

CERN-EP-2025-021
2025/09/08

CMS-EXO-23-015

Search for vector-like leptons with long-lived particle decays in the CMS muon system in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV

The CMS Collaboration*

Abstract

A first search is presented for vector-like leptons (VLLs) exclusively decaying into a light long-lived pseudoscalar boson and a standard model τ lepton. The pseudoscalar boson is assumed to have a mass below the $\tau^+ \tau^-$ threshold, so that it decays exclusively into two photons. It is identified using the CMS muon system. The analysis is carried out using a data set of proton-proton collisions at a center-of-mass energy of 13 TeV collected by the CMS experiment in 2016–2018, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 138 fb^{-1} . Selected events contain at least one pseudoscalar boson decaying electromagnetically in the muon system and at least one hadronically decaying τ lepton. No significant excess of data events is observed compared to the background expectation. Upper limits are set at 95% confidence level on the vector-like lepton production cross section as a function of the VLL mass and the pseudoscalar boson mean proper decay length. The observed and expected exclusion ranges of the VLL mass extend up to 700 and 670 GeV, respectively, depending on the pseudoscalar boson lifetime.

Published in the Journal of High Energy Physics as doi:10.1007/JHEP08(2025)156.

1 Introduction

The standard model (SM) of particle physics successfully explains the interactions of elementary particles. However, some fundamental questions remain unexplained, such as the hierarchy problem and the nature of dark matter. One important feature of the SM is that fermions are chiral i.e., left- and right-handed fermions experience different weak interactions. Beyond-the-SM (BSM) models hypothesize the existence of additional chiral fermions, but direct searches for such fermions and measurements of the production cross section and properties of the Higgs boson (H) at the CERN LHC have largely excluded their existence [1–4]. Therefore, if new elementary fermions exist, they should be of vector-like (nonchiral) nature. Vector-like fermions are among the simplest and well-motivated extensions of the SM [5–7]. They are predicted in various BSM scenarios, including, but not limited to, physics with extra dimensions [8, 9], string theories [10–12], supersymmetric models [13–16], and grand unification theories [17–19].

At the LHC, vector-like quarks (VLQs) would be produced in pairs via quantum chromodynamics (QCD) processes or singly via electroweak interactions [20, 21]. Searches for VLQs have probed a large phase space, excluding mass hypotheses up to around 1.5 TeV [22–24]. In contrast, vector-like leptons (VLLs) would be produced via s -channel electroweak processes with much smaller production cross sections than the ones of VLQs. The VLL production cross section depends on the VLL mass, weak isospin, and weak hypercharge. The VLLs typically decay via mixing with the SM τ lepton into νW , τZ , or τH [6, 7]. Alternative models predict more exotic decays into two SM third-generation quarks and one τ lepton via an off-shell leptoquark [25]. Searches for VLLs have been recently performed by the ATLAS and CMS Collaborations using the data set of proton-proton (pp) collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of about 140 fb^{-1} [24, 26–29]. In particular, singlet VLLs with prompt decays in the mass range between 125 and 150 GeV are excluded by the CMS search [28].

In many BSM theories, vector-like fermions are accompanied by light pseudoscalar bosons, also referred to as axion-like particles (ALPs). Examples include composite Higgs models [30], Little Higgs models [31, 32], and theories of quark and lepton compositeness [33, 34]. The presence of pseudoscalar bosons changes the collider phenomenology of the VLQs and VLLs and typically leads to final states that include low-mass diphoton resonances [35].

In this paper, a novel BSM physics model is studied in which a complex scalar field transforms as a singlet under the SU(2) gauge group and has Yukawa interactions with the weak-singlet VLL [36]. The mass mixing between the VLL and the SM third-generation charged lepton leads to the emergence of a heavy physical VLL (τ') and the well-established τ lepton. This complex scalar field has a vacuum expectation value and its charge-parity (CP)-odd component is lighter than τ' . The LHC phenomenology of this model is dominated by the VLL pair production $pp \rightarrow \tau'^+ \tau'^-$ with subsequent τ' decays to τa_τ , νW , τZ , and τH , where a_τ is a new physical pseudoscalar boson. If the mass of the τ' VLL (m_{VLL}) arises from a Lagrangian term independent of the vacuum expectation value, then $\tau' \rightarrow \tau a_\tau$ is the dominant τ' decay channel. For pseudoscalar boson masses (m_a) smaller than twice the τ mass (m_τ), a_τ predominantly decays to two photons (γ) via a τ' loop. An example Feynman diagram of this process is presented in Fig. 1. Moreover, for typical values of parameters in this model (coupling between a_τ and τ' of order 0.1, m_a at the GeV scale and m_{VLL} of order several hundreds of GeV), a_τ will have a mean proper decay length ($c\tau_a$) of a few tens of mm [36]. Given that a_τ is produced via the decay of a heavy particle, it typically has a very large momentum, thus a_τ may decay several meters away from the pp interaction point.

This article presents the first search for singlet VLLs decaying into a light long-lived a_τ and a τ




Figure 1: Feynman diagram of pair production of singlet vector-like leptons (τ'), which in turn both decay into an SM τ lepton and a new long-lived pseudoscalar boson (a_τ).

lepton. The subsequent decay of a_τ is assumed to be exclusively into two photons. The analysis is performed using a data set of pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV collected by the CMS experiment from 2016 to 2018, collectively known as Run 2, and corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 138 fb^{-1} . Events of interest contain at least one electromagnetically decaying a_τ in the muon system and at least one hadronically decaying τ lepton (τ_h). The collimated photons from the a_τ decay can produce a particle shower by interacting with the steel shielding material of the CMS muon system, similar to a sampling calorimeter. The shower is reconstructed as a localized high-multiplicity cluster of muon detector hits, denominated as a muon detector shower (MDS). The MDS object has been used in previous long-lived particle (LLP) searches by the CMS experiment [37–39]. Because of the calorimetric nature of the MDS signature, the MDS object is sensitive to the LLP energy rather than its mass. Therefore, this search would be equally sensitive to signal hypotheses for any $m_a < 2m_\tau$. A value of $m_a = 2 \text{ GeV}$ is used as a benchmark in this search. For $m_a > 2m_\tau$, a_τ would predominantly decay to τ leptons at tree level resulting in substantially shorter lifetimes [36]. Thus alternative search strategies focusing on τ lepton final states could provide greater sensitivity for such scenarios. The VLL signal presented here (Fig. 1) depends only on m_{VLL} and $c\tau_a$. The results are interpreted within the VLL singlet model, although the search is also sensitive to VLL doublet models. Tabulated results and signal efficiencies are provided in the HEPData record for this analysis [40].

This paper is outlined as follows: the CMS detector is briefly described in Section 2, followed by a description of the simulation samples in Section 3. Event reconstruction and selection are detailed in Sections 4 and 5, respectively. The background estimation strategy is described in Section 6. Sources of systematic uncertainties affecting the modeling of the signal and background are detailed in Section 7. The results are presented in Section 8, followed by a summary of this search in Section 9.

2 The CMS detector

The central feature of the CMS detector is a superconducting solenoid of 6 m internal diameter, providing a magnetic field of 3.8 T. Within the solenoid volume are a silicon pixel and strip tracker, a lead tungstate crystal electromagnetic calorimeter (ECAL), and a brass and scintillator hadron calorimeter (HCAL), each composed of a barrel and two endcap sections. Forward calorimeters extend the pseudorapidity (η) coverage provided by the barrel and endcap detectors. Gas-ionization muon detectors embedded in the steel flux-return yoke outside the solenoid cover the region of $|\eta| < 2.4$. In Run 2, this system comprises three technologies:

drift tubes (DTs), cathode strip chambers (CSCs), and resistive plate chambers (RPCs). More detailed descriptions of the CMS detector, together with a definition of the coordinate system used and the relevant kinematic variables, can be found in Refs. [41, 42].

The DT system covers the barrel region of $|\eta| < 1.2$. The DT chambers are arranged in five wheels along the beamline axis (z), and interleaved with layers of the steel flux-return yoke. Within each wheel, four concentric layers (stations) around the z axis are located approximately 4, 5, 6, and 7 m radially away from the interaction point, and named MB1–MB4 starting from the innermost one, where MB stands for “muon barrel”. Each chamber consists of rectangular drift cells arranged in parallel and grouped in four layers to form superlayers (SLs). When charged particles transverse a cell, they ionize the gas and produce charges that drift towards an anode wire. The signal pulse measured is recorded as a hit. While all chambers are equipped with two SLs to measure the hit coordinate in the $r - \phi$ plane (where ϕ is azimuthal angle in radians), only MB1–MB3 station chambers contain a central SL to determine the hit z position.

The CSC system extends the coverage in the endcap region of $0.9 < |\eta| < 2.4$. The CSC chambers are interleaved with the steel layers in four disks (stations) per endcap, located approximately 7.0, 8.0, 9.5, and 10.5 m away from the interaction point along the z axis. Each station consists of rings of chambers designated by MES/R, where “ME” means “muon endcap”, S indicates the station number, and R is the ring number. The CSC chambers comprise six layers of cathode strips in the r direction and anode wires perpendicular to the strip. Charged particles crossing a chamber ionize the gas and produce signal pulses in both anode wires and cathode strips. The information from anode and cathode signals are combined to provide the space and time coordinates of the hit in each layer.

The RPC detectors are double-gap chambers operated in avalanche mode. Signals are collected by readout strips, which are located in between the two gas gaps. The RPCs are characterized by their time resolution of around 1 ns, providing hits with a precise bunch-crossing assignment. They are mounted in both CSC and DT stations, covering the region of $|\eta| < 1.9$.

