Search for Charged Higgs Bosons Produced via Vector Boson Fusion and Decaying into a Pair of W and Z Bosons Using pp Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV

A. M. Sirunyan *et al.** (CMS Collaboration) (Received 8 May 2017; published 4 October 2017)

A search for charged Higgs bosons produced via vector boson fusion and decaying into W and Z bosons using proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV is presented. The data sample corresponds to an integrated luminosity of 15.2 fb⁻¹ collected with the CMS detector in 2015 and 2016. The event selection requires three leptons (electrons or muons), two jets with large pseudorapidity separation and high dijet mass, and missing transverse momentum. The observation agrees with the standard model prediction. Limits on the vector boson fusion production cross section times branching fraction for new charged physical states are reported as a function of mass from 200 to 2000 GeV and interpreted in the context of Higgs triplet models.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.141802

The discovery [1,2] of a Higgs boson [3–8] at the CERN LHC marks an important milestone in the exploration of the electroweak (EW) sector of the standard model (SM) [9–11]. Many aspects of EW interactions at the energy scale of 1 TeV, however, remain to be explored. At the LHC, the study of vector boson scattering (VBS) may reveal hints to extensions of the SM. In particular, extended Higgs sectors with additional SU(2) doublets [12–15] or triplets [16–23] introduce couplings of vector bosons to heavy neutral or charged Higgs bosons.

Searches for charged Higgs bosons (H^{\pm}) at the LHC currently focus on the production and the decay via couplings to fermions [24–32], well motivated by the minimal supersymmetric standard model [33]. In this model, the $H^{\pm}tb$ coupling is the dominant one irrespective of the mass of the charged Higgs boson $[m(H^{\pm})]$ and $\tan\beta$, the ratio of the vacuum expectation values of the two Higgs doublets. Couplings to vector bosons are, however, largely suppressed in these models.

Higgs sectors extended by SU(2) triplets, however, give rise to charged Higgs bosons with couplings to W and Z bosons at the tree level. Higgs triplets appear in left-right symmetric [34–36], little Higgs [37–39], and supersymmetric models [40,41] and can generate neutrino masses via the seesaw mechanism [17–19,42,43]. A particularly prominent model is the Georgi-Machacek (GM) model [44], where two SU(2) triplets (one real and one complex) are added to the SM Higgs sector and preserve custodial symmetry for large vacuum expectation values of the SU(2) triplets. In such models, the charged Higgs bosons are produced via vector boson fusion (VBF), and the couplings depend on $m(H^{\pm})$ and the parameter $\sin \theta_{\rm H}$, or $s_{\rm H}$, where $s_{\rm H}^2$ denotes the fraction of the *W* boson mass squared generated by the vacuum expectation value of the triplets. A representative Feynman diagram for the production by and decay into a *W* and *Z* boson pair is shown in Fig. 1.

In this Letter, we discuss the search for charged Higgs bosons that are produced via VBF and decay via couplings to *W* and *Z* bosons. The analysis is performed on a sample of proton-proton collisions collected at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV center-of-mass energy by the CMS experiment at the LHC. The data sample corresponds to integrated luminosities of 2.3 and 12.9 fb⁻¹ recorded during the years 2015 and 2016, respectively. The search is performed using *W* and *Z* bosons decaying into electrons and muons. The event selection requires two jets with large pseudorapidity separation and a high dijet mass to select a VBF topology. The data are compared to the predictions of the GM model for a charged Higgs boson mass range of $200 < m(H^{\pm}) < 1000$ GeV. In addition, an exclusion limit on the VBF production cross section times branching



FIG. 1. Example of a Feynman diagram showing the production of charged Higgs bosons via VBF.

^{*}Full author list given at the end of the article.

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI.

fraction (\mathcal{B}) for 200 < $m(H^{\pm})$ < 2000 GeV is derived. A similar search was performed by the ATLAS Collaboration in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV in the semileptonic ($WZ \rightarrow qq'\ell\ell'$) final state [45]. Other experimental constraints on the GM model can be obtained from studies of *b*-meson decays [46] and $W^{\pm}W^{\pm}$ VBS processes [47,48].

The samples with signal are produced MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO [49]. WZ production in association with two jets involving exclusively electroweak interactions at the tree level is generated at leading order (LO) using MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO and is referred to as an EW WZ background. Two-jet-associated WZ production with both the strong and electroweak interaction vertices at the tree level is simulated at next-to-leading order (NLO) using POWHEG 2.0 [50–53] and is denoted as a QCD WZbackground. The Z + jets, $Z\gamma$, tZq, $t\bar{t}V$, and VVV backgrounds, where V refers to a W or Z boson, are produced at NLO using MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO. Simulated tZq and $t\bar{t}V$ events are included in the background referred to as *VVV*. The $qq \rightarrow ZZ$ sample is generated at LO with MCFM [54] and normalized to NLO with a K factor of 1.7 [55]. The ZZ production via $q\bar{q}$ annihilation is simulated at NLO with POWHEG and normalized to the next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) cross-section prediction with a K factor of 1.1 [56]. The PYTHIA 8 [57] package is used for parton showering, hadronization, and the underlying event simulation with parameters affecting the underlying event simulation set to the CUETP8M1 tune [58,59]. The NNPDF 3.0 [60] set is used as the default set of parton distribution functions (PDFs). For all processes, the detector response is simulated using a detailed description of the CMS detector, based on the GEANT4 package [61], and event reconstruction is performed with the same algorithms as used for the data. The simulated samples include additional interactions per bunch crossing (pileup) matching the observed multiplicity in the data of about 11 and 20 interactions per bunch crossing in 2015 and 2016, respectively.

Details of the CMS detector, its performance, and the definition of the coordinate system can be found in Ref. [62]. The detector features a superconducting solenoid with a diameter of 6 m, providing a magnetic field of 3.8 T, and surrounding a silicon pixel and strip tracking detector, a lead tungstate electromagnetic calorimeter, and a brass scintillator hadronic calorimeter. Gas ionization detectors embedded into the steel-flux return yokes, the muon system, are installed around the solenoid. The subdetectors are composed into a barrel and two end cap sections. The hadron forward calorimeter provides calorimetry to pseudorapidities from $|\eta| > 3$ to $|\eta| < 5$. A particle-flow technique [63,64] is employed to identify and reconstruct the individual particles emerging from each collision.

Electrons are reconstructed within $|\eta| < 2.5$. The reconstruction combines the information from clusters of

energy deposits in the electromagnetic calorimeter and the trajectory in the tracker [65]. The selection criteria depend on transverse momentum p_T and $|\eta|$ and on a categorization based on observables sensitive to the amount of bremsstrahlung emitted. Muons are reconstructed within $|\eta| < 2.4$ [66]. The reconstruction combines the information from both the tracker and the muon spectrometer. Leptons are required to be isolated from other charged and neutral particles in the event. The lepton relative isolation is defined as the ratio of the p_T sum of charged hadrons and neutral particles within a cone of radius $\Delta R =$ $\sqrt{(\Delta \eta)^2 + (\Delta \phi)^2} < 0.4$ (where ϕ is the azimuthal angle in radians) around the lepton and the lepton p_T . The relative isolation, corrected for pileup contributions, is required to be less than 6.5% (15%) for electrons (muons). Overall efficiencies of the reconstruction, identification, and isolation requirements for the prompt leptons are measured in the data in several bins of p_T and $|\eta|$ using a "tag-andprobe" technique [67] applied to a sample of leptonically decaying Z boson events.

Jets are reconstructed using the anti- k_T clustering algorithm [68] with a distance parameter R = 0.4, as implemented in the FASTJET package [69,70], and jet energy corrections are applied [71,72]. To suppress the top-quark background contribution in its decay to *b* quarks, the combined secondary vertex *b* -tagging algorithm [73,74] requirement is used, corresponding to an efficiency of about 45% with a light flavor quark misidentification probability of 0.1%.

The missing transverse momentum vector \vec{p}_T^{miss} is defined as the negative vectorial sum of the momenta of all reconstructed particles in an event projected onto the plane perpendicular to the beams, corrected for the pileup contribution [75]. Its magnitude is referred to as p_T^{miss} .

Events are selected by the trigger system requiring the presence of one or two high p_T electrons or muons. The trigger efficiency is greater than 99% for events that pass all other selection criteria explained in the following. The selection of events aims to single out three-lepton events with the VBF topology. The event selection requires three lepton (electron or muon) candidates that meet the isolation and identification requirements. Two leptons are required to have $p_T > 20$ GeV, and the third lepton is required to have $p_T > 10$ GeV. Events with an additional fourth lepton with $p_T > 10$ GeV are rejected. Events are required to have at least two jets with $p_T > 30$ GeV, and $|\eta| < 4.7$. The VBF topology is exploited by requiring that the two jets of highest p_T have a large dijet mass, $m_{jj} > 500$ GeV, and a large pseudorapidity separation, $|\Delta \eta_{ii}| > 2.5$. To reconstruct a Z boson candidate, a pair of same-flavor and opposite-charge leptons is required to have a dilepton invariant mass within 15 GeV of the nominal Z boson mass [76]. When there are two or more candidate pairs, the one with the mass closest to the nominal Z boson mass is chosen. The remaining lepton is associated with the W

boson decay, and it is required to have $p_T > 20$ GeV. The p_T^{miss} in the event is required to be larger than 30 GeV to select *W* boson decays. To reject the top-quark background, the event must not have jets passing the *b*-tagging selection. After these requirements, the signal efficiency is about 10%–15%, depending on $m(H^{\pm})$. For extraction of the signal, the shape of the distribution of the transverse mass variable (m_T) obtained from the *WZ* system is used:

$$m_T(WZ) = \sqrt{[E_T(W) + E_T(Z)]^2 - [\vec{p}_T(W) + \vec{p}_T(Z)]^2}, \quad (1)$$

where $\vec{p}_T(W)$ is reconstructed from the vectorial sum of \vec{p}_T^{miss} and the lepton \vec{p}_T and $E_T(W)$ is calculated from the scalar sum of the lepton transverse energy and p_T^{miss} . Variables such as the invariant mass of the leptonically decaying *WZ* system using constraints on the neutrino momentum from the *W* boson mass [77] may be explored in future analyses.

A combination of methods using control samples in the data and detailed simulation studies is used to estimate background contributions. The following background categories are considered: WZ, $ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell$, VVV, $Z\gamma$, and processes with nonprompt leptons.

The QCD and EW WZ background constitutes about 80% of the total expected SM background yield. The normalization of the QCD WZ background is obtained from a background-dominated sideband, outside of the search region and defined by the dijet variables, where the expected signal yield is negligible: 100 GeV $< m_{ii} <$ 500 GeV and $|\Delta \eta_{ii}| < 2.5$. In this phase-space region, expected background contributions from EW WZ, $ZZ \rightarrow$ 4ℓ , VVV, $Z\gamma$ production, and nonprompt leptons are estimated to contribute about 40% to the yield and are subtracted from the overall 266 events observed in data. The simulated sample of QCD WZ processes is then normalized to match the observed number of events in this control region. The estimated normalization of events is consistent with the SM prediction obtained using the POWHEG NLO cross-section calculation. The EW WZ background contributes about 30% to the overall WZ background processes in the signal region.

