Glycolaldehyde dimer in the stable crystal phase has axial OH groups: Raman, infrared and X-ray data analysis

Vlasta Mohaček-Grošev*^a, Biserka Prugovečki^b, Stjepan Prugovečki^c, Neven Strukan^d

Graphical abstract



Abstract

Although a small molecule and a simple 1,4-dioxane derivative, 2,5-dihydroxy-1,4-dioxane or glycolaldehyde dimer has a crystal structure that has eluded researchers so far, chief reason lying presumably in substance polymorphism. Here we report for the first time on the stable crystal structure of the glycolaldehyde dimer characterized by the X-ray powder diffraction method at room temperature. It crystallizes in the monoclinic system, space group $P2_1/c$, with unit cell parameters a = 5.9473(1) Å, b = 8.3997(1) Å, c = 5.61953(8) Å and $\beta = 114.8814(9)^{\circ}$. The glycolaldehyde dimer molecules are the *trans*-isomers with the electronegative hydroxyl groups in axial positions and molecules are arranged in hydrogen bonded layers parallel to (1 0 0). Each layer is stabilized by intermolecular medium strong O–H…O hydrogen bonds. The 1,4-dioxane ring of the molecule adopts a chair conformation.

^a Ruđer Bošković Institute, POB 180, Bijenička cesta 54, 10002 Zagreb, Croatia (mohacek@irb.hr)

^b Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, University of Zagreb, Horvatovac 102a, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia (biserka@chem.pmf.hr)

^c PANalytical B.V., Twentepoort Oost 26, 7609 RG Almelo, The Netherlands

^d Renacon d.o.o., Vrbik 20, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia

Raman and infrared spectra were re-examined and assigned with the help of *ab initio* calculation followed by a normal modes analysis modes most sensitive to crystal packing were identified as the C–OH deformations which were assigned to the IR and Raman bands at 1239 and 1237 cm⁻¹, respectively; the two O–C–O deformation modes, both associated with the Raman and IR bands at 561 and 535 cm⁻¹ and, the two OH torsion modes assigned to the IR bands at 630 and 604 cm⁻¹.

Keywords

Glycolaldehyde; Crystal structure; X-ray diffraction; Raman; Infrared

1. Introduction

Glycolaldehyde is the simplest sugar – related molecule containing both an aldehyde and a hydroxyl group, CHOCH₂OH. The fact that it is the first sacharide found in the interstellar space [1] and the role it plays in the energy metabolism in humans [2], has motivated microwave [3] and conformational studies [4], [5], [6] and [7], electron beam radiation experiments [8], as well as infrared spectroscopic studies of molecules isolated in noble gases [9] or parahydrogen matrix [10]. In the solid state, pure glycolaldehyde exists in the form of a dimer [11] and [12]. Previous vibrational studies on solid glycolaldehyde were done by Michelsen and Klaboe [13] who compared infrared and Raman spectra of solid with the infrared spectrum of vapor, confirming centrosymmetric structure of the dimer as completely different from the monomer structure present in the vapor. Kobayashi et al. [14] succeeded in obtaining two crystalline forms α and β of glycolaldehyde and compared their infrared and Raman spectra, including the far infrared region. They claimed that in the α form the hydroxyl groups are placed in equatorial position on the 1,4-dioxane ring, while in the β form axial position of the OH groups was more probable. Mohaček-Grošev used low temperature Raman spectroscopy to probe the α structure, and performed semi-empirical normal coordinate analysis of the dimer both with equatorial and with axial hydroxyl groups [15] in order to establish the dimer conformation. Doubling of the number of the phonon bands below 80 K indicated that the α phase might be transformed into the third, γ phase. Her conclusion that a dimer with equatorial groups is present in the stable phase was based on the expectation of 150 cm⁻¹ Raman mode for an axial conformer, which was not observed.

Here we report on the crystal structure of 2,5-dihydroxy-1,4-dioxane (glycolaldehyde dimer) characterized by the X-ray powder diffraction method. Infrared and Raman spectra were re-examined and reassigned, this time using *ab initio* method of calculation, accompanied with potential energy distribution.