Events of interest are selected using a two-tiered trigger system. The first level, composed of custom hardware processors, uses information from the calorimeters and muon detectors to select events at a rate of around 100 kHz within a fixed latency of about $4 \mu\text{s}$ [43]. The second level, known as the high-level trigger, consists of a farm of processors running a version of the full event reconstruction software optimized for fast processing, and reduces the event rate to around 1 kHz before data storage [44, 45].

3 Simulated samples

This search targets signal events with $pp \rightarrow \tau'^+ \tau'^-$ production. The branching fractions $\mathcal{B}(\tau' \rightarrow \tau a_\tau)$ and $\mathcal{B}(a_\tau \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$ are assumed to be 100%. The hard scattering process is generated at leading order (LO) using the MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO 2.6.5 matrix element generator [46] and the VLL model presented in Ref. [36]. Signal samples are generated with τ' masses in the range of 200–800 GeV, where the trigger selection efficiencies are expected to be high, in steps of 100 GeV. A value of 2 GeV is taken for m_a . The parameter $c\tau_a$ is set to various values in MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO, ranging from 2 mm to 10 m. Since only a discrete set of $c\tau_a$ values were generated, signal hypotheses with intermediate $c\tau_a$ values are derived by reweighting the proper decay length distribution. Signal events are normalized to the next-to-LO (NLO) cross sections [36] and the total integrated luminosity. Simulation is not used to estimate background events. The background estimate is derived using a purely data-driven method described in Section 6.

Generated events are interfaced with PYTHIA 8.230 [47] to simulate parton showering and hadronization processes. Additional pp interactions in the same or neighboring bunch crossings (pileup) are modeled by superimposing simulated minimum bias interactions onto the hard scattering process. Simulated events are reweighted to match the distribution of the number of pileup interactions observed during each data-taking period. The underlying event tune CP5 [48] and NNPDF3.1 [49] parton distribution functions are used in all simulated samples. All generated events are passed through a simulation of the CMS detector response based on the GEANT4 toolkit [50].

4 Event reconstruction

Reconstruction and identification of each particle in the event are performed by the particle-flow (PF) algorithm [51], with an optimized combination of information from the various elements of the CMS detector. The energy of prompt photons that do not originate from the a_τ decay is obtained from the ECAL measurement. The energy of displaced di-photons from the a_τ decay is not measured by the MDS object. The energy of electrons is determined by a combination of the electron momentum at the primary interaction vertex as determined by the tracker, the energy of the corresponding ECAL cluster, and the energy sum of all bremsstrahlung photons spatially compatible with those originating from the electron track. The energy of muons is obtained from the curvature of the corresponding track. The energy of charged hadrons is determined from a combination of their momentum measured in the tracker and the matching ECAL and HCAL energy deposits, corrected for the response function of the calorimeters to hadronic showers. Finally, the energy of neutral hadrons is obtained from the corresponding corrected ECAL and HCAL energies.

The primary interaction vertex (PV) is defined as the vertex corresponding to the hardest scattering in the event, evaluated using only tracking information, as described in Section 9.4.1 of Ref. [52].

Muons are measured in the region $|\eta| < 2.4$, with detection planes comprising DTs, CSCs, and RPCs. The efficiency to reconstruct and identify muons is greater than 96%. Matching muons to tracks measured in the silicon tracker results in a relative transverse momentum (p_T) resolutions of 1% in the barrel and 3% in the endcaps, for muons with $p_T < 100$ GeV; and better than 7% in the barrel for muons with $p_T < 1$ TeV [53].

Hadronic jets are reconstructed by clustering PF candidates using the anti- k_T algorithm [54, 55] with a distance parameter of 0.4. Pileup interactions can contribute with additional tracks and calorimetric energy depositions to the jet momentum. To mitigate this effect, charged particles identified to be originating from pileup vertices are discarded and an offset correction is applied to correct for remaining contributions [56]. Jet energy corrections are derived from simulation to bring the measured response of jets to that of particle-level jets on average. In situ measurements of the momentum balance in dijet, photon+jet, Z+jet, and multijet events are used to account for any residual differences in the jet energy scale between data and simulation [57]. The jet energy resolution typically is between 15 and 20% at 30 GeV, 10% at 100 GeV, and 5% at 1 TeV [57]. Additional selection criteria are applied to each jet to remove jets potentially dominated by anomalous contributions from various subdetector components or reconstruction failures [58].

For each event, the missing transverse momentum vector $\vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}$ is computed as the negative vector p_T sum of all the PF candidates, and its magnitude is labeled as p_T^{miss} [59]. The $\vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}$ is modified to account for corrections to the energy scale of the reconstructed jets in the event.

The τ_h candidates are reconstructed from jets, using the hadrons-plus-strips algorithm [60], which combines one or three tracks with energy deposits in the calorimeters, to identify the τ_h decay modes. Neutral pions are reconstructed as strips with dynamic size in η - ϕ from reconstructed electrons and photons, where the strip size varies as a function of the p_T of the electron or photon candidate. Decay modes with one or three charged hadrons, with or without neutral pions, are considered in this analysis. The DEEPTAU algorithm is used [61] to distinguish genuine τ_h decays from jets originating from the hadronization of quarks or gluons, and from electrons or muons. It combines the information from all individual reconstructed particles near the τ_h axis with properties of the τ_h candidate and the event. The jet misidentification rate of this algorithm depends on the p_T and quark flavor of the jet. In simulated events from W boson production in association with jets, it has been estimated to be 0.43% for a genuine τ_h identification efficiency of 70% at the chosen working point.

When LLPs decay within or just in front of the muon system, the material of the steel return yoke will induce a particle shower. Such showers will generate a localized and isolated cluster of muon detector hits. The CSC hits are reconstructed by combining the signal pulses in wire groups and cathode strips. The DT hits are reconstructed from signal pulses by the anode wires at the center of DT cells. Since DT chambers provide either the ϕ or z coordinate, the DT hit position is assumed to be at the center of the DT chamber in the orthogonal direction.

The MDS objects are formed by clustering either CSC or DT hits based on their η and ϕ coordinates using the density-based spatial clustering of applications with noise (DBSCAN algorithm [62]) with a distance parameter $\Delta R = \sqrt{(\Delta\eta)^2 + (\Delta\phi)^2} = 0.2$. Given that minimum ionizing muons can produce up to 24 hits in the CSC and 44 hits in the DT system, a minimum of 50 hits per cluster are required in the DBSCAN algorithm. The efficiency to reconstruct an MDS object depends on the LLP decay mode (hadronic or electromagnetic). This analysis studies LLPs with electromagnetic decays. Consequently, particle showers are produced and contained at most within one station and stopped by the steel material between stations. When a_τ decays near or within the CSC or DT system, the inclusive cluster efficiency is approximately 35 and 45%, respectively. The simulated cluster reconstruction efficiency, including both DT and CSC clusters, as a function of the r and $|z|$ decay positions of a_τ is shown in Fig. 2. The modeling of the MDS object in simulation has been studied using a sample enriched with $Z \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$, where one of the muons emits a photon through bremsstrahlung. The photon produces an electromagnetic shower in the muon system, and thus it is reconstructed as an MDS cluster. Differences in data and simulation efficiencies are accounted for as systematic uncertainties described in Section 7.

5 Event selection

This analysis focuses on signal events with candidates for at least one τ_h and at least one a_τ decay in the muon system. Given that the a_τ decays beyond the calorimeters, its momentum is not properly accounted for by the PF algorithm and a large value of p_T^{miss} is reconstructed in the event. We exploit this feature and analyze the data collected by triggers with online $p_T^{\text{miss}} > 120 \text{ GeV}$. After trigger selection, we impose a minimum offline p_T^{miss} of 200 GeV to be above the threshold where the p_T^{miss} trigger becomes fully efficient. The p_T^{miss} signal selection efficiency, calculated assuming at least one a_τ decays within the geometric acceptance, ranges from 6 to 62%, depending on the m_{VLL} mass hypothesis.

We require events to have at least one reconstructed τ_h candidate with $p_T > 30 \text{ GeV}$, $|\eta| < 2.3$, satisfying the identification criteria described in Section 4. The τ_h selection efficiency is be-




Figure 2: The cluster reconstruction efficiency, including both DT and CSC clusters, as a function of the simulated r and $|z|$ decay positions of the pseudoscalar boson a_τ decaying to $\gamma\gamma$ in events with $p_T^{\text{miss}} > 200$ GeV, for a VLL mass of 700 GeV, a pseudoscalar boson mass of 2 GeV, and a range of pseudoscalar boson mean proper decay lengths $c\tau_a$ uniformly distributed between 0.01 and 0.1 m. The barrel and endcap muon stations are drawn as black boxes and labeled by their names. The regions between muon stations are mostly composed of steel from the return yoke. The cluster reconstruction efficiency presented here corresponds to electromagnetic decays, in contrast to that of hadronic decays shown in Ref. [38].

tween 50 and 85% depending on the signal hypothesis, with around 98% background rejection.

Selected events are required to contain at least one MDS cluster. They are categorized into DT- and CSC-cluster categories, based on the cluster type. There is only one cluster selected per event. If a DT cluster and a CSC cluster are present in the event, the event will be assigned to the DT category. Both categories have similar SM background sources that could induce a particle shower in the muon system, and therefore produce an MDS cluster. Ordered by relative importance, the background sources are the following: (i) energetic particles from quark/gluon hadronization or secondary interactions not stopped by the shielding material, known as jet punch-through; (ii) muons that undergo bremsstrahlung in the muon system; (iii) isolated hadrons from pileup or underlying events (single particle punch-through); and (iv) cosmic ray muon showers. To reject these backgrounds, additional requirements are applied to MDS clusters, as detailed in the following subsections.