The $ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell$, VVV, and $Z\gamma$ contributions are estimated from simulated samples, with corrections to the lepton reconstruction, trigger and selection efficiencies, and momentum scale and resolution, estimated from data control samples. The overall expected contribution from these processes to the total background yield is about 10%, and the uncertainties in the estimates are dominated by the statistical component introduced by the number of simulated events passing the event selection requirements. The $ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell$ background is largely reduced by the p_T^{miss} requirement and the veto on events containing an additional lepton.

The main contributions to nonprompt leptons are from Z + jets and top-quark ($t\bar{t}$ and tW) events, where at least one of the jets or a jet constituent is misidentified as an isolated lepton. The dominant background at the finalselection level is Z + jets. According to the simulation, fewer than 10% of the background events with at least one nonprompt lepton come from top-quark processes. Data control samples are used to estimate this background. Lepton candidates selected with loose identification requirements are defined in a sample of events dominated by dijet production. The efficiency for candidates to pass the full lepton selection criteria is measured and is parametrized as a function of p_T and η . The calculated efficiencies are used as weights to extrapolate the yield of the sample of loose leptons to the sample of fully selected leptons. The background estimation method is validated on a nonprompt lepton W + jets and $t\bar{t}$ enriched sample, selected by inverting the Z boson mass or b-tagging criteria, where good agreement between the data and prediction is observed.

Uncertainties in the data-to-simulation scale factors applied to leptons in simulated samples result in an overall 4% normalization uncertainty for backgrounds estimated from the simulation. The experimental uncertainties in the lepton momentum scale and resolution, p_T^{miss} modeling, and jet energy scale are applied in simulated events by smearing and scaling the relevant observables and propagating the effects to the kinematic variables used in the analysis, in particular, m_T . Uncertainties in the lepton momentum scale and resolution are smaller than 1% per lepton depending on the p_T and η of the lepton, and the effect on the yields at the analysis selection level is less than 1%. The uncertainties in the jet energy scale and resolution result in a 5% uncertainty in the signal yields. The uncertainty in the resolution of the p_T^{miss} measurement is 10%. Randomly smearing the measured p_T^{miss} by one standard deviation of the resolution gives rise to a 5% variation in the estimation of signal yields after the full selection. Uncertainties of 2.3% and 2.5% are assigned to the integrated luminosity measurements in the years 2015 and 2016, respectively [78,79]. The effect of higher-order corrections to the signal cross section in the GM model is taken from Ref. [80]. The theoretical uncertainty is dominated by missing higher-order EW corrections estimated to be 7%. Uncertainties in the signal acceptance due to PDF choice and renormalization and factorization scales are 2%-3% and less than 1%, respectively, estimated using the LO signal samples. Added in quadrature, the contributions result in an 8% uncertainty in the normalization of the signal samples.

The uncertainty in the estimation of the expected number of QCD WZ events is 12%, which is estimated from the measured yields in the two-jet control region. An uncorrelated uncertainty of 30% is assigned on the normalization of WZ events produced via EW processes, estimated from

TABLE I.	Relative systematic	uncertainties i	n the	estimated	signal	and	background y	vields.	in	units	of	percent.
								/				

Source	Signal	WZ	VVV	Ζγ	ZZ	Nonprompt
Integrated luminosity 2015 (2016)	2.3 (2.5)		2.3 (2.5)	2.3 (2.5)	2.3 (2.5)	
Lepton efficiency	4.0		4.0	4.0	4.0	
Lepton momentum scale	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Jet momentum scale	5.0	10.0	6.0	30.0	13.0	
p_T^{miss} resolution	5.0	1.7	1.0		7.0	
<i>b</i> tagging	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0	
QCD (EW) WZ bkg. normalization		12 (30)				
Nonprompt bkg. normalization						30-80
GM uncertainties	8					

the largest bin-by-bin differences after varying the renormalization and factorization scales. The total uncertainty in the prediction of the nonprompt background varies bin by bin in the m_T distribution between 30% and 80%, dominated by the low number of nonprompt leptons passing the sideband selection. A summary of the relative systematic uncertainties in the estimated signal and background yields is shown in Table I.

After applying the full selection, nine and 62 events are selected in the data collected in 2015 and 2016, respectively. The data yield together with the SM expectation for the different processes is given in Table II. The distribution of the m_T with bin boundaries given by $m_T = [0, 100, 200, 400, 600, 800, 1000, 1200, 1500, \infty)$ GeV (the last bin is an overflow bin) is shown in Fig. 2. No event with $m_T(WZ) > 800$ GeV is observed in the data, and overall agreement between the data and SM background prediction is observed.

A combined fit of the predicted signal and background yields in bins of m_T to the data is performed to derive expected and observed exclusion limits on $\sigma_{\text{VBF}}(H^{\pm})\mathcal{B}(H^{\pm} \rightarrow WZ)$ at 95% confidence level using the CL_s method [81–83]. The exclusion limits as a function of $m(H^{\pm})$, assuming a small intrinsic width for H^{\pm} , are shown in Fig. 3 (left). Values for $\sigma_{\text{VBF}}(H^{\pm})\mathcal{B}(H^{\pm} \rightarrow WZ)$ ranging from 573 fb at $m(H^{\pm}) = 200$ GeV to 36 fb at $m(H^{\pm}) = 2000$ GeV are excluded by the data.

TABLE II. Yields of selected events in 2015 and 2016 data, together with the expected yields from various background processes. The statistical and systematic uncertainties are shown. The signal yields are shown for values of $s_H = 0.7$.

Data set Data	2015 9	2016 62
WZ	7.5 ± 1.2	44.4 ± 5.7
ZZ	0.2 ± 0.1	1.6 ± 0.2
VVV	0.8 ± 0.2	5.5 ± 0.9
Ζγ	0.2 ± 0.1	1.0 ± 0.6
Nonprompt	1.3 ± 1.0	7.4 ± 5.4
Total bkg.	10.0 ± 1.6	59.9 ± 8.0
Signal $[m(H^{\pm}) = 700 \text{ GeV}]$	0.9 ± 0.1	4.7 ± 0.5

The model-independent exclusion limits are compared to the predicted cross sections at NNLO in the GM model [80] in the $s_{\rm H} - m(H^{\pm})$ plane. For the probed parameter space and m_T distribution used for signal extraction, the varying width as a function of $s_{\rm H}$ is assumed to have negligible impact on the result. The value of the branching fraction



FIG. 2. Transverse mass distributions after full selection, for data collected in 2015 (left) and 2016 (right). The background yield predictions correspond to the background-only hypothesis fit result. The signal distribution is shown for $m(H^{\pm}) = 700$ GeV and the cross-section prediction in the GM model at $s_H = 0.7$.



FIG. 3. Expected and observed exclusion limits at 95% confidence level as a function of $m(H^{\pm})$ for $\sigma_{\text{VBF}}(H^{\pm})\mathcal{B}(H^{\pm} \rightarrow WZ)$ (left) and on the ratio of vacuum expectation values in the GM model (right) for 15.2 fb⁻¹ of proton-proton collisions at 13 TeV collected in 2015 and 2016. The blue shaded area covers the theoretically not allowed parameter space [80].

 $\mathcal{B}(H^{\pm} \to WZ)$ is assumed to be one. In Fig. 3 (right), the excluded $s_{\rm H}$ values as a function of $m(H^{\pm})$ are shown. The blue shaded region shows the parameter space for which the H^{\pm} total width exceeds 10% of $m(H^{\pm})$, where the model is not applicable due to perturbativity and vacuum stability requirements [80]. The observed limit excludes $s_{\rm H}$ values greater than 0.45, 0.81, and 0.66 at $m(H^{\pm}) = 200$, 400, and 1000 GeV, respectively.

In summary, we present a search for charged Higgs bosons produced via vector boson fusion and decaying into W and Z bosons in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV based on a sample corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 15.2 fb⁻¹. Events are required to have three leptons (electrons or muons), two jets with large pseudorapidity separation and high dijet mass, and missing transverse momentum. The number of events observed in the signal region agrees with the standard model prediction. The first limits on $\sigma_{\rm VBF}(H^{\pm})\mathcal{B}(H^{\pm} \rightarrow WZ)$ at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV are obtained. The results are interpreted in the

Georgi-Machacek model for which the most stringent limits to date are derived.

We congratulate our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC and thank the technical and administrative staffs at CERN and at other CMS institutes for their contributions to the success of the CMS effort. In addition, we gratefully acknowledge the computing centers and personnel of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid for delivering so effectively the computing infrastructure essential to our analyses. Finally, we acknowledge the enduring support for the construction and operation of the LHC and the CMS detector provided by the following funding agencies: BMWFW and FWF (Austria); FNRS and FWO (Belgium); CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ, and FAPESP (Brazil); MES (Bulgaria); CERN; CAS, MoST, and NSFC (China); COLCIENCIAS (Colombia); MSES and CSF (Croatia); RPF (Cyprus); SENESCYT (Ecuador); MoER, ERC IUT, and ERDF (Estonia); Academy of Finland, MEC, and HIP (Finland); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); BMBF, DFG, and HGF (Germany); GSRT (Greece); OTKA and NIH (Hungary); DAE and DST (India); IPM (Iran); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); MSIP and NRF (Republic of Korea); LAS (Lithuania); MOE and UM (Malaysia); BUAP, CINVESTAV, CONACYT, LNS, SEP, and UASLP-FAI (Mexico); MBIE (New Zealand); PAEC (Pakistan); MSHE and NSC (Poland); FCT (Portugal); JINR (Dubna); MON, RosAtom, RAS, and RFBR (Russia); MESTD (Serbia); SEIDI and CPAN (Spain); Swiss Funding Agencies (Switzerland); MST (Taipei); ThEPCenter, IPST, STAR, and NSTDA (Thailand); TUBITAK and TAEK (Turkey); NASU and SFFR (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE and NSF (USA).

- [1] ATLAS Collaboration, Observation of a new particle in the search for the Standard Model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector at the LHC, Phys. Lett. B **716**, 1 (2012).
- [2] CMS Collaboration, Observation of a new boson at a mass of 125 GeV with the CMS experiment at the LHC, Phys. Lett. B 716, 30 (2012).
- [3] F. Englert and R. Brout, Broken Symmetry and the Mass of Gauge Vector Mesons, Phys. Rev. Lett. 13, 321 (1964).
- [4] P. W. Higgs, Broken Symmetries, Massless Particles and Gauge Fields, Phys. Rev. Lett. 12, 132 (1964).
- [5] P. W. Higgs, Broken Symmetries and the Masses of Gauge Bosons, Phys. Rev. Lett. 13, 508 (1964).
- [6] G. S. Guralnik, C. R. Hagen, and T. W. B. Kibble, Global Conservation Laws and Massless Particles, Phys. Rev. Lett. 13, 585 (1964).
- [7] P. W. Higgs, Spontaneous Symmetry Breakdown without Massless Bosons, Phys. Rev. 145, 1156 (1966).
- [8] T. W. B. Kibble, Symmetry breaking in Non-Abelian gauge theories, Phys. Rev. 155, 1554 (1967).