2. Experimental

Glycolaldehyde, purity greater than 98%, was purchased from Fluka and sealed in a capillary tube. Raman and infrared experiments were performed at room temperature as described in [15].

2.1.Powder diffraction

The powder diffraction data for glycolaldehyde dimer were collected on a laboratory PANalyticalX'Pert Pro diffractometer in the capillary transmission geometry mode with focusing mirror and X'Celerator detector, using Cu Ka radiation. The sample was contained in a 0.5 mm glass capillary. Patterns were collected from 12° to 80° (2 θ) with a step size of 0.0084°. The total data collection time was 5 h and 40 min. The powder pattern was indexed on first 15 peaks by the DICVOL04 program [16] integrated into the HighScore Plus program [17]. The initial cell parameters were obtained with the figure of merit M(15) = 191. One peak at $17.8^{\circ} (2\theta)$ with the relative intensity of 1.2% remained unindexed, indicating a low level polymorphic or chemical impurity and was excluded from the unit cell refinement. The unit cell parameters were refined by the least squares fit implemented in the HighScore Plus program. The refined unit cell parameters are a = 5.9473(1) Å, b = 8.3997(1) Å, c = 5.61953(8) Å and $\beta = 114.8814(9)^{\circ}$. Space group search was made by trying the Le Bail full profile fitting [18] for all monoclinic space groups. The comparison of fitting residue values and goodness of fit suggested space group $P2_1/c$ as the most probable one. The structure was solved in the space group $P2_1/c$ by direct methods, using the EXPO2009 [19] program. Structure refinement was carried out by the Rietveld [20] refinement program implemented in the HighScore Plus. The peak profiles were refined by the Pseudo-Voigt profile function (modified by the asymmetry correction of Finger, Cox and Jephcoat [21]). The background was modeled by the Chebychev-I function. The fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic thermal parameters for all non-H atoms were refined without any constraints. The final fit of the model to the experimental data and a difference plot are given in Fig. S1. The residual of the final fit were: $R_p = 0.052$ and $R_{wp} = 0.074$. The crystallographic data are summarized in Table 1

Table 1 Crystallographic data for glycolaldehyde dimer.

		Glycolaldehyde dimer
	$C_4H_8O_4$	
Chemical formula		
Mr	60.05	
	White	
Powder color		

Crystal system	Monoclinic
	$P2_1/c$
Space group	
Unit cell parameters	
a (Å)	5.9473(1)
<i>u</i> (A)	8.3997(1)
<i>b</i> (Å)	
c (Å)	5.61953(8)
	90
α (°)	114.0014(0)
β (°)	114.8814(9)
	90
γ (°)	254.27
$V(\text{\AA}^3)$	254.27
7	2
L	1.566
$D_{\text{calc}} (\text{g cm}^{-3})$	
Temperature (\mathbf{K})	293
	1.540598
Wavelength (Å)	
R	0.052
	0.074
R _{wp}	0.020
Revn	0.028
	6.95
Goodness of fit	12
Starting angle (°, 2θ)	12
	80
Final angle (°, 2θ)	0.0084
Step width (°, 2θ)	
Time/scan	5 h 40 min

3. Computional details

Vibrations were calculated with the Gaussian 03 program [22]. The geometry of the dimer was optimized by using the hybrid B3LYP functional with the 6-31++G(d,p) basis set. Practically all calculated normal modes except the 441 cm⁻¹ mode can be classified according to the group C_i , either as transforming according to A_g or A_u irreducible representation. Optimized geometrical parameters are listed and compared to the X-ray data in Table S1 of the Supplementary material. For the normal coordinate calculations, the BALGA program was used [23] and [24]. Definition of internal coordinates is given in Table S2, while local symmetry coordinates are listed in Table S3 of the Supplementary material. Finally, a detailed potential energy distribution is presented in Table S4.

4. Results and discussion

Glycolaldehyde in the melt is a rich mixture of monomers, open and closed dimers, some of which include hydroxyl groups simultaneously present in axial and equatorial position in the same molecule [25]. Therefore, determination of the relative stability of two glycolaldehyde dimer forms, one having two hydroxyl groups placed axially, and the other having two OH groups placed equatorially, is not possible in the way it was performed, e.g. in vibrational studies of bicyclopropyl [26] or cyclobutanol [27].