5.1 The DT-cluster category

The DT-cluster category targets signal events in which the a_τ is reconstructed as a DT cluster. To suppress punch-through jet and muon bremsstrahlung backgrounds, we veto clusters with a centroid within $\Delta R = 0.4$ of a jet with $p_T > 15 \text{ GeV}$ and $|\eta| < 3.0$ or a muon with $p_T > 15 \text{ GeV}$ and $|\eta| < 2.4$. In addition, we observe shower events induced by cosmic rays where a large number of hits are observed in multiple muon chambers in adjacent wheels. These are suppressed by vetoing clusters containing more than 8 MB1 hits within $\Delta\phi < \pi/4$ in any of the wheels adjacent to the wheel containing the cluster hits.

We also require the cluster to coincide with at least one RPC detector hit in the same wheel and within $\Delta\phi < 0.5$. The time measurements of those RPC hits are matched to discrete bunch crossing times. Then, the DT cluster time ($t_{\text{cluster}}^{\text{DT}}$) is defined as the most frequently occurring bunch crossing time among the matched RPC hits. Lastly, the DT cluster time is required to match the PV bunch crossing time ($t_{\text{cluster}}^{\text{DT}} = 0$), to reject clusters produced from pileup or interactions from nearby bunch crossings, denominated out-of-time (OOT) pileup.

5.2 The CSC-cluster category

The CSC-cluster category targets signal events in which the a_τ is reconstructed as a CSC cluster. The jet and muon vetoes described in Section 5.1 are applied to the CSC cluster. Clusters matched to a DT segment or RPC hit in the MB1 station within $\Delta R < 0.4$ are also rejected in order to remove clusters produced from muons traversing the DT region $0.8 < |\eta| < 1.2$ and showering in the CSC chambers. Clusters with an ME1/1 hit are removed because of the large punch-through jet background due to the reduced shielding present in this region. Finally, clusters with $|\eta| > 2.2$ are rejected to suppress muon bremsstrahlung background not accounted for by the muon veto due to inefficient muon reconstruction.

The CSC cluster time ($t_{\text{cluster}}^{\text{CSC}}$) and time spread ($t_{\text{spread}}^{\text{CSC}}$) are defined as the average and root-mean-square spread of the timestamps of the hits comprising the cluster, respectively. To reject clusters from the OOT pileup background, $-5.0 < t_{\text{cluster}}^{\text{CSC}} < 12.5 \text{ ns}$ and $t_{\text{spread}}^{\text{CSC}} < 20 \text{ ns}$ are required.

6 Background modeling

Signal LLPs are expected to produce large numbers of hits in the muon system compared to background processes. Therefore, we use the distribution of number of hits in the cluster (N_{hits}) as the signal discriminant in both DT- and CSC-cluster categories. The signal region (SR) is defined as events passing the selections detailed in Section 5. The SR background estimate is derived from data events in a signal-depleted region.

Since the cluster properties are expected to be independent of the τ_h selection, a control region (CR) is defined by requiring at least one reconstructed τ_h candidate but inverting the τ_h DEEP-TAU identification requirements with respect to the ones applied to the SR events. The expected background N_{hits} distribution shape is taken from the CR and normalized to the data measured in the SR with a constant scaling factor. Fisher F-tests [63] and saturated model goodness-of-fit tests [64, 65] have been performed establishing that a higher-order polynomial dependence, beyond a constant scaling factor between the SR and CR distributions as a function of N_{hits} , is not needed. The SR N_{hits} distributions are presented in Fig. 3.

This background model is validated in OOT validation regions that are orthogonal to the SR. The DT and CSC OOT regions contain events passing all selection criteria, except that a nega-




Figure 3: Distributions of the number of hits in the cluster (N_{hits}) for the DT (left) and CSC (right) cluster categories in the signal region (SR). The black markers represent the data. The solid orange line and associated orange band show the background prediction and corresponding uncertainty. The red dotted, blue dashed and green dashed-dotted lines denote different signal hypotheses for a pseudoscalar boson with a mass of 2 GeV. The last histogram bin contains all overflow events. The lower panel in each plot shows the ratio of the data to the estimated background.

tive cluster time is required: $t_{\text{cluster}}^{\text{DT}} < 0$ and $t_{\text{cluster}}^{\text{CSC}} < -12.5 \text{ ns}$, respectively. In analogy to the SR, the shape of the expected background N_{hits} distribution is taken from the CR and normalized to data measured in the OOT with a constant scaling factor. Statistical tests are performed and show that a constant scaling factor is sufficient to model the background distribution in both DT and CSC OOT validation regions. The validation OOT distributions of N_{hits} are shown in Fig. 4.

7 Systematic uncertainties

The background uncertainty arises from the statistical fluctuation in the CR data. Since good agreement is observed between the background estimation and data in the OOT validation regions, no additional uncertainty is considered. Background uncertainties are uncorrelated across categories.

The simulation of the muon detector response in an environment with a large multiplicity of secondary particles is validated by studying data and simulation in a region with $Z \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ events, where a muon undergoes bremsstrahlung and the associated photon produces an MDS cluster. Uncertainties in the CSC and DT cluster reconstruction efficiency are estimated to be around 13 and 16%, respectively. Simulation correction factors are also derived to account for the mismodeling of cluster selection efficiencies, and their uncertainties are propagated to the final result. For CSC clusters, a 6.8% correction factor is applied to the muon veto efficiency, with a systematic uncertainty of 4.5%. The uncertainties in the CSC cluster time spread and cluster time selections are 2.8 and 0.9%, respectively. In addition, a 1% uncertainty is assigned to account for differences in the CSC readout conditions in simulation and data, which could lead to an overestimation of the N_{hits} in the cluster.




Figure 4: Distributions of the number of hits in the cluster (N_{hits}) for the DT (left) and CSC (right) cluster categories in the out-of-time (OOT) region. The black markers represent the data. The solid orange line and associated orange band show the background prediction and corresponding uncertainty. The red dotted, blue dashed and green dashed-dotted lines denote different signal hypotheses for a pseudoscalar boson with a mass of 2 GeV. The last histogram bin contains all overflow events. The lower panel in each plot shows the ratio of the data to the estimated background.

The τ_h identification efficiencies in simulation are corrected to match those in data. The uncertainties of these correction factors are propagated to the final result as uncertainties in the expected signal yield (5–11%). Lastly, we also account for experimental uncertainties in the signal yield prediction: pileup (1%), τ_h energy scale (1%), jet energy scale (1–4%), trigger efficiency (1–4%), and total integrated luminosity (1.6%) [66–68]. Theoretical uncertainties in the signal modeling are found to have a negligible impact on the analysis. The signal systematic uncertainties, except for the ones in the cluster modeling, are assumed to be correlated across categories.

8 Results

No statistically significant deviation from a background-only hypothesis is observed. We evaluate the upper limits on the VLL production cross section using the modified frequentist CL_s [69, 70] criterion with the profile likelihood ratio as the test statistic [71]. The CL_s value is calculated using an asymptotic approximation of the test statistic [72]. The sources of systematic uncertainties detailed in Section 7 are modeled by log-normal distributions in the likelihood function. The final results are obtained by a simultaneous fit of the N_{hits} distributions in both DT- and CSC-cluster categories using the CMS statistical analysis tool COMBINE [73], which is based on the ROOFIT [74] and ROOSTATS [75] frameworks. The DT-cluster category contributes the most to the overall sensitivity due to its higher signal-to-background ratio. The exclusion limits obtained using the asymptotic approximation are consistent within 10% with those based on pseudo-experiments.

The observed and expected upper limits at 95% confidence level (CL) on the VLL cross section are presented as a function of VLL mass and $c\tau_a$ in Fig. 5. In this search, VLL masses below

700 GeV are observed to be excluded with 670 GeV expected, depending on $c\tau_a$. Figure 6 shows the observed limit as a function of both the VLL mass and $c\tau_a$.




Figure 5: The 95% CL observed and expected upper limits on the VLL production cross section as a function of the VLL mass for the pseudoscalar boson mean proper decay length $c\tau_a = 0.025$ m (left), and as a function of $c\tau_a$ for VLL mass of 700 GeV (right). The pseudoscalar boson mass is 2 GeV. The NLO theoretical prediction [36] is shown as a red line.




Figure 6: The 95% CL observed upper limits on the VLL production cross section as a function of the VLL mass and the pseudoscalar boson mean proper decay length $c\tau_a$. The pseudoscalar boson mass is 2 GeV. The area enclosed by the white line corresponds to the excluded region.

9 Summary

The first search for singlet vector-like leptons (VLLs) that decay into a light long-lived pseudoscalar boson and a τ lepton has been presented. It is performed using the CMS data set of proton-proton collisions at 13 TeV collected in 2016–2018, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 138 fb^{-1} . This analysis targets a reconstructed signature with at least one hadronically decaying tau lepton and with at least one muon detector shower resulting from the pseudoscalar boson decay in the CMS muon system. Selected events are categorized based on the presence of a cluster of muon detector hits in the barrel or the endcap region. No significant deviation from the background-only hypothesis is observed. The results of each category are combined to derive upper limits on the VLL production cross section as a function of the VLL mass and the pseudoscalar boson proper decay length. For pseudoscalar boson mean proper decay lengths in the range of 0.005–2.4 m, VLL masses up to 700 GeV are excluded at 95% confidence level. These are the most stringent constraints on the production of singlet VLLs with long-lived decays.