- [9] S. L. Glashow, Partial-symmetries of weak interactions, Nucl. Phys. 22, 579 (1961).
- [10] S. Weinberg, A Model of Leptons, Phys. Rev. Lett. 19, 1264 (1967).
- [11] A. Salam, in *Elementary Particle Physics: Relativistic Groups and Analyticity*, edited by N. Svartholm (Almquvist & Wiskell, Stockholm, 1968), p. 367.
- [12] T. D. Lee, A theory of spontaneous *T* violation, Phys. Rev. D 8, 1226 (1973).
- [13] P. Fayet, A gauge theory of weak and electromagnetic interactions with spontaneous parity breaking, Nucl. Phys. B78, 14 (1974).
- [14] N. Craig and S. Thomas, Exclusive signals of an extended Higgs sector, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2012) 083.
- [15] G. C. Branco, P. M. Ferreira, L. Lavoura, M. N. Rebelo, M. Sher, and J. P. Silva, Theory and phenomenology of two-Higgs-doublet models, Phys. Rep. 516, 1 (2012).
- [16] W. Konetschny and W. Kummer, Nonconservation of total lepton number with scalar bosons, Phys. Lett. B 70, 433 (1977).
- [17] M. Magg and C. Wetterich, Neutrino mass problem and gauge hierarchy, Phys. Lett. B 94, 61 (1980).
- [18] T. P. Cheng and L.-F. Li, Neutrino masses, mixings, and oscillations in $SU(2) \times U(1)$ models of electroweak interactions, Phys. Rev. D **22**, 2860 (1980).
- [19] J. Schechter and J. W. F. Valle, Neutrino masses in $SU(2) \otimes U(1)$ theories, Phys. Rev. D **22**, 2227 (1980).
- [20] C. Englert, E. Re, and M. Spannowsky, Triplet Higgs boson collider phenomenology after the LHC, Phys. Rev. D 87, 095014 (2013).
- [21] C. Englert, E. Re, and M. Spannowsky, Pinning down Higgs triplets at the LHC, Phys. Rev. D 88, 035024 (2013).
- [22] C.-W. Chiang and K. Yagyu, Testing the custodial symmetry in the Higgs sector of the Georgi-Machacek model, J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2013) 026.
- [23] C.-W. Chiang, A.-L. Kuo, and K. Yagyu, Enhancements of weak gauge boson scattering processes at the CERN LHC, J. High Energy Phys. 10 (2013) 072.
- [24] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for charged Higgs bosons decaying via $H^+ \rightarrow \tau \nu$ in top quark pair events using pp collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (**2012**) 039.
- [25] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for charged Higgs bosons through the violation of lepton universality in $t\bar{t}$ events using pp collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ATLAS experiment, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2013) 076.
- [26] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for charged Higgs bosons decaying via $H^{\pm} \rightarrow \tau^{\pm}\nu$ in fully hadronic final states using pp collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2015) 088.
- [27] CMS Collaboration, Search for a light charged Higgs boson in top quark decays in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2012) 143.
- [28] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for charged Higgs bosons in the $H^{\pm} \rightarrow tb$ decay channel in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV using the ATLAS detector, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2016) 127.
- [29] CMS Collaboration, Search for a charged Higgs boson in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2015) 018.

- [30] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for a light charged Higgs boson in the decay channel $H^+ \rightarrow c\bar{s}$ in $t\bar{t}$ events using pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top. **73**, 2465 (2013).
- [31] CMS Collaboration, Search for a light charged Higgs boson decaying to $c\bar{s}$ in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, J. High Energy Phys. 12 (2015) 178.
- [32] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for charged Higgs bosons produced in association with a top quark and decaying via $H^{\pm} \rightarrow \tau \nu$ using *pp* collision data recorded at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV by the ATLAS detector, Phys. Lett. B **759**, 555 (2016).
- [33] S. Dimopoulos and H. Georgi, Softly broken supersymmetry and SU(5), Nucl. Phys. B193, 150 (1981).
- [34] Lepton number as the fourth "color".
- [35] R. N. Mohapatra and J. C. Pati, A natural left-right symmetry, Phys. Rev. D 11, 2558 (1975).
- [36] G. Senjanovic and R. N. Mohapatra, Exact left-right symmetry and spontaneous violation of parity, Phys. Rev. D 12, 1502 (1975).
- [37] N. Arkani-Hamed, A. G. Cohen, E. Katz, and A. E. Nelson, The littlest Higgs, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2002) 034.
- [38] S. Chang, A "littlest Higgs" model with custodial SU(2) symmetry, J. High Energy Phys. 12 (2003) 057.
- [39] S. Chang and J. G. Wacker, Little Higgs and custodial SU(2), Phys. Rev. D 69, 035002 (2004).
- [40] M. Garcia-Pepin, S. Gori, M. Quiros, R. Vega, R. Vega-Morales, and T.-T. Yu, Supersymmetric custodial Higgs triplets and the breaking of universality, Phys. Rev. D 91, 015016 (2015).
- [41] L. Cort, M. Garcia, and M. Quiros, Supersymmetric custodial triplets, Phys. Rev. D 88, 075010 (2013).
- [42] G. Lazarides, Q. Shafi, and C. Wetterich, Proton lifetime and fermion masses in an SO(10) model, Nucl. Phys. B181, 287 (1981).
- [43] R. N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanović, Neutrino masses and mixings in gauge models with spontaneous parity violation, Phys. Rev. D 23, 165 (1981).
- [44] H. Georgi and M. Machacek, Doubly charged Higgs bosons, Nucl. Phys. B262, 463 (1985).
- [45] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for a Charged Higgs Boson Produced in the Vector-Boson Fusion Mode with Decay $H^{\pm} \rightarrow W^{\pm}Z$ Using pp Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS Experiment, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 231801 (2015).
- [46] K. Hartling, K. Kumar, and H. E. Logan, Indirect constraints on the Georgi-Machacek model and implications for Higgs boson couplings, Phys. Rev. D 91, 015013 (2015).
- [47] ATLAS Collaboration, Evidence for Electroweak Production of $W^{\pm}W^{\pm}jj$ in pp Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS Detector, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 141803 (2014).
- [48] CMS Collaboration, Study of Vector Boson Scattering and Search for New Physics in Events with Two Same-Sign Leptons and Two Jets, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 051801 (2015).
- [49] J. Alwall, R. Frederix, S. Frixione, V. Hirschi, F. Maltoni, O. Mattelaer, H.-S. Shao, T. Stelzer, P. Torielli, and M. Zaro, The automated computation of tree-level and next-to-leading order differential cross sections, and their matching to parton shower simulations, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2014) 079.
- [50] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari, and E. Re, NLO vector-boson production matched with shower in POWHEG, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2008) 060.

- [51] P. Nason, A new method for combining NLO QCD with shower Monte Carlo algorithms, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2004) 040.
- [52] S. Frixione, P. Nason, and C. Oleari, Matching NLO QCD computations with parton shower simulations: The POW-HEG method, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2007) 070.
- [53] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari, and E. Re, A general framework for implementing NLO calculations in shower Monte Carlo programs: The POWHEG BOX, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2010) 043.
- [54] J. M. Campbell and R. K. Ellis, MCFM for the Tevatron and the LHC, Nucl. Phys. B, Proc. Suppl. 205-206, 10 (2010).
- [55] F. Caola, K. Melnikov, R. Röntsch, and L. Tancredi, QCD corrections to ZZ production in gluon fusion at the LHC, Phys. Rev. D 92, 094028 (2015).
- [56] F. Cascioli, T. Gehrmann, M. Grazzini, S. Kallweit, P. Maierhöfer, A. von Manteuffel, S. Pozzorini, D. Rathlev, L. Tancredi, and E. Weihs, ZZ production at hadron colliders in NNLO QCD, Phys. Lett. B 735, 311 (2014).
- [57] T. Sjöstrand, S. Ask, J. R. Christiansen, R. Corke, N. Desai, P. Ilten, S. Mrenna, S. Prestel, C. O. Rasmussen, and P. Z. Skands, An introduction to PYTHIA 8.2, Comput. Phys. Commun. 191, 159 (2015).
- [58] P. Skands, S. Carrazza, and J. Rojo, Tuning PYTHIA 8.1: The Monash 2013 tune, Eur. Phys. J. C 74, 3024 (2014).
- [59] CMS Collaboration, Event generator tunes obtained from underlying event and multiparton scattering measurements, Eur. Phys. J. C 76, 155 (2016).
- [60] R.D. Ball, V. Bertone, S. Carrazza, C.S. Deans, L. Del Debbio, S. Forte, A. Guffanti, N. P. Hartland, J. I. Latorre, J. Rojo, and M. Ubiali (NNPDF Collaboration), Parton distributions for the LHC run II, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2015) 040.
- [61] S. Agostinelli et al. (GEANT4 Collaboration), GEANT4-A simulation toolkit, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 506, 250 (2003).
- [62] CMS Collaboration, The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC, J. Instrum. 3, S08004 (2008).
- [63] CMS Collaboration, CMS Physics Analysis Summary No. CMS-PAS-PFT-09-001, 2009, http://cdsweb.cern.ch/ record/1194487.
- [64] CMS Collaboration, CMS Physics Analysis Summary No. CMS-PAS-PFT-10-001, 2010, https://cds.cern.ch/ record/1247373.
- [65] CMS Collaboration, Performance of electron reconstruction and selection with the CMS detector in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, J. Instrum. 10, P06005 (2015).

- [66] CMS Collaboration, Performance of CMS muon reconstruction in pp collision events at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, J. Instrum. 7, P10002 (2012).
- [67] CMS Collaboration, Measurements of inclusive W and Z cross sections in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2011) 080.
- [68] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam, and G. Soyez, The anti- k_t jet clustering algorithm, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2008) 063.
- [69] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam, and G. Soyez, FastJet user manual, Eur. Phys. J. C 72, 1896 (2012).
- [70] M. Cacciari and G. P. Salam, Dispelling the N^3 myth for the k_t jet-finder, Phys. Lett. B **641**, 57 (2006).
- [71] CMS Collaboration, Determination of jet energy calibration and transverse momentum resolution in CMS, J. Instrum. 6, P11002 (2011).
- [72] CMS Collaboration, Jet energy scale and resolution in the CMS experiment in pp collisions at 8 TeV, J. Instrum. 12, P02014 (2017).
- [73] CMS Collaboration, Identification of b-quark jets with the CMS experiment, J. Instrum. 8, P04013 (2013).
- [74] CMS Collaboration, CMS Physics Analysis Summary No. CMS-PAS-BTV-15-001, 2016, https://cds.cern.ch/ record/2138504.
- [75] M. Cacciari and G. P. Salam, Pileup subtraction using jet areas, Phys. Lett. B 659, 119 (2008).
- [76] C. Patrignani et al. (Particle Data Group), Review of particle physics, Chin. Phys. C 40, 100001 (2016).
- [77] A. J. Barr, T. J. Khoo, P. Konar, K. Kong, C. G. Lester, K. T. Matchev, and M. Park, Guide to transverse projections and mass-constraining variables, Phys. Rev. D 84, 095031 (2011).
- [78] CMS Collaboration, CMS Physics Analysis Summary No. CMS-PAS-LUM-15-001, 2017, https://cds.cern.ch/ record/2138682.
- [79] CMS Collaboration, CMS Physics Analysis Summary No. CMS-PAS-LUM-17-001, 2017, https://cds.cern.ch/ record/2257069.
- [80] M. Zaro and H. Logan, CERN Report No. LHCHXSWG-2015-001, 2015, https://cds.cern.ch/record/2002500.
- [81] T. Junk, Confidence level computation for combining searches with small statistics, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 434, 435 (1999).
- [82] A. L. Read, Presentation of search results: The CL_s technique, J. Phys. G 28, 2693 (2002).
- [83] G. Cowan, K. Cranmer, E. Gross, and O. Vitells, Asymptotic formulae for likelihood-based tests of new physics, Eur. Phys. J. C 71, 1554 (2011); Erratum, Eur. Phys. J. C 73, 2501(E) (2013).