Observed Raman and infrared spectra of different glycolaldehyde phases were compared to those of dioxane and glyceraldehyde in previous vibrational studies [13], [14] and [15]. Kobayashi et al. [14] were the first to report the existence of a second crystal phase of glycolaldehyde at room temperature and called it the β phase. Mohaček-Grošev studied low temperature Raman spectra and found spectral evidence of a new phase γ existing below 80 K, which is not present at room temperature [15]. Vibrational spectra of α and β phase are rather similar, displaying differences in bands attributed to the C–OH group. Those associated to the C–OH bending occur in 1200–1260 cm⁻¹ interval in Raman spectrum, and in 1430–1470 cm⁻¹ interval in infrared spectrum. Most pronounced changes involve the band from infrared spectrum corresponding to the torsion of the hydroxyl group, which is observed at 605 cm⁻¹ in the spectrum of the α phase and at 686 cm⁻¹ in the spectrum of the β phase [14].

Kobayashi et al. suggested that the glycolaldehyde dimer possessed two OH groups placed in the equatorial position in the α phase, whereas they might be in the axial position in the β phase [14]. This was a reasonable hypothesis, since the equatorial conformation of a hydroxyl group often predominates over the axial one in flexible ring compounds such as cyclobutanol [27] or cyclohexanol [28]. Solidification brought about by cooling or pressurizing, may or may not be accompanied by the change of molecular conformation. For example, the hydroxyl group of cyclobutanol is in the equatorial position at 105 K [29], while that of cyclopentanol at 1.5 GPa is

in the axial position [30]. For cyclohexanol, the Hartree–Fock estimate of the energy difference between axial and equatorial conformer depends on the basis set used and varies between 0.19 and 0.86 kcal/mol [28], equatorial one being more stable. Hydrogen bonding interactions in the solid add further to the complexity of crystal polymorphism [31]. Dabbagh et al. discussed anomeric effect in substituted dioxanes, including 2-hydroxy-1,4-dioxane [32]. The equatorial conformer was predicted to be 0.56 kcal/mol more stable than the axial at the Hartree–Fock level of theory, while the axial one was more stable than the equatorial by 0.46 kcal/mol at the B3LYP level or by 0.85 kcal/mol at the MP2 level of theory (all with the $6-31G^*$ basis set) [32]. Our results on the glycolaldehyde dimer at the B3LYP/6-31++G(d,p) level of theory gave a similar prediction in favor of the stability of the dimer with two axially positioned hydroxyl groups.

4.1. X-ray crystallography

Commercial glycolaldehyde (Fluka) crystallizes as a dimer with a 1,4-dioxane ring in the chair conformation. The puckering parameters of Cremer and Pople [33] for this ring are Q = 0.477(3) Å, $\Theta = 0.00(1)^{\circ}$ and $\varphi = 0.00^{\circ}$. The same chair conformation of the 1,4-dioxane ring was found in the *trans*-isomers of 2,5-dietoxy-2,5-bis(hydroxymethyl)-[1,4]-dioxane [34], in the three crystalline forms of the 2,5-bis(hydroxymethyl)-[1,4]-dioxane-2,5-diol [35], in 2,3,5,6-tetramethyl-[1,4]-dioxane-2,5-diol [36], 2,5-dihydroxy-3,6-dihydroxymethyl-[1,4]-dioxane [37] and *trans*-2,5-di-tert-butoxy-[1,4]-dioxane [38].

The molecular structure of glycolaldehyde dimer is shown in Fig. 1 and selected crystallographic data are given in Table 1. The glycolaldehyde dimer molecules lie on crystallographic centers of symmetry. The Cambridge Structural DataBase, CSDB [39] search using the ConQuest Version 1.14, gave four structures containing the 1,4-dioxane-2,5-diol unit (*trans*-isomers). The values of bond distance in the glycolaldehyde dimer are similar to those found in CSDB search [34], [35], [36], [37] and [38].



Fig 1 The molecular structure of glycolaldehyde dimer molecule. Displacement ellipsoids are shown at 50% probability level.