Acknowledgments

We congratulate our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC and thank the technical and administrative staffs at CERN and at other CMS institutes for their contributions to the success of the CMS effort. In addition, we gratefully acknowledge the computing centers and personnel of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid and other centers for delivering so effectively the computing infrastructure essential to our analyses. Finally, we acknowledge the enduring support for the construction and operation of the LHC, the CMS detector, and the supporting computing infrastructure provided by the following funding agencies: SC (Armenia), BMBWF and FWF (Austria); FNRS and FWO (Belgium); CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ, FAPERGS, and FAPESP (Brazil); MES and BNSF (Bulgaria); CERN; CAS, MoST, and NSFC (China); MINCIENCIAS (Colombia); MSES and CSF (Croatia); RIF (Cyprus); SENESCYT (Ecuador); ERC PRG, RVTT3 and MoER TK202 (Estonia); Academy of Finland, MEC, and HIP (Finland); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); SRNSF (Georgia); BMBF, DFG, and HGF (Germany); GSRI (Greece); NKFIH (Hungary); DAE and DST (India); IPM (Iran); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); MSIP and NRF (Republic of Korea); MES (Latvia); LMTLT (Lithuania); MOE and UM (Malaysia); BUAP, CINVESTAV, CONACYT, LNS, SEP, and UASLP-FAI (Mexico); MOS (Montenegro); MBIE (New Zealand); PAEC (Pakistan); MES and NSC (Poland); FCT (Portugal); MESTD (Serbia); MICIU/AEI and PCTI (Spain); MOSTR (Sri Lanka); Swiss Funding Agencies (Switzerland); MST (Taipei); MHESI and NSTDA (Thailand); TUBITAK and TENMAK (Turkey); NASU (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE and NSF (USA).

Individuals have received support from the Marie-Curie program and the European Research Council and Horizon 2020 Grant, contract Nos. 675440, 724704, 752730, 758316, 765710, 824093, 101115353, 101002207, and COST Action CA16108 (European Union); the Leventis Foundation; the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation; the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation; the Science Committee, project no. 22rl-037 (Armenia); the Fonds pour la Formation à la Recherche dans l'Industrie et dans l'Agriculture (FRIA-Belgium); the Beijing Municipal Science & Technology Commission, No. Z191100007219010 and Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (China); the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports (MEYS) of the Czech Republic; the Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation, grant FR-22-985 (Georgia); the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), among others, under Germany's Excellence Strategy – EXC 2121 “Quantum Universe” – 390833306, and under project number 400140256 - GRK2497; the Hellenic

Foundation for Research and Innovation (HFRI), Project Number 2288 (Greece); the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, the New National Excellence Program - ÚNKP, the NKFIH research grants K 131991, K 133046, K 138136, K 143460, K 143477, K 146913, K 146914, K 147048, 2020-2.2.1-ED-2021-00181, TKP2021-NKTA-64, and 2021-4.1.2-NEMZ.KI-2024-00036 (Hungary); the Council of Science and Industrial Research, India; ICSC – National Research Center for High Performance Computing, Big Data and Quantum Computing and FAIR – Future Artificial Intelligence Research, funded by the NextGenerationEU program (Italy); the Latvian Council of Science; the Ministry of Education and Science, project no. 2022/WK/14, and the National Science Center, contracts Opus 2021/41/B/ST2/01369 and 2021/43/B/ST2/01552 (Poland); the Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, grant CEECIND/01334/2018 (Portugal); the National Priorities Research Program by Qatar National Research Fund; MICIU/AEI/10.13039/501100011033, ERDF/EU, “European Union NextGenerationEU/PRTR”, and Programa Severo Ochoa del Principado de Asturias (Spain); the Chulalongkorn Academic into Its 2nd Century Project Advancement Project, and the National Science, Research and Innovation Fund via the Program Management Unit for Human Resources & Institutional Development, Research and Innovation, grant B39G670016 (Thailand); the Kavli Foundation; the Nvidia Corporation; the SuperMicro Corporation; the Welch Foundation, contract C-1845; and the Weston Havens Foundation (USA).

References

- [1] A. Djouadi and A. Lenz, “Sealing the fate of a fourth generation of fermions”, *Phys. Lett. B* **715** (2012) 310, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2012.07.060, arXiv:1204.1252.
- [2] A. Lenz, “Constraints on a fourth generation of fermions from Higgs boson searches”, *Adv. High Energy Phys.* **2013** (2013) 910275, doi:10.1155/2013/910275.
- [3] ATLAS Collaboration, “Search for pair production of a new heavy quark that decays into a W boson and a light quark in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector”, *Phys. Rev. D* **92** (2015) 112007, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.92.112007, arXiv:1509.04261.
- [4] CMS Collaboration, “Combined search for the quarks of a sequential fourth generation”, *Phys. Rev. D* **86** (2012) 112003, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.86.112003, arXiv:1209.1062.
- [5] J. A. Aguilar-Saavedra, D. E. López-Fogliani, and C. Muñoz, “Novel signatures for vector-like quarks”, *JHEP* **06** (2017) 095, doi:10.1007/JHEP06(2017)095, arXiv:1705.02526.
- [6] N. Kumar and S. P. Martin, “Vectorlike leptons at the Large Hadron Collider”, *Phys. Rev. D* **92** (2015) 115018, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.92.115018, arXiv:1510.03456.
- [7] P. N. Bhattiprolu and S. P. Martin, “Prospects for vectorlike leptons at future proton-proton colliders”, *Phys. Rev. D* **100** (2019) 015033, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.100.015033, arXiv:1905.00498.
- [8] K. Kong, S. C. Park, and T. G. Rizzo, “A vector-like fourth generation with a discrete symmetry from Split-UED”, *JHEP* **07** (2010) 059, doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2010)059, arXiv:1004.4635.

- [9] G.-Y. Huang, K. Kong, and S. C. Park, “Bounds on the fermion-bulk masses in models with universal extra dimensions”, *JHEP* **06** (2012) 099, doi:10.1007/JHEP06(2012)099, arXiv:1204.0522.
- [10] T. P. T. Dijkstra, L. R. Huiszoon, and A. N. Schellekens, “Supersymmetric standard model spectra from RCFT orientifolds”, *Nucl. Phys. B* **710** (2005) 3, doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2004.12.032, arXiv:hep-th/0411129.
- [11] S. Raby and A. Wingerter, “Gauge coupling unification and light exotica in string theory”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99** (2007) 051802, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.051802, arXiv:0705.0294.
- [12] O. Lebedev et al., “A mini-landscape of exact MSSM spectra in heterotic orbifolds”, *Phys. Lett. B* **645** (2007) 88, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2006.12.012, arXiv:hep-th/0611095.
- [13] S. P. Martin, “Extra vector-like matter and the lightest Higgs scalar boson mass in low-energy supersymmetry”, *Phys. Rev. D* **81** (2010) 035004, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.81.035004, arXiv:0910.2732.
- [14] P. W. Graham, A. Ismail, S. Rajendran, and P. Saraswat, “A little solution to the little hierarchy problem: A vector-like generation”, *Phys. Rev. D* **81** (2010) 055016, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.81.055016, arXiv:0910.3020.
- [15] M. Endo, K. Hamaguchi, S. Iwamoto, and N. Yokozaki, “Higgs mass and muon anomalous magnetic moment in supersymmetric models with vector-like matters”, *Phys. Rev. D* **84** (2011) 075017, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.84.075017, arXiv:1108.3071.
- [16] S. Zheng, “Minimal vectorlike model in supersymmetric unification”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80** (2020) 273, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-7843-8, arXiv:1904.10145.
- [17] R. Nevzorov, “ E_6 inspired supersymmetric models with exact custodial symmetry”, *Phys. Rev. D* **87** (2013) 015029, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.87.015029, arXiv:1205.5967.
- [18] I. Doršner, S. Fajfer, and I. Mustać, “Light vector-like fermions in a minimal SU(5) setup”, *Phys. Rev. D* **89** (2014) 115004, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.89.115004, arXiv:1401.6870.
- [19] A. Joglekar and J. L. Rosner, “Searching for signatures of E_6 ”, *Phys. Rev. D* **96** (2017) 015026, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.96.015026, arXiv:1607.06900.
- [20] J. A. Aguilar-Saavedra, “Identifying top partners at LHC”, *JHEP* **11** (2009) 030, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2009/11/030, arXiv:0907.3155.
- [21] A. Deandrea et al., “Single production of vector-like quarks: The effects of large width, interference and NLO corrections”, *JHEP* **08** (2021) 107, doi:10.1007/JHEP08(2021)107, arXiv:2105.08745. [Erratum: DOI10.1007/JHEP11(2022)028].
- [22] ATLAS Collaboration, “Combination of the searches for pair-produced vector-like partners of the third-generation quarks at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121** (2018) 211801, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.211801, arXiv:1808.02343.