A. M. Sirunyan,¹ A. Tumasyan,¹ W. Adam,² E. Asilar,² T. Bergauer,² J. Brandstetter,² E. Brondolin,² M. Dragicevic,² J. Erö,² M. Flechl,² M. Friedl,² R. Frühwirth,^{2,b} V. M. Ghete,² C. Hartl,² N. Hörmann,² J. Hrubec,² M. Jeitler,^{2,b} A. König,²

I. Krätschmer,² D. Liko,² T. Matsushita,² I. Mikulec,² D. Rabady,² N. Rad,² B. Rahbaran,² H. Rohringer,² J. Schieck,^{2,b} J. Strauss,² W. Waltenberger,² C.-E. Wulz,^{2,b} O. Dvornikov,³ V. Makarenko,³ V. Mossolov,³ J. Suarez Gonzalez,³

V. Zykunov,³ N. Shumeiko,⁴ S. Alderweireldt,⁵ E. A. De Wolf,⁵ X. Janssen,⁵ J. Lauwers,⁵ M. Van De Klundert,⁵ H. Van Haevermaet,⁵ P. Van Mechelen,⁵ N. Van Remortel,⁵ A. Van Spilbeeck,⁵ S. Abu Zeid,⁶ F. Blekman,⁶ J. D'Hondt,⁶ N. Daci,⁶ I. De Bruyn,⁶ K. Deroover,⁶ S. Lowette,⁶ S. Moortgat,⁶ L. Moreels,⁶ A. Olbrechts,⁶ Q. Python,⁶ K. Skovpen,⁶

S. Tavernier,⁶ W. Van Doninck,⁶ P. Van Mulders,⁶ I. Van Parijs,⁶ H. Brun,⁷ B. Clerbaux,⁷ G. De Lentdecker,⁷ H. Delannoy,⁷ G. Fasanella,⁷ L. Favart,⁷ R. Goldouzian,⁷ A. Grebenyuk,⁷ G. Karapostoli,⁷ T. Lenzi,⁷ A. Léonard,⁷ J. Luetic,⁷ T. Maerschalk,⁷ A. Marinov,⁷ A. Randle-conde,⁷ T. Seva,⁷ C. Vander Velde,⁷ P. Vanlaer,⁷ D. Vannerom,⁷ R. Yonamine,⁷ F. Zenoni,⁷ F. Zhang,^{7,c} T. Cornelis,⁸ D. Dobur,⁸ A. Fagot,⁸ M. Gul,⁸ I. Khvastunov,⁸ D. Poyraz,⁸ S. Salva,⁸ R. Schöfbeck,⁸ M. Tytgat,⁸ W. Van Driessche,⁸ W. Verbeke,⁸ N. Zaganidis,⁸ H. Bakhshiansohi,⁹ O. Bondu,⁹ S. Brochet,⁹ G. Bruno,⁹ A. Caudron,⁹ S. De Visscher,⁹ C. Delaere,⁹ M. Delcourt,⁹ B. Francois,⁹ A. Giammanco,⁹ A. Jafari,⁹ M. Komm,⁹ G. Krintiras,⁹ V. Lemaitre,⁹ A. Magitteri,⁹ A. Mertens,⁹ M. Musich,⁹ K. Piotrzkowski,⁹ L. Quertenmont,⁹ M. Vidal Marono,⁹ S. Wertz,⁹ N. Beliy,¹⁰ W. L. Aldá Júnior,¹¹ F. L. Alves,¹¹ G. A. Alves,¹¹ L. Brito,¹¹ C. Hensel,¹¹ A. Moraes,¹¹ M. E. Pol,¹¹ P. Rebello Teles,¹¹ E. Belchior Batista Das Chagas,¹² W. Carvalho,¹² J. Chinellato,^{12,d} A. Custódio,¹² E. M. Da Costa,¹² G. G. Da Silveira,^{12,e} D. De Jesus Damiao,¹² C. De Oliveira Martins,¹² S. Fonseca De Souza,¹² L. M. Huertas Guativa,¹² H. Malbouisson,¹² D. Matos Figueiredo,¹² C. Mora Herrera,¹² L. Mundim,¹² H. Nogima,¹² W. L. Prado Da Silva,¹² A. Santoro,¹² A. Sznajder,¹² E. J. Tonelli Manganote,^{12,d} F. Torres Da Silva De Araujo,¹² A. Vilela Pereira,¹² S. Ahuja,^{13a} A. Santoro,¹² A. Sznajder,¹² E. J. Tonelli Manganote,^{12,d} F. Torres Da Silva De Araujo,¹² A. Vilela Pereira,¹² S. Ahuja,^{13a} C. A. Bernardes,^{13a} S. Dogra,^{13a} T. R. Fernandez Perez Tomei,^{13a} E. M. Gregores,^{13b} P. G. Mercadante,^{13b} C. S. Moon,^{13a} S. F. Novaes,^{13a} Sandra S. Padula,^{13a} D. Romero Abad,^{13b} J. C. Ruiz Vargas,^{13a} A. Aleksandrov,¹⁴ R. Hadjiiska,¹⁴ P. Iaydjiev,¹⁴ M. Rodozov,¹⁴ S. Stoykova,¹⁴ G. Sultanov,¹⁴ M. Vutova,¹⁴ A. Dimitrov,¹⁵ I. Glushkov,¹⁵ L. Litov,¹⁵ B. Pavlov,¹⁵ P. Petkov,¹⁵ W. Fang,^{16,f} X. Gao,^{16,f} M. Ahmad,¹⁷ J. G. Bian,¹⁷ G. M. Chen,¹⁷ H. S. Chen,¹⁷ M. Chen,¹⁷ Y. Chen,¹⁷ T. Cheng,¹⁷ C. H. Jiang,¹⁷ D. Leggat,¹⁷ Z. Liu,¹⁷ F. Romeo,¹⁷ M. Ruan,¹⁷ S. M. Shaheen,¹⁷ A. Spiezia,¹⁷ J. Tao,¹⁷ C. Wang,¹⁸ Z. Xu,¹⁸ C. Avila,¹⁹ A. Cabrera,¹⁹ L. F. Chaparro Sierra,¹⁹ C. Florez,¹⁹ J. P. Gomez,¹⁹ T. Sculac,²⁰ T. Sculac,²⁰ T. Antunovic,²¹ M. Kovac,²¹ V. Briglievic,²² D. Ferencek,²² K. Kadija,²² B. Mesic,²² C. F. GOIIZalez Hernandez, J. D. Kulz Alvarez, ¹⁶ J. C. Sanabria,¹⁶ N. Godinovic,¹⁵ D. Lelas,²⁶ I. Puljak,²⁶
P. M. Ribeiro Cipriano,²⁰ T. Sculac,²⁰ Z. Antunovic,²¹ M. Kovac,²¹ V. Brigljevic,²² D. Ferencek,²² K. Kadija,²² B. Mesic,²²
T. Susa,²² M. W. Ather,²³ A. Attikis,²³ G. Mavromanolakis,²³ J. Mousa,²³ C. Nicolaou,²³ F. Ptochos,²³ P. A. Razis,²³
H. Rykaczewski,²³ M. Finger,^{24,h} M. Finger Jr.,^{24,h} E. Carrera Jarrin,²⁵ A. A. Abdelalim,^{26,i,j} Y. Mohammed,^{26,k}
E. Salama,^{26,l,m} M. Kadastik,²⁷ L. Perrini,²⁷ M. Raidal,²⁷ A. Tiko,²⁷ C. Veelken,²⁷ P. Eerola,²⁸ J. Pekkanen,²⁸
M. Voutilainen,²⁸ J. Härkönen,²⁹ T. Järvinen,²⁹ V. Karimäki,²⁹ R. Kinnunen,²⁹ T. Lampén,²⁹ K. Lassila-Perini,²⁹ S. Lehti,²⁹
T. Lindén,²⁹ P. Luukka,²⁹ J. Tuominiemi,²⁹ E. Tuovinen,²⁹ L. Wendland,²⁹ J. Talvitie,³⁰ T. Tuuva,³⁰ M. Besancon,³¹ F. Couderc,³¹ M. Dejardin,³¹ D. Denegri,³¹ B. Fabbro,³¹ J. L. Faure,³¹ C. Favaro,³¹ F. Ferri,³¹ S. Ganjour,³¹ S. Ghosh,³¹ A. Givernaud,³¹ P. Gras,³¹ G. Hamel de Monchenault,³¹ P. Jarry,³¹ I. Kucher,³¹ E. Locci,³¹ M. Machet,³¹ J. Malcles,³¹ J. Rander,³¹ A. Rosowsky,³¹ M. Titov,³¹ A. Abdulsalam,³² I. Antropov,³² S. Baffioni,³² F. Beaudette,³² P. Busson,³² L. Cadamuro,³² E. Chapon,³² C. Charlot,³² O. Davignon,³² R. Granier de Cassagnac,³² M. Jo,³² S. Lisniak,³² A. Lobanov,³² P. Miné,³² M. Nguyen,³² C. Ochando,³² G. Ortona,³² P. Paganini,³² P. Pigard,³² S. Regnard,³² R. Salerno,³² Y. Sirois,³² A. G. Stahl Leiton,³² T. Strebler,³² Y. Yilmaz,³² A. Zabi,³² A. Zghiche,³² J.-L. Agram,^{33,n} J. Andrea,³³ D. Bloch,³³
J.-M. Brom,³³ M. Buttignol,³³ E. C. Chabert,³³ N. Chanon,³³ C. Collard,³³ E. Conte,^{33,n} X. Coubez,³³ J.-C. Fontaine,^{33,n}
D. Gelé,³³ U. Goerlach,³³ A.-C. Le Bihan,³³ P. Van Hove,³³ S. Gadrat,³⁴ S. Beauceron,³⁵ C. Bernet,³⁵ G. Boudoul,³⁵ C. A. Carrillo Montoya,³⁵ R. Chierici,³⁵ D. Contardo,³⁵ B. Courbon,³⁵ P. Depasse,³⁵ H. El Mamouni,³⁵ J. Fay,³⁵ L. Finco,³⁵ S. Gascon,³⁵ M. Gouzevitch,³⁵ G. Grenier,³⁵ B. Ille,³⁵ F. Lagarde,³⁵ I. B. Laktineh,³⁵ M. Lethuillier,³⁵ L. Mirabito,³⁵ A. L. Pequegnot,³⁵ S. Perries,³⁵ A. Popov,^{35,0} V. Sordini,³⁵ M. Vander Donckt,³⁵ P. Verdier,³⁵ S. Viret,³⁵ A. Khvedelidze,^{36,h} Z. Tsamalaidze,^{37,h} C. Autermann,³⁸ S. Beranek,³⁸ L. Feld,³⁸ M. K. Kiesel,³⁸ K. Klein,³⁸ M. Lipinski,³⁸ M. Preuten,³⁸ C. Schomakers,³⁸ J. Schulz,³⁸ T. Verlage,³⁸ A. Albert,³⁹ M. Brodski,³⁹ E. Dietz-Laursonn,³⁹ D. Duchardt,³⁹ M. Endres,³⁹ M. Erdmann,³⁹ S. Erdweg,³⁹ T. Esch,³⁹ R. Fischer,³⁹ A. Güth,³⁹ M. Hamer,³⁹ T. Hebbeker,³⁹ C. Heidemann,³⁹ K. Hoepfner,³⁹ S. Knutzen,³⁹ M. Merschmeyer,³⁹ A. Meyer,³⁹ P. Millet,³⁹ S. Mukherjee,³⁹ M. Olschewski,³⁹ K. Padeken,³⁹ T. Pook,³⁹ M. Radziej, ³⁹ H. Reithler, ³⁹ M. Rieger, ³⁹ F. Scheuch, ³⁹ L. Sonnenschein, ³⁹ D. Teyssier, ³⁹ S. Thüer, ³⁹ V. Cherepanov, ⁴⁰ G. Flügge, ⁴⁰ B. Kargoll, ⁴⁰ T. Kress, ⁴⁰ A. Künsken, ⁴⁰ J. Lingemann, ⁴⁰ T. Müller, ⁴⁰ A. Nehrkorn, ⁴⁰ A. Nowack, ⁴⁰ C. Pistone, ⁴⁰ O. Pooth,⁴⁰ A. Stahl,^{40,p} M. Aldaya Martin,⁴¹ T. Arndt,⁴¹ C. Asawatangtrakuldee,⁴¹ K. Beernaert,⁴¹ O. Behnke,⁴¹ U. Behrens,⁴¹ A. A. Bin Anuar,⁴¹ K. Borras,^{41,q} A. Campbell,⁴¹ P. Connor,⁴¹ C. Contreras-Campana,⁴¹ F. Costanza,⁴¹ C. Diez Pardos,⁴¹ G. Dolinska,⁴¹ G. Eckerlin,⁴¹ D. Eckstein,⁴¹ T. Eichhorn,⁴¹ E. Eren,⁴¹ E. Gallo,^{41,r} J. Garay Garcia,⁴¹ A. Geiser,⁴¹ A. Gizhko,⁴¹ J. M. Grados Luyando,⁴¹ A. Grohsjean,⁴¹ P. Gunnellini,⁴¹ A. Harb,⁴¹ J. Hauk,⁴¹ M. Hempel,^{41,8}
 H. Jung,⁴¹ A. Kalogeropoulos,⁴¹ O. Karacheban,^{41,8} M. Kasemann,⁴¹ J. Keaveney,⁴¹ C. Kleinwort,⁴¹ I. Korol,⁴¹ D. Krücker,⁴¹ W. Lange,⁴¹ A. Lelek,⁴¹ T. Lenz,⁴¹ J. Leonard,⁴¹ K. Lipka,⁴¹ W. Lohmann,^{41,s} R. Mankel,⁴¹

