DOI 10.1007/s00244-016-0298-0

RESEARCH ARTICLE	•	Formatted: Left, Line spacing:
		Double
Trace metals in Noah's Ark shells (<i>Arca noae</i> Linnaeus, 1758)——impact of tourist		
season and human health risk		
Dušica Ivanković ^a , Marijana Erk ^{a*} , Ivan Župan ^b , Jelena Čulin ^c , Zrinka Dragun ^a , Niko Bačić ^a ,		
and Ana-Marija Cindrić ^a		
		Formatted: Line spacing: Double
^a -Ruđer Bošković Institute, Division for Marine and Environmental Research, Bijenička c. 54,	•	Formatted: Left, Line spacing: Double
P.OBox 180, HR-10002 Zagreb, Croatia		
^b -University of Zadar, Department of Ecology, Agronomy and Aquaculture, Trg Kneza		
Višeslava 9, HR-23000 Zadar, Croatia		
^c -University of Zadar, Maritime Department, Mihovila Pavlinovića 1, HR-23000 Zadar,		
Croatia		
		Formetted, Line appeing: Double
		Formatteu: Line spacing. Double
* <u>C</u> eorrespond <u>ence toing author;</u> e-mail: erk@irb.hr	•	Formatted: Left, Line spacing:
$-$ phone: $\pm 385.1.45680216$ fax: $\pm 385.1.4680242$		Double
, prote. +303 1 43000210, tax. +303 1 4000242		
	•	Formatted: Left, Line spacing: Double, Tab stops: 0.21 cm, Left
	•	Formatted: Left, Line spacing:
		Double

Acknowledgement: This work was supported by the Ministry of Science, Education and Sport of the Republic of Croatia under Grant 098-0982934-2721.

Abstract

Commercially important bivalve Noah's Ark shell (*Arca noae* Linnaeus, 1758) represents a high_quality seafood product, but the data on levels of metal contaminants that could pose a human health risk and also on some essential elements that are important for health protection are lacking. This study examineds the concentrations of Cd, Pb, Cr, Ni, Cu, Co₂ and Zn in the soft tissue of *A. noae* from harvesting area in the central Adriatic Sea, to survey whether heavy metals are within the acceptable limits for public health and whether tourism could have an impact on them. The concentrations of analysed metals varied for Cd: 0.15-0.74, Pb: 0.06-0.26, Cr: 0.11-0.34, Ni: 0.09-0.22, Cu: 0.65-1.95, Co: 0.04-0.09, and Zn: 18.3-74.7 mg/kg wet weight. These levels were lower than the permissible limits for safe consummation of seafood, and only for Cd, some precautions should be taken into account if older shellfish were consumed. Increase of Cd, Cr_a and Cu in shell tissue was observed during the tourist season at the site closest to the marine traffic routes, indicating that metal levels in shellfish tissue should be <u>monitored</u> especially carefully <u>monitored</u> during the peak tourist season₇ to prevent eventual toxic effects due to increased intake of metals, specifically of Cd.

Keywords: <u>T</u>trace metals; <u>S</u>shellfish consumption; <u>H</u>health risk; <u>A</u>enthropogenic impact; Adriatic Sea

1. Introduction

Noah's Ark shell (*Arca noae* Linnaeus, 1758), a bivalve species of the family Arcidae, represents one of the important shellfish species that are commercially exploited throughout the entire Mediterranean region (Župan et al. 2012), even though the harvested amounts are relatively small due to the specific way of collecting. Between 1991 and 2000, increased

Formatted: Line spacing: Double

Formatted: Left, Line spacing: Double

Formatted: Left, Right: 0 cm, Line spacing: Double

Formatted: Left, Line spacing: Double demand and capture of various species of the family Arcidae took place on a global scale (FAO 2014). Along the eastern Adriatic coast, *A. noae* represents one of the commercially important species besides Mediterranean scallop (*Pecten jacobaeus*), warty venus (*Venus verrucosa*), and clam (*Ruditapes decussatus*) that are harvested entirely from natural populations (Župan et al. 2012).

As a consequence of the increasing awareness that consumption of bivalves has the same beneficial effects on health and well-being as fish that are high in omega-3s fatty acids, marine bivalves became commercially important species. Data on the biochemical and fatty acid composition of Noah's Ark shell tissue indicated it would be an excellent source of n-3 fatty acids, especially eicosapentaenoic (20:5n-3) and docosahexaenoic (22:6n-3) acid (Dupčić Radić et al. 2014), and as such it represents a high quality seafood product. Enhanced activities connected with tourism along the Croatian coast affected the increase in the seafood market and intensified the harvesting of natural populations of *A. noae*. Specifically, the increase in consumption of *A. noae* occurs in the peak tourist season (peak tourist months on the Adriatic coast in Croatia are from June until¹ August). Due to this fact, this species of shellfish become interesting for commercial exploitation in the future, and recently, the first preliminary studies on the Noah's Ark shell potential for introduction into integrated aquaculture were performed earried out (Župan et al. 2014).

Generally speaking, bivalves that are basically sedentary are suitable indicator species of coastal pollution, because their body contaminant burdens normally can be attributed to local pollution sources or locally polluted water and sediment. They give time-integrated information on the bioavailability of chemicals in the water column and sediments (Regoli, 1998), and they are known to accumulate high levels of metals in their tissues and yet survive

in contaminated environments (Goldberg et al. 1978; Cantillo 1998). *A. noae* lives attached by solid byssus on rocks on all types of bottom that contain hard substrate and occurs either as solitary individual or in clumps. It filters the seawater in order to perform respiration and feeding, and consequently it efficiently accumulates nutrients, but also various toxins from the environment. As a slow_growing organism, *A. noae* can obtain length between 70 and 90 mm and live up to 16 years (Peharda et al. 2002 and 2003), but in unexploited populations the largest specimens with 120.3 mm in length and 24 years old were observed as well (Puljas et al. 2015). Therefore, *A. noae* could be a very efficient accumulator of various contaminants, including heavy metals, and it could be potentially a good bioindicator of a long_term metal contamination in the coastal marine ecosystems.

The presence of metals in the environment is a result of their natural origin on one hand-and of the human activities on the other, and such anthropogenic pollution in particular affects coastal ecosystems. Main sources of anthropogenic impact in coastal areas are the residence in the coastal zone, fisheries and aquaculture, shipping, tourism, and land-use practices (agriculture, industrial development). Unlike other bivalve species, for which, there are a lot of data on concentrations of metals (Eisler 2010), studies on metal concentrations in soft tissue of Noah's Ark shells are generally lacking. <u>A-and a</u>ccording to our knowledge, only two short reports on concentrations of several trace metals in this shellfish have been published (Ozretić et al. 1990; Cuculić et al. 2010).

The current study area includes one of the most important natural habitats of *A. noae* in central Adriatic, the area of the Pašman channel (Peharda Uljević 2008). This area <u>also</u> represents <u>also</u>-the main harvesting area for Noah's Ark shells in the central Adriatic. However, this sea region is under considerable anthropogenic pressure of municipal and

4

industrial wastewaters, and organisms living there could be exposed to contaminants originating from different sources. Additionally, an increase in tourism activities, especially in nautical tourism during the peak tourist season could significantly contribute to the potential contamination in general (Favro and Gržetić 2008), but also in this specific harvesting area. Tourist season is characterized by a temporary increase in number of local inhabitants, as well as intensification of marine traffic, which can lead to increased water contamination due to more abundant municipal wastewaters during summer months, as well as due to leaching of specific metals, such as Cr, Cu₂ and Zn₂ from antifouling paints and chromate coated parts of boats.

Noah's Ark shells, like other shellfish that are harvested from natural populations, very often are not subjected to any systematic monitoring. Consequently, consumption of such uncontrolled seafood could pose a significant risk to human health (Bogdanović et al. 2014). Until now, there were no comprehensive studies on metal composition and safety issues regarding potential metal toxicity due to consumption of Noah's Ark shellfish. Therefore, the present study can provide baseline data for future assessment of the quality of these shellfish as a food.