-
- [23] CMS Collaboration, “Search for pair production of vector-like quarks in leptonic final states in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV”, *JHEP* **07** (2023) 020, doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2023)020, arXiv:2209.07327.
 - [24] CMS Collaboration, “Review of searches for vector-like quarks, vector-like leptons, and heavy neutral leptons in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV at the CMS experiment”, *Phys. Rept.* **1115** (2025) 570, doi:10.1016/j.physrep.2024.09.012, arXiv:2405.17605.
 - [25] A. Greljo and B. A. Stefanek, “Third family quark-lepton unification at the TeV scale”, *Phys. Lett. B* **782** (2018) 131, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2018.05.033, arXiv:1802.04274.
 - [26] ATLAS Collaboration, “Search for third-generation vector-like leptons in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector”, *JHEP* **07** (2023) 118, doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2023)118, arXiv:2303.05441.
 - [27] ATLAS Collaboration, “Search for electroweak production of vector-like leptons in τ -lepton and b-jet final states in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector”, 2025. arXiv:2503.22581. Submitted to *Eur. Phys. J. C*.
 - [28] CMS Collaboration, “Inclusive nonresonant multilepton probes of new phenomena at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV”, *Phys. Rev. D* **105** (2022) 112007, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.105.112007, arXiv:2202.08676.
 - [29] CMS Collaboration, “Search for pair-produced vector-like leptons in final states with third-generation leptons and at least three b quark jets in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV”, *Phys. Lett. B* **846** (2023) 137713, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2023.137713, arXiv:2208.09700.
 - [30] B. A. Dobrescu, “Minimal composite Higgs model with light bosons”, *Phys. Rev. D* **63** (2001) 015004, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.63.015004, arXiv:hep-ph/9908391.
 - [31] H.-C. Cheng, I. Low, and L.-T. Wang, “Top partners in little Higgs theories with T-parity”, *Phys. Rev. D* **74** (2006) 055001, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.74.055001, arXiv:hep-ph/0510225.
 - [32] G. Cacciapaglia, A. Deandrea, S. R. Choudhury, and N. Gaur, “T-parity odd heavy leptons at LHC”, *Phys. Rev. D* **81** (2010) 075005, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.81.075005, arXiv:0911.4632.
 - [33] B. A. Dobrescu, “Quark and lepton compositeness: A renormalizable model”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128** (2022) 241804, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.128.241804, arXiv:2112.15132.
 - [34] B. Assi and B. A. Dobrescu, “Proton decay from quark and lepton compositeness”, *JHEP* **12** (2022) 116, doi:10.1007/JHEP12(2022)116, arXiv:2211.02211.
 - [35] M. Bauer, M. Neubert, and A. Thamm, “Collider probes of axion-like particles”, *JHEP* **12** (2017) 044, doi:10.1007/JHEP12(2017)044, arXiv:1708.00443.
 - [36] E. Bernreuther and B. A. Dobrescu, “Vectorlike leptons and long-lived bosons at the LHC”, *JHEP* **07** (2023) 079, doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2023)079, arXiv:2304.08509.

- [37] CMS Collaboration, “Search for long-lived particles decaying in the CMS endcap muon detectors in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127** (2021) 261804, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.261804, arXiv:2107.04838.
- [38] CMS Collaboration, “Search for long-lived particles decaying in the CMS muon detectors in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV”, *Phys. Rev. D* **110** (2024) 032007, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.110.032007, arXiv:2402.01898.
- [39] CMS Collaboration, “Search for long-lived heavy neutral leptons decaying in the CMS muon detectors in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV”, *Phys. Rev. D* **110** (2024) 012004, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.110.012004, arXiv:2402.18658.
- [40] HEPData record for this analysis, 2025. doi:10.17182/hepdata.156846.
- [41] CMS Collaboration, “The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC”, *JINST* **3** (2008) S08004, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08004.
- [42] CMS Collaboration, “Development of the CMS detector for the CERN LHC Run 3”, *JINST* **19** (2024) P05064, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/19/05/P05064, arXiv:2309.05466.
- [43] CMS Collaboration, “Performance of the CMS level-1 trigger in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV”, *JINST* **15** (2020) P10017, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/15/10/P10017, arXiv:2006.10165.
- [44] CMS Collaboration, “The CMS trigger system”, *JINST* **12** (2017) P01020, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/12/01/P01020, arXiv:1609.02366.
- [45] CMS Collaboration doi:10.1088/1748-0221/19/11/P11021.
- [46] J. Alwall et al., “The automated computation of tree-level and next-to-leading order differential cross sections, and their matching to parton shower simulations”, *JHEP* **07** (2014) 079, doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2014)079, arXiv:1405.0301.
- [47] T. Sjöstrand et al., “An introduction to PYTHIA 8.2”, *Comp. Phys. Comm.* **191** (2015) 159, doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2015.01.024, arXiv:1410.3012.
- [48] CMS Collaboration, “Extraction and validation of a new set of CMS PYTHIA8 tunes from underlying-event measurements”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80** (2020) 4, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-7499-4, arXiv:1903.12179.
- [49] NNPDF Collaboration, “Parton distributions from high-precision collider data”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77** (2017) 663, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-5199-5, arXiv:1706.00428.
- [50] GEANT4 Collaboration, “GEANT4—a simulation toolkit”, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **506** (2003) 250, doi:10.1016/S0168-9002(03)01368-8.
- [51] CMS Collaboration, “Particle-flow reconstruction and global event description with the CMS detector”, *JINST* **12** (2017) P10003, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/12/10/P10003, arXiv:1706.04965.
- [52] CMS Collaboration, “Technical proposal for the Phase-II upgrade of the Compact Muon Solenoid”, CMS Technical Proposal CERN-LHCC-2015-010, CMS-TDR-15-02, 2015.

-
- [53] CMS Collaboration, “Performance of the CMS muon detector and muon reconstruction with proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV”, *JINST* **13** (2018) P06015, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/13/06/P06015, arXiv:1804.04528.
 - [54] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam, and G. Soyez, “The anti- k_T jet clustering algorithm”, *JHEP* **04** (2008) 063, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/04/063, arXiv:0802.1189.
 - [55] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam, and G. Soyez, “FastJet user manual”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **72** (2012) 1896, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-012-1896-2, arXiv:1111.6097.
 - [56] CMS Collaboration, “Pileup mitigation at CMS in 13 TeV data”, *JINST* **15** (2020) P09018, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/15/09/P09018, arXiv:2003.00503.
 - [57] CMS Collaboration, “Jet energy scale and resolution in the CMS experiment in pp collisions at 8 TeV”, *JINST* **12** (2017) P02014, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/12/02/P02014, arXiv:1607.03663.
 - [58] CMS Collaboration, “Jet algorithms performance in 13 TeV data”, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-JME-16-003, 2017.
 - [59] CMS Collaboration, “Performance of missing transverse momentum reconstruction in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV using the CMS detector”, *JINST* **14** (2019) P07004, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/14/07/P07004, arXiv:1903.06078.
 - [60] CMS Collaboration, “Performance of reconstruction and identification of τ leptons decaying to hadrons and ν_τ in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV”, *JINST* **13** (2018) P10005, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/13/10/P10005, arXiv:1809.02816.
 - [61] CMS Collaboration, “Identification of hadronic tau lepton decays using a deep neural network”, *JINST* **17** (2022) P07023, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/17/07/P07023, arXiv:2201.08458.
 - [62] M. Ester, H.-P. Kriegel, J. Sander, and X. Xu, “A density-based algorithm for discovering clusters in large spatial databases with noise”, in *Proc. 2nd Int. Conf. on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, p. 226. 1996.
 - [63] R. A. Fisher, “On the interpretation of χ^2 from contingency tables, and the calculation of P”, *J. R. Stat. Soc.* **85** (1922) 87, doi:10.2307/2340521.
 - [64] S. Baker and R. D. Cousins, “Clarification of the use of chi square and likelihood functions in fits to histograms”, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth.* **221** (1984) 437, doi:10.1016/0167-5087(84)90016-4.
 - [65] J. K. Lindsey, “Parametric statistical inference”. Oxford Science Publications. Clarendon Press, 1996. ISBN 9780198523598.
 - [66] CMS Collaboration, “Precision luminosity measurement in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV in 2015 and 2016 at CMS”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81** (2021) 800, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-021-09538-2, arXiv:2104.01927.
 - [67] CMS Collaboration, “CMS luminosity measurement for the 2017 data-taking period at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV”, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-LUM-17-004, 2018.
 - [68] CMS Collaboration, “CMS luminosity measurement for the 2018 data-taking period at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV”, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-LUM-18-002, 2019.

- [69] T. Junk, “Confidence level computation for combining searches with small statistics”, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **434** (1999) 435, doi:10.1016/S0168-9002(99)00498-2, arXiv:hep-ex/9902006.
- [70] A. L. Read, “Presentation of search results: The CL_s technique”, *J. Phys. G* **28** (2002) 2693, doi:10.1088/0954-3899/28/10/313.
- [71] ATLAS and CMS Collaborations, and LHC Higgs Combination Group, “Procedure for the LHC Higgs boson search combination in Summer 2011”, Technical Report CMS-NOTE-2011-005, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2011-11, 2011.
- [72] G. Cowan, K. Cranmer, E. Gross, and O. Vitells, “Asymptotic formulae for likelihood-based tests of new physics”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **71** (2011) 1554, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-011-1554-0, arXiv:1007.1727. [Erratum: doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2501-z].
- [73] CMS Collaboration, “The CMS statistical analysis and combination tool: COMBINE”, *Comput. Softw. Big Sci.* **8** (2024) 19, doi:10.1007/s41781-024-00121-4, arXiv:2404.06614.
- [74] W. Verkerke and D. Kirkby, “The ROOFIT toolkit for data modeling”, in *Proc. 13th International Conference on Computing in High Energy and Nuclear Physics (CHEP 2003): La Jolla CA, United States, March 24–28, 2003*. 2003. arXiv:physics/0306116. [eConf C0303241 (2003) MOLT007].
- [75] L. Moneta et al., “The ROOSTATS project”, in *Proc. 13th International Workshop on Advanced Computing and Analysis Techniques in Physics Research (ACAT 2010): Jaipur, India, February 22–27, 2010*. 2010. arXiv:1009.1003. [PoS (ACAT2010) 057]. doi:10.22323/1.093.0057.