PRE 119, 141802 (2017)
PRE 119, 141802 (2017 S. Kasolgiol, N. Loukas, ⁶⁷ N. Manthos, ⁶⁷ I. Papadopoulos, ⁶ E. Paradopoulos, ⁶⁸ E. Paradopoulos, ⁶⁹ C. Hajdu, ⁴⁹ D. Horvath, ⁴⁰*i* F. Sikler, ⁴⁹ V. Veszpremi, ⁴⁹ G. Wesztergombi, ⁴⁹⁰ A. J. Zsigmond, ⁴⁹ N. Beni, ⁵⁰ S. Czellar, ⁵⁰ J. Karancsi, ⁵⁰ X. A. Makovec, ⁵⁰ J. Molnar, ⁵⁰ Z. Szillasi, ⁵⁰ M. Bartok, ⁵¹ J. B. Singh, ⁴⁵ G. Waliz, ⁴⁴ S. S. Choudhary, ⁵⁵ S. Bansal, ⁵⁴ S. B. Beri, ⁵⁴ V. Bhatnagar, ⁵⁴ R. Chawla, ⁵⁴ U. Bhawandeep, ⁵⁴ A. K. Kalsi, ⁵⁴ A. Kaur, ⁴⁴ R. Kumar, ⁵⁵ S. Bahtacharya, ⁵⁵ S. Shownik, ⁵³ S. Malhora, ⁵⁵ S. Malhora, ⁵⁵ S. Dutt, ⁵⁶ S. May, ⁵⁶ S. Sarkar, ⁵⁶ M. Sharan, ⁵⁶ S. Takkur, ⁵⁰ P. Shuka, ⁵⁸ A. Topkar, ⁵⁵ A. Roy, ⁵⁶ D. Dutta, ⁵⁶ Y. J. na, ⁵⁸ S. Kov Chowdhury, ⁵⁶ S. Sarkar, ⁵⁶ M. Maiumdar, ⁵⁶ S. Sharkar, ⁵⁶ N. Majumdar, ⁵⁶ G. Maputo, ⁵⁶ S. Mathora, ⁵⁷ S. Banerjee, ⁶⁰ R. K. Dewanjee, ⁶⁰ S. Ganguly, ⁶⁰ G. Kumar, ⁶¹ S. Mushan, ⁶¹ S. Dutta, ⁵⁶ Y. J. na, ⁵⁸ S. Chouhan, ⁵⁸ S. B. Kumar, ⁶¹ G. Bardar, ⁵¹ S. Makur, ⁶¹ S. Matra, ⁶¹ S. Dutta, ⁵⁰ S. J. Nuckaramage, ⁶¹ S. Chauhan, ⁶¹ S. Dutta, ⁵¹ Y. Hegde, ⁶¹ A. Kapoor, ⁶¹ K. Kothekar, ⁶¹ S. Pandey, ⁶¹ A. Rane, ⁶¹ S. Sharmar, ⁶¹ S. Chauhan, ⁶¹ S. Dukar, ⁶² S. Muzo, ⁶⁴ G. Majuto, ⁶⁴ G. Maggi, ⁶⁴ G. Mainel, ⁶¹ M. Grunewald, ⁶¹ M. Grunewald, ⁶¹ M. Strang, ⁶⁴ S. Nuzzo, ⁶⁴ S. Nuzzo, ⁶⁴ S. Nuzzo, ⁶⁴ G. R. Caputo, ⁶⁴ G. Safarzadeh, ⁶² M. Zeinali, ⁶⁴ M. Maggi, ⁶⁴ G. Minello, ⁶⁴ K. Mapore, ⁶⁴ S.