Accordingly, the <u>purpose aim</u> of this study was to provide the data about the metal levels in *A. noae* that could pose a human health risk. In particular, the aim of this study was <u>designed</u> to determine the concentrations of Cd, Pb, Cr, Ni, Cu, Co₂ and Zn in the whole soft tissue of Noah's Ark shells sampled from harvesting areas with different levels of potential anthropogenic impacts in order to evaluate the public health risk associated with the consumption of these shellfish as a valuable seafood item. These specific metals were chosen due to their possible toxicity (Cd, Pb, and Ni; EC 2006; EPCEU 2013), their frequent

5

occurrence in marine environment as a consequence of leaching from boat parts and antifouling paints (Cr, Cu, and Zn; Mihelčić et al. 2010; Singh and Turner 2009; Turner 2010; Ytreberg et al. 2010), as well as due to their physiological importance for marine organisms (Cu, Zn, and Co). The levels of metals were evaluated in relation to the maximum limits prescribed by international regulations, and the information was provided on the amount of Noah's Ark shellfish that can be safely consumed. In addition, the potential impact of nautical tourism on the level of heavy metals in *A. noae* was evaluated by comparing the metal concentrations in two different periods concerning the intensity of tourist activities, the offseason (period from March toill May), and the peak tourist season (period from June toill August).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study area and sampling

The study area is located in the central Adriatic Sea in Croatia, where three sampling sites were selected, as shown in Fig. 1. Two sampling sites were selected in the Pašman channel (PC), the harvesting area of *A. noae*, which is spreading in the northwest–southeast direction between the coastline and the island Pašman. Sampling site PC1 (at the depth of 12-15 m) was located in the proximity of the coast, whereasile site PC2 (at the depth of 5-7 m) was located close to the island Pašman. The expected anthropogenic impact on PC1 and PC2 sampling sites originated from several sources: 1) municipal wastewaters of the town Biograd (hospital and marina) in the southeast, which are released into the marine water without prior treatment; 2) municipal and industrial wastewaters of the city of Zadar (international harbour_______ passengers and transport of chemicals) in the northwest, which are released into the marine water after the treatment in the wastewater treatment plant by undersea outlet, which is placed at the depth of 40 m and distance of approximately 400 m from the shore; 3) the sewerage of

Pašman island settlements, which is released directly into the marine water, without any pretreatment; 4) the vicinity of major marine transportation routes. Third sampling site was selected in the Nature park Telašćica (TE; at the depth of 10-14 m) located on the island Dugi otok₇ and represented the site of expectedly lower anthropogenic impact.

The Noah's Ark shells from natural populations were sampled in the off-season (once per month in March, April, and May 2013) and in the peak tourist season (once per month in June, July, and August 2013) by SCUBA diving and transported in dark and cool containers to the laboratory within 6 hours, where they were immediately washed with seawater and the whole soft tissue was dissected using stainless steel equipment. The shellfish were not depurated prior to dissection, although some researchers recommend this procedure prior to metal analyses. However, it has been shown that effect of depuration depends on the bivalve species, as well as on the metal itself, consequently resulting in the decrease of some metals in the bivalve tissues as opposed to increase of the others (Anacleto et al. 2015). An additional reason why the depuration was not applied in this study refers to the fact that in Croatia, as for now, A. noae shellfish are placed on the market directly, without prior depuration. O, and our purposeaim was to evaluate actual metal content in the shellfish tissue to which the final consumers are exposed. Therefore, nine individuals per station per sampling were randomly selected, and their whole soft tissue was combined, without prior depuration, in three composite samples for the heavy metal analysis. Each composite sample was composed of whole soft tissues of three individuals. The length (TE: 8.4 ± 0.4 cm, PC1: 7.6 ± 0.6 cm, PC2: 8.2 ± 0.4 cm; mean $\pm SD$; n = 54) and the total mass (TE: 61.0 ± 5.5 g, PC1: 41.4 ± 7.1 g, PC2: 61.0 ± 9.0 g; mean \pm SD; n = 54) of the individuals were recorded during the study.

The sediment sampling was performed by SCUBA diving within the same area where *A. noae* specimens were collected. To ensure that the sample is fully representative of the substrate, according to the recommendations by Loring and Rantala (1992), five sampling spots were chosen in the diameter of 10 m. On each spot, 0.5 kg of surface and near surface sediment was taken using plastic spatula and stored into plastic bag taking care to avoid the leakage of fine-grained sediment from the spatula and bag. In this way, one composite sample of approximately 2.5 kg of the surface sediment was sampled at each of three selected sites on one occasion, in March 2013. Samples were transported to the laboratory at 4_°C and then stored at _-20_°C until analysis. The purpose_aim-of sediment sampling was to perform preliminary characterization of shellfish habitat.

Two seawater samples (one in March and <u>an</u>other in June 2013) were sampled by SCUBA diving in acid-cleaned perflouroalcoxy polymer (PFA) bottles (volume 1 L) from the same depth as the shells with the <u>goalaim</u> to obtain general insight in dissolved concentrations of studied metals in the seawater in each season. Seawater samples were transported to the laboratory at 4_°C within 48 hours, and immediately upon arrival filtered through 0.45<u>-</u>µm pore filter, acidified, UV digested for at least 24_h (250<u>-</u>W Hg_-lamp), and stored in polyethylene containers (4_°C) until analysed (Omanović et al. 2006).

2.2. Metal analyses in whole soft tissues of A. noae, water and sediment

Wet digestions of arkshell tissues were performed in duplicate by weighing approximately 100 mg <u>of</u> homogenized freeze-dried (the average water content in soft tissue was ~80%) whole soft tissues of *A. noae* with a mixture of 5 mL HNO₃ (65% Suprapur, Merck) and 1 mL H_2O_2 (30% Suprapur, Merck) in a tightly capped Teflon vials by heating at 80_°C for 3_h (mild digestion using single-step simulation of hot-plate method). Digested samples were

quantitatively transferred into the volumetric flasks of 50 mL, filled to the mark with deionised water (Milli-Q, 18.2 M Ω cm), and stored in polyethylene bottles until analysed. Procedural blank samples <u>also</u> were <u>also</u> prepared and analysed.

Sediment samples were defrosted, homogenized by mixing, and dried in the laboratory to constant weight at 105_°C, put through 1_-mm sieve, and the resulting <1_-mm fraction ground to dust in agate mortar. Wet digestion of sediments was performed by weighing approximately 100 mg of samples using a mixture of 4 mL HNO₃ (65% Suprapur, Merck), 1 mL HCl (30% Suprapur, Merck) and 1 mL HF (40% Suprapur, Merck) in the first step, and 6 mL 4% H₃BO₄ (Sigma) in the second step in a microwave digestion system (Multiwave 3000, Anton Paar) (EPA 2007).

Analyses of metals in digested Noah's Ark shell tissues and sediments were performed using high_-resolution inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer (HR ICP-MS, Element 2, Thermo Finnigan) using an autosampler (ASX 510, Cetac Technologies) and sample introduction kit consisting of SeaSpray nebulizer and cyclonic spray chamber Twister. Prior to measurements indium (Fluka) was added to all samples as, an internal standard (1 µg L⁻¹). Measurements of ¹¹¹Cd and ²⁰⁸Pb were operated in low-resolution mode, whereas ⁵²Cr, ⁶⁰Ni, ⁶³Cu, ⁵⁹Co, and ⁶⁶Zn were measured in medium resolution mode. External calibration was performed using standards prepared in 2% HNO₃ (Suprapur, Merck) by appropriate dilutions of 100 mg L⁻¹ multielement stock standard solution (Analytika).

Quality of analytical performance of the laboratories of Division for Marine and Environmental Research was confirmed by successful participation in laboratory performance evaluation studies conducted by international bodies UNEP GEMS (Global Environmental Monitoring System) and IAEA (International Atomic Energy Agency), which gave reliability of the results obtained in this study. Standard reference material IAEA-452 (scallop tissue, International Atomic Energy Agency Reference Materials) and certified reference material MESS-3 (marine sediment, National Research Council of Canada) for trace elements were analysed for quality control check for the determination of metals in the Noah's Ark shell tissues and for the determination of metals in the sediments, respectively (Table 1). In this study, the range of good agreement with the reference material was set at $\pm 30\%$ for the soft tissue of Noah's Ark shell. All measured metal concentrations were within this range except Zn concentrations. Therefore, Zn concentrations were recalculated using the respective correction factor.

In the seawater, the concentrations of total dissolved Cd, Ni, Cu, Co₂ and Zn were determined by means of standard addition method using differential pulse anodic stripping voltammetry (DPASV) as described by Omanović et al. (2006) and Cukrov et al. (2008). Standard addition plot was constructed with 5 points (sample and four additions of respective metal standard —Cd, Ni, Cu, Co₂ and Zn). A duplicate measurement at each metal standard concentration was performed in order to enhance the quality of analysis. A certified Seawater Reference Material for Trace Metals – NASS-5 (NRC CNRC) was analysed for quality control check (Table 1). All determined metal concentrations were within the certified ranges.

2.3. Data analysis

Data analysis was <u>performed carried out</u> using the Statistica 8 (StatSoft Inc.) software package. Independent sample <u>*t*</u>-test was used to evaluate differences between two studied periods for a particular location. In order to take into account the possible influence of the differences in shellfish size from different sampling sites on the comparison of tissue metal

Formatted: Font: Italic

contents at various sampling locations in each period, an analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) using a shell length as a covariate was used. If the ANCOVA was significant ($p_<0.05$), then, specific differences between sampling locations were tested using the LSD post_-hoc test of multiple comparisons. The significance level was set at $p_<0.05$.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Accumulation of heavy metals in soft tissue of Noah's Ark shells

Heavy metal concentrations (mg/kg of tissue dry weight, d.w.) determined in the whole soft tissue of Noah's Ark shells in the off-season and the peak tourist season period at the studied sites are shown in Table 2. Significant differences between sites in the tissue concentrations of Pb and Cr in both periods and Cd and Zn in the off-season period were observed. There were no significant differences between sites in the tissue content of Ni, Cu₂ and Co in any period.

In both periods, Cd and Cr concentrations were the highest in the soft tissues of shells from the TE location, followed by PC2 and PC1 locations, but statistically significant only for Cr in both periods and for Cd in off-season (Table 2). That was unexpected <u>since-because</u> TE was considered to be under the lowest anthropogenic influence, because of its location in protected area (the Nature park). However, somewhat increased Ni and Cu concentrations in sediment <u>also</u> were <u>also</u> found at TE location, whereas Cr concentration was the highest at PC2, followed by TE location (Table 3). It could probably be related to the boating activities and leaching from the chromate coated parts of boats and yachts, as it has previously been observed by Mihelčić et al. (2010) at the anchorage points in Telašćica aquatorium, which is visited by nearly hundred thousand tourists during the year (e.g., in 2014 31,900 individual visitors accessed the park on nautical vessels and 67,954 visitors arrived by organised tourist boats from Zadar and Biograd, Telašćica, 2015). Still, based on our preliminary data, Cd and Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Cr concentrations in sediment (Table 3), as well as Cd in water (Table 4), were not the highest at TE location, and therefore differences in the content of tissue Cd and Cr between sites (Table 2) probably should not be attributed to different levels of environmental exposure. Various abiotic and biotic factors <u>also</u> can <u>also</u>-affect the metal content in shellfish tissue (Phillips and Rainbow 1994; Ivanković et al. 2005). In shellfish, some metals, such as, for example Ag and Cd, show an increase in concentration with age (Cain and Luoma 1990; Raspor et al. 2004). However, this weight (age)-dependant increase in the concentration of metals will be present only if shellfish growth is slow relative to the rate of accumulation of a metal (Phillips 1976).

It is known that *A. noae* is a slow growing species requiring three to seven years to reach a commercial length of 50 mm (Peharda et al. 2002; 2003). Peharda et al. (2002) found that the length of 7 cm corresponds to the age of 16 years. In our work, the length of Noah's Ark shells ranged mostly between 7 and 8.5 cm_a indicating that the relatively old populations of shellfish were sampled. In addition, significant differences between localities in the length and weight of the shellfish were observed. Considering that longer (>-8 cm) and heavier (~-60 g), and thus older individuals were sampled at TE and PC2 locations than at the location PC1 (in average 7.6 cm and 41 g), higher concentrations of Cd, which have a tendency to accumulate in shellfish tissue, could be expected in soft tissue of the shells from these two sites. Indeed, our results have confirmed this anticipation, because higher Cd concentrations were found at TE site. When comparison between sites was made using shell size as a covariate, the differences ceased to be significant in peak-tourist season (Table 2), confirming shellfish size as probably important predictive factor for Cd accumulation.

Regarding the concentrations of Pb in both periods and Zn in off-season period, significantly higher values (25-40%) were measured in soft tissue of Noah's Ark shells from PC2 location compared with to-the other two locations (Table 2). Same as in the case of Cd and Cr, it could not be associated to variations in metal exposure (Tables 3-4).

Our finding that metal concentrations in shellfish soft tissue could not be associated to metal exposure from the water and sediment is not surprising, considering that at all three studied locations concentrations of metals in sediments were in the range of unpolluted eastern Adriatic coast sediments, or even lower. That was determined by comparison of preliminary data on heavy metal concentrations in sediments obtained in this study with previously published metal concentrations in sediments of the Adriatic Sea that were considered as relatively unpolluted (Table 3; Dolenec et al. 1998; Mihelčić et al. 2010). Furthermore, when comparing preliminary data on metal concentrations in seawater from this study with those from the recommended marine water_quality criteria for the protection of aquatic life and human health (US EPA 2009), i.e., with the maximum contaminant level (MCL) values (Table 4), it was obvious that in both samplings measured metal concentrations were well below concentrations at which adverse effects could be expected to humans as the final consumers of the seafood.

Regarding two studied seasons, significantly higher values in the peak tourist season compared to the off-season period were observed only for Cd, Cr and Cu on PC1 location (Table 2). <u>Since-Because PC1</u> site is located near the coast and in the vicinity of major marine transportation routes, the intensification of marine traffic during the tourist season could contribute to increased exposure to metals in shellfish from this location, which can be partly corroborated by preliminary water analyses performed in two seasons (Table 4).

Comparison with metal concentrations in the surface waters from the open sea in the eastern Adriatic, which were considered as unpolluted seawaters (Cuculić et al. 2009), showed that seawater at all three studied sampling sites in March could be considered as unpolluted. At all locations, an increase in the concentrations of metals in seawater in June compared to March was observed for both Cd (1.3-2 times) and Cu (2--3 times), whereas Cr, due to analytical difficulties, was not measured. It was an indication of possible anthropogenic impact due to increased tourist activities during the summer, especially due to nautical tourism. The largest increase of Cd in June was observed precisely in site PC1, whereasile Cu had the highest values at site TE. Relative to other sampling sites, location of PC1 site is the closest to the coast and the possible land based sources of Cd (e.g., municipal wastewaters, runoff from agricultural land and roads), which are more abundant during tourist season due to temporarily increased number of inhabitants and possibly could have caused the increase in Cd concentration measured in June. Copper increase in June could be explained, at least in part, by its release from Cu-containing antifouling paints. Increased levels of Cu in water and sediments, as a result of the use of antifouling paints, have previously been reported (Singh and Turner 2009; Turner 2010; Ytreberg et al. 2010).

Additionally, metal concentrations in shellfish soft tissues obtained in this work were generally in the same concentration ranges as those reported for the soft tissue of *A. noae* from relatively unpolluted areas in the island Mljet in the southern Adriatic Sea (Cuculić et al. 2010) and the island Susak in the northern Adriatic Sea (Ozretić et al. 1990) (Table 2). Furthermore, <u>since-because</u> there are no available data on the metal contamination levels (MCLs) that could be an indicative of contamination for Noah's Ark shellfish, tissue concentrations of metals obtained in this study were compared with the MCL values defined for mussels (Cantillo 1998; Table 2). At all locations, the average concentrations of metals in Noah's Ark shell tissue were below MCL values for mussels, and only Cd and Zn had the maximal values that were equal to or slightly higher than the MCLs for mussels.

3.2. Estimation of metal intake and impact on human health related to consumption of Noah's Ark shellfish

Consumption safety of Noah's Ark shell tissue was assessed by metal content comparison with maximum permissible levels (ML) of the elements in shellfish from the consumption guidelines set by the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) (EC 2006) and the United States Food and Drug Administration (USFDA) (USFDA 2001) (Table 5). The average concentrations of toxic elements Cd, Pb, Cr_{a} and Ni obtained in this study were below maximum permissible levels of these elements in shellfish set by the above authorities (Table 5). Except for Cd, where the maximal observed values were only slightly lower than the maximal permitted level established by EFSA (EC 2006), maximal values of the remaining elements were much lower (6, 38_a and 364 times for Pb, Cr_{a} and Ni, respectively) than the levels permitted by USFDA (2001).

Furthermore, the amount of shellfish tissue (in kg) that a 70-kg adult needs to consume to exceed the tolerable weekly intake (TWI) of Cd (EFSA 2010) was calculated, as well as to exceed the benchmark dose associated with a 10% incidence of toxic effects (BMDL₁₀) from Pb (EFSA 2011) and to exceed the provisional tolerable weekly intake (PTWI) of Cu and Zn (JECFA 2004), and Cd appears to be the main element of concern. The average ingestion of approximately about 0.6 kg (0.2-1.2 kg, depending on the sampling site) of *A. noae* per week would result in exceeding the TWI threshold of Cd (Table 5). However, it should be noted that the size of the commercial Noah's Ark shellfish available on the market is usually smaller

than of the individuals sampled in this study (Peharda et al. 2002; 2003) and therefore, the potential human exposure to high concentrations of Cd from the consumption of such younger shellfish would be much lower.

Lead <u>also</u> is also like Cd the chemical element of great concern from a public health point of view (Tong et al. 2000). In 2010, the JECFA concluded that the PTWI of 0.025 mg/kgbody weight per week for Pb could no longer be considered health protective and withdrew it (JECFA 2010). EFSA also withdrew PTWI for Pb in its opinion of March 2010 (EFSA 2010), and in 2011 it gave new recommendations for Pb in the form of benchmark doses associated with a 10% incidence of toxic effects from Pb (BMDL₁₀) (EFSA 2011) (Table 5). In our study, the calculated average amount of Noah's Ark shell tissue that should be consumed daily to exceed the permitted benchmark dose (EFSA 2011) was 0.4 kg (0.2-0.7 kg, depending on the sampling site) for effects on chronic kidney disease (KD). The amount of shell tissue consumed that could cause the effects on systolic blood pressure (BSP) was about approximately 2.5 times higher compared to the amount required for KD toxic effects and amounted to approximately about 1 kg (0.4-1.8 kg, depending on the sampling site). Although there are no reliable data on catch and consumption of Noah's Ark shellfish in this harvesting region (Peharda Uljević 2008), given that this is a daily consumption of 0.4-1.0 kg of shell flesh, the potential risk of Pb toxicity due to consumption of Noah's Ark shellfish is extremely low, at least for moderate shellfish consumers.

For Cu and Zn, extremely large amounts of arkshell flesh would need to be consumed each week-in order to exceed the PTWI standard values (Table 5), specifically 258 kg (126-377 kg, depending on the sampling site) for Cu and 13.5 kg (6.6-26.8 kg, depending on the sampling site) for Zn.

16

Although Ni may serve as a cofactor of metalloenzymes, no clear biological function in humans has been identified (Trumbo et al. 2001). According to the currently accepted guidelines, in order to protect human consumers, edible portions of molluscs should not exceed 80.0 mg Ni/kg wet weight (w.w.) (USFDA 2001; Sankar et al. 2006). From Table 5₁ it is evident that Ni concentrations in Noah's Ark shellfish from this study were considerably lower than this limit. The Institute of Medicine, Food₁ and Nutrition Board (2001) has set the level of 1 mg/day as the highest level of daily Ni intake that is likely to pose no risk of adverse health effects to almost all individuals in the general population (Tolerable Upper Intake Level, UL). The UL represents total intake from food, water, and supplements. The amount of Noah's Ark shellfish <u>that which should</u> be consumed to exceed the UL threshold was calculated taking into account the average concentration of Ni obtained in this study (0.15 mg/kg w.w.; Table 5). The resulting high value of 6.6 kg/day of Noah's ark shellfish from this part of the Adriatic should not pose any risk of adverse health effects.

Chromium is not covered by EC regulations for fish and other aquatic products. However, together with As and Ni, Cr is classified as a hazardous element by the USFDA (2001). The concentrations of Cr in Noah's Ark shell tissue from this study (Table 5) were far below the concentration of 13.0 mg Cr/kg w.w. that is considered as health protective for human consumers of molluscan shellfish (USFDA 2001; Sankar et al. 2006). However, there were some studies in which much lower concentrations, such as 0.3-0.4 mg Cr/kg d.w. of molluscan tissues, were proposed as safe for human consumption (Kucuksezgin et al. 2008). Due to the lack of appropriate data, the UL value for Cr was not determined (Trumbo et al. 2001).

17

Cobalt is essential nutrient to all animals and is a key constituent of vitamin B_{12} , which is the primary biological reservoir of cobalt as an "ultratrace" element (Kazuhiro 2013). Although some health problems, such as polycythemia, heart disease, and anaemia, have been reported in the excessive intake of cobalt (Alexander 1972), cobalt is not usually classified as a hazardous element, and no quantitative recommendation was given for Co intake (Trumbo et al. 2001).

All above calculated values were obtained based on the intake of metals through consummation of Noah's Ark shellfish only. Normal daily food consumption comprises different food and beverage categories, and the shellfish would comprise only smaller proportion. Nevertheless, daily intakes of shellfish could vary according to different dietary habits and cultural origin of tourists visiting the coastal area of the eastern Adriatic Sea. Some of them could be high consumers of shellfish, but even in such instance it is unlikely that the health risk would be very high. Certainly more detailed investigations on the statistics of consumption of Noah's Ark shellfish would be necessary to assess the health impact on humans more exactly.

4. Conclusions

This study represents the first detailed investigation on heavy metal concentrations in *A. noae* with the accompanying information about the metal concentrations in sediments and seawater, and as such, it provides baseline data for future assessment of the quality of these bivalves as a food and also highligh<u>sting</u> the importance of possible anthropogenic impacts caused by environmental metal pollution. Concentrations of all analysed trace elements were below the levels recommended for human consumption. However, in the case of cadmium, due to high

metal accumulation potential of *A. noae*, some precautions should be taken into account if older shellfish are consumed. Two different periods concerning the intensity of tourist activities, the off-season and the peak tourist season, were evaluated. An increase in metal concentrations in *A. noae* during tourist season was observed for Cd, Cr, and Cu, but before the final conclusion on the potential impact of tourist activities, the influence of other factors, such as the annual reproductive cycle, on metal content in *A. noae* should be further investigated.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Ministry of Science, Education and Sport of the Republic of Croatia under Grant 098-0982934-2721. Special thanks are due to the colleagues from the Division for Marine and Environmental Research at Ruđer Bošković Institute, Dr. Nevenka Mikac for the opportunity to use HR ICP-MS, Dr. Dario Omanović for the supervision of voltammetric analysis of seawater samples and Dr. Neda Vdović for the analysis of sediment grain size distribution. The authors express their gratitude to Nikolina Baković and Milena Ramov from the management of the Nature Park Telašćica for supporting this research.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Formatted: Line spacing: Double

References

Alexander CS (1972) Cobalt-beer cardiomyopathy. A clinical and pathological study of twenty-eight cases. Am J Med 53:395-417

Formatted: Left, Line spacing: Double Formatted: Left, Indent: Left: 0 cm, First line: 0 cm, Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt, Line spacing: Double Anacleto P, Maulvault AL, Nunes ML, Carvalho ML, Rosa R, Marques A (2015) Effects of depuration on metal levels and health status of bivalve molluscs. Food Control 47:493-501 Bogdanović T, Ujević I, Sedak M, Listeš E, Šimat V, Petričević S, Poljak V (2014) As, Cd, Hg and Pb in four edible shellfish species from breeding and harvesting areas along the eastern Adriatic Coast, Croatia. Food Chem 146:197-203

Cain DJ, Luoma SN (1990) Influence of seasonal growth, age, and environmental exposure on Cu and Ag in a bivalve indicator. *Macoma balthica*, in San Francisco Bay. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 60:45-55

CCME (Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment) (2002) Canadian Sediment Quality Guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Life, Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment. http://www.ccme.ca/assets/pdf/sedqg_summary_table.pdf. Accessed 06 October 2014

Cantillo AY (1998) Comparison of results of mussel watch programs of the United States and France with worldwide mussel watch studies. Mar Pollut Bull 36:712-717

Cindrić A-M, Garnier C, Oursel B, Pižeta I, Omanović D (2015) Evidencing the natural and anthropogenic processes controlling trace metals dynamic in a highly stratified estuary: The Krka River estuary (Adriatic, Croatia). Mar Pollut Bull 94:199-216

Cuculić V, Cukrov N, Kwokal Ž, Mlakar M (2009) Natural and anthropogenic sources of Hg, Cd, Pb, Cu and Zn in seawater and sediment of Mljet National Park, Croatia. Estuar Coast Shelf S 81:311-320

Cuculić V, Cukrov N, Kwokal Ž, Mlakar M (2010) Trace metals in bivalves' soft tissue from Mljet National Park aquatorium, Croatia. Rapport du 39 Congrès de la Commission Internationale pour l'Exploration Scientifique de la Mer Méditerranée; 39:237

Cukrov N, Cmuk P, Mlakar M, Omanović D (2008) Spatial distribution of trace metals in Krka River, Croatia - an example of the self purification. Chemosphere 72:1559-1566 Dolenec T, Faganeli J, Pirc S (1998) Major, minor and trace elements in surficial sediments from the open Adriatic Sea: a regional geochemical study. Geol Croat 51:59-73

Dupčić Radić I, Carić M, Najdek M, Jasprica N, Bolotin J, Peharda M, Bratoš Cetinić A

(2014) Biochemical and fatty acid composition of Arca noae (Bivalvia: Arcidae) from the

Mali Ston Bay, Adriatic Sea. Mediterr Mar Sci 15:520-531

Eisler R (2010) Molluscs. In: Eisler R (ed) Compendium of Trace Metals and Marine Biota,

Vol. 1: Plants and Invertebrates. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp 143-397

EPA (2007) Environmental Protection Agency, Method 3051A. Microwave assisted digestion of sediments, sludges, soils, and oils.

http://www3.epa.gov/epawaste/hazard/testmethods/sw846/pdfs/3051a.pdf. Accessed 11

December 2015

EPCEU (European Parliament and the Council of the European Union) (2013) Directive 2013/39/EU of the European parliament and of the Council of 12 August 2013 amending Directives 2000/60/EC and 2008/105/EC as regards priority substances in the field of water policy. Official Journal of the European Union L 226/1.

EC (2006) Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006 of the European parliament and the council of 19 December 2006 setting maximum levels for certain contaminants in foodstuffs. Official Journal of the European Communities L364/5-22.

EFSA (2010) Panel on Contaminants in the Food Chain (CONTAM). Scientific opinion on lead in food, 151 pp. EFSA Journal 8(4) 1570

EFSA. 2011. Panel on Contaminants in the Food Chain (CONTAM). Scientific opinion on tolerable weekly intake for cadmium, 19 pp. EFSA Journal 9(2) 1975

FAO (2014) FAO Fisheries & Aquaculture – Global Aquaculture Production 1950 – 2013. http://www.fao.org/fishery/statistics/global-aquaculture-production/query/en. Accessed 06 October 2014 Favro S, Gržetić Z (2008) Nautical tourism - the advantages and effects of development.
Sustainable Tourism III. WIT Transactions on Ecology and the Environment 115:35-44
Figueira E, Lima A, Branco D, Quintino V, Rodrigues AM, Freitas R (2011) Health concerns of consuming cockles (*Cerastoderma edule* L) from a low contaminated coastal system.
Environ Int 37:965-972

Goldberg ED, Bowen VT, Farrington JW, Harvey G, Martin JH, Parker PL, Reisborough RW, Robertson W, Schneider E, Gambie E (1978) The Mussel Watch. Environ Conserv 5:101-125 Institute of Medicine, Food and Nutrition Board (2001) Dietary Reference Intakes for Vitamin A, Vitamin K, Arsenic, Boron, Chromium, Copper, Iodine, Iron, Manganese, Molybdenum, Nickel, Silicon, Vanadium, and Zinc. National Academy Press, Washington, D.C. p. 1-28. Ivanković D, Pavičić J, Erk M, Filipović Marijić V, Raspor B (2005) Evaluation of the *Mytilus galloprovincialis* Lam. digestive gland metallothionein as a biomarker in a long-term field study: Seasonal and spatial variability. Mar Pollut Bull 50:1303-1313

JECFA (Food, Agriculture Organization and World Health Organization, FAO/WHO) (2004) Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. 61st meeting of the joint FAO/WHO expert committee on food additives. WHO Food Additives SeriesGeneva: International Programme on Chemical Safety, World Health Organization.

Kazuhiro Y (2013) Cobalt: Its Role in Health and Disease. In: Sigel A, Sigel H, Sigel RKO, editors. Interrelations between Essential Metal Ions and Human Diseases. Metal Ions in Life Sciences 13. Dordrecht (The Netherlands): Springer Science+Business Media B.V.; p. 295-320.

Kucuksezgin F, Kayatekin BM, Uluturhan E, Oysal N, Acikgoz O, Gonenc S (2008) Preliminary investigation of sensitive biomarkers of metal pollution in mussel (*Mytilus galloprovincialis*) from Izmir Bay (Turkey). Environ Monit Assess 141:339-345 Lafabrie C, Pergent G, Kantin R, Pergent-Martini C, Gonzalez J-L (2007) Trace metals assessment in water, sediment, mussel and seagrass species – Validation of the use of *Posidonia oceanica* as a metal biomonitor. Chemosphere 68:2033-2039

Loring DH, Rantala RTT (1992) Manual for the geochemical analyses of marine sediments and suspended particulate matter. Earth-Sci Rev 32:235-283

Mertz W (1969) Chromium occurrence and function in biological system. Physiol Rev 49:163-239

Mihelčić G, Barišić D, Vdović N, Legović T, Mihelčić V (2010) Impact of tourism on trace metal concentrations (Pb, Cr, Ni, Cu and Zn) in sediments of Telašćica Bay (East Adriatic – Croatia). Croat Chem Acta 83:333-339

Omanović D, Kwokal Ž, Goodwin A, Lawrence A, Banks CE, Compton RG (2006) Trace metal detection in Šibenik Bay, Croatia: cadmium, lead and copper with anodic stripping voltammetry and manganese via sonoelectrochemistry. A case study. J Iran Chem Soc 3:128-139

Ozretić B, Krajnović-Ozretić M, Santin J, Medjugorac B, Kraš M (1990) As, Cd, Pb and Hg in benthic animals from the Kvarner – Rijeka Bay Region, Yugoslavia. Mar Pollut Bull 21:595-597

Peharda M, Richardson CA, Onofri V, Bratoš A, Crnčević M (2002) Age and growth of the bivalve *Arca noae* L. in the Croatian Adriatic Sea. J Mollus Stud 68:307-310

Peharda M, Bolotin J, Vrgoč N, Jasprica N, Bratoš A, Skaramuca B (2003) A study of Noah's Ark shell (*Arca noae* Linnaeus 1758) in Mali Ston Bay, Adriatic Sea. J Shellfish Res 22:705-709

Peharda Uljević M (2008) UNDP – COAST PROJECT Task 4: Evaluation of the distribution and the current state of natural bivalve communities in the demonstration area in the Zadar County and proposals for their sustainable exploitation. Final Report. (in Croatian) http://www.undp.hr/upload/file/228/114196/FILENAME/46_07D_2.PDF. Accessed 07 October 2014

Phillips DJH (1976) The common mussel *Mytilus edulis* as an indicator of pollution by zinc, cadmium, lead and copper. I. Effects of environmental variables on uptake of metals. Mar Biol 38:59-69

Phillips DJH, Rainbow PS (1994) Biomonitoring of trace aquatic contaminants. Chapman & Hall, New York

Puljas S, Peharda M, Župan I, Bukša F (2015) Maximum recorded life span of Arca noae Linnaeus, 1758 in the marine protected area Telašćica, Adriatic Sea. Cah Biol Mar 56:163-168

Raspor B, Dragun Z, Erk M, Ivanković D, Pavičić J (2004) Is the digestive gland of *Mytilus galloprovincialis* a tissue of choice for estimating cadmium exposure by means of metallothioneins? Sci Total Environ 333:99-108

Regoli F (1998) Trace metals and antioxidant enzymes in gills and digestive gland of the Mediterranean mussel *Mytilus galloprovincialis*. Arch Environ Contam Toxicol 34:48-63

Sankar TV, Zynudheen AA, Anandan R, Nair PGV (2006) Distribution of organochlorine pesticides and heavy metal residues in fish and shellfish from Calicut region, Kerala, India.

Chemosphere 65:583-590

Shirneshan G, Riyahi Bakhtairi A (2012) Accumulation and distribution of Cd, Cu, Pb and Zn in the soft tissue and shell of oysters collected from the northern coast of Queshm Island,

Persian Gulf, Iran. Chem Spec Bioavailab 24:129-138

Singh N, Turner A (2009) Leaching of copper and zinc from spent antifouling paint particles. Environ Pollut 157:371-376 Telašćica (2015) The plan for sustainable tourism in the Nature park Telašćica and Dugi Otok from 2016 to 2021. Public Institution "Nature park Telašćica", Sali, 136 pp. (in Croatian) http://pp-telascica.hr/files/POT_Tela_ica__Web_1462781399.pdf Accessed 15 June 2016

Thomann RV, Mahony JD, Mueller R (1995) Steady-state model of biota sediment accumulation factor for metals in two marine bivalves. Environ Toxicol Chem 14:1989-1998

Tong S, von Schirnding YE, Prapamontol T, 2000. Environmental lead exposure: a public health problem of global dimensions. B World Health Organ. 78:1068-1077.

Trumbo P, Yates AA, Schlicker S, Poos M (2001) Dietary reference intakes: vitamin A, vitamin K, arsenic, boron, chromium, copper, iodine, iron, manganese, molybdenum, nickel, silicon, vanadium, and zinc. J Am Dietetic Assoc 101:294-301

Turner A (2010) Marine pollution from antifouling paint particles. Mar Pollut Bull 60:159-171

Underwood EJ (1977) Trace elements in human and animal nutrition, 4th edn. Academic Press, New York

US EPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency) (2009) National Recommended Water Quality Criteria, United States Environmental Protection Agency.

http://water.epa.gov/scitech/swguidance/standards/current/upload/nrwqc-2009.pdf. Accessed 06 October 2014

USFDA (United States Food and Drug Administration) (2001) Fish and fisheries products hazards and controls guidance. Appendix 5 - FDA & EPA safety levels in regulations and guidance, 3rd edn

Ytreberg E, Karlsson J, Eklund B (2010) Comparison of toxicity and release rates of Cu and Zn from anti-fouling paints leached in natural and artificial brackish seawater. Sci Total Environ 408:2459-2466 Župan I, Peharda M, Ezgeta-Balić D, Šarić T (2012) Noah's ark shell (*Arca noae* Linneus, 1758) – what do we need to know for starting up its aquaculture. Croat J Fisheries 70:71-81 Župan I, Peharda M, Dolenec T, Dolenec M, Žvab Rožić P, Lojen S, Ezgeta-Balić D, Arapov J (2014) Aquaculture assessment of Noah's ark (*Arca noae* Linnaeus, 1758) in the Central

Adriatic Sea (Croatia). J Shellfish Res 33:433-41

Formatted: Line spacing: Double

Figure captions	Formatted: Left, Line spacing:
	Double
Fig.ure 1. Study areas in the central Adriatic Sea in Croatia with indicated sampli	ing sites Formatted: Font: Bold, Check spelling
	and grammar
(PC1 and PC2 sampling sites in the Pašman channel harvesting area and TE samp	pling site in Formatted: Font: Bold, Check spelling
	and grammar
the Telašćica Nature Park) .	

Table 1- Quality control for metal determination in shellfish tissue based on IAEA-452 (trace elements in scallop tissue, standard reference material, distributed by International Atomic Energy Agency Reference Materials, certified values and the standard deviations are presented), for metal determination in sediments based on MESS-3 (marine sediment reference materials for trace metals and other constituents, certified reference material, distributed by National Research Council of Canada; expanded uncertainty in the certified value is reported) and for metal determination in seawater based on NASS-5 (seawater reference material for trace metals, certified reference material, distributed by National Research Council of Canada; the uncertainties represent 95 percent confidence limits for an individual subsample). Measured values for IAEA-452 are presented as means and S.D. (n =6) and for NASS-5 measured values and 95% confidence intervals are presented-

Metal concentrations (mg/kg d.w.)

Cr

Ni

Cu

10.8

Co

1.62

Zn

Cd

Reference 29.6

IAEA-452

MESS-3

(marine

sediment)

(scallop tissue)

Pb

*0.371

Formatted: Line spacing: Double Formatted: Left, Line spacing:

Formatted: Line spacing: Double Formatted: Left, Line spacing:

Formatted: Line spacing: Double

Double

		±	—	—		±	166 <u>±</u> 21	•	Formatted: Left, Line spacing:
values	±_3.7				±_1.3				Double
		0.014				0.20		•	Formatted: Line spacing: Double
		*0.356			8.94	1.62		•	Formatted: Line spacing: Double
Measured	25.3								
		±	—	—	±	±	113 <u>±</u> 5	•	Formatted: Left, Line spacing:
values	±_0.8								Double
		0.028			0.34	0.06			
	0.24							-	Formatted: Line spacing: Double
Reference	0.24	211+		469	33.9	144			Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After:
Reference	+	21.1_	105+4	10.7	55.7	1	159 + 8		0 pt, Line spacing: Double
values	<u> </u>	0.7	105-4	+ 2 2	+16	+20	157_±_0		Formatted: Line spacing: Double
values	0.01	0.7		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After:
	0.01								0 pt, Line spacing: Double
Measured									Formatted: Line spacing: Double
wiedsured	0.24	24.4	07.4	44.0	23.1	12.5	136		For walked, Circle Packing, Double
voluos	0.24	∠4.4	71.4	44.0	23.1	12.3	150		Pormatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt, Line spacing: Double
values									

	Reference	0.023	0.008		0.253	0.297	0.011	•		Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After 0 pt, Line spacing: Double	
	NASS-5	values	±	±	—	±	±	±	0.102±0.039	$\langle \rangle$	Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After 0 pt, Line spacing: Double
ļ	values	0.003	0.005		0.028	0.046	0.003		$\langle \rangle \rangle$	Formatted: Line spacing: Double	
I			0.000	0.005		0.020	0.284	0.014			Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After 0 pt, Line spacing: Double
	(seawater)	Measured values	0.020	0.015		0.275	0.264	0.014	0.128±0.005•	5-	Formatted: Left, Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt, Line spacing: Double
			±	±	_	±	±	±			Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After 0 pt, Line spacing: Double
			0.001	0.003		0.041	0.029	0.002		$\left \right \right $	Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After 0 pt, Line spacing: Double

*-Pb is not declared in IAEA-452, and therefore Pb was measured in SRM 1566a (oyster tissue, standard reference material, distributed by U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of

Standards, Gaithersburg; the uncertainty is obtained from 95% prediction interval). Measured value is

presented as mean and S.D. (n_{-6})

Formatted: Line spacing: Double Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After 0 pt, Line spacing: Double

Formatted: Left, Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt, Line spacing: Double

÷

Formatted: Left, Indent: Left: 0 cm, Right: 0 cm, Space Before: 0 pt, Line spacing: Double

Formatted: Line spacing: Double

	Table 2. Concentrations of heavy metals (mean \pm S.D. of <u>9-nine</u> composite samples per	•	Formatted: Left, Line spacing:
ļ	station per season) in the soft tissue of Noah's Ark shell (A. noae) collected at three locations		Double
	from the eastern Adriatic in the off-season (March-May) and the peak tourist season (June-		
I	August). Asterisk indicates significant differences between the two investigated periods for		
	particular location (<i>t</i> -test, $p_{<0.05}$), and different letters indicate significant differences		Formatted: Font: Italic
			Formatted: Font: Italic
	between locations in each period (ANCOVA and LSD <i>posthoc</i> test, <i>p_<_0.05</i>). Period min-		Formatted: Font: Italic
	max ranges and metal contamination levels (MCLs) for mussels, which are indicative of		
	contamination, <u>also</u> are also -presented.		

								Tornacted. Line spacing. Double
						•		Formatted: Normal, Line spacing: Double
	Metal concer	tration (mg/kg	g d.w.)			•		Formatted: Line spacing: Double
								Formatted: Left, Line spacing:
	Cd	Pb	Cr	Ni	Cu	Co 🔶	Zn	Formatted: Left, Line spacing:
Sampling sites	0.55					•		Formatted: Line spacing: Double
	Off-season p	eriod				•		Formatted: Left, Line spacing:
	2.01 ± 0.65^{a}	$0.40 + 0.00^{a}$	1.15 ± 0.12^{a}	0.72 + 0.11	4 47 + 0 47	0.20 + 0.05	15	Double
LE	2.01 ± 0.05	0.49 ± 0.08	1.15 ± 0.13	0.75 ± 0.11	4.4/ <u>±</u> 0.4/	0.30 ± 0.03	134	Formatted: Line spacing: Double
PC1	0.93 ± 0.19^{b}	0.41 ± 0.07^{a}	0.58 ± 0.09^{b}	0.59_±_0.10	4.32_±_0.29	0.24_±_0.04	15.	Formatted: Line spacing: Double
PC2	1.60 ± 0.55^{a}	0.64 ± 0.24^{b}	0.92 ± 0.15^{c}	0.67_±_0.19	4.45 <u>±</u> 0.50	0.28_±_0.07	212	Formatted: Line spacing: Double
Min-max range	0.68-3.23	0.34-1.20	0.47-1.4	0.47-1.10	3.42-5.51	0.20-0.45	91	Formatted: Line spacing: Double
	Peak tourist s	season period				•		Formatted: Line spacing: Double
		Ĩ						Formatted: Left, Line spacing:
ГЕ	1.91_±_0.68	0.50 ± 0.15^{a}	1.06 ± 0.30^{a}	0.86_±_0.21	4.44_±_0.90	0.30_±_0.07	194	Formatted: Line spacing: Double
	1.22 <u>+</u>		0.74 <u>±</u>		5.25_±			
201	0.36*	$0.49 \pm 0.14^{\circ}$	0.19 ^b *	0.66_±_0.17	0.72*	0.29_±_0.07	-14'	Formatted: Line spacing: Double
PC2	1.59_±_0.55	0.65_±_0.10 ^b	1.07_±_0.32 ^a	0.75_±_0.13	4.50_±_0.83	0.29_±_0.06	18	Formatted: Line spacing: Double
Min-max range	0.90-3.67	0.31-0.81	0.54-1.70	0.45-1.14	3.08-6.82	0.20-0.42 🗸	10	Formatted: Line spacing: Double
A. <i>noae</i> from						•		Formatted: Line spacing: Double
								Formatted: Left, Line spacing:
Mljet – open sea	1.52	0.69	_	_	2.85	- •	89.	Formatted: Line spacing: Double
								Formatted: Left, Line spacing:
								Double

Formatted: Line spacing: Double

Mljet – Velik	o jezero	1.57	0.59	—	—	13.23	<u> </u>	16	Formatted: Line spacing: Double
									Formatted: Left, Line spacing:
Mljet – Malo	jezero	2.84	0.69	_	—	5.78		133	Formatted: Line spacing: Double
G 1		2.25 . 1.20	0.55 . 0.60						Formatted: Left, Line spacing:
Susak		3.35 ± 1.30	0.55 ± 0.60	_	_	_		-	Formatted: Line spacing: Double
MCL (musso	(c)	27	2.2	2.5	2.1	10	nu	200	Formatted: Left, Line spacing:
MCL (IIIusse	18)	5.7	3.2	2.3	5.4	10	II.V.	200	Formatted: Line spacing: Double
	¹ Cuculić et	al. 2010 (island	Mliet is located	l in the southern	Adriatic Sea: fo	or comparison p	urposes		Formatted: Left, Line spacing:
	metal conce	entrations were	multiplied by 5	to obtain dry we	vight values)	r			Formatted: Indent: Left: 0 cm, Spac Before: 0 pt, Line spacing: Double
	metar conec	sintrations were	inditipited by 5	to obtain ary we	ight values)				
	² Ozretić et	al. 1990 (island	Susak is located	l in the northern	Adriatic Sea; fo	or comparison p	urposes	•	Formatted: Left, Indent: Left: 0 cm, Line spacing: Double
I	metal conce	entrations were	multiplied by 5	to obtain dry we	eight values)				
	³ Cantillo 19	998						•	Formatted: Indent: Left: 0 cm, Line spacing: Double
	n.v. – no v	value							

Formatted: Line spacing: Double

Table 3. Heavy metal concentrations in surface sediment samples from the studied sites(mean \pm S.D. of two analytical replicates), in different relatively unpolluted Adriaticsediments and the Interim Marine Sediment Quality Guidelines (ISQG)

Formatted: Left, Line spacing: Double

Formatted: Line spacing: Double

	Metal c	oncentratio	ons (mg/kg	d.w.)				•	Formatted: Left, Line spacing: Double
Sampling sites	Cd	Pb	Cr	Ni	Cu	Со	Zn	•	Formatted: Left, Line spacing: Double
	0.03 <u>±</u>	10.51_±	36.10 <u>±</u>	7.23 <u>±</u>	2.31 <u>±</u>	0.92 <u>±</u>	5.16 <u>±</u>	-	
IE	0.01	0.52	9.71	0.44	0.02	0.06	0.93		Formatted: Line spacing: Double
	0.05 <u>±</u>	10.12 <u>±</u>	12.93 <u>±</u>	4.10 <u>±</u>	1.54 <u>±</u>	1.02 <u>±</u>	21.65_±		
PC1	0.01	0.80	4.54	0.35	0.16	0.12	17.22	•	Formatted: Line spacing: Double
DCA	0.08_±	8.99_±	63.83 <u>±</u>	5.35 <u>+</u>	1.88 <u>±</u>	0.96_±	8.87 <u>±</u>		
PC2	0.04	0.40	3.78	0.50	0.24	0.06	7.39		Formatted: Line spacing: Double
¹ Telašćica Bay,		< 0.6		145				•	Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After 0 pt, Line spacing: Double
central Adriatic,	—	< 0.0-	60–208	87.2	6.6–21	—	20-83.7	•	Formatted: Left, Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt, Line spacing: Double
Croatia		10		0,12					
² Northorn Adriatio	< 1	7 51	40, 120	10.86	4.1–	4 12	20 167		Formattad Coase Deferrer O at After
Northern Adriatic	<-1	7-31	40-129	19-00	33.4	4-12	29-107		0 pt, Line spacing: Double
³ ISOG	0.7	30.2	52.3	159	187	n v	124		Formatted: Left, Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt, Line spacing: Double
		1 1000 300				6.1	121	_//	Formatted: Left, Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt, Line spacing: Double
Minelcic et al. 2010; 1	Jolenec et a	I. 1998; °CC	CME (Canac	lian Counci	l of Minist	ers of the			Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After 0 pt, Line spacing: Double
Environment) 2002									Formatted: Left, Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt, Line spacing: Double

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0 cm, Space Before: 0 pt, Line spacing: Double

n.v. – no value

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, Line spacing: Double

Formatted: Line spacing: Double

 Table 4- Measured concentrations of trace metals (±95% confidence interval) for seawater

Formatted: Left, Line spacing: Double

samples in investigated locations in March and June-

					•	-(Formatted: Line spacing: Double
		Metal concentra	tion (µg/L)		•	(Formatted: Left, Line spacing: Double
		Cd	Ni	Cu	Co 🔶	Z	Formatted: Left, Line spacing:
ling sites					•	(Double Formatted: Line spacing: Double
		March			4	(Formatted: Left, Line spacing:
		0.0000			_		Double
		0.0088^{\pm}	0.250 ± 0.020	0.212 ± 0.000	0.017 ± 0.002	0	Formatted: Left, Line spacing:
		0.0007	0.350 <u>±</u> 0.039	0.213_1_0.009	0.017_±_0.005	U	Formatted: Line spacing: Double
		0.0067 <u>±</u>			•		Formatted: Left, Line spacing:
		0.0008	0.364_±_0.019	0.206_±_0.021	0.023_±_0.005	-0	Formatted: Line spacing: Double
		0.0078_±			•	(Formatted: Left, Line spacing:
		0.0005	0.369_±_0.016	0.216 <u>±</u> 0.018	0.023_±_0.005	-0	Pouble Formatted: Line spacing: Double
		June			•	\neg	Formatted: Line spacing: Double
		0.0112					Formatted: Left, Line spacing:
		$0.0113 \pm$	0.409 ± 0.040	0.629 ± 0.063	0.013 ± 0.004	1	Formatted: Left, Line spacing:
		0.0009	0.409_±_0.040	0.029_±_0.005	0.013_±_0.004	-1	Formatted: Line spacing: Double
		0.0130 <u>±</u>			•	(Formatted: Left, Line spacing:
		0.0014	0.432 ± 0.023	0.410 ± 0.031	0.030_±_0.002		Formatted: Line spacing: Double
		0.0101_±			•	(Formatted: Left, Line spacing:
			0.385 ± 0.017	0.510 ± 0.063	0.018 ± 0.001	-0	Double Formatted: Line spacing: Double
		0.0007			_		
		0.0075 <u>±</u>		0.050 0.055	•		Formatted: Left, Space Before: 0 pt,
t Island, Adria	atic Sea (open sea)	0.0002	_	0.250 <u>±</u> 0.077	- •	0.	Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After 0 pt, Line spacing: Double
a Estuary, Adri	iatic Sea (average dissolved				•	(Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After
concentration	n in bottom-seawater layer	0.0091/0.0090	0.376/0.458	0.254/0.362	0.018/0.030	-0	Formatted: Left, Space Before: 0 pt,
inter/summer	neriod)					l	After: 0 pt, Line spacing: Double
incer summer	renou)						

μg/L) for h	uman health for	n.v.	610/4600	1300/n.v.	n.v.	7	Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After 0 pt, Line spacing: Double
mption: wate	r+organism/organism only		010,1000	1000,111			Formatted: Left, Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt, Line spacing: Double
	¹ Cuculić et al. 2009; ² Cindrić e	t al., 2015; ³ US	EPA 2009			4	Formatted: Indent: Left: 0 cm, Space Before: 0 pt, Line spacing: Double
	MCL – maximum contamina	ant level					
	n.v. – no value						

Formatted: Line spacing: Double

	Table 5. A cCom	parison of metal con	centrations e	xpressed in mg	/kg wet weight (v	v.w.) from 🔸		Formatted: Left, Line spacing:
	this study with n	naximum level (ML)	of the eleme	nts in shellfish	from different co	nsumption		Double
	guidelines set by	EFSA (European Fo	od Safety Au	ithority), USFL	OA (United States	Food and		
	Drug Administra	tion) <u>,</u> and JECFA (Jo	oint FAO/WH	IO Expert Com	mittee on Food A	dditives).		
	Tolerable weekly	v intake of cadmium ((TWI), bench	mark dose asso	ciated with a 10%	6 incidence		
	of toxic effects fr	om lead (BMDL ₁₀) a	nd provision	al tolerable we	ekly intake for co	pper and		
	zinc (PTWI) also	are also presented	Assessment w	vas made based	on all collected s	amples at		
						1 1		
	three sampling si	tes during six sampli	ng campaign	s, or in total 54	independent poo	led		
	samples .							
								,
	Metal concen	tration (mg/kg w.w.)	1			4		Formatted: Line spacing: Double
	Ci	DL	Ca	NI:	Cu	Ca	<u> </u>	Formatted: Left, Line spacing:
	Ca	PD	Cr	INI	Cu	0	\swarrow	Förmätted Table
			0.19 +			0.06 +		Formatted: Line spacing: Double
+ S D	0.32 ± 0.12	0.11 ± 0.03	0.19	0.15 ± 0.03	0.95 ± 0.16	0.00_±	3	Formatted: Left, Line spacing:
_ 5.5.	0.520.12	0.110.05	0.06	0.100.00	0.900.10	0.01	$\overline{}$	Formatted: Line spacing: Double
ax range	0.15-0.74	0.06-0.26		0.09-0.22	0.65-1.95		1	Formatted: Left, Line spacing: ଅତିସାଧିଙ୍ଗ · '
			0.11-0.34			0.04-0.09		
						•		Formatted: Line spacing: Double
								Formatted: Left, Line spacing:
shellfish (mg	/kg					•		Formatted: Line spacing: Double
						4-		Formatted: Left, Line spacing:
	1.0	15	_				_	Double
	1.0	1.5						
	4.0	1.7	13	80	—	—	_	-
РА								
µg/kg						•		Formatted: Line spacing: Double
	2.5	—	—	—	—	- +		Formatted: Left, Line spacing:
eek)								Double
L ₁₀ (µg/kg						•		Formatted: Line spacing: Double
,		BSP 1.5				•		Formatted: Left, Line spacing:
av)								Double

ay)

39

	KD 0.63				•	Formatted: Line spacing: Double
						Formatted: Left, Line spacing:
(mg/kg					4	Formatted: Line spacing: Double
ook)		—	—	3.5	7	Formatted: Left, Line spacing:
eek)						Double
	¹ EC 2006; ² USFDA 2001; ³ EFSA	2010; ⁴ EFSA 2011	; ⁵ JECFA 2004	-	•	Formatted: Indent: Left: 0 cm, Space Before: 0 pt, Line spacing: Double
					•	Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, Line spacing: Double
					•	Formatted: Line spacing: Double
					4	Formatted: Left, Line spacing:
						Double