A The CMS Collaboration

Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

V. Chekhovsky, A. Hayrapetyan, V. Makarenko , A. Tumasyan¹ 

Institut für Hochenergiephysik, Vienna, Austria

W. Adam , J.W. Andrejkovic, L. Benato , T. Bergauer , S. Chatterjee , K. Damanakis , M. Dragicevic , P.S. Hussain , M. Jeitler² , N. Krammer , A. Li , D. Liko , I. Mikulec , J. Schieck² , R. Schöfbeck² , D. Schwarz , M. Sonawane , W. Waltenberger , C.-E. Wulz² 

Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium

T. Janssen , H. Kwon , T. Van Laer, P. Van Mechelen 

Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium

N. Breugelmans, J. D'Hondt , S. Dansana , A. De Moor , M. Delcourt , F. Heyen, Y. Hong , S. Lowette , I. Makarenko , D. Müller , S. Tavernier , M. Tytgat³ , G.P. Van Onsem , S. Van Putte , D. Vannerom 

Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

B. Bilin , B. Clerbaux , A.K. Das, I. De Bruyn , G. De Lentdecker , H. Evard , L. Favart , P. Gianneios , A. Khalilzadeh, F.A. Khan , K. Lee , A. Malara , M.A. Shahzad, L. Thomas , M. Vanden Bemden , C. Vander Velde , P. Vanlaer 

Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

M. De Coen , D. Dobur , G. Gokbulut , J. Knolle , L. Lambrecht , D. Marckx , K. Skovpen , N. Van Den Bossche , J. van der Linden , L. Wezenbeek 

Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

S. Bein , A. Benecke , A. Bethani , G. Bruno , C. Caputo , J. De Favereau De Jeneret , C. Delaere , I.S. Donertas , A. Giammanco , A.O. Guzel , Sa. Jain , V. Lemaitre, J. Lidrych , P. Mastrapasqua , T.T. Tran , S. Turkcapar 

Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Fisicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

G.A. Alves , E. Coelho , G. Correia Silva , C. Hensel , T. Menezes De Oliveira , C. Mora Herrera⁴ , P. Rebello Teles , M. Soeiro, E.J. Tonelli Manganote⁵ , A. Vilela Pereira⁴ 

Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

W.L. Aldá Júnior , M. Barroso Ferreira Filho , H. Brandao Malbouisson , W. Carvalho , J. Chinellato⁶, E.M. Da Costa , G.G. Da Silveira⁷ , D. De Jesus Damiao , S. Fonseca De Souza , R. Gomes De Souza, T. Laux Kuhn⁷ , M. Macedo , J. Martins , K. Mota Amarilo , L. Mundim , H. Nogima , J.P. Pinheiro , A. Santoro , A. Sznajder , M. Thiel 

Universidade Estadual Paulista, Universidade Federal do ABC, São Paulo, Brazil

C.A. Bernardes⁷ , L. Calligaris , T.R. Fernandez Perez Tomei , E.M. Gregores , I. Maietto Silverio , P.G. Mercadante , S.F. Novaes , B. Orzari , Sandra S. Padula , V. Scheurer

Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria

A. Aleksandrov , G. Antchev , R. Hadjiiska , P. Iaydjiev , M. Misheva , M. Shopova , G. Sultanov 

University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria

A. Dimitrov , L. Litov , B. Pavlov , P. Petkov , A. Petrov , E. Shumka 

Instituto De Alta Investigación, Universidad de Tarapacá, Casilla 7 D, Arica, Chile

S. Keshri , D. Laroze , S. Thakur 

Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, Valparaiso, Chile

V.N. Vega Sepulveda

Beihang University, Beijing, China

T. Cheng , T. Javaid , L. Yuan 

Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

Z. Hu , Z. Liang, J. Liu

Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China

G.M. Chen⁸ , H.S. Chen⁸ , M. Chen⁸ , F. Iemmi , C.H. Jiang, A. Kapoor⁹ , H. Liao , Z.-A. Liu¹⁰ , R. Sharma¹¹ , J.N. Song¹⁰, J. Tao , C. Wang⁸, J. Wang , Z. Wang⁸, H. Zhang , J. Zhao 

State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China

A. Agapitos , Y. Ban , A. Carvalho Antunes De Oliveira , S. Deng , B. Guo, C. Jiang , A. Levin , C. Li , Q. Li , Y. Mao, S. Qian, S.J. Qian , X. Qin, X. Sun , D. Wang , H. Yang, Y. Zhao, C. Zhou 

Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Nuclear Science and Guangdong-Hong Kong Joint Laboratory of Quantum Matter, South China Normal University, Guangzhou, China

S. Yang 

Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, China

Z. You 

University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, China

K. Jaffel , N. Lu 

Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing, China

G. Bauer¹², B. Li¹³, H. Wang , K. Yi¹⁴ , J. Zhang 

Institute of Modern Physics and Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Ion-beam Application (MOE) - Fudan University, Shanghai, China

Y. Li

Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China

Z. Lin , C. Lu , M. Xiao 

Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia

C. Avila , D.A. Barbosa Trujillo, A. Cabrera , C. Florez , J. Fraga , J.A. Reyes Vega

Universidad de Antioquia, Medellin, Colombia

J. Jaramillo , C. Rendón , M. Rodriguez , A.A. Ruales Barbosa , J.D. Ruiz Alvarez 

University of Split, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, Split, Croatia

D. Giljanovic , N. Godinovic , D. Lelas , A. Sculac 

University of Split, Faculty of Science, Split, Croatia

M. Kovac , A. Petkovic , T. Sculac 

Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia

P. Bargassa , V. Brigljevic , B.K. Chitroda , D. Ferencek , K. Jakovcic, A. Starodumov¹⁵ ,
T. Susa 

University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus

A. Attikis , K. Christoforou , A. Hadjiagapiou, C. Leonidou , J. Mousa , C. Nicolaou,
L. Paizanos, F. Ptochos , P.A. Razis , H. Rykaczewski, H. Saka , A. Stepennov 

Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

M. Finger , M. Finger Jr. , A. Kveton 

Escuela Politecnica Nacional, Quito, Ecuador

E. Ayala 

Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, Ecuador

E. Carrera Jarrin 

Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt, Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics, Cairo, Egypt

B. El-mahdy , S. Khalil¹⁶ , E. Salama^{17,18} 

Center for High Energy Physics (CHEP-FU), Fayoum University, El-Fayoum, Egypt

M. Abdullah Al-Mashad , M.A. Mahmoud 

National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia

K. Ehataht , M. Kadastik, T. Lange , C. Nielsen , J. Pata , M. Raidal , L. Tani ,
C. Veelken 

Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

K. Osterberg , M. Voutilainen 

Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland

N. Bin Norjoharuddeen , E. Brücken , F. Garcia , P. Inkaew , K.T.S. Kallonen ,
T. Lampén , K. Lassila-Perini , S. Lehti , T. Lindén , M. Myllymäki , M.m. Rantanen ,
J. Tuominiemi 

Lappeenranta-Lahti University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland

H. Kirschenmann , P. Luukka , H. Petrow 

IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

M. Besancon , F. Couderc , M. Dejardin , D. Denegri, J.L. Faure, F. Ferri , S. Ganjour ,
P. Gras , G. Hamel de Monchenault , M. Kumar , V. Lohezic , J. Malcles , F. Orlandi ,
L. Portales , A. Rosowsky , M.Ö. Sahin , A. Savoy-Navarro¹⁹ , P. Simkina , M. Titov ,
M. Tornago 

Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, CNRS/IN2P3, Ecole Polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, Palaiseau, France

F. Beaudette , G. Boldrini , P. Busson , A. Cappati , C. Charlot , M. Chiusi , T.D. Cuis-
set , F. Damas , O. Davignon , A. De Wit , I.T. Ehle , B.A. Fontana Santos Alves ,
S. Ghosh , A. Gilbert , R. Granier de Cassagnac , A. Hakimi , B. Harikrishnan ,
L. Kalipoliti , G. Liu , M. Nguyen , S. Obraztsov , C. Ochando , R. Salerno ,
J.B. Sauvan , Y. Sirois , G. Sokmen, L. Urda Gómez , E. Vernazza , A. Zabi ,
A. Zghiche 

Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC UMR 7178, Strasbourg, France

J.-L. Agram²⁰ , J. Andrea , D. Apparú , D. Bloch , J.-M. Brom , E.C. Chabert 

C. Collard , S. Falke , U. Goerlach , R. Haeberle , A.-C. Le Bihan , M. Meena , O. Poncet , G. Saha , M.A. Sessini , P. Van Hove , P. Vaucelle 

Centre de Calcul de l'Institut National de Physique Nucleaire et de Physique des Particules, CNRS/IN2P3, Villeurbanne, France

A. Di Florio 

Institut de Physique des 2 Infinis de Lyon (IP2I), Villeurbanne, France

D. Amram , S. Beauceron , B. Blancon , G. Boudoul , N. Chanon , D. Contardo , P. Depasse , C. Dozen²¹ , H. El Mamouni , J. Fay , S. Gascon , M. Gouzevitch , C. Greenberg , G. Grenier , B. Ille , E. Jourd'huy , I.B. Laktineh , M. Lethuillier , L. Mirabito , S. Perries , A. Purohit , M. Vander Donckt , P. Verdier , J. Xiao

Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia

I. Lomidze , T. Toriashvili²² , Z. Tsamalaidze¹⁵ 

RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany

V. Botta , S. Consuegra Rodríguez , L. Feld , K. Klein , M. Lipinski , D. Meuser , A. Pauls , D. Pérez Adán , N. Röwert , M. Teroerde 

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany

S. Diekmann , A. Dodonova , N. Eich , D. Eliseev , F. Engelke , J. Erdmann , M. Erdmann , B. Fischer , T. Hebbeker , K. Hoepfner , F. Ivone , A. Jung , M.y. Lee , F. Mausolf , M. Merschmeyer , A. Meyer , S. Mukherjee , D. Noll , F. Nowotny , A. Pozdnyakov , Y. Rath , W. Redjeb , F. Rehm , H. Reithler , V. Sarkisovi , A. Schmidt , C. Seth , A. Sharma , J.L. Spah , F. Torres Da Silva De Araujo²³ , S. Wiedenbeck , S. Zaleski