The glycolaldehyde dimer molecules are the *trans*-isomers with the electronegative hydroxyl groups in axial positions and molecules are arranged in hydrogen bonded layers parallel to (1 0 0) (Fig. 2a). Each layer is stabilized by intermolecular hydrogen bonds O2–H···O2[x, 1/2 - y, -1/2 + z] of 3.038(3) Å and O2–H···O1[-x, 1/2 + y, 1/2 - z] of 3.204(2) Å (Fig. 2b). Additionally there are intermolecular hydrogen interactions C1–H···O1 that stabilize layers.



Fig 2a. Packing arrangement of glycolaldehyde dimer molecules displayed in the unit cell viewed along *c*-axis.



Fig 2b. One selected layer. Intermolecular hydrogen bonds O2–H···O2[x, 1/2 – y, -1/2 + z] of 3.038(3) Å and O2–H···O1[-x, 1/2 + y, 1/2 – z] of 3.204(2) Å are denoted by thin blue lines. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

4.2. Vibrational Spectra

Two hydrogen bonds are present in the crystal structure of glycolaldehyde dimer: the first is formed by two neighboring hydroxyl oxygen atoms (3.038 Å apart), and the second one by a hydroxyl oxygen and a ring oxygen atom (3.204 Å apart). According to Jeffrey [40] they are of medium strength, the shorter the distance between oxygens the stronger the hydrogen bond.

Overall there are ninety-six possible modes, which are divided among the irreducible representation of the C_{2h} point group as:

Γ =24Ag+24Bg+24Au+24Bu.

Among these, there are six Raman active $(2 A_g + 4 B_g)$ and three infrared active external phonons $(2 A_u + B_u)$. This leaves forty-two Raman active (g) and forty-two infrared active (u) internal vibrations:

Γ vib=22Ag+20Bg+22Au+20Bu.

Twenty-six bands in Raman and twenty-nine bands in infrared spectrum are observed, much less than the expected number of forty-two. Crystal splitting is not resolved in the case of fifteen modes in Raman spectrum, and for thirteen modes in the infrared spectrum.

One dimer having the symmetry of the point group C_i would have 21 A_g Raman active and 21 A_u infrared active normal modes and we use these modes to assign the vibrational transitions. A comparison of the observed Raman and infrared band positions with calculated unscaled normal modes of a single dimer is made in Table 2. Detailed potential energy distribution is given in Table S4 of the Supplementary material. In general all symmetrical modes exhibit a strong Raman and weak infrared activity, while asymmetrical modes exibit strong infrared and weak Raman activity. The very broad infrared band centered at 3414 cm^{-1} is assigned to the asymmetrical OH stretching vibration. Its symmetrical counterpart appears as a weak band in the Raman spectrum at 3417 cm⁻¹ (see Fig. 3). The Raman and IR bands at 2974 and 2973 cm⁻¹ are assigned respectively to CH stretching vibrations, while the pairs of Raman bands at 3002 and 2956 cm^{-1} and at 3001 and 2951 cm^{-1} in the infrared spectrum are associated with CH₂ stretching modes. The group of bands observed in the 1250–1460 cm⁻¹ region are associated with HCC and HCO deformation modes. To the COH bending modes a strong infrared band at 1270 cm^{-1} (see Fig. 4) and a weak Raman band at 1237 cm^{-1} are assigned, contrasting previous assignment of Kobayashi et al. [14] who attributed it to the 1438 cm⁻¹ infrared band. Stretching deformation of the C-C bond contributes in two normal modes corresponding to 1138 and 871 cm⁻¹ strong infrared bands, while the ring breathing mode is associated with the very strong Raman band at 819 cm^{-1} .

Observed		Calculated			
Raman	Infrared	Value	Raman Intensity $(10^{-40} \text{ m}^4/\text{amu})$	Infrared intensity (km/mol)	Descriptive assignment ^b
3417 w, br		3782	88.0	0.0	OH stretching, sym
	3414 vs, vbr	3783	0.0	62.0	OH stretching, asym
3002 vs		3131	197.8	1.8	CH stretching, sym
	3001 m	3131	11.8	30.9	CH stretching, asym
2974 vs		3123	248.7	0.2	CH stretching, sym
	2973 ms	3223	0.7	57.1	CH stretching, asym
2956 ms		3048	189.8	0.0	CH stretching, sym
	2951 m	3049	0.0	69.7	CH stretching, asym
1457 m		1505	14.6	0.0	CH ₂ scissoring
	1452 m	1506	0.0	8.8	CH ₂ scissoring
		1430	13.9	0.0	HCC bending, sym
	1435 m	1423	0.0	117.7	HCC bending, asym

Table 2 Comparison of observed Raman and infrared bands with calculated normal modes of a glycolaldehyde dimer in the stable α phase at 295 K (cm⁻¹).^a

	1397 w	1406	0.0	7.2	HCO bending, asym
1393 mw		1400	4.7	0.0	HCO bending $+ CH_2$
					wagging
	1363 ms	1374	0.0	3.2	HCO bending $+ CH_2$
					wagging
1359 mw, sh		1359	4.9	0.0	HCO bending + CH_2
					wagging
1354 mw					
1314 w					
	1295 m, sh	1292	0.0	16.3	CH ₂ twisting
1275 m	1239 mw	1287	10.6	0.0	CH_2 twisting + HCO
					bending
1265 m, sh					
1237 w, br		1241	5.7	0.0	C–OH bending
,	1270 s	1237	0.0	74.3	C–OH bending
	1143 s, sh				
	1138 s	1156	0.0	197.7	C-C+C-O stretching
1112 w sh		1117	1.0	0.0	C-O(H)
1112 w, 31					stretching $+ CH_2$
					rocking
1105 mw	1100 s	1107	0.0	164.5	CH ₂ rocking
1080 w	1079 ms	1088	3.6	0.0	C–O stretching, sym
1037 m		1050	9.8	0.0	C–O stretching, asym
	1023 s	1016	0.0	268.6	C–O stretching, crystal
					splitting
	1013 m sh				-F
	960 vw				
	913.8	908	0.0	75.4	C–O stretching asym
	901 s	200	0.0	7511	
908 mw	7015	907	69	0.0	C-O(H) stretching sym
899 w		207	0.9	0.0	
0,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	871 s	877	0.0	72.5	C_C stretching
810 vs	0/13	810	19.8	0.0	Ring breathing
017 VS	812 s	809	0.0	59.0	OCC bending
710 m	012.5	709	0.0	0.0	Ding bonding
710 m 561 m		556	4.7	0.0	OCO(H) bonding sym
540 m		550	5.1	0.0	OCO(II) bendning, sym
J47 W	525 0	540	0.0	15.2	OCO(II) handing asym
	555 8 620 m ch	340	0.0	43.3	OU toroion
550 yrry ha	030 III, SI	433	0.0	0.9	Olitorion
330 vw, Dr	420	441	1.4	0.7	
424 m	429 m	424	1.0	0.0	King deformation
202	604 m	423	92.9	0.0	OH torsion
392 W		390	0.7	0.0	
266 w		192	0.74	0.0	OCC bending
238 mw		100			
	232 mw, br	199	0.0	21.8	Ring deformation
	214 mw				
		111	0.0	4.9	Ring deformation

a For detailed description in terms of local symmetrized modes see Table S3. s-Strong, mmedium, w-weak, v-very, br-broad, and sh-shoulder.



b Detailed potential energy distribution is given in Table S4 of the Supplementary material.

Fig 3 Comparison of the theoretical (upper) and experimental (lower) Raman spectra of glycolaldehyde powder at room temperature.



Fig 4 Comparison of the theoretical (upper) and experimental (lower) infrared spectra of glycolaldehyde powder at room temperature.

Particularly sensitive to the conformation of the hydroxyl groups are bands in the 500–650 cm⁻¹ interval. The broad medium infrared band at 604 cm⁻¹ having a shoulder at 630 cm⁻¹ is one of the rare cases where crystal splitting is resolved and two bands can be assigned to the torsions of the hydroxyl groups having symmetry A_u and B_u . Their Raman A_g and B_g counterparts are most probably overlapping in a very weak and broad band centered at 550 cm⁻¹ underlying a medium 561 cm⁻¹ and a weak 549 cm⁻¹ band corresponding to the bending of the O–C–O(H) angle.

According to the normal coordinate calculation, only one internal mode is responsible for two observed Raman bands at 238 and 266 cm^{-1} . It originates in OCC bending deformation of the

ring, coupled with the bending of hydroxyl group. Similarly, two infrared bands at 214 and 232 cm^{-1} are chiefly due to the deformation of the CO_{ring}C angle.

In the far infrared region, Kobayashi et al. [14] reported bands at 183, 156, 110 and 95 cm^{-1} . Among these phonon bands, only one band is expected to be an internal vibration of the dimer.

Low temperature Raman spectra discussed in [15] exhibit an increase in the number of phonon bands from six at room temperature to thirteen at 10 K, while the strongest band attributed to the C–C stretching observed at 819 cm⁻¹ splits into three bands at 812, 824 and 830 cm⁻¹ at 10 K.

5. Conclusion

Glycolaldehyde dimer crystallizes in the space group $P2_1/c$ with Z = 2. Molecules are interconnected via their hydroxyl groups and oxygen atoms from the 1,4-dioxane ring, forming layers of medium strong and weak hydrogen bonds. The bands sensitive to the conformation of OH group are identified as the C–OH deformations which were assigned to the IR and Raman bands at 1239 and 1237 cm⁻¹, respectively; the two O₁–C₂–O₈ and O₄–C₅–O₁₂ deformation modes, both associated with Raman and infrared bands at 561 and 535 cm⁻¹, and the two torsion modes assigned to the IR bands at 630 cm⁻¹ and 604 cm⁻¹.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Croatian Ministry of Science, Technology and Sport, Grants Nos. 098-0982904-2898 and 119-1193079-1084. Calculations were performed at University of Zagreb Computing Centre SRCE.

Appendix A. Supplementary material

CCDC 892871 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for glycolaldehyde dimer. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif, or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK, fax:+44 1223 336033. Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.molstruc.2013.05.006.

References

[1] J.M. Hollis, F.J. Lovas, P.R. Jewell, Astrophys. J. 540 (2000) L107–L110.

