Measurements of samples showed a strong increase in oxygen evolution reaction (OER) electrocatalytic activity with increasing Ni content. The Ni substitution in goethite and hematite was confirmed by determination of the unit cell expansion (due to the difference in the ionic radii of Fe$^{3+}$ and Ni$^{2+}$) using Mössbauer spectroscopy. Single-phase goethite nanorods were found in samples containing 0 or 5 mol % Ni. A higher Ni content in the precipitation system (10 mol % or more) resulted in a higher Ni$^{2+}$-for-Fe$^{3+}$ substitution in goethite and hematite was confirmed by determination of the reduction of a hyperfine magnetic field (due to the difference in magnetic moments of Fe$^{3+}$ and Ni$^{2+}$) using Mössbauer spectroscopy. Single-phase goethite nanorods were obtained by calcination of Ni$^{2+}$-doped hematite (α-Fe$\text{O}_3$) nanorods were synthesized from mixed Fe(III)-Ni(II) nitrate solutions with various Ni/(Ni+Fe) ratios (0, 5, 10, 20, 33 and 50 mol % Ni) by hydrothermal precipitation in a highly alkaline medium using the strong organic alkali, tetramethylammonium hydroxide (TMAH). Ni-doped hematite (α-Fe$_2$O$_3$) nanorods were obtained by calcination of Ni$^{2+}$-doped goethite nanorods at 400 °C.

The Ni$^{2+}$-for-Fe$^{3+}$ substitution in goethite and hematite was confirmed by determination of the unit cell expansion (due to the difference in the ionic radii of Fe$^{3+}$ and Ni$^{2+}$) using XRPD and determination of the reduction of a hyperfine magnetic field (due to the difference in magnetic moments of Fe$^{3+}$ and Ni$^{2+}$) using Mössbauer spectroscopy. Single-phase goethite nanorods were found in samples containing 0 or 5 mol % Ni. A higher Ni content in the precipitation system (10 mol % or more) resulted in a higher Ni$^{2+}$-for-Fe$^{3+}$ substitution in goethite, and larger Ni-doped goethite nanorods, though with the presence of low crystalline Ni-containing ferrilhdyrite and Ni ferrite (NiFe$_2$O$_4$) as additional phases. Significant changes in FT-IR and UV-Vis-NIR spectra of prepared samples were observed with increasing Ni content. Electrochemical measurements of samples showed a strong increase in oxygen evolution reaction (OER) electrocatalytic activity with increasing Ni content.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Due to their favourable properties (nontoxicity, stability, low cost, excellent absorption and adsorption properties, band gap in the visible range, etc.) iron oxides and oxyhydroxides are suitable materials for a wide range of applications (pigments, coatings, catalysts, photocatalysts, adsorbents, gas sensors, battery electrodes, etc.).[11-17] Specific properties of iron oxides and oxyhydroxides, important for the specific application, can be tuned and improved by modifying particle size and shape,[8-11] or by doping with various metal cations.[12-15]

Goethite (α-FeOOH) is the most stable iron oxyhydroxide that can incorporate various metal (M) cations into its crystal structure (Figure 1a) by substitution for Fe$^{3+}$ ions at octahedral sites.[1] The M-for-Fe substitution can significantly change the properties of goethite. Ni-doped goethite (with up to 4 mol % Ni) is a major component of nickel laterite ores (the most important source of nickel).[16-19] Incorporation of Ni in synthetic goethite has been reported in several publications.[20-24] Ni$^{2+}$-for-Fe$^{3+}$ substitution in goethite was confirmed by determination of a slight increase in unit cell volume due the different ionic radii of Ni$^{2+}$ (0.69 Å) and Fe$^{3+}$ (0.645 Å).[25] Substitution increases with increased Ni content in the initial precursor and is higher in the surface layer of Ni-doped goethite particles than in the inner layers.[21,22] Ni doping in goethite causes a decrease in the hyperfine...
magnetic field, an increase in dehydroxylation temperature, an increase in the adsorption of arsenate or chromate ions, and an increase in goethite catalytic activity as a heterogeneous Fenton catalyst.