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany

C. Dziwok , G. Flügge , T. Kress , A. Nowack , O. Pooth , A. Stahl , T. Ziemons , A. Zotz 

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany

H. Aarup Petersen , M. Aldaya Martin , J. Alimena , S. Amoroso , Y. An , J. Bach , S. Baxter , M. Bayatmakou , H. Becerril Gonzalez , O. Behnke , A. Belvedere , F. Blekman²⁴ , K. Borras²⁵ , A. Campbell , A. Cardini , F. Colombina , M. De Silva , G. Eckerlin , D. Eckstein , L.I. Estevez Banos , E. Gallo²⁴ , A. Geiser , V. Guglielmi , M. Guthoff , A. Hinzmann , L. Jeppe , B. Kaech , M. Kasemann , C. Kleinwort , R. Kogler , M. Komm , D. Krücker , W. Lange , D. Leyva Pernia , K. Lipka²⁶ , W. Lohmann²⁷ , F. Lorkowski , R. Mankel , I.-A. Melzer-Pellmann , M. Mendizabal Morentin , A.B. Meyer , G. Milella , K. Moral Figueroa , A. Mussgiller , L.P. Nair , J. Niedziela , A. Nürnberg , J. Park , E. Ranken , A. Raspereza , D. Rastorguev , J. Rübenach , L. Rygaard , M. Scham^{28,25} , S. Schnake²⁵ , P. Schütze , C. Schwanenberger²⁴ , D. Selivanova , K. Sharko , M. Shchedrolosiev , D. Stafford , F. Vazzoler , A. Ventura Barroso , R. Walsh , D. Wang , Q. Wang , K. Wichmann , L. Wiens²⁵ , C. Wissing , Y. Yang , S. Zakharov , A. Zimermmne Castro Santos

University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany

A. Albrecht , S. Albrecht , M. Antonello , S. Bollweg , M. Bonanomi , P. Connor , K. El Morabit , Y. Fischer , E. Garutti , A. Grohsjean , J. Haller , D. Hundhausen , H.R. Jabusch , G. Kasieczka , P. Keicher , R. Klanner , W. Korcari , T. Kramer , C.c. Kuo , V. Kutzner , F. Labe , J. Lange , A. Lobanov , C. Matthies , L. Moureaux , M. Mrowietz , A. Nigamova , Y. Nissan , A. Paasch , K.J. Pena Rodriguez , T. Quadfasel

B. Raciti , M. Rieger , D. Savoie , J. Schindler , P. Schleper , M. Schröder , J. Schwandt , M. Sommerhalder , H. Stadie , G. Steinbrück , A. Tews, B. Wiederspan, M. Wolf 

Karlsruher Institut fuer Technologie, Karlsruhe, Germany

S. Brommer , E. Butz , T. Chwalek , A. Dierlamm , G.G. Dincer , U. Elicabuk, N. Faltermann , M. Giffels , A. Gottmann , F. Hartmann²⁹ , R. Hofsaess , M. Horzela , U. Husemann , J. Kieseler , M. Klute , O. Lavoryk , J.M. Lawhorn , M. Link, A. Lintuluoto , S. Maier , S. Mitra , M. Mormile , Th. Müller , M. Neukum, M. Oh , E. Pfeffer , M. Presilla , G. Quast , K. Rabbertz , B. Regnery , N. Shadskiy , I. Shvetsov , H.J. Simonis , L. Sowa, L. Stockmeier, K. Tauqeer, M. Toms , B. Topko , N. Trevisani , R.F. Von Cube , M. Wassmer , S. Wieland , F. Wittig, R. Wolf , X. Zuo 

Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPP), NCSR Demokritos, Aghia Paraskevi, Greece

G. Anagnostou, G. Daskalakis , A. Kyriakis , A. Papadopoulos²⁹, A. Stakia 

National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

G. Melachroinos, Z. Painesis , I. Paraskevas , N. Saoulidou , K. Theofilatos , E. Tziaferi , K. Vellidis , I. Zisopoulos 

National Technical University of Athens, Athens, Greece

G. Bakas , T. Chatzistavrou, G. Karapostoli , K. Kousouris , I. Papakrivopoulos , E. Siamarkou, G. Tsiopolitis , A. Zacharopoulou

University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece

I. Bestintzanos, I. Evangelou , C. Foudas, C. Kamtsikis, P. Katsoulis, P. Kokkas , P.G. Kosmoglou Kioseoglou , N. Manthos , I. Papadopoulos , J. Strologas 

HUN-REN Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary

C. Hajdu , D. Horvath^{30,31} , K. Márton, A.J. Rádl³² , F. Sikler , V. Veszpremi 

MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary

M. Csanád , K. Farkas , A. Fehérkuti³³ , M.M.A. Gadallah³⁴ , Á. Kadlecik , P. Major , G. Pásztor , G.I. Veres 

Faculty of Informatics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary

B. Ujvari , G. Zilizi 

HUN-REN ATOMKI - Institute of Nuclear Research, Debrecen, Hungary

G. Bencze, S. Czellar, J. Molnar, Z. Szillasi

Karoly Robert Campus, MATE Institute of Technology, Gyongyos, Hungary

T. Csorgo³³ , F. Nemes³³ , T. Novak 

Panjab University, Chandigarh, India

S. Bansal , S.B. Beri, V. Bhatnagar , G. Chaudhary , S. Chauhan , N. Dhingra³⁵ , A. Kaur , A. Kaur , H. Kaur , M. Kaur , S. Kumar , T. Sheokand, J.B. Singh , A. Singla 

University of Delhi, Delhi, India

A. Bhardwaj , A. Chhetri , B.C. Choudhary , A. Kumar , A. Kumar , M. Naimuddin , K. Ranjan , M.K. Saini, S. Saumya 

Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, HBNI, Kolkata, India

S. Baradia , S. Barman³⁶ , S. Bhattacharya , S. Das Gupta, S. Dutta , S. Dutta, S. Sarkar

Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Madras, India

M.M. Ameen , P.K. Behera , S.C. Behera , S. Chatterjee , G. Dash , P. Jana , P. Kalbhor , S. Kamble , J.R. Komaragiri³⁷ , D. Kumar³⁷ , T. Mishra , B. Parida³⁸ , P.R. Pujahari , N.R. Saha , A. Sharma , A.K. Sikdar , R.K. Singh , P. Verma , S. Verma , A. Vijay

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-A, Mumbai, India

S. Dugad, G.B. Mohanty , M. Shelake, P. Suryadevara

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-B, Mumbai, India

A. Bala , S. Banerjee , S. Bhowmik , R.M. Chatterjee, M. Guchait , Sh. Jain , A. Jaiswal, B.M. Joshi , S. Kumar , G. Majumder , K. Mazumdar , S. Parolia , A. Thachayath

National Institute of Science Education and Research, An OCC of Homi Bhabha National Institute, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India

S. Bahinipati³⁹ , C. Kar , D. Maity⁴⁰ , P. Mal , K. Naskar⁴⁰ , A. Nayak⁴⁰ , S. Nayak, K. Pal , P. Sadangi, S.K. Swain , S. Varghese⁴⁰ , D. Vats⁴⁰

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER), Pune, India

S. Acharya⁴¹ , A. Alpana , S. Dube , B. Gomber⁴¹ , P. Hazarika , B. Kansal , A. Laha , B. Sahu⁴¹ , S. Sharma , K.Y. Vaish

Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran

H. Bakhshiansohi⁴² , A. Jafari⁴³ , M. Zeinali⁴⁴ 

Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran

S. Bashiri, S. Chenarani⁴⁵ , S.M. Etesami , Y. Hosseini , M. Khakzad , E. Khazaie , M. Mohammadi Najafabadi , S. Tizchang⁴⁶

University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

M. Felcini , M. Grunewald 

INFN Sezione di Bari^a, Università di Bari^b, Politecnico di Bari^c, Bari, Italy

M. Abbrescia^{a,b} , A. Colaleo^{a,b} , D. Creanza^{a,c} , B. D'Anzi^{a,b} , N. De Filippis^{a,c} , M. De Palma^{a,b} , W. Elmetenawee^{a,b,47} , N. Ferrara^{a,b} , L. Fiore^a , G. Iaselli^{a,c} , L. Longo^a , M. Louka^{a,b} , G. Maggi^{a,c} , M. Maggi^a , I. Margjeka^a , V. Mastrapasqua^{a,b} , S. My^{a,b} , S. Nuzzo^{a,b} , A. Pellecchia^{a,b} , A. Pompili^{a,b} , G. Pugliese^{a,c} , R. Radogna^{a,b} , D. Ramos^a , A. Ranieri^a , L. Silvestris^a , F.M. Simone^{a,c} , Ü. Sözbilir^a , A. Stamerra^{a,b} , D. Troiano^{a,b} , R. Venditti^{a,b} , P. Verwilligen^a , A. Zaza^{a,b} 

INFN Sezione di Bologna^a, Università di Bologna^b, Bologna, Italy

G. Abbiendi^a , C. Battilana^{a,b} , D. Bonacorsi^{a,b} , P. Capiluppi^{a,b} , A. Castro^{†a,b} , F.R. Cavallo^a , M. Cuffiani^{a,b} , G.M. Dallavalle^a , T. Diotallevi^{a,b} , F. Fabbri^a , A. Fanfani^{a,b} , D. Fasanella^a , P. Giacomelli^a , L. Giommi^{a,b} , C. Grandi^a , L. Guiducci^{a,b} , S. Lo Meo^{a,48} , M. Lorusso^{a,b} , L. Lunerti^a , S. Marcellini^a , G. Masetti^a , F.L. Navarria^{a,b} , G. Paggi^{a,b} , A. Perrotta^a , F. Primavera^{a,b} , A.M. Rossi^{a,b} , S. Rossi Tisbeni^{a,b} , T. Rovelli^{a,b} , G.P. Siroli^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Catania^a, Università di Catania^b, Catania, Italy