- [2] P. Karlson, Biokemija, Školska knjiga, Zagreb, 1988. p. 86.
- [3] R.A.H. Butler, Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. 134 (2001) 319–321.
- [4] K. Cai, J. Wang, J. Phys. Chem. B 113 (2009) 1681–1692.
- [5] Y. Fan, L. Peng Leong, R.P.A. Bettens, J. Phys. Chem. A 111 (2007) 5081–5085.
- [6] M.L. Senent, J. Phys. Chem. A 108 (2004) 6286-6293.
- [7] G. Bouchoux, F. Penaud-Berruyer, W. Bertrand, Eur. J. Mass Spectrom. 7 (2001) 351–357.
- [8] S. Ptasin´ska, P. Limao-Vieira, S. Denifl, P. Scheier, T.D. Mark, Chem. Phys. Lett. 401 (2005) 227–231.
- [9] A. Aspiala, J. Murto, P. Sten, Chem. Phys. 106 (1986) 399–412.
 [10] J. Ceponkus, W. Chin, M. Chevalier, M. Broquier, A. Limongi, C. Crepin, J. Chem. Phys. 133 (2010) 094502.
- [11] A. Wohl, C. Neubeg, Ber. Deutsch. Chem. Ges. 33 (1900) 3097.
- [12] E. Spath, L. Raschik, Monatsh. Chem. 76 (1947) 65.
- [13] H. Michelsen, P. Klaboe, J. Mol. Struct. 4 (1969) 293–302.
- [14] Y. Kobayashi, H. Takahara, H. Takahashi, K. Higasi, J. Mol. Struct. 32 (1976) 235–246.
- [15] V. Mohac'ek-Grošev, J. Raman Spectrosc. 36 (2005) 453-461.
- [16] A. Boultif, D. Louer, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 37 (2004) 724.
- [17] X'PertHighScorePlus Package, Panalytical B.V., Almelo, The Netherlands, 2003.
- [18] A. LeBail, H. Douroy, J.L. Fourquet, Mater. Res. Bull. 23 (1988) 447.
- [19] A. Altomare, M. Camalli, C. Cuocci, C. Giacovazzo, A. Moliterni, R. Rizzi, J. Appl. Cryst. 42 (2009) 1197–1202.
- [20] H.M. Rietveld, J. Appl. Cryst. 2 (1969) 65-71.
- [21] L.W. Finger, D.E. Cox, A.P. Jephcoat, J. Appl. Cryst. 27 (1994) 892–900.
- [22] M.J. Frisch, G.W. Trucks, H.B. Schlegel, G.E. Scuseria, M.A. Robb, J.R. Cheeseman, J.A. Montgomery Jr., T. Vreven, K.N. Kudin, J.C. Burant, J.M. Millam, S.S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, M. Cossi, G. Scalmani, N. Rega, G.A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, M. Klene, X. Li, J.E. Knox, H.P. Hratchian, J.B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R.E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A.J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J.W. Ochterski, P.Y. Ayala, K. Morokuma, G.A. Voth, P. Salvador, J.J. Dannenberg, V.G. Zakrzewski, S. Dapprich, A.D. Daniels, M.C. Strain, O. Farkas, D.K. Malick, A.D. Rabuck, K. Raghavachari, J.B. Foresman, J.V. Ortiz, Q. Cui, A.G. Baboul, S. Clifford, J. Cioslowski, B.B. Stefanov, G. Liu, A. Liashenko, P. Piskorz, I. Komaromi, R.L. Martin, D.J. Fox, T. Keith, M.A. Al-Laham, C.Y. Peng, A. Nanayakkara, M. Challacombe, P.M.W. Gill, B. Johnson, W. Chen, M.W. Wong, C. Gonzalez, J.A. Pople, Gaussian03, Revision C.02, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford, CT, 2004. [23] BALGA program, G. Keresztury, G. Jalszovsky, J. Mol. Struct. 10 (1971) 304.
- [24] H. Rostkowska, L. Lapinski, M. Nowak, Vib. Spectrosc. 49 (2009) 43.
- [25] G.K. Glushonok, T.G. Glushonok, O.I. Shadyro, Kinet. Catal. 41 (2000) 682-686.

- [26] M. Spiekermann, B. Schrader, A. de Meijere, W. Luttke, J. Mol. Struct. 77 (1981) 1.
- [27] J.R. Durig, A. Ganguly, A.M. ElDefrawy, T.K. Gounev, G.A. Giurgis, Spectrochim. Acta A 71 (2008) 1379–1389.
- [28] C. Jansen, J. Mol. Struct. THEOCHEM 398 (1977) 395-404.
- [29] P.A. McGregor, D.R. Allan, C.A. Morrison, S. Parsons, L. Sawyer, Acta Cryst. B 61 (2005) 449–454.
- [30] S.A. Moggach, D.R. Allan, P. Lozano-Casal, S. Parsons, J. Synchrotron Rad. 12 (2005) 590–597.
- [31] E. Sciesinska, J. Mayer, I. Natkaniec, J. Sciesinski, Acta Phys. Pol. A 76 (1989) 617–629.
- [32] H.A. Dabbagh, M. Naderi, A. NajafiChermahini, Carbohydr. Res. 346 (2011) 1047–1056.
- [33] D. Cremer, J.A. Pople, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 97 (1975) 1354.
- [34] K. S'lepokura, T. Koz' lecki, T. Lis, J. Mol. Struct. 647 (2003) 223-231.
- [35] K. S'lepokura, T. Lis, Carbohydr. Res. 339 (2004) 1995–2007.
- [36] A.F. Berndt, L. Barton, F. Longcor, Acta Crystallogr. C 39 (1983) 395–397.
- [37] M. Senma, Z. Taira, K. Osaki, T. Taga, Chem. Commun. (1973) 880-881.
- [38] P. Aped, Y. Apeloig, A. Ellencweig, B. Fuchs, I. Goldberg, M. Karni, E. Tartakovsky, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 109 (1987) 1486–1495.
- [39] F.H. Allen, Acta Crystallogr. B58 (2002) 380–388.
- [40] G.A. Jeffrey, An Introduction to Hydrogen Bonding, Oxford University Press, New York, Oxford, 1997. p. 12.