Hematite (α-Fe$_2$O$_3$) is the most stable of the iron(III) oxide polymorphs. Substitution of different metal cations for Fe$^{3+}$ ions in the crystal structure of hematite (Figure 1b) has been reported in a number of papers, listing significant changes in the different properties of hematite following M-for-Fe substitution. Ni doping in hematite has been investigated by several research groups. Singh et al. prepared Ni-doped hematite by aging Fe-Ni-hydroxide gel at pH 7.5–8.0 and 90 °C for 14 days. The substitution of up to 6 mol % Ni in the hematite structure, accompanied by an expansion of the unit cell was reported. IR spectroscopy showed that Ni$^{2+}$-for-Fe$^{3+}$ substitution in hematite is also accompanied by a corresponding OH$^-$ for O$^{2-}$ substitution in order to maintain the charge balance.

Increased magnetic susceptibility and remanent magnetization by Ni-for-Fe substitution in hematite were observed. Ni-doped hematite prepared by calcination of metal oxinates showed decreased unit cell compared to undoped hematite, which was explained by the presence of oxygen and structural vacancy sites. Liu et al. reported increased conductivity, reduced indirect and direct band gap energies and enhanced photoelectrocatalytic activity of electrodeposited hematite thin films by Ni doping.

Iervolino et al. also observed a reduced band gap and enhanced photoelectrocatalytic activity of electrodeposited hematite thin films by Ni doping. Zhao and Koei prepared a Ni-doped hematite (0001) surface and conducted electrochemical measurements to determine a higher current density and a lower onset potential for OER compared to undoped hematite. Kocher et al. reported an expanded unit cell and decreased direct and indirect band gap energies of hematite by Ni doping. Lemine et al. also observed unit cell expansion in nanocrystalline Ni-doped hematite samples prepared by high-energy ball-milling of Ni metal and α-Fe$_2$O$_3$. Nanocrystalline Ni-doped hematite has been found to be a highly efficient sorbent for Cd, Co and Ni from aqueous solution. Satheesh et al. prepared metal-doped hematites by calcination of mixed hydroxides and reported lower visible light photocatalytic activity of Ni-doped hematite compared to Cu- doped, Co-doped and undoped hematite. Reduced visible light photocatalytic activity of Ni-doped hematite compared to undoped hematite was also reported by de Melo et al. Lassoued et al. reported hematite band gap lowering and increased visible light photocatalytic activity by Ni doping. Sivakumar et al. observed a larger unit cell, reduced band gap energies, decreased coercivity and increased saturation magnetization of hematite by Ni doping. Wang et al. prepared pom-pom-like Ni-doped α-

Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanostructures by calcination of Ni-doped α-FeOOH nanostructures of the same shape. They reported high adsorption performance of Ni-doped α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanostructures in removing Congo red (CR) dye from water. Cheng et al. reported a highly active photoanode consisting of a thin Ni-doped hematite overlayer on the surface of hematite nanotubes. The presence of Ni in overlayer hematite promotes the charge migration of photo-generated carriers to the surface, thus accelerating surface oxygen evolution and avoiding low-energy, photoexcited holes recombination at the semiconductor−liquid junction. Suresh et al. used Ni-doped hematite nanoparticles to fabricate an electrochemical sensor for the detection of uric acid (UA) in biological conditions by cyclic voltammetry (CV) and chronoamperometry (CA).