S. Costa^{a,b,49} , A. Di Mattia^a , A. Lapertosa^a , R. Potenza^{a,b} , A. Tricomi^{a,b,49} 

INFN Sezione di Firenze^a, Università di Firenze^b, Firenze, Italy

P. Assiouras^a , G. Barbagli^a , G. Bardelli^{a,b} , B. Camaiani^{a,b} , A. Cassese^a , R. Ceccarelli^a , V. Ciulli^{a,b} , C. Civinini^a , R. D'Alessandro^{a,b} , E. Focardi^{a,b} , T. Kello^a , G. Latino^{a,b} , P. Lenzi^{a,b} , M. Lizzo^a , M. Meschini^a , S. Paoletti^a , A. Papanastassiou^{a,b} , G. Sguazzoni^a , L. Viliani^a 

INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy

L. Benussi , S. Bianco , S. Meola⁵⁰ , D. Piccolo 

INFN Sezione di Genova^a, Università di Genova^b, Genova, Italy

M. Alves Gallo Pereira^a , F. Ferro^a , E. Robutti^a , S. Tosi^{a,b} 

INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca^a, Università di Milano-Bicocca^b, Milano, Italy

A. Benaglia^a , F. Brivio^a , F. Cetorelli^{a,b} , F. De Guio^{a,b} , M.E. Dinardo^{a,b} , P. Dini^a , S. Gennai^a , R. Gerosa^{a,b} , A. Ghezzi^{a,b} , P. Govoni^{a,b} , L. Guzzi^a , G. Lavizzari^{a,b} , M.T. Lucchini^{a,b} , M. Malberti^a , S. Malvezzi^a , A. Massironi^a , D. Menasce^a , L. Moroni^a , M. Paganoni^{a,b} , S. Palluotto^{a,b} , D. Pedrini^a , A. Perego^{a,b} , B.S. Pinolini^a , G. Pizzati^{a,b} , S. Ragazzi^{a,b} , T. Tabarelli de Fatis^{a,b} 

INFN Sezione di Napoli^a, Università di Napoli 'Federico II'^b, Napoli, Italy; Università della Basilicata^c, Potenza, Italy; Scuola Superiore Meridionale (SSM)^d, Napoli, Italy

S. Buontempo^a , A. Cagnotta^{a,b} , F. Carnevali^{a,b} , N. Cavallo^{a,c} , F. Fabozzi^{a,c} , A.O.M. Iorio^{a,b} , L. Lista^{a,b,51} , P. Paolucci^{a,29} , B. Rossi^a 

INFN Sezione di Padova^a, Università di Padova^b, Padova, Italy; Università di Trento^c, Trento, Italy

R. Ardino^a , P. Azzi^a , N. Bacchetta^{a,52} , D. Bisello^{a,b} , P. Bortignon^a , G. Bortolato^{a,b} , A. Bragagnolo^{a,b} , A.C.M. Bulla^a , R. Carlin^{a,b} , P. Checchia^a , T. Dorigo^{a,53} , F. Fanzago^a , F. Gasparini^{a,b} , U. Gasparini^{a,b} , S. Giorgetti^a , E. Lusiani^a , M. Margoni^{a,b} , A.T. Meneguzzo^{a,b} , M. Migliorini^{a,b} , J. Pazzini^{a,b} , P. Ronchese^{a,b} , R. Rossin^{a,b} , F. Simonetto^{a,b} , M. Tosi^{a,b} , A. Triossi^{a,b} , S. Ventura^a , M. Zanetti^{a,b} , P. Zotto^{a,b} , A. Zucchetta^{a,b} 

INFN Sezione di Pavia^a, Università di Pavia^b, Pavia, Italy

A. Braghieri^a , S. Calzaferri^a , D. Fiorina^a , P. Montagna^{a,b} , V. Re^a , C. Riccardi^{a,b} , P. Salvini^a , I. Vai^{a,b} , P. Vitulo^{a,b} 

INFN Sezione di Perugia^a, Università di Perugia^b, Perugia, Italy

S. Ajmal^{a,b} , M.E. Ascioti^{a,b} , G.M. Bilei^a , C. Carrivale^{a,b} , D. Ciangottini^{a,b} , L. Fanò^{a,b} , V. Mariani^{a,b} , M. Menichelli^a , F. Moscatelli^{a,54} , A. Rossi^{a,b} , A. Santocchia^{a,b} , D. Spiga^a , T. Tedeschi^{a,b} 

INFN Sezione di Pisa^a, Università di Pisa^b, Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa^c, Pisa, Italy; Università di Siena^d, Siena, Italy

C. Aimè^a , C.A. Alexe^{a,c} , P. Asenov^{a,b} , P. Azzurri^a , G. Bagliesi^a , R. Bhattacharya^a , L. Bianchini^{a,b} , T. Boccali^a , E. Bossini^a , D. Bruschini^{a,c} , R. Castaldi^a , M.A. Ciocci^{a,b} , M. Cipriani^{a,b} , V. D'Amante^{a,d} , R. Dell'Orso^a , S. Donato^a , A. Giassi^a , F. Ligabue^{a,c} , A.C. Marini^a , D. Matos Figueiredo^a , A. Messineo^{a,b} , S. Mishra^a , V.K. Muraleedharan Nair Bindhu^{a,b,40} , M. Musich^{a,b} , S. Nandan^a , F. Palla^a , A. Rizzi^{a,b} , G. Rolandi^{a,c} , S. Roy Chowdhury^a , T. Sarkar^a , A. Scribano^a , P. Spagnolo^a , R. Tenchini^a , G. Tonelli^{a,b} , N. Turini^{a,d} , F. Vaselli^{a,c} , A. Venturi^a , P.G. Verдини^a 

INFN Sezione di Roma^a, Sapienza Università di Roma^b, Roma, Italy

P. Barria^a , C. Basile^{a,b} , F. Cavallari^a , L. Cunqueiro Mendez^{a,b} , D. Del Re^{a,b} 

E. Di Marco^{a,b} , M. Diemoz^a , F. Errico^{a,b} , R. Gargiulo^{a,b}, E. Longo^{a,b} , L. Martikainen^{a,b} , J. Mijuskovic^{a,b} , G. Organtini^{a,b} , F. Pandolfi^a , R. Paramatti^{a,b} , C. Quaranta^{a,b} , S. Rahatlou^{a,b} , C. Rovelli^a , F. Santanastasio^{a,b} , L. Soffi^a , V. Vladimirov^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Torino^a, Università di Torino^b, Torino, Italy; Università del Piemonte Orientale^c, Novara, Italy

N. Amapane^{a,b} , R. Arcidiacono^{a,c} , S. Argiro^{a,b} , M. Arneodo^{a,c} , N. Bartosik^a , R. Bellan^{a,b} , C. Biino^a , C. Borca^{a,b} , N. Cartiglia^a , M. Costa^{a,b} , R. Covarelli^{a,b} , N. Demaria^a , L. Finco^a , M. Grippo^{a,b} , B. Kiani^{a,b} , F. Legger^a , F. Luongo^{a,b} , C. Mariotti^a , L. Markovic^{a,b} , S. Maselli^a , A. Mecca^{a,b} , L. Menzio^{a,b}, P. Meridiani^a , E. Migliore^{a,b} , M. Monteno^a , R. Mulargia^a , M.M. Obertino^{a,b} , G. Ortona^a , L. Pacher^{a,b} , N. Pastrone^a , M. Pelliccioni^a , M. Ruspa^{a,c} , F. Siviero^{a,b} , V. Sola^{a,b} , A. Solano^{a,b} , A. Staiano^a , C. Tarricone^{a,b} , D. Trocino^a , G. Umoret^{a,b} , R. White^{a,b} 

INFN Sezione di Trieste^a, Università di Trieste^b, Trieste, Italy

J. Babbar^{a,b} , S. Belforte^a , V. Candelise^{a,b} , M. Casarsa^a , F. Cossutti^a , K. De Leo^a , G. Della Ricca^{a,b} 

Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea

S. Dogra , J. Hong , J. Kim, D. Lee, H. Lee, S.W. Lee , C.S. Moon , Y.D. Oh , M.S. Ryu , S. Sekmen , B. Tae, Y.C. Yang 

Department of Mathematics and Physics - GWNU, Gangneung, Korea

M.S. Kim 

Chonnam National University, Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Kwangju, Korea

G. Bak , P. Gwak , H. Kim , D.H. Moon 

Hanyang University, Seoul, Korea

E. Asilar , J. Choi⁵⁵ , D. Kim , T.J. Kim , J.A. Merlin, Y. Ryou

Korea University, Seoul, Korea

S. Choi , S. Han, B. Hong , K. Lee, K.S. Lee , S. Lee , J. Yoo 

Kyung Hee University, Department of Physics, Seoul, Korea

J. Goh , S. Yang 

Sejong University, Seoul, Korea

Y. Kang , H. S. Kim , Y. Kim, S. Lee

Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea

J. Almond, J.H. Bhyun, J. Choi , J. Choi, W. Jun , J. Kim , Y.W. Kim , S. Ko , H. Lee , J. Lee , J. Lee , B.H. Oh , S.B. Oh , H. Seo , U.K. Yang, I. Yoon 

University of Seoul, Seoul, Korea

W. