SCHOLARLY PUBLISHING MYTHS AND MISCONCEPTIONS

Jadranka Stojanovski, Assistant Professor University of Zadar, Ruđer Bošković Institute

WE LIVE IN THE DIGITAL AGE AND SCHOLARLY PUBLISHING ADOPTED MAYOR TECHNOLOGICAL IMPROVEMENTS

1665

"If I have seen further, it is by standing on the shoulders of giants." Isaac Newton Henry Oldenburg

 Journal des Scavans (Paris) and Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society (London)



"That it may be the more evident to you that the humanities and science are not yet banished from among us by the clash of arms, I am writing to tell you that a certain most ingenious person here, named *Leewenhoeck*, has devised microscopes which far surpass those which we have hitherto seen, manufactured by Eustachio Divini and others. The enclosed letter from him, wherein he describes certain things which he has observed more accurately than previous authors, will afford you a sample of his work : and if it please you, and you would test the skill of this most diligent man and give him encouragement, then pray send him a letter containing your suggestions, and proposing to him more difficult problems of the same kind". TRANSACTIONS: GIVING SOME ACCOMPT

OF THE PRESENT Undertakings, Studies, and Labours

OF THE INGENIOUS INMANY CONSIDERABLE PARTS OF THE

WORLD

Vol I. For Anno 1665, and 1666.

In the SAVOY, Printed by T. N. for John Martyn at the Bell, a little without Temple-Bar, and Fames Allestry in Duck-Lane, Printers to the Royal Society.



ISSN 0962-8436 volume 367 number 1597

pages 1781-1922

In this Issue

The social network and communicative complexity in animals

Papers of a Theme Issue organized and edited by Todd M. Freeberg, Robin I. M. Dunbar and Terry J. Ord



The world's first science journal



5 July 2012

Journals – questions

- journal as an old concept from the printed world
- journals slowing down a possible development of science (?), not implementing ICT advantages and possibilities...
- ...or implementing it at very slow pace
- journals are (still) main channel of scholarly communication

DIFFERENT FORMS OF SCHOLARLY PUBLISHING ARE EVOLVING

Is it PDF an appropriate format?

like a paper printout, but:

- no access to higher resolution images
- no access to the underlying data, so some of the statements can't be checked
- no access to the algorithm to really try it out
- no direct link to all the other papers via the references
- often not searchable?
- often no proper metadata
- proprietary format

Disfunctional scholarly publications

- limited access
- no global search engine, only parts can be retrieved
- no functional links (first demonstrated in 1968) leading to the description of an experiment or to underlying data
- no adaptive visualisation of data
- no standardized submission, constantly adapting to the regulations of the editorial board
- we don't know who is reading our papers (if anyone?)
- mining of text/data not possible, hard to chose what to read
- no efficient listing, filtering or discovering
- no network
- etc.

RESEARCH DATA ARE OFTEN ON THE DISPOSAL AND SHARED, SO RESULTS CAN BE CHECKED OUT AND/OR REUSED

Research data in jeopardy

- 25% of the research data is curated in some way and accessible
- 75% of the research data is not accessible



What could "publication" means?

- Animation and virtual reality with animated graphics author can show differences between observed and model phenomenons
- Author can record his/her thoughts as a part of his publication **audio, video**
- Datasets as a part of publication, or separate
- Live mathematics and numerical codes, 2D and 3D graphical presentations interactivity
- Future references, related papers
 - Images, interactive diagrams, video, audio
 - Possibly illustrated algorithms running real time on demand
 - Interactive control over remote program execution
 - Social network of related researchers
 - Possibly earlier versions of the paper, with commentaries
 - Metadata (publication date, exact references, quality verification results,...)

PEER REVIEW PROCESS IS MANAGED IN THE BEST POSSIBLE WAY



Peer-review process

- evaluation of the research results in relation to the competence, significance and originality, carried out by qualified experts
- the backbone of the entire system of scientific publishing
- usually includes a review of at least two anonymous reviewers, and ideally they are well-intentioned colleagues in the narrow scientific area whose main task is to improve the paper
- in practice, the review process is very far from this concept, and stressful for the authors

Peer review in practice



■ Slow

- expensive
- inconsistent (should be at least 6 reviewers per paper to obtain a statistically relevant result)
- subjective (biased)
- easy to abuse
- studies:
- reviewers often do not agree with each other
- poorly detected (deliberate) errors 2 of 8
- can not prevent the publication of papers containing fraud, even in the most prestigious journals such as Science and The Lancet

Liz Wager, 2014



PAP

Peer-reviewers

- the reviewer can plead only for his vision of a research problem and/or may reject a paper with the topic of which is personally engaged;
- reviewer has usually only manuscript on disposal no research data and other materials – it is very difficult to identify fraudulent, fictitious or wrongly interpreted data;
- the authors themselves suggest reviewers, choosing those who are prone to them;
- unavailable reviews can't have a positive impact on the reputation of the reviewer;
- present and questionable incentives of a reviewers to increase the chances of publishing their own papers in the same journal;
- instead of constructive criticism, sometimes insulting and destructive;

SCHOLARLY PUBLISHING SYSTEM IS FAIR AND GIVES EVERY SCIENTIST EQUAL CHANCE TO GET PUBLISHED



http://www.worldmapper.org/display.php?selected=205 according Web of Science (2001) SINCE RESEARCHERS ARE GIVING THEIR PAPERS FREE OF CHARGE, AS DO PEER REVIEWERS THEIR REVIEWS, SCHOLARLY PUBLISHING SYSTEM IS NOT-FOR- PROFIT

Research Library Expenditure Trends

Monograph and Serial Expenditures in ARL Libraries, 1986 - 2007*

UNIVERSITY LIBRARIES





Profit Margins: Journal Publishers v. Other Companies



Elsevier (A=all, B=STM)



RESEARCHERS ARE PAID TO PUBLISH THEIR PAPERS IN JOURNALS

Article processing charges (APC's)

publisher	# jrnls	# full OA	APC (€)	# hybrid jnls	APC (€)
Elsevier	~2800	39	400-4000	1500	400-4000
Oxford	>250	13	~1200	>100	?
Pion	7	1	?	0	-
Sage	>700	13	~1500	>600	1150-2300
Springer	>2000	>360	1200-1800	>1500	2200
Taylor&Francis	1600	15	800-1200	700	2150
Wiley	~1500	22	1350	>1300	2300
					1

RESEARCH PAPERS ARE REPRODUCIBLE AND THIS IS THEIR MAIN PURPOSE FOR CENTURIES



PURPOSES 19 – 20 February 2016, Zagreb, Croatia

"PUBLISH OR PERISH" OR "VISIBLE OR VANISHED" OR "IMPACT OR PERISH" – ARE JUST BUZZWORDS



PURPOSES 19 - 20 February 2016, Zagreb, Croatia

Percentage of papers published by the five major publishers

Haustein, Philippe Mongeon The Oligopoly of Academic Publishers in the Digital Era Vincent Larivière, Stefanie PLOS One, 2015



JIF IS A GOOD MEASURE FOR THE QUALITY OF PUBLISHED ARTICLES

Importance of evaluation and assessment

- whom to hire?
- whom to give money for the research?
- which journal is successful one?
- which channel of scholarly communication to use?
- assessment must be now based on the simple and fast available numbers
- assessing container (e.g. journal), not the content

Rise of metrics

- academic career
- research project assessment
- institution ranking
- funding decisions

- new situation has drawn significant criticism
 - research integrity in jeopardy (retractions)
 - o *"salami-slicing"* or redundant publishing
 - o unequal treatment of researchers from low-cited disciplines



Impact factor



CRITERIA FOR THE **ADVANCEMENT IN THE** CAREER ARE OBJECTIVE AND **REFLECTING RESEARCHER'S** QUALITY
Academic career policies in Croatia

- "papers must be published in journals with **JIF** above average for the specific subject category"
- "at least two-thirds of the papers must be published in the journals listed in SCIE" "paper is rated regarding ratio of the JIF and median of the subject category, and the minimal value must be 0.5
- "the value of the qualitative criteria Q is calculated as a sum of the ratios of JIF and median JIF of the subject category, multiplied by the factor F_d of the candidate"
- "Example: Journal of Engineering Mechanics in 2011 has JIF 0.99, subject category is Engineering Mechanical with median JIF 0.743 ..."
- "in the A1 category are the papers published in journals with JIF in Q1, Q2, i Q3 of the subject category ...in the A2 category are papers from the journals in Q4..."
 "in the A1 category are the papers published in journals indexed by Web of Knowledge...if the JIF falls in the first 50% of the subject category...then the paper is counted as two papers"

Criteria

- JIF and number of papers published in the journals indexed by Web of Science and/or Scopus database
- publications ranked in categories, depending on journal, index, publisher...(A1, A2...)
- complex formulas (lack of understanding?)
- wrong metric indicators are used to measure academic achievement at individual, project (grant) and institutional level

"JIF is not created for all the purposes that some people want to use them for. A lot of people who are using JIF are doing it for advertising purposes."

"It is crucial to know how citations are *connected*, how they are *related*."

http://www.garfield.library.upenn.edu/ papers/medicalwritingv8(1)1999.html "The *real* problem is when you start using JIF as the **substitute for citation analysis** of individuals. I have always said that you should not use JIF to evaluate a person or department. If I manage to get my paper published in Nature, does that guarantee that it is a great paper? Even if the paper is never cited?"

"Like nuclear energy, the impact factor is a mixed blessing. I expected it to be used constructively while recognizing that in the wrong hands it might be abused."

Mathematically unsustainable



Assessment (the case of Croatia)

- less and more "valued" types and categories of publications
- quantity above quality (one paper is always 1)
- to define categories, index publications are used (role, history, selection process is neglected)
- metric indicators for journals (like JIF) used to assess the value of single articles
- additional indicators showing lack of understanding
- impact of the publication is assessed according wrapping (high JIF journals)
- new criteria not apply to already earned positions

Citations

Are citations representing the statement "standing upon the shoulders of giants"?

- (should we cite the crappy Gabor paper here?) and the article "Variation in Melanism and Female Preference in Proximate but Ecologically Distinct Environments", published in Ethology (...and other examples from Retraction Watch)
- positive and negative citations
- citations without context
- self-citations
- non-numerical aspect of citation language, culture, time

discriminating whole disciplines, non-English papers, authors from scientific periphery 1st INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE FROM THEORY TO PRACTICE IN LANGUAGE FOR SPECIFIC PURPOSES 19 - 20 February 2016, Zagreb, Croatia

Possible metrics for an article

- total number of citations (Google Scholar, Scopus, WoS...) deduplicated
 - # visits
 - # downloads
 - # comments
 - # bookmarks at social networks, sharing, mentioning

expert's rating, grades, "likes"...

discussions (blogs)

appearance in other media (newspapers...)

Peter Binfield

FINANCED BY TAX PAYERS **RESEARCH RESULTS ARE** FREELY AVAILABLE TO THE SOCIETY AND SUPPORT ECONOMICS

only 20-30% of the published research is in open access (OA)

CROATIAN JOURNALS ARE OF LOWER QUALITY THEN THE INTERNATIONAL JOURNALS



Why are Croatian journals important?

- Protect excellence in locally relevant research (Leiden Manifesto);
- Development of terminology in Croatian language;
- Close editorial guidance of young researchers;
- Development of editorial and publishing skills in digital environment;
- Bridging the gap between science and application inside community;



Why are Croatian journals important?

- Benefits for the development of the research and academic culture – considering ethical issues and scientific integrity
- Building the reputation of the locally scientific community
- Development of the evaluation criteria, impact of the research is not reflected through citations only
- Lower cost of publishing concerning high Article Processing Charges (APC)
- Easy access to the research results for the community (OA)
- Content in Croatian language can be spread to a wider local community no language barriers

Visibility of the Croatian journals



http://hrcak.srce.hr





THE CRITERIA FOR INCLUSION OF THE POPULAR INDEX DATABASES ARE TRANSPARENT AND CARE ABOUT THE QUALITY **OF THE JOURNALS**

Editorial standards

timeliness of publishing

 international representation of members of the editorial board

title, abstract, keywords in English

Peer-review

Journal content

- whether journal will contribute to the existing collection of journals within a specific area?
- whether the discipline of the journal has already been well covered?
 - the journal compared to other journals in the discipline?

International representation

- do authors, members of the editorial board, members of advisory bodies and others represent institutional, national, regional or international community?
 - will readers be international?

Citations

new journals

Iooking at the citations of authors, editors ...

old journals

■ JIF, citation analysis



Openness

- opening all phases of the research cycle could lead to significant changes and to advance science by sharing and collaborating as fast and as well as possible – still not ready
- slowly we are opening the content and processes: Open Access (to the publications), Open data, Open peer-review, Open authorship, Open formats, Open assessment...

OPEN = CHANGE



"IF I HAVE SEEN FURTHER STANDING ON THE SHOULDERS OF GIANTS."

Isaac Newton in a letter to Robert Hooke, 1676 (first attribution goes to Bernard of Chartres, 12th c.)

Benefits

- **opening the knowledge base** to all more researchers can build on it and there is **less duplication of effort**
- researchers can reach a greater audience and find that their work is more widely read and cited
- **institutions** gain an **enhanced reputation** as their research becomes more visible
- funders see a greater return on their investment, and publishers find that the impact of their journals increases.

JISC

Future

- Dynamical, multilayer, interactive, multimedia content
 - "Machine readable" articles
 - RDF, linked data
 - Research data
 - Different formats (beyond PDF)
 - Author identification (ORCID?)
 - Publication identification (DOI? OpenURL?)

everything is in OA

publishers are selling "added value"

Thank you for your attention, comments and suggestions! ③

J. Stojanovski – jadranka.stojanovski@irb.hr

