinodkumar, “Excited state mass spectra and Regge trajectories of bottom baryons”, *Nucl. Phys. A* **965** (2017) 57, doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2017.05.087, arXiv:1610.00411.
- [14] Z.-Y. Wang, J.-J. Qi, X.-H. Guo, and K.-W. Wei, “Spectra of charmed and bottom baryons with hyperfine interaction”, *Chin. Phys. C* **41** (2017) 093103, doi:10.1088/1674-1137/41/9/093103, arXiv:1701.04524.
- [15] K.-L. Wang, Y.-X. Yao, X.-H. Zhong, and Q. Zhao, “Strong and radiative decays of the low-lying S - and P -wave singly heavy baryons”, *Phys. Rev. D* **96** (2017) 116016, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.96.116016, arXiv:1709.04268.

-
- [16] Y. Kawakami and M. Harada, “Singly heavy baryons with chiral partner structure in a three-flavor chiral model”, *Phys. Rev. D* **99** (2019) 094016, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.99.094016, arXiv:1902.06774.
- [17] LHCb Collaboration, “Observation of excited Λ_b^0 baryons”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109** (2012) 172003, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.172003, arXiv:1205.3452.
- [18] CDF Collaboration, “Evidence for a bottom baryon resonance Λ_b^{*0} in CDF data”, *Phys. Rev. D* **88** (2013) 071101, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.88.071101, arXiv:1308.1760.
- [19] Particle Data Group, M. Tanabashi et al., “Review of particle physics”, *Phys. Rev. D* **98** (2018) 030001, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.98.030001.
- [20] LHCb Collaboration, “Study of beauty hadron decays into pairs of charm hadrons”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112** (2014) 202001, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.202001, arXiv:1403.3606.
- [21] LHCb Collaboration, “Observation of the decays $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \chi_{c1} p K^-$ and $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \chi_{c2} p K^-$ ”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119** (2017) 062001, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.062001, arXiv:1704.07900.
- [22] LHCb Collaboration, “Observation of new resonances in the $\Lambda_b^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ system”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123** (2019) 152001, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.123.152001, arXiv:1907.13598.
- [23] CMS Collaboration, “The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC”, *JINST* **3** (2008) S08004, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08004.
- [24] CMS Collaboration, “The CMS trigger system”, *JINST* **12** (2017) P01020, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/12/01/P01020, arXiv:1609.02366.
- [25] CMS Collaboration, “Performance of CMS muon reconstruction in pp collision events at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV”, *JINST* **7** (2012) P10002, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/7/10/P10002, arXiv:1206.4071.
- [26] CMS Collaboration, “Description and performance of track and primary-vertex reconstruction with the CMS tracker”, *JINST* **9** (2014) P10009, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/9/10/P10009, arXiv:1405.6569.
- [27] CMS Collaboration, “CMS tracking performance results from early LHC operation”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **70** (2010) 1165, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-010-1491-3, arXiv:1007.1988.
- [28] CMS Collaboration, “Search for the X(5568) state decaying into $B_s^0 \pi^\pm$ in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120** (2018) 202005, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.202005, arXiv:1712.06144.
- [29] CMS Collaboration, “Studies of $B_{s2}^*(5840)^0$ and $B_{s1}(5830)^0$ mesons including the observation of the $B_{s2}^*(5840)^0 \rightarrow B^0 K_S^0$ decay in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78** (2018) 939, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-018-6390-z, arXiv:1809.03578.
- [30] CMS Collaboration, “Observation of two excited B_c^+ states and measurement of the $B_c^+(2S)$ mass in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122** (2019) 132001, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.132001, arXiv:1902.00571.

- [31] T. Sjöstrand et al., “An introduction to PYTHIA 8.2”, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **191** (2015) 159, doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2015.01.024, arXiv:1410.3012.
- [32] D. J. Lange, “The EvtGen particle decay simulation package”, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **462** (2001) 152, doi:10.1016/S0168-9002(01)00089-4.
- [33] E. Barberio, B. van Eijk, and Z. Waş, “PHOTOS — a universal Monte Carlo for QED radiative corrections in decays”, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **66** (1991) 115, doi:10.1016/0010-4655(91)90012-A.
- [34] E. Barberio and Z. Waş, “PHOTOS – a universal Monte Carlo for QED radiative corrections: version 2.0”, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **79** (1994) 291, doi:10.1016/0010-4655(94)90074-4.
- [35] GEANT4 Collaboration, “GEANT4—A simulation toolkit”, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **506** (2003) 250, doi:10.1016/S0168-9002(03)01368-8.
- [36] G. Punzi, “Sensitivity of searches for new signals and its optimization”, in *Statistical problems in particle physics, astrophysics and cosmology. Proceedings, Conference, PHYSTAT 2003, Stanford, USA, September 8-11, 2003*, p. MODT002. 2003. arXiv:physics/0308063. eConf C030908.
- [37] S. S. Wilks, “The large-sample distribution of the likelihood ratio for testing composite hypotheses”, *Annals Math. Statist.* **9** (1938) 60, doi:10.1214/aoms/1177732360.
- [38] G. Cowan, K. Cranmer, E. Gross, and O. Vitells, “Asymptotic formulae for likelihood-based tests of new physics”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **71** (2011) 1554, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-011-1554-0, arXiv:1007.1727. [Erratum: doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2501-z].
- [39] LHCb Collaboration, “Observation of a new baryon state in the $\Lambda_b^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ mass spectrum”, (2020). arXiv:2002.05112. Submitted to JHEP.

A Additional studies of the broad enhancement

The measured $m_{\Lambda_b^0 \pi \pi}$ distribution is compared between the OS signal and SS control regions in Fig. A.1.




Figure A.1: Comparison of the invariant mass distribution of the selected $\Lambda_b^0 \pi \pi$ candidates in the SS background (band) and OS signal (points) channels. The vertical bars on the OS data points and the width of the bands for the SS distribution indicate the statistical uncertainties only.

The measured two-dimensional distributions of the $\Lambda_b^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ mass versus the $\Lambda_b^0 \pi^+$ (left) and $\Lambda_b^0 \pi^-$ (right) masses, in the range $m_{\Lambda_b^0 \pi^+ \pi^-} < 6100$ MeV, are shown in Fig. A.2.




Figure A.2: Two-dimensional distribution of the $\Lambda_b^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ mass versus the $\Lambda_b^0 \pi^+$ mass (left) and $\Lambda_b^0 \pi^-$ mass (right), in the range $m_{\Lambda_b^0 \pi^+ \pi^-} < 6100$ MeV. The scale on the right of each plot gives the number of candidates per $8 \text{ MeV} \times 12.5 \text{ MeV}$ bin.

Figure A.3 shows the observed $m_{\Lambda_b^0 \pi \pi}$ distribution for OS (data points) and SS (band) candidates after possible contributions from the Σ_b^\pm and $\Sigma_b^{*\pm}$ baryon decays into $\Lambda_b^0 \pi^\pm$ are vetoed: in addition to the baseline selection requirements, a $\Lambda_b^0 \pi \pi$ candidate is discarded if the $\Lambda_b^0 \pi^+$ mass falls in the $[5800, 5845]$ MeV region, or the $\Lambda_b^0 \pi^-$ mass falls in the $[5800, 5850]$ MeV region.




Figure A.3: The distribution of $m_{\Lambda_b^0 \pi \pi}$ for OS (points) and SS (band) candidates after the possible Σ_b^{\pm} and $\Sigma_b^{*\pm}$ baryon contributions in the $\Lambda_b^0 \pi^{\pm}$ mass spectrum are vetoed. The vertical bars on the OS data points and the width of the band for the SS distribution indicate the statistical uncertainties only.

B The CMS Collaboration

Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

A.M. Sirunyan[†], A. Tumasyan

Institut für Hochenergiephysik, Wien, Austria

W. Adam, F. Ambrogi, T. Bergauer, M. Dragicevic, J. Erö, A. Escalante Del Valle, M. Flechl, R. Frühwirth¹, M. Jeitler¹, N. Krammer, I. Krätschmer, D. Liko, T. Madlener, I. Mikulec, N. Rad, J. Schieck¹, R. Schöfbeck, M. Spanring, W. Waltenberger, C.-E. Wulz¹, M. Zarucki

Institute for Nuclear Problems, Minsk, Belarus

V. Drugakov, V. Mossolov, J. Suarez Gonzalez

Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium

M.R. Darwish, E.A. De Wolf, D. Di Croce, X. Janssen, T. Kello², A. Lelek, M. Pieters, H. Rejeb Sfar, H. Van Haevermaet, P. Van Mechelen, S. Van Putte, N. Van Remortel

Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium

F. Blekman, E.S. Bols, S.S. Chhibra, J. D'Hondt, J. De Clercq, D. Lontkovskyi, S. Lowette, I. Marchesini, S. Moortgat, Q. Python, S. Tavernier, W. Van Doninck, P. Van Mulders

Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

D. Beghin, B. Bilin, B. Clerbaux, G. De Lentdecker, H. Delannoy, B. Dorney, L. Favart, A. Grebenyuk, A.K. Kalsi, L. Moureaux, A. Popov, N. Postiau, E. Starling, L. Thomas, C. Vander Velde, P. Vanlaer, D. Vannerom

Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

T. Cornelis, D. Dobur, I. Khvastunov³, M. Niedziela, C. Roskas, K. Skovpen, M. Tytgat, W. Verbeke, B. Vermassen, M. Vit

Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

G. Bruno, C. Caputo, P. David, C. Delaere, M. Delcourt, A. Giammanco, V. Lemaitre, J. Prisciandaro, A. Saggio, P. Vischia, J. Zobec

Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

G.A. Alves, G. Correia Silva, C. Hensel, A. Moraes

Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

E. Belchior Batista Das Chagas, W. Carvalho, J. Chinellato⁴, E. Coelho, E.M. Da Costa, G.G. Da Silveira⁵, D. De Jesus Damiao, C. De Oliveira Martins, S. Fonseca De Souza, H. Malbouisson, J. Martins⁶, D. Matos Figueiredo, M. Medina Jaime⁷, M. Melo De Almeida, C. Mora Herrera, L. Mundim, H. Nogima, W.L. Prado Da Silva, P. Rebello Teles, L.J. Sanchez Rosas, A. Santoro, A. Sznajder, M. Thiel, E.J. Tonelli Manganote⁴, F. Torres Da Silva De Araujo, A. Vilela Pereira

Universidade Estadual Paulista ^a, Universidade Federal do ABC ^b, São Paulo, Brazil

C.A. Bernardes^a, L. Calligaris^a, T.R. Fernandez Perez Tomei^a, E.M. Gregores^b, D.S. Lemos, P.G. Mercadante^b, S.F. Novaes^a, Sandra S. Padula^a

Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria

A. Aleksandrov, G. Antchev, R. Hadjiiska, P. Iaydjiev, M. Misheva, M. Rodozov, M. Shopova, G. Sultanov

University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria

M. Bonchev, A. Dimitrov, T. Ivanov, L. Litov, B. Pavlov, P. Petkov, A. Petrov

Beihang University, Beijing, ChinaW. Fang², X. Gao², L. Yuan**Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China**

M. Ahmad, Z. Hu, Y. Wang

Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, ChinaG.M. Chen⁸, H.S. Chen⁸, M. Chen, C.H. Jiang, D. Leggat, H. Liao, Z. Liu, A. Spiezia, J. Tao, E. Yazgan, H. Zhang, S. Zhang⁸, J. Zhao**State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China**

A. Agapitos, Y. Ban, G. Chen, A. Levin, J. Li, L. Li, Q. Li, Y. Mao, S.J. Qian, D. Wang, Q. Wang

Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China

M. Xiao

Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia

C. Avila, A. Cabrera, C. Florez, C.F. González Hernández, M.A. Segura Delgado

Universidad de Antioquia, Medellin, Colombia

J. Mejia Guisao, J.D. Ruiz Alvarez, C.A. Salazar González, N. Vanegas Arbelaez

University of Split, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, Split, Croatia

D. Giljanović, N. Godinovic, D. Lelas, I. Puljak, T. Sculac

University of Split, Faculty of Science, Split, Croatia

Z. Antunovic, M. Kovac

Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, CroatiaV. Brigljevic, D. Ferencek, K. Kadija, D. Majumder, B. Mesic, M. Roguljic, A. Starodumov⁹, T. Susa**University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus**

M.W. Ather, A. Attikis, E. Erodotou, A. Ioannou, M. Kolosova, S. Konstantinou, G. Mavromanolakis, J. Mousa, C. Nicolaou, F. Ptochos, P.A. Razis, H. Rykaczewski, H. Saka, D. Tsiakkouri

Charles University, Prague, Czech RepublicM. Finger¹⁰, M. Finger Jr.¹⁰, A. Kveton, J. Tomsa**Escuela Politecnica Nacional, Quito, Ecuador**

E. Ayala

Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, Ecuador

E. Carrera Jarrin

Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt, Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics, Cairo, EgyptY. Assran^{11,12}, S. Elgammal¹²**National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia**

S. Bhowmik, A. Carvalho Antunes De Oliveira, R.K. Dewanjee, K. Ehataht, M. Kadastik, M. Raidal, C. Veelken

Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

P. Eerola, L. Forthomme, H. Kirschenmann, K. Osterberg, M. Voutilainen

Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland

E. Brücken, F. Garcia, J. Havukainen, J.K. Heikkilä, V. Karimäki, M.S. Kim, R. Kinnunen, T. Lampén, K. Lassila-Perini, S. Laurila, S. Lehti, T. Lindén, H. Siikonen, E. Tuominen, J. Tuominiemi

Lappeenranta University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland

P. Luukka, T. Tuuva

IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

M. Besancon, F. Couderc, M. Dejardin, D. Denegri, B. Fabbro, J.L. Faure, F. Ferri, S. Ganjour, A. Givernaud, P. Gras, G. Hamel de Monchenault, P. Jarry, C. Leloup, B. Lenzi, E. Locci, J. Malcles, J. Rander, A. Rosowsky, M.Ö. Sahin, A. Savoy-Navarro¹³, M. Titov, G.B. Yu

Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, CNRS/IN2P3, Ecole Polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris

S. Ahuja, C. Amendola, F. Beaudette, M. Bonanomi, P. Busson, C. Charlot, B. Diab, G. Falmagne, R. Granier de Cassagnac, I. Kucher, A. Lobanov, C. Martin Perez, M. Nguyen, C. Ochando, P. Paganini, J. Rembser, R. Salerno, J.B. Sauvan, Y. Sirois, A. Zabi, A. Zghiche

Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC UMR 7178, Strasbourg, France

J.-L. Agram¹⁴, J. Andrea, D. Bloch, G. Bourgatte, J.-M. Brom, E.C. Chabert, C. Collard, E. Conte¹⁴, J.-C. Fontaine¹⁴, D. Gelé, U. Goerlach, C. Grimault, A.-C. Le Bihan, N. Tonon, P. Van Hove

Centre de Calcul de l'Institut National de Physique Nucleaire et de Physique des Particules, CNRS/IN2P3, Villeurbanne, France

S. Gadrat

Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS-IN2P3, Institut de Physique Nucléaire de Lyon, Villeurbanne, France

S. Beauceron, C. Bernet, G. Boudoul, C. Camen, A. Carle, N. Chanon, R. Chierici, D. Contardo, P. Depasse, H. El Mamouni, J. Fay, S. Gascon, M. Gouzevitch, B. Ille, Sa. Jain, I.B. Laktineh, H. Lattaud, A. Lesauvage, M. Lethuillier, L. Mirabito, S. Perries, V. Sordini, L. Torterotot, G. Touquet, M. Vander Donckt, S. Viret

Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia

T. Toriashvili¹⁵

Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia

Z. Tsamalaidze¹⁰

RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany

C. Autermann, L. Feld, K. Klein, M. Lipinski, D. Meuser, A. Pauls, M. Preuten, M.P. Rauch, J. Schulz, M. Teroerde

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany

M. Erdmann, B. Fischer, S. Ghosh, T. Hebbeker, K. Hoepfner, H. Keller, L. Mastrolorenzo, M. Merschmeyer, A. Meyer, P. Millet, G. Mocellin, S. Mondal, S. Mukherjee, D. Noll, A. Novak, T. Pook, A. Pozdnyakov, T. Quast, M. Radziej, Y. Rath, H. Reithler, J. Roemer, A. Schmidt, S.C. Schuler, A. Sharma, S. Wiedenbeck, S. Zaleski

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany

G. Flügge, W. Haj Ahmad¹⁶, O. Hlushchenko, T. Kress, T. Müller, A. Nowack, C. Pistone, O. Pooth, D. Roy, H. Sert, A. Stahl¹⁷

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany

M. Aldaya Martin, P. Asmuss, I. Babounikau, H. Bakhshiansohi, K. Beernaert, O. Behnke, A. Bermúdez Martínez, A.A. Bin Anuar, K. Borrás¹⁸, V. Botta, A. Campbell, A. Cardini, P. Connor, S. Consuegra Rodríguez, C. Contreras-Campana, V. Danilov, A. De Wit, M.M. Defranchis, C. Diez Pardos, D. Domínguez Damiani, G. Eckerlin, D. Eckstein, T. Eichhorn, A. Elwood, E. Eren, L.I. Estevez Banos, E. Gallo¹⁹, A. Geiser, A. Grohsjean, M. Guthoff, M. Haranko, A. Harb, A. Jafari, N.Z. Jomhari, H. Jung, A. Kasem¹⁸, M. Kasemann, H. Kaveh, J. Keaveney, C. Kleinwort, J. Knolle, D. Krücker, W. Lange, T. Lenz, J. Lidrych, K. Lipka, W. Lohmann²⁰, R. Mankel, I.-A. Melzer-Pellmann, A.B. Meyer, M. Meyer, M. Missiroli, J. Mnich, A. Mussgiller, V. Myronenko, D. Pérez Adán, S.K. Pflitsch, D. Pitzl, A. Raspereza, A. Saibel, M. Savitskyi, V. Scheurer, P. Schütze, C. Schwanenberger, R. Shevchenko, A. Singh, R.E. Sosa Ricardo, H. Tholen, O. Turkot, A. Vagnerini, M. Van De Klundert, R. Walsh, Y. Wen, K. Wichmann, C. Wissing, O. Zenaiev, R. Zlebcik

University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany

R. Aggleton, S. Bein, L. Benato, A. Benecke, T. Dreyer, A. Ebrahimi, F. Feindt, A. Fröhlich, C. Garbers, E. Garutti, D. Gonzalez, P. Gunnellini, J. Haller, A. Hinzmann, A. Karavdina, G. Kasieczka, R. Klanner, R. Kogler, N. Kovalchuk, S. Kurz, V. Kutzner, J. Lange, T. Lange, A. Malara, J. Multhaupt, C.E.N. Niemeyer, A. Reimers, O. Rieger, P. Schleper, S. Schumann, J. Schwandt, J. Sonneveld, H. Stadie, G. Steinbrück, B. Vormwald, I. Zoi

Karlsruher Institut fuer Technologie, Karlsruhe, Germany

M. Akbiyik, M. Baselga, S. Baur, T. Berger, E. Butz, R. Caspart, T. Chwalek, W. De Boer, A. Dierlamm, K. El Morabit, N. Faltermann, M. Giffels, A. Gottmann, F. Hartmann¹⁷, C. Heidecker, U. Husemann, M.A. Iqbal, S. Kudella, S. Maier, S. Mitra, M.U. Mozer, D. Müller, Th. Müller, M. Musich, A. Nürnberg, G. Quast, K. Rabbertz, D. Savoie, D. Schäfer, M. Schnepf, M. Schröder, I. Shvetsov, H.J. Simonis, R. Ulrich, M. Wassmer, M. Weber, C. Wöhrmann, R. Wolf, S. Wozniowski

Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPP), NCSR Demokritos, Aghia Paraskevi, Greece

G. Anagnostou, P. Asenov, G. Daskalakis, T. Geralis, A. Kyriakis, D. Loukas, G. Paspalaki, A. Stakia

National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

M. Diamantopoulou, G. Karathanasis, P. Kontaxakis, A. Manousakis-katsikakis, A. Panagiotou, I. Papavergou, N. Saoulidou, K. Theofilatos, K. Vellidis, E. Vourliotis

National Technical University of Athens, Athens, Greece

G. Bakas, K. Kousouris, I. Papakrivopoulos, G. Tsipolitis, A. Zacharopoulou

University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece

I. Evangelou, C. Foudas, P. Giannelis, P. Katsoulis, P. Kokkas, S. Mallios, K. Manitará, N. Manthos, I. Papadopoulos, J. Strogas, F.A. Triantis, D. Tsitsonis

MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary

M. Bartók²¹, R. Chudasama, M. Csanad, P. Major, K. Mandal, A. Mehta, G. Pasztor, O. Surányi, G.I. Veres

Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary

G. Bencze, C. Hajdu, D. Horvath²², F. Sikler, V. Veszpremi, G. Vesztergombi[†]

Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary

N. Beni, S. Czellar, J. Karancsi²¹, J. Molnar, Z. Szillasi

Institute of Physics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary

P. Raics, D. Teyssier, Z.L. Trocsanyi, G. Zilizi

Eszterhazy Karoly University, Karoly Robert Campus, Gyongyos, Hungary

T. Csorgo, S. Lökös, W.J. Metzger, F. Nemes, T. Novak

Indian Institute of Science (IISc), Bangalore, India

S. Choudhury, J.R. Komaragiri, L. Panwar, P.C. Tiwari

National Institute of Science Education and Research, HBNI, Bhubaneswar, India

S. Bahinipati²⁴, C. Kar, G. Kole, P. Mal, V.K. Muraleedharan Nair Bindhu, A. Nayak²⁵, D.K. Sahoo²⁴, S.K. Swain

Panjab University, Chandigarh, India

S. Bansal, S.B. Beri, V. Bhatnagar, S. Chauhan, N. Dhingra²⁶, R. Gupta, A. Kaur, M. Kaur, S. Kaur, P. Kumari, M. Lohan, M. Meena, K. Sandeep, S. Sharma, J.B. Singh, A.K. Viridi

University of Delhi, Delhi, India

A. Bhardwaj, B.C. Choudhary, R.B. Garg, M. Gola, S. Keshri, A. Kumar, M. Naimuddin, P. Priyanka, K. Ranjan, A. Shah, R. Sharma

Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, HBNI, Kolkata, India

R. Bhardwaj²⁷, M. Bharti²⁷, R. Bhattacharya, S. Bhattacharya, U. Bhawandeep²⁷, D. Bhowmik, S. Dutta, S. Ghosh, B. Gomber²⁸, M. Maity²⁹, K. Mondal, S. Nandan, A. Purohit, P.K. Rout, G. Saha, S. Sarkar, M. Sharan, B. Singh²⁷, S. Thakur²⁷

Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Madras, India

P.K. Behera, S.C. Behera, P. Kalbhor, A. Muhammad, R. Pradhan, P.R. Pujahari, A. Sharma, A.K. Sikdar

Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai, India

D. Dutta, V. Jha, D.K. Mishra, P.K. Netrakanti, L.M. Pant, P. Shukla

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-A, Mumbai, India

T. Aziz, M.A. Bhat, S. Dugad, R. Kumar Verma, G.B. Mohanty, N. Sur

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-B, Mumbai, India

S. Banerjee, S. Bhattacharya, S. Chatterjee, P. Das, M. Guchait, S. Karmakar, S. Kumar, G. Majumdar, K. Mazumdar, N. Sahoo, S. Sawant

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER), Pune, India

S. Dube, B. Kansal, A. Kapoor, K. Kothekar, S. Pandey, A. Rane, A. Rastogi, S. Sharma

Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran

S. Chenarani, S.M. Etesami, M. Khakzad, M. Mohammadi Najafabadi, M. Naseri, F. Rezaei Hosseinabadi

University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

M. Felcini, M. Grunewald

INFN Sezione di Bari ^a, Università di Bari ^b, Politecnico di Bari ^c, Bari, Italy

M. Abbrescia^{a,b}, R. Aly^{a,b,30}, C. Aruta, C. Calabria^{a,b}, A. Colaleo^a, D. Creanza^{a,c}, L. Cristella^{a,b}, N. De Filippis^{a,c}, M. De Palma^{a,b}, A. Di Florio^{a,b}, W. Elmetenawee^{a,b}, L. Fiore^a, A. Gelmi^{a,b}, G. Iaselli^{a,c}, M. Ince^{a,b}, S. Lezki^{a,b}, G. Maggi^{a,c}, M. Maggi^a, J.A. Merlin^a, G. Miniello^{a,b}, S. My^{a,b},

S. Nuzzo^{a,b}, A. Pompili^{a,b}, G. Pugliese^{a,c}, R. Radogna^a, A. Ranieri^a, G. Selvaggi^{a,b}, L. Silvestris^a, F.M. Simone^{a,b}, R. Venditti^a, P. Verwilligen^a

INFN Sezione di Bologna ^a, Università di Bologna ^b, Bologna, Italy

G. Abbiendi^a, C. Battilana^{a,b}, D. Bonacorsi^{a,b}, L. Borgonovi^{a,b}, S. Braibant-Giacomelli^{a,b}, R. Campanini^{a,b}, P. Capiluppi^{a,b}, A. Castro^{a,b}, F.R. Cavallo^a, C. Ciocca^a, G. Codispoti^{a,b}, M. Cuffiani^{a,b}, G.M. Dallavalle^a, F. Fabbri^a, A. Fanfani^{a,b}, E. Fontanesi^{a,b}, P. Giacomelli^a, C. Grandi^a, L. Guiducci^{a,b}, F. Iemmi^{a,b}, S. Lo Meo^{a,31}, S. Marcellini^a, G. Masetti^a, F.L. Navarria^{a,b}, A. Perrotta^a, F. Primavera^{a,b}, A.M. Rossi^{a,b}, T. Rovelli^{a,b}, G.P. Siroli^{a,b}, N. Tosi^a