A. T. Meneguzzo, ^{72a,72b} J. Pazzini, ^{72a,72b} N. Pozzobon, ^{72a,72b} P. Ronchese, ^{72a,72b} R. Rossin, ^{72a,72b} F. Simonetto, ^{72a,72b}
E. Torassa, ^{72a} M. Zanetti, ^{72a,72b} P. Zotto, ^{72a,72b} G. Zumerle, ^{72a,72b} A. Braghieri, ^{73a} F. Fallavollita, ^{73a,73b} A. Magnani, ^{73a,73b}
P. Montagna, ^{73a,73b} S. P. Ratti, ^{73a,73b} V. Re, ^{73a} M. Ressegotti, ^{73a} C. Riccardi, ^{73a,73b} P. Salvini, ^{73a} I. Vai, ^{73a,73b} P. Vitulo, ^{73a,73b}
L. Alunni Solestizi, ^{74a,74b} G. M. Bilei, ^{74a} D. Ciangottini, ^{74a,74b} L. Fanò, ^{74a,74b} P. Lariccia, ^{74a,74b} R. Leonardi, ^{74a,74b}
G. Mantovani, ^{74a,74b} V. Mariani, ^{74a,74b} M. Menichelli, ^{74a} A. Saha, ^{74a} A. Santocchia, ^{74a,74b} K. Androsov, ^{75a} P. Azzurri, ^{75a,p}
G. Bagliesi, ^{75a} J. Bernardini, ^{75a} T. Boccali, ^{75a} R. Castaldi, ^{75a} M. A. Ciocci, ^{75a,75b} R. Dell'Orso, ^{75a} G. Fedi, ^{75a} A. Giassi, ^{75a} M. T. Grippo, ^{75a,dd} F. Ligabue, ^{75a,75c} T. Lomtadze, ^{75a} L. Martini, ^{75a,75b} A. Messineo, ^{75a,75b} F. Palla, ^{75a} A. Rizzi, ^{75a,75b}
A. Savoy-Navarro, ^{75a,ee} P. Spagnolo, ^{75a} R. Tenchini, ^{75a} G. Tonelli, ^{75a,75b} A. Venturi, ^{75a} P. G. Verdini, ^{75a} L. Barone, ^{76a,76b}
F. Cavallari, ^{76a} M. Cipriani, ^{76a,76b} D. Del Re, ^{76a,76b, P} M. Diemoz, ^{76a} S. Gelli, ^{76a,76b} S. Rahatlou, ^{76a,76b} F. Margaroli, ^{76a,76b}
B. Marzocchi, ^{76a,76b} P. Meridiani, ^{76a} G. Organtini, ^{76a,76b} R. Paramatti, ^{76a,77b} M. Arneodo ^{77a,77c} N. Bartosik ^{77a} B. Marzocchi, ^{76a,76b} P. Meridiani, ^{76a} G. Organtini, ^{76a,76b} R. Paramatti, ^{76a,76b} F. Preiato, ^{76a,76b} S. Rahatlou, ^{76a,76b} C. Rovelli, ^{76a} F. Santanastasio, ^{76a,76b} N. Amapane, ^{77a,77b} R. Arcidiacono, ^{77a,77c, S} S. Argiro, ^{77a,77b} M. Arneodo, ^{77a,77c} N. Bartosik, ^{77a} R. Bellan, ^{77a,77b} C. Biino, ^{77a} N. Cartiglia, ^{77a} F. Cenna, ^{77a,77b} M. Costa, ^{77a,77b} R. Covarelli, ^{77a,77b} A. Degano, ^{77a,77b} N. Demaria, ^{77a} B. Kiani, ^{77a,77b} C. Mariotti, ^{77a} S. Maselli, ^{77a} E. Migliore, ^{77a,77b} R. Covarelli, ^{77a,77b} E. Monteil, ^{77a,77b} N. Demaria, ^{77a} B. Kiani, ^{77a,77b} C. Mariotti, ^{77a} S. Maselli, ^{77a} E. Migliore, ^{77a,77b} V. Monaco, ^{77a,77b} E. Monteil, ^{77a,77b} M. Monteno, ^{77a} M. M. Obertino, ^{77a,77b} L. Pacher, ^{77a,77b} N. Pastrone, ^{77a} M. Pelliccioni, ^{77a} G. L. Pinna Angioni, ^{77a,77b} F. Ravera, ^{77a,77b} A. Romero, ^{77a,77b} M. Ruspa, ^{77a,77c} R. Sacchi, ^{77a,77b} K. Shchelina, ^{77a,77b} V. Sola, ^{77a} A. Solano, ^{77a,77b} S. Belforte, ^{78a} M. Casarsa, ^{78a} F. Cossutti, ^{78a} G. Della Ricca, ^{78a,78b} A. Zanetti, ^{78a} D. H. Kim, ⁷⁹ G. N. Kim, ⁷⁹ M. S. Kim, ⁷⁹ J. Lee, ⁷⁹ S. Lee, ⁷⁹ S. W. Lee, ⁷⁹ Y. D. Oh, ⁷⁹ S. Sekmen, ⁷⁹ D. C. Son, ⁷⁹ Y. C. Yang, ⁷⁹ A. Lee, ⁸⁰ H. Kim, ⁸¹ J. A. Brochero Cifuentes, ⁸² J. Goh, ⁸² T. J. Kim, ⁸² S. Cho, ⁸³ S. Choi, ⁸³ Y. Go, ⁸³ D. Gyun, ⁸³ S. Ha, ⁸³ B. Hong, ⁸³ Y. Jo, ⁸³ Y. Kim, ⁸³ K. Lee, ⁸³ K. S. Lee, ⁸³ S. Lee, ⁸³ J. Lim, ⁸³ S. K. Park, ⁸³ Y. Roh, ⁸³ J. Almond, ⁸⁴ J. Kim, ⁸⁴ H. Lee, ⁸⁴ S. B. Oh, ⁸⁴ B. C. Radburn-Smith, ⁸⁴ S. Neg, ⁸⁴ U. K. Yang, ⁸⁴ H. D. Yoo, ⁸⁴ G. B. Yu, ⁸⁶ V. Dudenas, ⁸⁷ A. Juodagalvis, ⁸⁷ J. Vaitkus, ⁸⁷ I. Ahmed, ⁸⁸ Z. A. Ibrahim, ⁸⁸ M. A. B. Md Ali, ^{88,ff} F. Mohamad Idris, ^{88,gg} W. A. T. Wan Abdullah, ⁸⁸ M. N. Yusli, ⁸⁸ Z. Zolkapli, ⁸⁸ H. Castilla-Valdez, ⁸⁹ E. De La Cruz-Burelo, ⁸⁹ I. Heredia-De La Cruz, ^{89,hh} R. Lopez-Fernandez, ⁸⁹ R. Magaña Villalba, ⁸⁹ J. Mejia Guisao, ⁸⁹ A M. N. Tush, Z. Zolkaph, H. Casuna-valdez, E. De La Cluz-Burelo, T. Hercula-De La Cluz,
R. Lopez-Fernandez,⁸⁹ R. Magaña Villalba,⁸⁹ J. Mejia Guisao,⁸⁹ A. Sanchez-Hernandez,⁸⁹ S. Carrillo Moreno,⁹⁰
C. Oropeza Barrera,⁹⁰ F. Vazquez Valencia,⁹⁰ S. Carpinteyro,⁹¹ I. Pedraza,⁹¹ H. A. Salazar Ibarguen,⁹¹ C. Uribe Estrada,⁹¹
A. Morelos Pineda,⁹² D. Krofcheck,⁹³ P. H. Butler,⁹⁴ A. Ahmad,⁹⁵ M. Ahmad,⁹⁵ Q. Hassan,⁹⁵ H. R. Hoorani,⁹⁵
W. A. Khan,⁹⁵ A. Saddique,⁹⁵ M. A. Shah,⁹⁵ M. Shoaib,⁹⁵ M. Waqas,⁹⁵ H. Bialkowska,⁹⁶ M. Bluj,⁹⁶ B. Boimska,⁹⁶ W. A. Khall, A. Sadulque, M. A. Shall, M. Shoato, M. Waqas, H. Biakowska, M. Zhen, D. Dolmoka, T. Frueboes,⁶ M. Górski,⁹ M. Kazana,⁶ K. Nawrocki,⁶ K. Romanowska-Rybinska,⁶ M. Szleper,⁶ P. Zalewski,⁹ M. Olszewski,⁹⁷ A. Byszuk,^{97,11} K. Doroba,⁷⁷ A. Kalinowski,⁷⁷ M. Konecki,⁹⁷ J. Krolikowski,⁹⁷ A. Pyskir,⁹⁷ M. Walczak,⁹⁷ P. Bargassa,⁸ C. Beirão Da Cruz E Silva,⁸ B. Calpas,⁸⁸ A. Di Francesco,⁸⁸ P. Faccioli,⁸⁸ M. Gallinaro,⁸⁸ J. Leonardo,⁹⁸ L. Lloret Iglesias,⁹⁸ M. V. Nemallapudi,⁹⁸ J. Seixas,⁹⁸ O. Toldaiev,⁹⁸ D. Vadruccio,⁹⁸ J. Varela,⁸ S. Afanasiev,⁹⁹ P. Bunin,⁹⁹ M. Gavrilenko,⁹⁹ I. Golutvin,⁹⁹ I. Gorbunov,⁹⁰ A. Kamenev,⁹⁹ V. Karjavin,⁹⁹ A. Lanev,⁹⁹ A. Malakhov,⁹⁹ V. Matveev,^{99,ij,kk} V. Palichik,⁹⁰ V. Perelygin,⁹⁹ S. Shmatov,⁹⁰ S. Shulha,⁹⁹ N. Skatchkov,⁹⁰ V. Smirnov,⁹⁰ A. Malakhov,⁹⁰ V. Matveev,^{90,ij,kk} V. Palichik,⁹⁰ V. Colovtsov,¹⁰⁰ Y. Ivanov,¹⁰⁰ V. Kim,^{100,11} E. Kuznetsova,^{100,nm} V. Murzin,¹⁰⁰ V. Oreshkin,¹⁰⁰ V. Sulimov,¹⁰⁰ A. Vorobyev,¹⁰⁰ Yu. Andreev,¹⁰¹ A. Dermenev,¹⁰¹ S. Gninenko,¹⁰¹ N. Golubev,¹⁰¹ A. Karneyeu,¹⁰¹ M. Kirsanov,¹⁰¹ N. Krasnikov,¹⁰¹ A. Pashenkov,¹⁰¹ D. Tlisov,¹⁰² A. Spiridonov,¹⁰² M. Toms,¹⁰² E. Vlasov,¹⁰² A. Zhokin,¹⁰² T. Aushev,¹⁰³ A. Bylinkin,^{103,kk} R. Chistov,^{104,nn} M. Danilov,^{104,nn} S. Polikarpov,¹⁰⁴ V. Andreev,¹⁰⁵ M. Azarkin,^{105,kk} I. Dremin,^{105,kk} M. Kirakosyan,¹⁰⁵ A. Leonidov,^{105,kk} A. Terkulov,¹⁰⁵ A. Baskakov,¹⁰⁶ A. Belyaev,¹⁰⁶ E. Boos,¹⁰⁶ V. Bunichev,¹⁰⁶ M. Dubinin,^{106,00} L. Dudko,¹⁰⁶ A. Gribushin,¹⁰⁶ V. Klyukhin,¹⁰⁶ O. Kodolova,¹⁰⁶ I. Lokhtin,¹⁰⁶ I. Miagkov,¹⁰⁶ S. Obraztsov,¹⁰⁶ M. Perfilov,¹⁰⁶ S. Petrushanko,¹⁰⁶ V. Savrin,¹⁰⁶ V. Blinov,^{107,pp} Y. Skovpen,^{107,pp} D. Shtol,^{107,pp} I. Azhgirey,¹⁰⁸ I. Bayshev,¹⁰⁸ S. Bitioukov,¹⁰⁸ S. Troshin,¹⁰⁸ N. Tyurin,¹⁰⁸ A. Uzunian,¹⁰⁸ A. Volkov,¹⁰⁸ P. Adzic,^{109,q10} P. Cirkovic,¹⁰⁹ D. Devetak,¹⁰⁹ M. Dordevic,¹⁰⁹ J. Milosevic,¹⁰⁹ T. Frueboes,⁹⁶ M. Górski,⁹⁶ M. Kazana,⁹⁶ K. Nawrocki,⁹⁶ K. Romanowska-Rybinska,⁹⁶ M. Szleper,⁹⁶ P. Zalewski,⁹⁶ P. Garcia-Abia,¹¹⁰ O. Gonzalez Lopez,¹¹⁰ S. Goy Lopez,¹¹⁰ J. M. Hernandez,¹¹⁰ M. I. Josa,¹¹⁰ E. Navarro De Martino,¹¹⁰
 A. Pérez-Calero Yzquierdo,¹¹⁰ J. Puerta Pelayo,¹¹⁰ A. Quintario Olmeda,¹¹⁰ I. Redondo,¹¹⁰ L. Romero,¹¹⁰ M. S. Soares,¹¹⁰
 J. F. de Trocóniz,¹¹¹ M. Missiroli,¹¹¹ D. Moran,¹¹¹ J. Cuevas,¹¹² C. Erice,¹¹² J. Fernandez Menendez,¹¹²