This literature review outlines the different approaches in the synthesis of Ni-doped goethites and Ni-doped hematites, and differences in the properties of these materials with regard to the synthesis method. The aim of the present study was to obtain more accurate data about the structural, microstructural and other properties of Ni-doped goethites and Ni-doped hematites. Ni-doped goethites were prepared by a coprecipitation method using...
the very strong organic alkali, tetramethylammonium hydroxide as a precipitating agent. This synthesis method produced goethite nanorods of better uniformity compared to other methods where NaOH or KOH were used.\[55,56\] Ni-doped hematites were obtained by calcination of Ni-doped goethites at 400 °C.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

Iron(III) nitrate nonahydrate, \(\text{Fe(NO}_3\text{)}_3 \cdot 9\text{H}_2\text{O}\), of analytical purity, supplied by Kemika, nickel(II) nitrate hexahydrate, \(\text{Ni(NO}_3\text{)}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}\), of analytical purity, supplied by Kemika and a tetramethylammonium hydroxide (TMHA) solution, \((\text{CH}_3)_4\text{NOH}, 25 \% \text{w/w}, \text{electronic grade 99.9999} \%\) were used. Concentrated aqueous solutions of Fe(III) and Ni(II) nitrates were prepared. Mixed Fe(III)-Ni(II) nitrate solutions of varying ratios (0, 5, 10, 20, 33 and 50 mol % Ni) were used as shown in Table 1. TMAH was prepared using the MAUD program.\[57\]

A JEOL thermal field emission scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM, model JSM-7000F) was used to observe particle morphology. The FE-SEM was linked to an Oxford Instruments EDS/INCA 350 energy dispersive X-ray analyser for elemental analysis. Specimens were not coated with an electrically conductive surface layer.

The \(^{57}\)Fe Mössbauer spectra were recorded at 20 °C (293 K) in transmission mode using a standard WissEl (Starnberg, Germany) instrumental configuration. A \(^{57}\)Co/Rh Mössbauer source was used. The velocity scale and all data refer to the metallic \(\alpha\)-Fe absorber at 20 °C. A quantitative analysis of the recorded spectra was made using the MassWinn program.\[58\]

Magnetic measurements were carried out on a superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) magnetometer (Quantum Design MPMS XL-5).

Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectra were recorded at RT in ATR mode using a Tensor II spectrometer (Bruker).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Ni / mol %</th>
<th>Fe / mol %</th>
<th>Phase composition by XRD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ni0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>(\alpha)-FeOOH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>(\alpha)-(Fe,Ni)OOH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>(\alpha)-(Fe,Ni)OOH + Ni-ferrithydrate + NiFe(_2)O(_4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>(\alpha)-(Fe,Ni)OOH + Ni-ferrithydrate + NiFe(_2)O(_4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni33</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>(\alpha)-(Fe,Ni)OOH + Ni-ferrithydrate + NiFe(_2)O(_4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>(\alpha)-(Fe,Ni)OOH + Ni-ferrithydrate + NiFe(_2)O(_4) + (Ni,Fe)(OH)(_2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni0-400</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>(\alpha)-Fe(_2)O(_3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni5-400</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>(\alpha)-(Fe,Ni)(_2)O(_3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni10-400</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>(\alpha)-(Fe,Ni)(_2)O(_3) + NiFe(_2)O(_4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni20-400</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>(\alpha)-(Fe,Ni)(_2)O(_3) + NiFe(_2)O(_4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni33-400</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>(\alpha)-(Fe,Ni)(_2)O(_3) + NiFe(_2)O(_4) + (Ni,Fe)(_2)O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni50-400</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>(\alpha)-(Fe,Ni)(_2)O(_3) + NiFe(_2)O(_4) + (Ni,Fe)(_2)O</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Diffuse reflectance UV-Vis-NIR spectra were obtained at 20 °C using a Shimadzu UV-Vis-NIR spectrometer (model UV-3600) equipped with an integrated sphere. Barium sulfate was used as reference.

Selected samples were evaluated electrochemically in a three-electrode, one-compartment cell using a Hg/HgO electrode (0.1 M NaOH) and a Pt wire as the reference and counter electrode, respectively. To prepare the working electrode, 3.5 mg catalyst powder and 1.5 mg carbon powder (Vulcan XC72) with 30 μL Nafion (sulfonated tetrafluorethylene based fluoropolymer-copolymer) solution (5 wt %) were ultrasonically dispersed in 2 mL ethanol solvent to form a homogeneous ink. The catalyst loading was ~0.1786 mg cm⁻². The electrolyte comprised 0.1 M NaOH aqueous solution saturated with high-purity O₂. Voltammetric tests were carried out at room temperature using a computer-controlled CHI 760B. The electrode was scanned at a rate of 10 mV s⁻¹.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The XRPD patterns of the samples Ni0 to Ni50 are shown in Figure 2, along with the positions and intensities of diffraction lines of goethite (α-FeOOH) given in the ICDD PDF card No. 29-0713. Diffraction lines characteristic of goethite are present in all patterns in Figure 2, confirming goethite as the dominant phase in these samples. XRPD patterns of the samples with 10 mol % Ni or higher indicate the presence of small amounts of Ni ferrite (NiFe₂O₄, ICDD PDF card No. 54-0964) as an additional phase in these samples. The presence of Ni ferrite in these samples was also confirmed by magnetic measurements at 300 K (Figure 3), which showed the presence of the narrow hysteresis loop characteristic for Ni ferrite nanoparticles.[59] The presence of a small amount of (Ni,Fe)(OH)₂ phase is visible in the XRPD pattern of the Ni50 sample. Also, the increased background in XRPD patterns in Figure 2 with increasing Ni content indicates the presence of a significant amount of a low crystalline (Ni-ferrihydrite) and/or amorphous Fe-Ni hydroxide phase.

Goethite diffraction lines are slightly shifted to lower 2θ values with increased Ni content, indicating a small expansion of the unit cell due to Ni-for-Fe substitution in the crystal structure of goethite. Unit cell parameters and volume, calculated using Rietveld refinement of XRPD patterns, are given in Table 2. Due to the small difference in the ionic radius of Fe³⁺ (0.645 Å) and Ni²⁺ (0.69 Å) ions[25] the unit cell of goethite was only slightly expanded by the Ni-for-Fe substitution. In line with previous studies,[20,21,24] unit cell expansion was most significant along the b-axis direction. This non-uniform expansion of the unit cell was most likely caused by a different distortion of the
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**Figure 2.** XRD powder patterns of prepared Ni-doped goethite samples. Positions and intensities of α-FeOOH diffraction lines as given in the Powder Diffraction File (PDF) of the International Centre for Diffraction Data (ICDD) are also shown.
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**Figure 3.** Magnetic field dependence of magnetization for selected Ni-doped goethite samples measured at 300 K.
octahedral site occupied by Ni\(^{2+}\) compared to the octahedral site occupied by Fe\(^{3+}\) due to the difference in electron configurations of these two cations (3d\(^8\) and 3d\(^5\), respectively). The different charges of Ni\(^{2+}\) and Fe\(^{3+}\) could also affect the non-uniform unit cell expansion with substitution of FeO\(_3\)(OH)\(_3\) octahedra by NiO\(_2\)(OH)\(_4\) octahedra of a different distortion.[18,60]

A higher Ni content in the precipitation system resulted in higher Ni-for-Fe substitution in goethite, in line with previous studies.[20,22,24] Ni present in the precipitation system was only partially incorporated into goethite due to the incongruent release of Ni from the amorphous Fe-Ni hydroxide (Ni-ferrihydrite) initially formed in the system.[22] By comparison with data reported by Gerth[20] (the size of goethite unit cell for different amounts of incorporated Ni), the Ni content in goethite was estimated to about 3 mol % in sample Ni\(_5\), 4 mol % in sample Ni\(_{10}\) and 5 to 6 mol % in samples Ni\(_{20}\) and Ni\(_{33}\). The remainder of the Ni occurred as Ni ferrite formed from the residual and less soluble Ni-rich ferrihydrite following prolonged hydrothermal treatment. The average size of the Ni-doped goethite crystallites, estimated from the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the diffraction line using the Scherrer equation, is also given in Table 2. Larger Ni-doped goethite crystallites were formed at a higher Ni content in the precipitation system, which is likely associated with the increased stability of intermediate Ni-doped ferrihydrite during goethite formation by the dissolution-precipitation mechanism. An increase in size of metal-doped goethite crystallites and particles, formed by the same mechanism, was also observed in the case of Mn, Ir or In doping of goethite.[63-64]

The XRPD patterns of the calcined samples Ni\(_0\)-400 to Ni50–400 are shown in Figure 4 along with the positions and intensities of diffraction lines of the standard hematite (\(\alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\)), ICDD PDF card No. 33-0664. Diffraction lines characteristic of hematite are present in all patterns in Figure 4, confirming hematite as the dominant phase in these samples. Ni ferrite diffraction lines of low intensity are also present in the patterns of samples with 10 mol % Ni or higher, while broad diffraction lines of NiO (ICDD PDF card No. 47-1049), likely containing incorporated Fe, are present in the patterns of samples containing 33 and 50 mol % Ni.

The widths of the strongest hematite diffraction lines (104) and (110) (as well as the widths of other, less intense lines) differ significantly, which is typical for hematite prepared by calcination of goethite nanorods at temperatures < 500 °C.[65] This phenomenon was explained by the presence of two different iron stacking sequences.
(twin orientations) in the same hexagonal close-packed oxygen framework of hematite obtained by calcination of goethite.\cite{65,66} Very small twin domains in hematite prepared by calcination of goethite nanorods at temperatures < 500 °C affect broadening of diffraction lines (012), (104), (018), (024) and (214), which are dominated by scattering at the iron sub-lattice. Diffraction lines which contain contributions from oxygen sub-lattice, (110), (113), (116) and (300), are much narrower.\cite{65} Diffraction patterns of Ni-doped hematite samples (Figure 4) show an increase in width of diffraction line (104) and widths of other lines dominated by scattering at the iron sub-lattice with increased Ni content due to increased cation stacking disorder and smaller twin domains. Also, the relative intensities of these lines relative to oxygen sub-lattice dominated lines are lower compared to diffraction pattern of standard hematite sample and decrease with increased Ni doping in hematite. This observation can be explained by the presence of iron-deficient, OH-containing hematite-like phase (“protohematite”)\cite{67} in samples obtained by calcination of goethite and Ni-doped goethite at 400 °C. Ni doping affected a decrease in relative intensity of iron sub-lattice lines due to an increase in iron deficiency. A decreased relative intensity of diffraction lines related to the iron sub-lattice has been reported for hematite prepared by calcination of goethite doped with various metal cations.\cite{24} As in the case of goethite, hematite diffraction lines are slightly shifted to lower 2θ values with increased Ni content, due to a small expansion of the unit cell by Ni$^{2+}$-for-Fe$^{3+}$ substitution in the structure of hematite. Unit cell parameters and volume (determined using Rietveld refinement of XRPD patterns), and FWHM values are given in Table 3.

FE-SEM images of undoped goethite (sample Ni0) and Ni-doped goethite samples (Ni5, Ni10, Ni 20, Ni33 and Ni50) are shown in Figure 5. Characteristic goethite nanorods with an average length, width and thickness of about 200, 50 and 20 nm, respectively, are present in sample Ni0. Smaller goethite nanorods, formed in the presence of 5 mol % Ni, are visible in the FE-SEM image of sample Ni5. However, with a further increase in Ni content, there is a significant increase in the size of the goethite nanorods. In line with XRPD results, which revealed an increase in goethite crystallite size by Ni doping, increasing size of the goethite nanorods by Ni doping (SEM images of samples Ni20, Ni33 and Ni50) was also evident, similar to the doping of goethite with Mn, Ir or In.\cite{61–64} In the images of samples with high Ni content, both Ni ferrite nanoparticles and elongated goethite particles are present.