INFN Sezione di Catania ^a, Università di Catania ^b, Catania, Italy

S. Albergo^{a,b,32}, S. Costa^{a,b}, A. Di Mattia^a, R. Potenza^{a,b}, A. Tricomi^{a,b,32}, C. Tuve^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Firenze ^a, Università di Firenze ^b, Firenze, Italy

G. Barbagli^a, A. Cassese, R. Ceccarelli, V. Ciulli^{a,b}, C. Civinini^a, R. D'Alessandro^{a,b}, F. Fiori^{a,c}, E. Focardi^{a,b}, G. Latino^{a,b}, P. Lenzi^{a,b}, M. Lizzo, M. Meschini^a, S. Paoletti^a, R. Seidita, G. Sguazzoni^a, L. Viliani^a

INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy

L. Benussi, S. Bianco, D. Piccolo

INFN Sezione di Genova ^a, Università di Genova ^b, Genova, Italy

M. Bozzo^{a,b}, F. Ferro^a, R. Mulargia^{a,b}, E. Robutti^a, S. Tosi^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca ^a, Università di Milano-Bicocca ^b, Milano, Italy

A. Benaglia^a, A. Beschi^{a,b}, F. Brivio^{a,b}, V. Cirio^{a,b,17}, M.E. Dinardo^{a,b}, P. Dini^a, S. Gennai^a, A. Ghezzi^{a,b}, P. Govoni^{a,b}, L. Guzzi^{a,b}, M. Malberti^a, S. Malvezzi^a, D. Menasce^a, F. Monti^{a,b}, L. Moroni^a, M. Paganoni^{a,b}, D. Pedrini^a, S. Ragazzi^{a,b}, T. Tabarelli de Fatis^{a,b}, D. Valsecchi^{a,b,17}, D. Zuolo^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Napoli ^a, Università di Napoli 'Federico II' ^b, Napoli, Italy, Università della Basilicata ^c, Potenza, Italy, Università G. Marconi ^d, Roma, Italy

S. Buontempo^a, N. Cavallo^{a,c}, A. De Iorio^{a,b}, A. Di Crescenzo^{a,b}, F. Fabozzi^{a,c}, F. Fienga^a, G. Galati^a, A.O.M. Iorio^{a,b}, L. Layer^{a,b}, L. Lista^{a,b}, S. Meola^{a,d,17}, P. Paolucci^{a,17}, B. Rossi^a, C. Sciacca^{a,b}, E. Voevodina^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Padova ^a, Università di Padova ^b, Padova, Italy, Università di Trento ^c, Trento, Italy

P. Azzi^a, N. Bacchetta^a, D. Bisello^{a,b}, A. Boletti^{a,b}, A. Bragagnolo^{a,b}, R. Carlin^{a,b}, P. Checchia^a, P. De Castro Manzano^a, T. Dorigo^a, U. Dosselli^a, F. Gasparini^{a,b}, U. Gasparini^{a,b}, A. Gozzelino^a, S.Y. Hoh^{a,b}, M. Margoni^{a,b}, A.T. Meneguzzo^{a,b}, J. Pazzini^{a,b}, M. Presilla^b, P. Ronchese^{a,b}, R. Rossin^{a,b}, F. Simonetto^{a,b}, A. Tiko^a, M. Tosi^{a,b}, M. Zanetti^{a,b}, P. Zotto^{a,b}, A. Zucchetta^{a,b}, G. Zumerle^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Pavia ^a, Università di Pavia ^b, Pavia, Italy

A. Braghieri^a, D. Fiorina^{a,b}, P. Montagna^{a,b}, S.P. Ratti^{a,b}, V. Re^a, M. Ressegotti^{a,b}, C. Riccardi^{a,b}, P. Salvini^a, I. Vai^a, P. Vitulo^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Perugia ^a, Università di Perugia ^b, Perugia, Italy

M. Biasini^{a,b}, G.M. Bilei^a, D. Ciangottini^{a,b}, L. Fanò^{a,b}, P. Lariccia^{a,b}, R. Leonardi^{a,b}, E. Manoni^a, G. Mantovani^{a,b}, V. Mariani^{a,b}, M. Menichelli^a, A. Rossi^{a,b}, A. Santocchia^{a,b}, D. Spiga^a

INFN Sezione di Pisa ^a, Università di Pisa ^b, Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa ^c, Pisa, Italy

K. Androsov^a, P. Azzurri^a, G. Bagliesi^a, V. Bertacchi^{a,c}, L. Bianchini^a, T. Boccali^a, R. Castaldi^a, M.A. Ciocci^{a,b}, R. Dell'Orso^a, S. Donato^a, L. Giannini^{a,c}, A. Giassi^a, M.T. Grippo^a,

F. Ligabue^{a,c}, E. Manca^{a,c}, G. Mandorli^{a,c}, A. Messineo^{a,b}, F. Palla^a, A. Rizzi^{a,b}, G. Rolandi^{a,c}, S. Roy Chowdhury^{a,c}, A. Scribano^a, P. Spagnolo^a, R. Tenchini^a, G. Tonelli^{a,b}, N. Turini, A. Venturi^a, P.G. Verdini^a

INFN Sezione di Roma ^a, Sapienza Università di Roma ^b, Rome, Italy

F. Cavallari^a, M. Cipriani^{a,b}, D. Del Re^{a,b}, E. Di Marco^a, M. Diemoz^a, E. Longo^{a,b}, P. Meridiani^a, G. Organtini^{a,b}, F. Pandolfi^a, R. Paramatti^{a,b}, C. Quaranta^{a,b}, S. Rahatlou^{a,b}, C. Rovelli^a, F. Santanastasio^{a,b}, L. Soffi^{a,b}, R. Tramontano^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Torino ^a, Università di Torino ^b, Torino, Italy, Università del Piemonte Orientale ^c, Novara, Italy

N. Amapane^{a,b}, R. Arcidiacono^{a,c}, S. Argiro^{a,b}, M. Arneodo^{a,c}, N. Bartosik^a, R. Bellan^{a,b}, A. Bellora^{a,b}, C. Biino^a, A. Cappati^{a,b}, N. Cartiglia^a, S. Cometti^a, M. Costa^{a,b}, R. Covarelli^{a,b}, N. Demaria^a, J.R. González Fernández^a, B. Kiani^{a,b}, F. Legger^a, C. Mariotti^a, S. Maselli^a, E. Migliore^{a,b}, V. Monaco^{a,b}, E. Monteil^{a,b}, M. Monteno^a, M.M. Obertino^{a,b}, G. Ortona^a, L. Pacher^{a,b}, N. Pastrone^a, M. Pelliccioni^a, G.L. Pinna Angioni^{a,b}, A. Romero^{a,b}, M. Ruspa^{a,c}, R. Salvatico^{a,b}, V. Sola^a, A. Solano^{a,b}, D. Soldi^{a,b}, A. Staiano^a, D. Trocino^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Trieste ^a, Università di Trieste ^b, Trieste, Italy

S. Belforte^a, V. Candelise^{a,b}, M. Casarsa^a, F. Cossutti^a, A. Da Rold^{a,b}, G. Della Ricca^{a,b}, F. Vazzoler^{a,b}, A. Zanetti^a

Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea

B. Kim, D.H. Kim, G.N. Kim, J. Lee, S.W. Lee, C.S. Moon, Y.D. Oh, S.I. Pak, S. Sekmen, D.C. Son, Y.C. Yang

Chonnam National University, Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Kwangju, Korea

H. Kim, D.H. Moon

Hanyang University, Seoul, Korea

B. Francois, T.J. Kim, J. Park

Korea University, Seoul, Korea

S. Cho, S. Choi, Y. Go, S. Ha, B. Hong, K. Lee, K.S. Lee, J. Lim, J. Park, S.K. Park, Y. Roh, J. Yoo

Kyung Hee University, Department of Physics

J. Goh

Sejong University, Seoul, Korea

H.S. Kim

Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea

J. Almond, J.H. Bhyun, J. Choi, S. Jeon, J. Kim, J.S. Kim, H. Lee, K. Lee, S. Lee, K. Nam, M. Oh, S.B. Oh, B.C. Radburn-Smith, U.K. Yang, H.D. Yoo, I. Yoon

University of Seoul, Seoul, Korea

D. Jeon, J.H. Kim, J.S.H. Lee, I.C. Park, I.J. Watson

Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Korea

Y. Choi, C. Hwang, Y. Jeong, J. Lee, Y. Lee, I. Yu

Riga Technical University, Riga, Latvia

V. Veckalns³³

Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania

V. Dudenas, A. Juodagalvis, A. Rinkevicius, G. Tamulaitis, J. Vaitkus

National Centre for Particle Physics, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

F. Mohamad Idris³⁴, W.A.T. Wan Abdullah, M.N. Yusli, Z. Zolkapli

Universidad de Sonora (UNISON), Hermosillo, Mexico

J.F. Benitez, A. Castaneda Hernandez, J.A. Murillo Quijada, L. Valencia Palomo

Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, Mexico

H. Castilla-Valdez, E. De La Cruz-Burelo, I. Heredia-De La Cruz³⁵, R. Lopez-Fernandez, A. Sanchez-Hernandez

Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico

S. Carrillo Moreno, C. Oropeza Barrera, M. Ramirez-Garcia, F. Vazquez Valencia

Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico

J. Eysermans, I. Pedraza, H.A. Salazar Ibarquen, C. Uribe Estrada

Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí, San Luis Potosí, Mexico

A. Morelos Pineda

University of Montenegro, Podgorica, Montenegro

J. Mijuskovic³, N. Raicevic

University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

D. Krofcheck

University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand

S. Bheesette, P.H. Butler, P. Lujan

National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan

A. Ahmad, M. Ahmad, M.I.M. Awan, Q. Hassan, H.R. Hoorani, W.A. Khan, M.A. Shah, M. Shoaib, M. Waqas

AGH University of Science and Technology Faculty of Computer Science, Electronics and Telecommunications, Krakow, Poland

V. Avati, L. Grzanka, M. Malawski

National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland

H. Bialkowska, M. Bluj, B. Boimska, M. Górski, M. Kazana, M. Szleper, P. Zalewski

Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland

K. Bunkowski, A. Byszuk³⁶, K. Doroba, A. Kalinowski, M. Konecki, J. Krolikowski, M. Olszewski, M. Walczak

Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, Portugal

M. Araujo, P. Bargassa, D. Bastos, A. Di Francesco, P. Faccioli, B. Galinhas, M. Gallinaro, J. Hollar, N. Leonardo, T. Niknejad, J. Seixas, K. Shchelina, G. Strong, O. Toldaiev, J. Varela

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia

S. Afanasiev, P. Bunin, M. Gavrilenko, I. Golutvin, I. Gorbunov, A. Kamenev, V. Karjavine, A. Lanev, A. Malakhov, V. Matveev^{37,38}, P. Moiseenz, V. Palichik, V. Perelygin, M. Savina, S. Shmatov, S. Shulha, N. Skatchkov, V. Smirnov, N. Voytishin, A. Zarubin

Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina (St. Petersburg), Russia

L. Chtchipounov, V. Golovtsov, Y. Ivanov, V. Kim³⁹, E. Kuznetsova⁴⁰, P. Levchenko, V. Murzin, V. Oreshkin, I. Smirnov, D. Sosnov, V. Sulimov, L. Uvarov, A. Vorobyev

Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia

Yu. Andreev, A. Dermenev, S. Gninenko, N. Golubev, A. Karneyeu, M. Kirsanov, N. Krasnikov, A. Pashenkov, D. Tlisov, A. Toropin

Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics named by A.I. Alikhanov of NRC 'Kurchatov Institute', Moscow, Russia

V. Epshteyn, V. Gavrilov, N. Lychkovskaya, A. Nikitenko⁴¹, V. Popov, I. Pozdnyakov, G. Safronov, A. Spiridonov, A. Stepenov, M. Toms, E. Vlasov, A. Zhokin

Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Moscow, Russia

T. Aushev

National Research Nuclear University 'Moscow Engineering Physics Institute' (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia

O. Bychkova, R. Chistov⁴², M. Danilov⁴², S. Polikarpov⁴², E. Tarkovskii

P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia

V. Andreev, M. Azarkin, I. Dremin, M. Kirakosyan, A. Terkulov

Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia

A. Belyaev, E. Boos, M. Dubinin⁴³, L. Dudko, A. Ershov, A. Gribushin, V. Klyukhin, O. Kodolova, I. Lokhtin, S. Obraztsov, S. Petrushanko, V. Savrin, A. Snigirev

Novosibirsk State University (NSU), Novosibirsk, Russia

A. Barnyakov⁴⁴, V. Blinov⁴⁴, T. Dimova⁴⁴, L. Kardapoltsev⁴⁴, I. Ovtin⁴⁴, Y. Skovpen⁴⁴

Institute for High Energy Physics of National Research Centre 'Kurchatov Institute', Protvino, Russia

I. Azhgirey, I. Bayshev, S. Bitioukov, V. Kachanov, D. Konstantinov, P. Mandrik, V. Petrov, R. Ryutin, S. Slabospitskii, A. Sobol, S. Troshin, N. Tyurin, A. Uzunian, A. Volkov

National Research Tomsk Polytechnic University, Tomsk, Russia

A. Babaev, A. Iuzhakov, V. Okhotnikov

Tomsk State University, Tomsk, Russia

V. Borchsh, V. Ivanchenko, E. Tcherniaev

University of Belgrade: Faculty of Physics and VINCA Institute of Nuclear Sciences

P. Adzic⁴⁵, P. Cirkovic, M. Dordevic, P. Milenovic, J. Milosevic, M. Stojanovic

Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain

M. Aguilar-Benitez, J. Alcaraz Maestre, A. Álvarez Fernández, I. Bachiller, M. Barrio Luna, CristinaF. Bedoya, J.A. Brochero Cifuentes, C.A. Carrillo Montoya, M. Cepeda, M. Cerrada, N. Colino, B. De La Cruz, A. Delgado Peris, J.P. Fernández Ramos, J. Flix, M.C. Fouz, O. Gonzalez Lopez, S. Goy Lopez, J.M. Hernandez, M.I. Josa, D. Moran, Á. Navarro Tobar, A. Pérez-Calero Yzquierdo, J. Puerta Pelayo, I. Redondo, L. Romero, S. Sánchez Navas, M.S. Soares, A. Triossi, C. Willmott

Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

C. Albajar, J.F. de Trocóniz, R. Reyes-Almanza

Universidad de Oviedo, Instituto Universitario de Ciencias y Tecnologías Espaciales de Asturias (ICTEA), Oviedo, Spain

B. Alvarez Gonzalez, J. Cuevas, C. Erice, J. Fernandez Menendez, S. Folgueras, I. Gonzalez Caballero, E. Palencia Cortezon, C. Ramón Álvarez, V. Rodríguez Bouza, S. Sanchez Cruz

Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain

I.J. Cabrillo, A. Calderon, B. Chazin Quero, J. Duarte Campderros, M. Fernandez, P.J. Fernández Manteca, A. García Alonso, G. Gomez, C. Martinez Rivero, P. Martinez Ruiz del Arbol, F. Matorras, J. Piedra Gomez, C. Prieels, F. Ricci-Tam, T. Rodrigo, A. Ruiz-Jimeno, L. Russo⁴⁶, L. Scodellaro, I. Vila, J.M. Vizán Garcia

University of Colombo, Colombo, Sri Lanka

K. Malagalage

University of Ruhuna, Department of Physics, Matara, Sri Lanka

W.G.D. Dharmaratna, N. Wickramage

CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland

T.K. Aarrestad, D. Abbaneo, B. Akgun, E. Auffray, G. Auzinger, J. Baechler, P. Baillon, A.H. Ball, D. Barney, J. Bendavid, M. Bianco, A. Bocci, P. Bortignon, E. Bossini, E. Brondolin, T. Camporesi, A. Caratelli, G. Cerminara, E. Chapon, G. Cucciati, D. d'Enterria, A. Dabrowski, N. Daci, V. Daponte, A. David, O. Davignon, A. De Roeck, M. Deile, R. Di Maria, M. Dobson, M. Dünser, N. Dupont, A. Elliott-Peisert, N. Emriskova, F. Fallavollita⁴⁷, D. Fasanella, S. Fiorendi, G. Franzoni, J. Fulcher, W. Funk, S. Giani, D. Gigi, K. Gill, F. Glege, L. Gouskos, M. Gruchala, M. Guilbaud, D. Gulhan, J. Hegeman, C. Heidegger, Y. Iiyama, V. Innocente, T. James, P. Janot, O. Karacheban²⁰, J. Kaspar, J. Kieseler, M. Krammer¹, N. Kratochwil, C. Lange, P. Lecoq, K. Long, C. Lourenço, L. Malgeri, M. Mannelli, A. Massironi, F. Meijers, S. Mersi, E. Meschi, F. Moortgat, M. Mulders, J. Ngadiuba, J. Niedziela, S. Nourbakhsh, S. Orfanelli, L. Orsini, F. Pantaleo¹⁷, L. Pape, E. Perez, M. Peruzzi, A. Petrilli, G. Petrucciani, A. Pfeiffer, M. Pierini, F.M. Pitters, D. Rabady, A. Racz, M. Rieger, M. Rovere, H. Sakulin, J. Salfeld-Nebgen, S. Scarfi, C. Schäfer, C. Schwick, M. Selvaggi, A. Sharma, P. Silva, W. Snoeys, P. Sphicas⁴⁸, J. Steggemann, S. Summers, V.R. Tavolaro, D. Treille, A. Tsirou, G.P. Van Onsem, A. Vartak, M. Verzetti, K.A. Wozniak, W.D. Zeuner

Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland

L. Caminada⁴⁹, K. Deiters, W. Erdmann, R. Horisberger, Q. Ingram, H.C. Kaestli, D. Kotlinski, U. Langenegger, T. Rohe

ETH Zurich - Institute for Particle Physics and Astrophysics (IPA), Zurich, Switzerland

M. Backhaus, P. Berger, A. Calandri, N. Chernyavskaya, G. Dissertori, M. Dittmar, M. Donegà, C. Dorfer, T.A. Gómez Espinosa, C. Grab, D. Hits, W. Luster, R.A. Manzoni, M.T. Meinhard, F. Micheli, P. Musella, F. Nessi-Tedaldi, F. Pauss, V. Perovic, G. Perrin, L. Perrozzi, S. Pigazzini, M.G. Ratti, M. Reichmann, C. Reissel, T. Reitenspiess, B. Ristic, D. Ruini, D.A. Sanz Becerra, M. Schönenberger, L. Shchutska, M.L. Vesterbacka Olsson, R. Wallny, D.H. Zhu

Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland

C. Amsler⁵⁰, C. Botta, D. Brzhechko, M.F. Canelli, A. De Cosa, R. Del Burgo, B. Kilminster, S. Leontsinis, V.M. Mikuni, I. Neutelings, G. Rauco, P. Robmann, K. Schweiger, Y. Takahashi, S. Wertz

National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan

C.M. Kuo, W. Lin, A. Roy, T. Sarkar²⁹, S.S. Yu

National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan

P. Chang, Y. Chao, K.F. Chen, P.H. Chen, W.-S. Hou, Y.y. Li, R.-S. Lu, E. Paganis, A. Psallidas, A. Steen

Chulalongkorn University, Faculty of Science, Department of Physics, Bangkok, Thailand

B. Asavapibhop, C. Asawatangtrakuldee, N. Srimanobhas, N. Suwonjandee

Çukurova University, Physics Department, Science and Art Faculty, Adana, Turkey

A. Bat, F. Boran, A. Celik⁵¹, S. Damarseckin⁵², Z.S. Demiroglu, F. Dolek, C. Dozen⁵³, I. Dumanoglu⁵⁴, G. Gokbulut, Y. Guler, E. Gurpinar Guler⁵⁵, I. Hos⁵⁶, C. Isik, E.E. Kangal⁵⁷, O. Kara, A. Kayis Topaksu, U. Kiminsu, G. Onengut, K. Ozdemir⁵⁸, A.E. Simsek, U.G. Tok, S. Turkcapar, I.S. Zorbakir, C. Zorbilmez

Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey

B. Isildak⁵⁹, G. Karapinar⁶⁰, M. Yalvac⁶¹

Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey

I.O. Atakisi, E. Gülmez, M. Kaya⁶², O. Kaya⁶³, Ö. Özçelik, S. Tekten⁶⁴, E.A. Yetkin⁶⁵

Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey

A. Cakir, K. Cankocak⁵⁴, Y. Komurcu, S. Sen⁶⁶

Istanbul University, Istanbul, Turkey

S. Cerci⁶⁷, B. Kaynak, S. Ozkorucuklu, D. Sunar Cerci⁶⁷

Institute for Scintillation Materials of National Academy of Science of Ukraine, Kharkov, Ukraine

B. Grynyov

National Scientific Center, Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkov, Ukraine

L. Levchuk

University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom

E. Bhal, S. Bologna, J.J. Brooke, D. Burns⁶⁸, E. Clement, D. Cussans, H. Flacher, J. Goldstein, G.P. Heath, H.F. Heath, L. Kreczko, B. Krikler, S. Paramesvaran, T. Sakuma, S. Seif El Nasr-Storey, V.J. Smith, J. Taylor, A. Titterton

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom

K.W. Bell, A. Belyaev⁶⁹, C. Brew, R.M. Brown, D.J.A. Cockerill, J.A. Coughlan, K. Harder, S. Harper, J. Linacre, K. Manolopoulos, D.M. Newbold, E. Olaiya, D. Petyt, T. Reis, T. Schuh, C.H. Shepherd-Themistocleous, A. Thea, I.R. Tomalin, T. Williams

Imperial College, London, United Kingdom

R. Bainbridge, P. Bloch, S. Bonomally, J. Borg, S. Breeze, O. Buchmuller, A. Bundock, G.S. Chahal⁷⁰, D. Colling, P. Dauncey, G. Davies, M. Della Negra, P. Everaerts, G. Hall, G. Iles, M. Komm, J. Langford, L. Lyons, A.-M. Magnan, S. Malik, A. Martelli, V. Milosevic, A. Morton, J. Nash⁷¹, V. Palladino, M. Pesaresi, D.M. Raymond, A. Richards, A. Rose, E. Scott, C. Seez, A. Shtipliyski, M. Stoye, T. Strebler, A. Tapper, K. Uchida, T. Virdee¹⁷, N. Wardle, S.N. Webb, D. Winterbottom, A.G. Zecchinelli, S.C. Zenz

Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom

J.E. Cole, P.R. Hobson, A. Khan, P. Kyberd, C.K. Mackay, I.D. Reid, L. Teodorescu, S. Zahid

Baylor University, Waco, USA

A. Brinkerhoff, K. Call, B. Caraway, J. Dittmann, K. Hatakeyama, C. Madrid, B. McMaster, N. Pastika, C. Smith

Catholic University of America, Washington, DC, USA

R. Bartek, A. Dominguez, R. Uniyal, A.M. Vargas Hernandez

The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, USA

A. Buccilli, S.I. Cooper, S.V. Gleyzer, C. Henderson, P. Rumerio, C. West

Boston University, Boston, USA

A. Albert, D. Arcaro, Z. Demiragli, D. Gastler, C. Richardson, J. Rohlf, D. Sperka, D. Spitzbart, I. Suarez, L. Sulak, D. Zou

Brown University, Providence, USA

G. Benelli, B. Burkle, X. Coubez¹⁸, D. Cutts, Y.t. Duh, M. Hadley, U. Heintz, J.M. Hogan⁷², K.H.M. Kwok, E. Laird, G. Landsberg, K.T. Lau, J. Lee, M. Narain, S. Sagir⁷³, R. Syarif, E. Usai, W.Y. Wong, D. Yu, W. Zhang

University of California, Davis, Davis, USA

R. Band, C. Brainerd, R. Breedon, M. Calderon De La Barca Sanchez, M. Chertok, J. Conway, R. Conway, P.T. Cox, R. Erbacher, C. Flores, G. Funk, F. Jensen, W. Ko[†], O. Kukral, R. Lander, M. Mulhearn, D. Pellett, J. Pilot, M. Shi, D. Taylor, K. Tos, M. Tripathi, Z. Wang, F. Zhang

University of California, Los Angeles, USA

M. Bachtis, C. Bravo, R. Cousins, A. Dasgupta, A. Florent, J. Hauser, M. Ignatenko, N. Mccoll, W.A. Nash, S. Regnard, D. Saltzberg, C. Schnaible, B. Stone, V. Valuev

University of California, Riverside, Riverside, USA

K. Burt, Y. Chen, R. Clare, J.W. Gary, S.M.A. Ghiasi Shirazi, G. Hanson, G. Karapostoli, O.R. Long, N. Manganelli, M. Olmedo Negrete, M.I. Paneva, W. Si, S. Wimpenny, B.R. Yates, Y. Zhang

University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, USA

J.G. Branson, P. Chang, S. Cittolin, S. Cooperstein, N. Deelen, M. Derdzinski, J. Duarte, R. Gerosa, D. Gilbert, B. Hashemi, D. Klein, V. Krutelyov, J. Letts, M. Masciovecchio, S. May, S. Padhi, M. Pieri, V. Sharma, M. Tadel, F. Würthwein, A. Yagil, G. Zevi Della Porta

University of California, Santa Barbara - Department of Physics, Santa Barbara, USA

N. Amin, R. Bhandari, C. Campagnari, M. Citron, V. Dutta, J. Incandela, B. Marsh, H. Mei, A. Ovcharova, H. Qu, J. Richman, U. Sarica, D. Stuart, S. Wang

California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA

D. Anderson, A. Bornheim, O. Cerri, I. Dutta, J.M. Lawhorn, N. Lu, J. Mao, H.B. Newman, T.Q. Nguyen, J. Pata, M. Spiropulu, J.R. Vlimant, S. Xie, Z. Zhang, R.Y. Zhu

Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, USA

J. Alison, M.B. Andrews, T. Ferguson, T. Mudholkar, M. Paulini, M. Sun, I. Vorobiev, M. Weinberg

University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, USA

J.P. Cumalat, W.T. Ford, E. MacDonald, T. Mulholland, R. Patel, A. Perloff, K. Stenson, K.A. Ulmer, S.R. Wagner

Cornell University, Ithaca, USA

J. Alexander, Y. Cheng, J. Chu, A. Datta, A. Frankenthal, K. Mcdermott, J.R. Patterson, D. Quach, A. Ryd, S.M. Tan, Z. Tao, J. Thom, P. Wittich, M. Zientek

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, USA

S. Abdullin, M. Albrow, M. Alyari, G. Apollinari, A. Apresyan, A. Apyan, S. Banerjee,

L.A.T. Bauerdick, A. Beretvas, D. Berry, J. Berryhill, P.C. Bhat, K. Burkett, J.N. Butler, A. Canepa, G.B. Cerati, H.W.K. Cheung, F. Chlebana, M. Cremonesi, V.D. Elvira, J. Freeman, Z. Gece, E. Gottschalk, L. Gray, D. Green, S. Grünendahl, O. Gutsche, J. Hanlon, R.M. Harris, S. Hasegawa, R. Heller, J. Hirschauer, B. Jayatilaka, S. Jindariani, M. Johnson, U. Joshi, T. Klijnsma, B. Klima, M.J. Kortelainen, B. Kreis, S. Lammel, J. Lewis, D. Lincoln, R. Lipton, M. Liu, T. Liu, J. Lykken, K. Maeshima, J.M. Marraffino, D. Mason, P. McBride, P. Merkel, S. Mrenna, S. Nahn, V. O'Dell, V. Papadimitriou, K. Pedro, C. Pena⁴³, F. Ravera, A. Reinsvold Hall, L. Ristori, B. Schneider, E. Sexton-Kennedy, N. Smith, A. Soha, W.J. Spalding, L. Spiegel, S. Stoynev, J. Strait, L. Taylor, S. Tkaczyk, N.V. Tran, L. Uplegger, E.W. Vaandering, R. Vidal, M. Wang, H.A. Weber, A. Woodard

University of Florida, Gainesville, USA

D. Acosta, P. Avery, D. Bourilkov, L. Cadamuro, V. Cherepanov, F. Errico, R.D. Field, D. Guerrero, B.M. Joshi, M. Kim, J. Konigsberg, A. Korytov, K.H. Lo, K. Matchev, N. Menendez, G. Mitselmakher, D. Rosenzweig, K. Shi, J. Wang, S. Wang, X. Zuo

Florida International University, Miami, USA

Y.R. Joshi

Florida State University, Tallahassee, USA

T. Adams, A. Askew, R. Habibullah, S. Hagopian, V. Hagopian, K.F. Johnson, R. Khurana, T. Kolberg, G. Martinez, T. Perry, H. Prosper, C. Schiber, R. Yohay, J. Zhang

Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, USA

M.M. Baarmand, M. Hohlmann, D. Noonan, M. Rahmani, M. Saunders, F. Yumiceva

University of Illinois at Chicago (UIC), Chicago, USA

M.R. Adams, L. Apanasevich, R.R. Betts, R. Cavanaugh, X. Chen, S. Dittmer, O. Evdokimov, C.E. Gerber, D.A. Hangal, D.J. Hofman, V. Kumar, C. Mills, G. Oh, T. Roy, M.B. Tonjes, N. Varelas, J. Viinikainen, H. Wang, X. Wang, Z. Wu

The University of Iowa, Iowa City, USA

M. Alhusseini, B. Bilki⁵⁵, K. Dilsiz⁷⁴, S. Durgut, R.P. Gandrajula, M. Haytmyradov, V. Khristenko, O.K. Köseyan, J.-P. Merlo, A. Mestvirishvili⁷⁵, A. Moeller, J. Nachtman, H. Ogul⁷⁶, Y. Onel, F. Ozok⁷⁷, A. Penzo, C. Snyder, E. Tiras, J. Wetzel, K. Yi⁷⁸

Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, USA

B. Blumenfeld, A. Cocoros, N. Eminizer, A.V. Gritsan, W.T. Hung, S. Kyriacou, P. Maksimovic, C. Mantilla, J. Roskes, M. Swartz, T.Á. Vámi

The University of Kansas, Lawrence, USA

C. Baldenegro Barrera, P. Baringer, A. Bean, S. Boren, A. Bylinkin, T. Isidori, S. Khalil, J. King, G. Krintiras, A. Kropivnitskaya, C. Lindsey, W. Mcbrayer, N. Minafra, M. Murray, C. Rogan, C. Royon, S. Sanders, E. Schmitz, J.D. Tapia Takaki, Q. Wang, J. Williams, G. Wilson

Kansas State University, Manhattan, USA

S. Duric, A. Ivanov, K. Kaadze, D. Kim, Y. Maravin, D.R. Mendis, T. Mitchell, A. Modak, A. Mohammadi

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, USA

F. Rebassoo, D. Wright

University of Maryland, College Park, USA

A. Baden, O. Baron, A. Belloni, S.C. Eno, Y. Feng, N.J. Hadley, S. Jabeen, G.Y. Jeng, R.G. Kellogg, A.C. Mignerey, S. Nabili, M. Seidel, A. Skuja, S.C. Tonwar, L. Wang, K. Wong

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, USA

D. Abercrombie, B. Allen, R. Bi, S. Brandt, W. Busza, I.A. Cali, M. D'Alfonso, G. Gomez Ceballos, M. Goncharov, P. Harris, D. Hsu, M. Hu, M. Klute, D. Kovalskyi, Y.-J. Lee, P.D. Luckey, B. Maier, A.C. Marini, C. McGinn, C. Mironov, S. Narayanan, X. Niu, C. Paus, D. Rankin, C. Roland, G. Roland, Z. Shi, G.S.F. Stephans, K. Sumorok, K. Tatar, D. Velicanu, J. Wang, T.W. Wang, B. Wyslouch

University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, USA

R.M. Chatterjee, A. Evans, S. Guts[†], P. Hansen, J. Hiltbrand, Sh. Jain, Y. Kubota, Z. Lesko, J. Mans, M. Revering, R. Rusack, R. Saradhy, N. Schroeder, N. Strobbe, M.A. Wadud

University of Mississippi, Oxford, USA

J.G. Acosta, S. Oliveros

University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, USA

K. Bloom, S. Chauhan, D.R. Claes, C. Fangmeier, L. Finco, F. Golf, R. Kamalieddin, I. Kravchenko, J.E. Siado, G.R. Snow[†], B. Stieger, W. Tabb

State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, USA

G. Agarwal, C. Harrington, I. Iashvili, A. Kharchilava, C. McLean, D. Nguyen, A. Parker, J. Pekkanen, S. Rappoccio, B. Roozbahani

Northeastern University, Boston, USA

G. Alverson, E. Barberis, C. Freer, Y. Haddad, A. Hortiangtham, G. Madigan, B. Marzocchi, D.M. Morse, V. Nguyen, T. Orimoto, L. Skinnari, A. Tishelman-Charny, T. Wamorkar, B. Wang, A. Wisecarver, D. Wood

Northwestern University, Evanston, USA

S. Bhattacharya, J. Bueghly, G. Fedi, A. Gilbert, T. Gunter, K.A. Hahn, N. Odell, M.H. Schmitt, K. Sung, M. Velasco

University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, USA

R. Bucci, N. Dev, R. Goldouzian, M. Hildreth, K. Hurtado Anampa, C. Jessop, D.J. Karmgard, K. Lannon, W. Li, N. Loukas, N. Marinelli, I. Mcalister, F. Meng, Y. Musienko³⁷, R. Ruchti, P. Siddireddy, G. Smith, S. Taroni, M. Wayne, A. Wightman, M. Wolf

The Ohio State University, Columbus, USA

J. Alimena, B. Bylsma, B. Cardwell, L.S. Durkin, B. Francis, C. Hill, W. Ji, A. Lefeld, T.Y. Ling, B.L. Winer

Princeton University, Princeton, USA

G. Dezoort, P. Elmer, J. Hardenbrook, N. Haubrich, S. Higginbotham, A. Kalogeropoulos, S. Kwan, D. Lange, M.T. Lucchini, J. Luo, D. Marlow, K. Mei, I. Ojalvo, J. Olsen, C. Palmer, P. Piroué, D. Stickland, C. Tully

University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, USA

S. Malik, S. Norberg

Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA

A. Barker, V.E. Barnes, R. Chawla, S. Das, L. Gutay, M. Jones, A.W. Jung, B. Mahakud, D.H. Miller, G. Negro, N. Neumeister, C.C. Peng, S. Piperov, H. Qiu, J.F. Schulte, N. Trevisani, F. Wang, R. Xiao, W. Xie

Purdue University Northwest, Hammond, USA

T. Cheng, J. Dolen, N. Parashar

Rice University, Houston, USA

A. Baty, U. Behrens, S. Dildick, K.M. Ecklund, S. Freed, F.J.M. Geurts, M. Kilpatrick, A. Kumar, W. Li, B.P. Padley, R. Redjimi, J. Roberts, J. Rorie, W. Shi, A.G. Stahl Leiton, Z. Tu, A. Zhang

University of Rochester, Rochester, USA

A. Bodek, P. de Barbaro, R. Demina, J.L. Dulemba, C. Fallon, T. Ferbel, M. Galanti, A. Garcia-Bellido, O. Hindrichs, A. Khukhunaishvili, E. Ranken, R. Taus

Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, USA

B. Chiarito, J.P. Chou, A. Gandrakota, Y. Gershtein, E. Halkiadakis, A. Hart, M. Heindl, E. Hughes, S. Kaplan, I. Laflotte, A. Lath, R. Montalvo, K. Nash, M. Osherson, S. Salur, S. Schnetzer, S. Somalwar, R. Stone, S. Thomas

University of Tennessee, Knoxville, USA

H. Acharya, A.G. Delannoy, S. Spanier

Texas A&M University, College Station, USA

O. Bouhali⁷⁹, M. Dalchenko, A. Delgado, R. Eusebi, J. Gilmore, T. Huang, T. Kamon⁸⁰, H. Kim, S. Luo, S. Malhotra, D. Marley, R. Mueller, D. Overton, L. Perniè, D. Rathjens, A. Safonov

Texas Tech University, Lubbock, USA

N. Akchurin, J. Damgov, F. De Guio, V. Hegde, S. Kunori, K. Lamichhane, S.W. Lee, T. Mengke, S. Muthumuni, T. Peltola, S. Undleeb, I. Volobouev, Z. Wang, A. Whitbeck

Vanderbilt University, Nashville, USA

S. Greene, A. Gurrola, R. Janjam, W. Johns, C. Maguire, A. Melo, H. Ni, K. Padeken, F. Romeo, P. Sheldon, S. Tuo, J. Velkovska, M. Verweij

University of Virginia, Charlottesville, USA

L. Ang, M.W. Arenton, P. Barria, B. Cox, G. Cummings, J. Hakala, R. Hirosky, M. Joyce, A. Ledovskoy, C. Neu, B. Tannenwald, Y. Wang, E. Wolfe, F. Xia

Wayne State University, Detroit, USA

R. Harr, P.E. Karchin, N. Poudyal, J. Sturdy, P. Thapa

University of Wisconsin - Madison, Madison, WI, USA

K. Black, T. Bose, J. Buchanan, C. Caillol, D. Carlsmith, S. Dasu, I. De Bruyn, L. Dodd, C. Galloni, H. He, M. Herndon, A. Hervé, U. Hussain, A. Lanaro, A. Loeliger, R. Loveless, J. Madhusudanan Sreekala, A. Mallampalli, D. Pinna, T. Ruggles, A. Savin, V. Sharma, W.H. Smith, D. Teague, S. Trembath-reichert

†: Deceased

1: Also at Vienna University of Technology, Vienna, Austria

2: Also at Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

3: Also at IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

4: Also at Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil

5: Also at Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil

6: Also at UFMS, Nova Andradina, Brazil

7: Also at Universidade Federal de Pelotas, Pelotas, Brazil

8: Also at University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

9: Also at Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics named by A.I. Alikhanov of NRC 'Kurchatov Institute', Moscow, Russia

10: Also at Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia

11: Also at Suez University, Suez, Egypt

- 12: Now at British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt
- 13: Also at Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA
- 14: Also at Université de Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France
- 15: Also at Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia
- 16: Also at Erzincan Binali Yildirim University, Erzincan, Turkey
- 17: Also at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland
- 18: Also at RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany
- 19: Also at University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany
- 20: Also at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany
- 21: Also at Institute of Physics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary, Debrecen, Hungary
- 22: Also at Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary
- 23: Also at MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary, Budapest, Hungary
- 24: Also at IIT Bhubaneswar, Bhubaneswar, India, Bhubaneswar, India
- 25: Also at Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, India
- 26: Also at G.H.G. Khalsa College, Punjab, India
- 27: Also at Shoolini University, Solan, India
- 28: Also at University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India
- 29: Also at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India
- 30: Now at INFN Sezione di Bari ^a, Università di Bari ^b, Politecnico di Bari ^c, Bari, Italy
- 31: Also at Italian National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development, Bologna, Italy
- 32: Also at Centro Siciliano di Fisica Nucleare e di Struttura Della Materia, Catania, Italy
- 33: Also at Riga Technical University, Riga, Latvia, Riga, Latvia
- 34: Also at Malaysian Nuclear Agency, MOSTI, Kajang, Malaysia
- 35: Also at Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, Mexico City, Mexico
- 36: Also at Warsaw University of Technology, Institute of Electronic Systems, Warsaw, Poland
- 37: Also at Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia
- 38: Now at National Research Nuclear University 'Moscow Engineering Physics Institute' (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia
- 39: Also at St. Petersburg State Polytechnical University, St. Petersburg, Russia
- 40: Also at University of Florida, Gainesville, USA
- 41: Also at Imperial College, London, United Kingdom
- 42: Also at P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia
- 43: Also at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA
- 44: Also at Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk, Russia
- 45: Also at Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia
- 46: Also at Università degli Studi di Siena, Siena, Italy
- 47: Also at INFN Sezione di Pavia ^a, Università di Pavia ^b, Pavia, Italy, Pavia, Italy
- 48: Also at National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece
- 49: Also at Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland
- 50: Also at Stefan Meyer Institute for Subatomic Physics, Vienna, Austria, Vienna, Austria
- 51: Also at Burdur Mehmet Akif Ersoy University, BURDUR, Turkey
- 52: Also at Şırnak University, Sirnak, Turkey
- 53: Also at Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China, Beijing, China
- 54: Also at Near East University, Research Center of Experimental Health Science, Nicosia, Turkey
- 55: Also at Beykent University, Istanbul, Turkey, Istanbul, Turkey

-
- 56: Also at Istanbul Aydin University, Application and Research Center for Advanced Studies (App. & Res. Cent. for Advanced Studies), Istanbul, Turkey
- 57: Also at Mersin University, Mersin, Turkey
- 58: Also at Piri Reis University, Istanbul, Turkey
- 59: Also at Ozyegin University, Istanbul, Turkey
- 60: Also at Izmir Institute of Technology, Izmir, Turkey
- 61: Also at Bozok Universitetesi Rektörlüğü, Yozgat, Turkey
- 62: Also at Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey
- 63: Also at Milli Savunma University, Istanbul, Turkey
- 64: Also at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey
- 65: Also at Istanbul Bilgi University, Istanbul, Turkey
- 66: Also at Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey
- 67: Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey
- 68: Also at Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium
- 69: Also at School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom
- 70: Also at IPPP Durham University, Durham, United Kingdom
- 71: Also at Monash University, Faculty of Science, Clayton, Australia
- 72: Also at Bethel University, St. Paul, Minneapolis, USA, St. Paul, USA
- 73: Also at Karamanoğlu Mehmetbey University, Karaman, Turkey
- 74: Also at Bingol University, Bingol, Turkey
- 75: Also at Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia
- 76: Also at Sinop University, Sinop, Turkey
- 77: Also at Mimar Sinan University, Istanbul, Istanbul, Turkey
- 78: Also at Nanjing Normal University Department of Physics, Nanjing, China
- 79: Also at Texas A&M University at Qatar, Doha, Qatar
- 80: Also at Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea, Daegu, Korea