 PRL 119, 141802 (2017)
 PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS
 6 OCTOBER 2017
 I. Gonzalez Caballero, ¹¹² J. R. González Fernández, ¹¹² E. Palencia Cortezon, ¹¹² S. Sanchez Cruz, ¹¹² I. Suárez Andrés, ¹¹² P. Vischia, ¹¹² J. M. Vizan Garcia, ¹¹² I. J. Cabrillo, ¹¹³ A. Calderon, ¹¹³ E. Curras, ¹¹³ M. Fernandez, ¹¹³ J. Garcia-Ferrero, ¹¹³ G. Gomez, ¹¹³ A. Lopez Virto, ¹¹³ J. Marco, ¹¹³ C. Martinez Rivero, ¹¹³ F. Matorras, ¹¹³ J. Piedra Gomez, ¹¹³ T. Rodrigo, ¹¹³ A. Ruiz-Jimeno, ¹¹³ L. Scodellaro, ¹¹³ N. Trevisani, ¹¹³ I. Vila, ¹¹³ R. Vilar Cortabitarte, ¹¹³ D. Abbaneo, ¹¹⁴ E. Auffray, ¹¹⁴ G. Auzinger, ¹¹⁴ P. Baillon, ¹¹⁴ A. H. Ball, ¹¹⁴ D. Barney, ¹¹⁴ P. Bloch, ¹¹⁴ A. Bocci, ¹¹⁴ C. Botta, ¹¹⁴ T. Camporesi, ¹¹⁴ R. Castello, ¹¹⁴ M. Cepeda, ¹¹⁴ G. Cerminara, ¹¹⁴ Y. Chen, ¹¹⁴ A. Cimmino, ¹¹⁴ D. d'Enterria, ¹¹⁴ A. Dabrowski, ¹¹⁴ V. Daponte, ¹¹⁴ A. David, ¹¹⁴ M. De Gruttola, ¹¹⁴ A. De Roeck, ¹¹⁴ E. Di Marco, ¹¹⁴ M. Dobson, ¹¹⁴ B. Dorney, ¹¹⁴ T. du Pree, ¹¹⁴ M. Dünser, ¹¹⁴ N. Dupont, ¹¹⁴ A. Elliott-Peisert, ¹¹⁴ P. Everaerts, ¹¹⁴ S. Fartoukh, ¹¹⁴ G. Franzoni, ¹¹⁴ J. Fulcher, ¹¹⁴ W. Funk, ¹¹⁴ D. Gigi, ¹¹⁴ K. Gill, ¹¹⁴ M. Girone, ¹¹⁴ F. Glege, ¹¹⁴ D. Gudhan, ¹¹⁴ S. Gundacker, ¹¹⁴ M. Guthoff, ¹¹⁴ P. Harris, ¹¹⁴ J. Hegeman, ¹¹⁴ V. Innocente, ¹¹⁴ P. Janot, ¹¹⁴ J. Kieseler, ¹¹⁴ H. Kirschenmann, ¹¹⁴ V. Kniuz, ¹¹⁴ A. Kornwayer, ¹¹⁴ M. Mannelli, ¹¹⁴ A. Martelli, ¹¹⁴ F. Meijers, ¹¹⁴ J. A. Merlin, ¹¹⁴ S. Mersi, ¹¹⁴ E. Perez, ¹¹⁴ M. Rovere, ¹¹⁴ M. Mannelli, ¹¹⁴ A. Martelli, ¹¹⁴ A. Martelli, ¹¹⁴ B. Surva, ¹¹⁴ A. Martelli, ¹¹⁴ L. Orsini, ¹¹⁴ L. Pape, ¹¹⁴ E. Perez, ¹¹⁴ M. Peruzzi, ¹¹⁴ A. Morvic, ¹¹⁴ M. Sauvan, ¹¹⁴ A. Racz, ¹¹⁴ T. Reis, ¹¹⁴ G. Rolandi, ^{114,M} M. Rovere, ¹¹⁴ H. Sakulin, ¹¹⁴ J. B. Sauvan, ¹¹⁴ H. Neugebauer, ¹¹⁴ M. Merini, ¹¹⁴ A. Racz, ¹¹⁴ T. Reis, ¹¹⁴ G. Rolandi, ^{114,M} M. Rovere, ¹¹⁴ H. Sa S. A. Wiederkehr,¹¹⁵ F. Bachmair,¹¹⁶ L. Bian,¹¹⁶ L. Bianchini,¹¹⁶ B. Casal,¹¹⁶ G. Dissertori,¹¹⁶ M. Dittmar,¹¹⁶ M. Donegà,¹¹⁶ C. Grab,¹¹⁶ C. Heidegger,¹¹⁶ D. Hits,¹¹⁶ J. Hoss,¹¹⁶ G. Kasieczka,¹¹⁶ W. Lustermann,¹¹⁶ B. Mangano,¹¹⁶ M. Marcionneau,¹¹⁶ P. Martinez Ruiz del Arbol,¹¹⁶ M. Masciovecchio,¹¹⁶ M. T. Meinhard,¹¹⁶ D. Neister,¹¹⁶ F. Micheli,¹¹⁶ P. Musella,¹¹⁶ F. Nessi-Tedaldi,¹¹⁶ F. Pandolfi,¹¹⁶ J. Pata,¹¹⁶ F. Pauss,¹¹⁶ G. Perrin,¹¹⁶ L. Perrozzi,¹¹⁶ M. Quittnat,¹¹⁶ M. Rossini,¹¹⁶ M. Schönenberger,¹¹⁶ A. Starodumov,¹¹⁶ w. V. R. Tavolaro,¹¹⁶ K. Theofilatos,¹¹⁶ R. Wallny,¹¹⁶ T. K. Aarrestad,¹¹⁷ C. Amsler,^{117,x1} L. Caminada,¹¹⁷ D. Pinna,¹¹⁷ G. Rauco,¹¹⁷ P. Robmann,¹¹⁷ D. Salerno,¹¹⁷ C. Seitz,¹¹⁷ Y. Yang,¹¹⁷ A. Zucchetta,¹¹⁷ V. Candelise,¹¹⁸ T. H. Doan,¹¹⁸ S. Lain,¹¹⁸ R. Khurana,¹¹⁸ M. Konyushikhin,¹¹⁸ C. M. Kuo,¹¹⁸ W. Lin,¹¹⁸ A. Pozdnyakov,¹¹⁸ S. S. Yu,¹¹⁸ Arun Kumar,¹¹⁹ P. Chang,¹¹⁹ Y. H. Chang,¹¹⁹ Y. Chao,¹¹⁹ K. F. Chen,¹¹⁹ P. H. Chen,¹¹⁹ F. Fiori,¹¹⁹ W.-S. Hou,¹¹⁹ Y. F. Liu,¹¹⁹ R.-S. Lu,¹¹⁹ M. Miñano Moya,¹¹⁹ E. Paganis,¹¹⁹ A. Psallidas,¹¹⁹ J. f. Tsai,¹¹⁹ B. Asavapibhop,¹²⁰ G. Singh,¹²⁰ N. Srimanobhas,¹²⁰ N. Suwonjandec,¹²⁰ A. Adiguzel,¹²¹ H. Boran,¹²¹ X. S. Demiroglu,¹²¹ C. Dozen,¹²¹ I. Dumanoglu,¹²¹ M. Oglakci,¹²¹ G. Onengut,^{121,bb} K. Ozdemir,^{121,ce} D. Sunar Cerci,^{121,5y} H. Topakli,^{121,ddd} S. Turkcapar,¹²¹ I. S. Zorbakir,¹²¹ G. Onengut,^{122,bb} K. Ozdemir,^{121,ce} D. Sunar Cerci,^{121,5y} T. Burs,¹²² D. Guilmez,¹²³ M. Kaya,^{123,ee} G. Karapinar,^{122,ff} M. Yalvac,¹²² M. Zeyrek,¹²² E. Gillmez,¹²³ M. Kaya,^{123,eeg} O. Kaya,^{123,bh} E. A. Yetkin,^{123,ff} A. Cakir,¹²⁴ K. Cankocak,¹²⁴ S. Surtkcapar,¹²¹ I. S. Zorbakir,¹²¹ C. Zorbilmi,¹²⁴ B. Bilin,¹²² B. Isildak,^{122,eee} G. Karapinar,^{122,ff} M. Yalvac,¹²² S. Cellement,¹²⁷ D. Cussans,¹²⁷ H. Flacher,¹²⁷ J. Goldstein,¹²⁷ P. Fell,¹²⁷ J. Beck,¹²⁷ J. Brooke J. Dittmann,¹³¹ K. Hatakeyama,¹³¹ H. Liu,¹³¹ N. Pastika,¹³¹ R. Bartek,¹³² A. Dominguez,¹³² A. Buccilli,¹³³ S. I. Cooper,¹³³ C. Henderson,¹³³ P. Rumerio,¹³³ C. West,¹³³ D. Arcaro,¹³⁴ A. Avetisyan,¹³⁴ T. Bose,¹³⁴ D. Gastler,¹³⁴ D. Rankin,¹³⁴ C. Richardson,¹³⁴ J. Rohlf,¹³⁴ L. Sulak,¹³⁴ D. Zou,¹³⁴ G. Benelli,¹³⁵ D. Cutts,¹³⁵ A. Garabedian,¹³⁵ J. Hakala,¹³⁵ U. Heintz,¹³⁵

<page-header><page-header><page-header>

 G. Gomez Ceballos,¹⁵⁸ M. Goncharov,¹⁵⁸ D. Hsu,¹⁵⁸ Y. Iyama,¹⁵⁸ G. M. Innocenti,¹⁵⁸ M. Klute,¹⁵⁸ D. Kovalskyi,¹⁵⁸
 K. Krajczar,¹⁵⁸ Y. S. Lai,¹⁵⁸ Y. J. Lee,¹⁵⁸ A. Levin,¹⁵⁸ P.D. Luckey,¹⁵⁸ B. Maier,¹⁵⁸ A. C. Marini,¹⁵⁸ C. Meginn,¹⁵⁸ C. Nephans,¹⁵⁸ X. Tatar,¹⁵⁸ D. Velicanu,¹⁵⁹ J. Wang,¹⁵⁸ T. W. Wang,¹⁵⁹ T. W. Wang,¹⁵⁹ T. W. Wang,¹⁵⁰ T. W. Katar,¹⁶⁰ Y. J. Staven,¹⁶¹ J. Staven,¹⁶¹ J. G. C. Fangmeier,¹⁶¹ R. Gonzalez Suarez,¹⁶¹ M. R. Kanalieddin,¹⁶¹ I. Kravchenko,¹⁶¹
 A. Mata Rodrigues,¹⁶¹ J. Monroy,¹⁶¹ D. S. Siado,¹⁶¹ G. R. Snow,¹⁶¹ D. Stay,¹⁶² T. Orimoto,¹⁶³ R. Teixeira De Lima,⁶³
 D. Trocino,¹⁶¹ R. J. Wang,¹⁶³ D. Wood,¹⁶⁴ S. Shattacharya,¹⁶⁴ O. Nabar,¹⁶³ T. Orimoto,¹⁶³ R. Teixeira De Lima,⁶³
 D. Trocino,¹⁶⁴ R. J. Wang,¹⁶⁴ M. Wesh,¹⁶⁵ J. Karnon,¹⁶⁵ N. Kallams,¹⁶⁵ K. Lannon,¹⁶⁵ N. Marinelli,¹⁶⁵ F. Merg,¹⁶⁵
 C. Mueller,¹⁶⁵ Y. Musienko,¹⁶⁵ D. J. Karmgard,¹⁶⁵ N. Kellams,¹⁶⁵ K. Lannon,¹⁶⁵ N. Marinelli,¹⁶⁵ F. Merg,¹⁶⁵
 C. Mueller,¹⁶⁵ Y. Musienko,¹⁶⁵ J. J. Karmagard,¹⁶⁵ N. Kellams,¹⁶⁵ K. Lannon,¹⁶⁵ N. Marinelli,¹⁶⁵ F. Merg,¹⁶⁵
 C. Mueller,¹⁶⁵ Y. Musienko,¹⁶⁵ J. J. Karmagard,¹⁶⁵ N. Kellams,¹⁶⁵ K. Lannon,¹⁶⁵ N. Marinelli,¹⁶⁵ F. Heng,¹⁶⁵
 Wayne,¹⁶⁵ M. Wait,¹⁶⁴ A. Woodard,¹⁶⁵ J. Alimena,¹⁶⁴ C. Lannoelfi,¹⁶⁶ B. Bylsma,¹⁶⁶ L. S. Durkin,¹⁶⁶ S. Flowers G. Gomez Ceballos,¹⁵⁸ M. Goncharov,¹⁵⁸ D. Hsu,¹⁵⁸ Y. Iiyama,¹⁵⁸ G. M. Innocenti,¹⁵⁸ M. Klute,¹⁵⁸ D. Kovalskyi,¹⁵⁸ K. Krajczar,¹⁵⁸ Y. S. Lai,¹⁵⁸ Y.-J. Lee,¹⁵⁸ A. Levin,¹⁵⁸ P. D. Luckey,¹⁵⁸ B. Maier,¹⁵⁸ A. C. Marini,¹⁵⁸ C. Mcginn,¹⁵⁸ D. Taylor,¹⁸⁰ and N. Woods¹⁸⁰

(CMS Collaboration)

¹Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia
 ²Institut für Hochenergiephysik, Wien, Austria
 ³Institute for Nuclear Problems, Minsk, Belarus
 ⁴National Centre for Particle and High Energy Physics, Minsk, Belarus
 ⁵Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium
 ⁶Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium
 ⁷Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