Fe Mössbauer spectra of goethite and Ni-doped goethite samples are shown in Figure 7 (left). The calculated Mössbauer parameters and phase identification

### Table 3. Unit cell parameters and FWHM values of strongest diffraction lines of Ni-doped hematite samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Ni / mol %</th>
<th>Unit cell parameter / Å</th>
<th>Volume / Å³</th>
<th>FWHM (110) / °2θ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$a$</td>
<td>$c$</td>
<td>(104)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H$^{(a)}$</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>5.0356(4)</td>
<td>13.745(2)</td>
<td>302.1(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni0-400</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>5.0379(7)</td>
<td>13.767(5)</td>
<td>302.6(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni5-400</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0382(4)</td>
<td>13.770(4)</td>
<td>302.7(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni10-400</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>5.0392(6)</td>
<td>13.768(5)</td>
<td>302.8(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni20-400</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>5.0391(8)</td>
<td>13.771(2)</td>
<td>302.8(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni33-400</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>5.0391(8)</td>
<td>13.771(2)</td>
<td>302.8(2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) standard hematite (ICDD card No. 33-0664)
are given in Table 4. All the spectra contain a sextet with Mössbauer parameters characteristic of goethite as the major component. The spectra of goethite and Ni-doped goethite were fitted by taking the hyperfine magnetic field (HMF) distribution into account. These HMF distributions along with their average values are given in Figure 7 (right). HMF distributions are broadened by Ni doping because of the reduction of HMF at some Fe nuclei due to the Ni-for-Fe substitution in their vicinity. The magnetic moment of Ni$^{2+}$ ions with two unpaired electron spins is significantly lower than the magnetic moment of Fe$^{3+}$ ions with five unpaired electron spins, which strongly affects HMF at the nearby Fe nuclei due to the reduced superexchange interaction. A quadrupole doublet, which increases in intensity with increasing Ni content, can be attributed to Fe$^{3+}$ ions in the low-crystalline or amorphous phase (Ni-Fe hydroxide or low crystalline Ni-ferrite or Ni-goethite). An increased amount of the low-crystalline or amorphous phase is in line with a higher background in the XRPD patterns (Figure 2). Two low intensity sextets, which can be attributed to Ni-ferrite, are present in the spectra of samples Ni20, Ni33 and Ni50, in line with the XRD results.

The $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra of calcined samples are shown in Figure 8 (left). The calculated Mössbauer parameters and phase identification are given in Table 4. All the spectra contain a sextet with Mössbauer parameters characteristic of hematite as the major component. Spectra of the samples containing 20 mol % Ni or greater also contain a broad doublet of increasing area with increasing Ni content. In line with XRPD patterns, this broad doublet can be tentatively attributed to the Fe$^{3+}$ ions incorporated in NiO phase. Also, the presence of a superparamagnetic fraction cannot be excluded. Although XRPD patterns and magnetic measurements show the presence of small amounts of Ni ferrite in samples containing 10 mol % Ni or higher, the presence of two Ni ferrite sextets of low intensity in the Mössbauer spectra was neglected in the fitting procedure due to difficulties caused by their overlap with the hematite sextet. The spectra of hematite and Ni-doped hematite were fitted by taking the hyperfine magnetic field (HMF) distribution into account. These HMF

Figure 6. FE-SEM images of Ni-doped hematite samples.

Figure 7. $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra of Ni-doped goethite samples recorded at 20 °C (left) and the corresponding hyperfine magnetic field distributions (right).

Figure 8. $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra of Ni-doped hematite samples recorded at 20 °C (left) and the corresponding hyperfine magnetic field distributions (right).
Table 4. $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer parameters calculated by fitting of the Mössbauer spectra recorded at 20 °C and phase identification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Spectral component</th>
<th>$\delta$ / mm s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$2\varepsilon$ / mm s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$\Delta$ / mm s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$B_{hf}^{\alpha}$/T</th>
<th>$\Gamma$ / mm s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Area / %</th>
<th>Phase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ni0</td>
<td>sextet</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>−0.26</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>α-FeOOH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni5</td>
<td>sextet</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>−0.26</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>97.8</td>
<td>α-(Fe,Ni)OOH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>doublet</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>96.4</td>
<td>Fe(III) phase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni10</td>
<td>sextet</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>−0.26</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>77.6</td>
<td>α-(Fe,Ni)OOH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>doublet</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>Fe(III) phase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni33</td>
<td>sextet</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>−0.27</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>59.1</td>
<td>α-(Fe,Ni)OOH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>doublet</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>Fe(III) phase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni50</td>
<td>sextet</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>−0.27</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>53.8</td>
<td>α-(Fe,Ni)OOH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>doublet</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>Fe(III) phase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni0-400</td>
<td>sextet</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>−0.20</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>α-FeOOH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni5-400</td>
<td>sextet</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>−0.19</td>
<td>49.8</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>α-(Fe,Ni)OOH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni0-100</td>
<td>sextet</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>−0.19</td>
<td>49.7</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>α-(Fe,Ni)OOH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni20-400</td>
<td>sextet</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>−0.19</td>
<td>49.6</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>84.0</td>
<td>α-(Fe,Ni)OOH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>doublet</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>84.0</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>Fe(III) phase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni33-400</td>
<td>sextet</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>−0.19</td>
<td>49.6</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>63.0</td>
<td>α-(Fe,Ni)OOH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>doublet</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>Fe(III) phase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni50-400</td>
<td>sextet</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>−0.19</td>
<td>49.6</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>57.6</td>
<td>α-(Fe,Ni)OOH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>doublet</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>Fe(III) phase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Errors: $\delta = \pm 0.01$ mm s$^{-1}$, $2\varepsilon = \pm 0.01$ mm s$^{-1}$, $\Delta = \pm 0.01$ mm s$^{-1}$, $B_{hf}^{\alpha} = \pm 0.2$ T.

Isomer shift is given relative to $\alpha$-Fe.

$^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy is a powerful technique for the characterization of iron species taking part in different catalysis processes. Chen et al. used Mössbauer spectroscopy to detect the presence of Fe$^{4+}$ species in a Ni-Fe hydroxide catalyst during steady-state water oxidation. In the case of the Fe hydroxide catalyst, Fe$^{4+}$ species were not detected using Mössbauer spectroscopy and the same conditions, thus indicating the important role of Ni in the formation of Fe$^{4+}$ species.

FT-IR spectra of undoped goethite and Ni-doped goethite samples are shown in Figure 9. Goethite infrared absorption bands were assigned according to Verdonck et al. The O-H stretching band shifted from 3126 cm$^{-1}$ in undoped goethite to 3144 cm$^{-1}$ in the spectrum of goethite containing 5 mol % Ni, and to 3156 cm$^{-1}$ in the spectrum of goethite containing 10 mol % Ni. The Fe-O-H in-plane bending band (δOH) at 889 cm$^{-1}$ was shifted to 887 cm$^{-1}$, while the out-of-plane bending band (γOH) at 794 cm$^{-1}$ was shifted to 791 cm$^{-1}$ in the 5 mol % Ni doped goethite. In the spectra of samples with a higher Ni content, these bands were slightly shifted to higher wave numbers. The positions of bending bands are dependent on both sample...
Ni-for-Fe substitution caused a shift to lower wave numbers, while an increase in Ni-goethite particle size caused a shift to higher wave numbers. Fe–O stretching or the lattice vibration band at 622 cm⁻¹ gradually shifted to lower wave numbers due to both Ni-for-Fe substitution and increased particle size and crystallinity. A shift of this band to lower wave numbers has been observed in the spectra of goethite samples with larger crystallites and thicker particles and in goethites doped with metal cations heavier than iron cations (Cu²⁺, Zn²⁺, Cd²⁺, Ir³⁺).

FT-IR spectra of undoped hematite and Ni-doped hematite samples are shown in Figure 10. All bands in the spectrum of sample Ni0 could be assigned to hematite according to Serna et al. A shoulder at 640 cm⁻¹ and a weak band at 394 cm⁻¹ correspond to the A2u vibration modes with a transition moment parallel to the c axis, whereas the strongest bands at 517 and 432 cm⁻¹ correspond to the Eu vibration modes with a transition moment perpendicular to the c axis. Ni doping caused a slight shift in the position and a significant broadening and weakening of these IR bands. It has been shown that the position and intensity of hematite infrared bands are strongly dependent on particle size and shape.

The UV-Vis-NIR spectra of goethite and Ni-doped goethite samples are shown in Figure 11 and the UV-Vis-NIR spectra of calcined samples are shown in Figure 12. Absorption bands in the visible (400–700 nm) and NIR (>700 nm) part of spectrum correspond to Fe³⁺ ligand field electron states transitions, while bands below 300 cm⁻¹
correspond to the ligand-to-metal (O$^{2-}$ to Fe$^{3+}$) charge-transfer transition.

Figures 11 and 12 showed increased absorption in the visible and near IR region with increasing Ni content in the UV-Vis-NIR spectra of prepared samples from both series (non-calcined and calcined). This observation is in line with previous reports of increased absorption at longer wave lengths and reduced band gap in hematite by Ni doping.$^{[43,44,46]}$

Selected samples were electrochemically evaluated and their oxygen evolution reaction (OER) polarization curves are shown in Figure 13. OER activities in terms of overpotential at 10 mA cm$^{-2}$ are given in brackets. Increased Ni content caused an increase in OER activity (a decrease in OER overpotential) of both non-calcined (Figure 13a) and calcined samples (Figure 13b). These observations are in line with recent studies that reported an increase in OER activity of Ni-doped FeOOH with increased Ni content.$^{[77,78]}$ A number of studies also showed that mixed Ni-Fe hydroxides, oxyhydroxides and oxides have higher OER activity compared to pure Ni or Fe phases.$^{[66,79–81]}$ indicating the synergistic action of Fe and Ni in these catalysts.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Ni-doped goethite nanorods of good uniformity were synthesized by hydrothermal precipitation in the presence of tetrathionate hydroxide. Ni-doped hematite nanorods, obtained by calcination of Ni-doped goethite nanorods, preserved the shape of precursor particles. It was shown that incorporation of Ni in goethite increases with initial Ni content; however, this process was accompanied with increasing amount of Ni ferrite formed by transformation of mixed Fe-Ni hydroxide (Ni-ferrihydrite). The maximum Ni doping in goethite could be obtained by the synthesis at higher Ni amounts and low
and/or Ni-ferrihydrite to Ni ferrite is lower. Removing of the 
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Figure 13. Linear sweep voltammograms (LSV) of selected 

Ni-doped goethite (a) and Ni-doped hematite (b) samples in 

O2-saturated 0.1 M NaOH electrolyte without IR correction. 

The electrode rotation rate is 1600 rpm. OER activities in 

terms of overpotential at 10 mA cm–2 are given in brackets. 

temperatures where the transformation rate of Ni-goethite 

and/or Ni-ferrihydrite to Ni ferrite is lower. Removing of the 

untransformed Ni-ferrihydrite by leaching is needed to 

obtain a pure Ni-goethite phase. Ni-doped hematite 

contained an increase of structural defects with increase of 

Ni-substitution. Ni-doped goethite and hematite samples, 

synthesized in the present work, showed improved OER 
catalytic activity compared to pure phases. These samples 

have the potential for possible application like catalysts, 

photocatalysts, gas sensors, or similar.
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