⁸Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

141802-13

⁹Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium ¹⁰Université de Mons, Mons, Belgium ¹¹Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Fisicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil ¹²Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil ^{13a}Universidade Estadual Paulista, São Paulo, Brazil ^{13b}Universidade Federal do ABC, São Paulo, Brazil ¹⁴Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Sofia, Bulgaria ¹⁵University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria ¹⁶Beihang University, Beijing, China ¹⁷Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China ¹⁸State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China ¹⁹Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia ²⁰University of Split, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, Split, Croatia ²¹University of Split, Faculty of Science, Split, Croatia ²²Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia ²³University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus ²⁴Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic ²⁵Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, Ecuador ²⁶Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt, Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics, Cairo, Egypt ²⁷National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia ²⁸Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland ²⁹Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland ³⁰Lappeenranta University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland ³¹IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France ³²Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Ecole polytechnique, CNRS/IN2P3, Université Paris-Saclay, Palaiseau, France ³³Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC UMR 7178, F-67000 Strasbourg, France ³⁴Centre de Calcul de l'Institut National de Physique Nucleaire et de Physique des Particules, CNRS/IN2P3, Villeurbanne, France ³⁵Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS-IN2P3, Institut de Physique Nucléaire de Lyon, Villeurbanne, France ³⁶Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia ³⁷Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia ³⁸RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany ³⁹RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany ⁴⁰RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany ⁴¹Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany ⁴²University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany ⁴³Institut für Experimentelle Kernphysik, Karlsruhe, Germany ⁴⁴Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPP), NCSR Demokritos, Aghia Paraskevi, Greece ⁴⁵National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece ⁴⁶National Technical University of Athens, Athens, Greece ⁴⁷University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece ⁴⁸MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary ⁴⁹Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary ⁵⁰Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary ⁵¹Institute of Physics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary ⁵²Indian Institute of Science (IISc), Bangalore, India ⁵³National Institute of Science Education and Research, Bhubaneswar, India ⁵⁴Panjab University, Chandigarh, India ⁵⁵University of Delhi, Delhi, India ⁵⁶Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Kolkata, India ⁵⁷Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Madras, India ⁵⁸Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai, India ⁵⁹Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-A, Mumbai, India ⁶⁰Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-B, Mumbai, India ⁶¹Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER), Pune, India ⁶²Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran
⁶³University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland ^{64a}INFN Sezione di Bari, Bari, Italy ^{64b}Università di Bari, Bari, Italy ⁶⁴cPolitecnico di Bari, Bari, Italy

^{65a}INFN Sezione di Bologna, Bologna, Italy ^{65b}Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy ^{66a}INFN Sezione di Catania, Catania, Italy ^{66b}Università di Catania, Catania, Italy ^{67a}INFN Sezione di Firenze, Firenze, Italy ^{67b}Università di Firenze, Firenze, Italy ⁶⁸INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy ^{69a}INFN Sezione di Genova, Genova, Italy ^{69b}Università di Genova, Genova, Italy ^{70a}INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy ^{70b}Università di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy ^{71a}INFN Sezione di Napoli, Roma, Italy ^{71b}Università di Napoli 'Federico II', Roma, Italy ^cUniversità della Basilicata, Roma, Italy ^{71d}Università G. Marconi, Roma, Italy ^{72a}INFN Sezione di Padova, Padova, Italy ^{72b}Università di Padova, Padova, Italy ^{72c}Università di Trento, Trento, Italy ^{73a}INFN Sezione di Pavia, Pavia, Italy ^{73b}Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy ^{74a}INFN Sezione di Perugia, Perugia, Italy ^{74b}Università di Perugia, Perugia, Italy ^{75a}INFN Sezione di Pisa, Pisa, Italy ^{75b}Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy ⁷⁵cScuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Pisa, Italy ^{ba}INFN Sezione di Roma, Roma, Italy ^{76b}Sapienza Università di Roma, Roma, Italy ^{77a}INFN Sezione di Torino, Torino, Italy ^{77b}Università di Torino, Torino, Italy ^{77c}Università del Piemonte Orientale, Novara, Italy ^{8a}INFN Sezione di Trieste, Trieste, Italy ^{78b}Università di Trieste, Trieste, Italy ⁷⁹Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea ⁸⁰Chonbuk National University, Jeonju, Korea ⁸¹Chonnam National University, Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Kwangju, Korea ²Hanyang University, Seoul, Korea ⁸³Korea University, Seoul, Korea ⁸⁴Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea ⁸⁵University of Seoul, Seoul, Korea ⁸⁶Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Korea ⁸⁷Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania ⁸⁸National Centre for Particle Physics, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia ⁸⁹Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, Mexico ⁹⁰Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico ⁹¹Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico ⁹²Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí, San Luis Potosí, Mexico ³University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand ⁹⁴University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand ⁹⁵National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan ⁹⁶National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland ⁹⁷Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland ⁹⁸Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, Portugal ⁹⁹Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia ¹⁰⁰Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina (St. Petersburg), Russia ¹⁰¹Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia ¹⁰²Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia ¹⁰³Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Moscow, Russia ¹⁰⁴National Research Nuclear University 'Moscow Engineering Physics Institute' (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia ¹⁰⁵P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia ¹⁰⁶Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia

¹⁰⁷Novosibirsk State University (NSU), Novosibirsk, Russia

¹⁰⁸State Research Center of Russian Federation, Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino, Russia ¹⁰⁹University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia ¹¹⁰Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain ¹¹¹Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain ¹¹²Universidad de Oviedo, Oviedo, Spain ¹¹³Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain ¹¹⁴CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland ¹¹⁵Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland ¹¹⁶Institute for Particle Physics, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland ¹¹⁷Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland ¹¹⁸National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan ¹¹⁹National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan ¹²⁰Chulalongkorn University, Faculty of Science, Department of Physics, Bangkok, Thailand ¹²¹Cukurova University, Physics Department, Science and Art Faculty, Adana, Turkey ¹²²Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey ¹²³Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey ¹²⁴Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey ¹²⁵Institute for Scintillation Materials of National Academy of Science of Ukraine, Kharkov, Ukraine ²⁶National Scientific Center, Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkov, Ukraine ¹²⁷University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom ¹²⁸Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom Imperial College, London, United Kingdom ¹³⁰Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom ¹³¹Baylor University, Waco, Texas, USA ¹³²Catholic University of America, Washington DC, USA ¹³³The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, Alabama, USA ¹³⁴Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA ¹³⁵Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island, USA ¹³⁶University of California, Davis, Davis, California, USA ¹³⁷University of California, Los Angeles, California, USA ¹³⁸University of California, Riverside, Riverside, Riverside, USA ¹³⁹University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, USA ¹⁴⁰University of California, Santa Barbara - Department of Physics, Santa Barbara, California, USA California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA ¹⁴²Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA ¹⁴³University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, Colorado, USA ¹⁴⁴Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, USA ¹⁴⁵Fairfield University, Fairfield, Connecticut, USA ¹⁴⁶Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois, USA ¹⁴⁷University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, USA ¹⁴⁸Florida International University, Miami, Florida, USA ¹⁴⁹Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida, USA ¹⁵⁰Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, Florida, USA ¹⁵¹University of Illinois at Chicago (UIC), Chicago, Illinois, USA ¹⁵²The University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA ¹⁵³Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, USA ¹⁵⁴The University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas, USA ¹⁵⁵Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas, USA ¹⁵⁶Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California, USA ¹⁵⁷University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA ¹⁵⁸Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA ¹⁵⁹University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA ¹⁶⁰University of Mississippi, Oxford, Mississippi, USA ¹⁶¹University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA ¹⁶²State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, New York, USA ¹⁶³Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA ¹⁶⁴Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois, USA ¹⁶⁵University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana, USA ¹⁶⁶The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, USA

¹⁶⁷Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey, USA

¹⁶⁸University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, Puerto Rico, USA

¹⁶⁹Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA

¹⁷⁰Purdue University Northwest, Hammond, Indiana, USA

¹⁷¹Rice University, Houston, Texas, USA

¹⁷²University of Rochester, Rochester, New York, USA

¹⁷³Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, New Jersey, USA

¹⁷⁴University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee, USA

¹⁷⁵Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, USA

- ¹⁷⁶Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas, USA
- ¹⁷⁷Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee, USA

¹⁷⁸University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia, USA

¹⁷⁹Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan, USA

¹⁸⁰University of Wisconsin - Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, USA

^aDeceased.

- ^bAlso at Vienna University of Technology, Vienna, Austria.
- ^cAlso at State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China.

^dAlso at Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil.

^eAlso at Universidade Federal de Pelotas, Pelotas, Brazil.

- ^fAlso at Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium.
- ^gAlso at Universidad de Antioquia, Medellin, Colombia.
- ^hAlso at Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia.
- ⁱAlso at Helwan University, Cairo, Egypt.
- ^JAlso at Zewail City of Science and Technology, Zewail, Egypt.
- ^kAlso at Fayoum University, El-Fayoum, Egypt.
- ¹Also at British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt.
- ^mAlso at Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt.
- ⁿAlso at Université de Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France.
- ^oAlso at Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia.
- ^pAlso at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland.
- ^qAlso at RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany.
- ^rAlso at University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany.
- ^sAlso at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany.
- ^tAlso at Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary.
- ^uAlso at MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary.
- ^vAlso at Institute of Physics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary.
- ^wAlso at IIT Bhubaneswar, Bhubaneswar, India.
- ^xAlso at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India.
- ^yAlso at Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, India.
- ^zAlso at University of Ruhuna, Matara, Sri Lanka.
- ^{aa}Also at Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran.
- ^{bb}Also at Yazd University, Yazd, Iran.
- ^{cc}Also at Plasma Physics Research Center, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran.
- ^{dd}Also at Università degli Studi di Siena, Siena, Italy.
- ee Also at Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA.
- ^{ff}Also at International Islamic University of Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- ^{gg}Also at Malaysian Nuclear Agency, MOSTI, Kajang, Malaysia.
- ^{hh}Also at Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, Mexico city, Mexico.
- ⁱⁱAlso at Warsaw University of Technology, Institute of Electronic Systems, Warsaw, Poland.
- ^{jj}Also at Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia.
- ^{kk}Also at National Research Nuclear University 'Moscow Engineering Physics Institute' (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia.
- ¹¹Also at St. Petersburg State Polytechnical University, St. Petersburg, Russia.
- ^{mm}Also at University of Florida, Gainesville, USA.
- ⁿⁿAlso at P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia.
- ⁰⁰Also at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA.
- ^{pp}Also at Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk, Russia.
- ^{qq}Also at Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia.
- ^{rr}Also at INFN Sezione di Roma, Sapienza Università di Roma, Rome, Italy.
- ^{ss}Also at University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia.

- ^{tt}Also at Scuola Normale e Sezione dell'INFN, Pisa, Italy.
- ^{uu}Also at National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece.
- ^{vv}Also at Riga Technical University, Riga, Latvia.
- ^{ww}Also at Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia.
- ^{xx}Also at Albert Einstein Center for Fundamental Physics, Bern, Switzerland.
- ^{yy}Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey.
- ^{zz}Also at Istanbul Aydin University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ^{aaa}Also at Mersin University, Mersin, Turkey.
- bbb Also at Cag University, Mersin, Turkey.
- ^{ccc} Also at Piri Reis University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ddd Also at Gaziosmanpasa University, Tokat, Turkey.
- eee Also at Ozyegin University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- fff Also at Izmir Institute of Technology, Izmir, Turkey.
- ^{ggg}Also at Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- hhh Also at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey.
- ⁱⁱⁱAlso at Istanbul Bilgi University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ⁱⁱⁱAlso at Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ^{kkk}Also at Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey.
- ¹¹¹Also at Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom.
- mmm Also at School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom.
- ⁿⁿⁿAlso at Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, La Laguna, Spain.
- ⁰⁰⁰Also at Utah Valley University, Orem, USA.
- ^{ppp}Also at Beykent University.
- ^{qqq}Also at Erzincan University, Erzincan, Turkey.
- ^{rrr}Also at Mimar Sinan University, Istanbul, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ^{sss}Also at Texas A&M University at Qatar, Doha, Qatar.
- ^{ttt}Also at Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea.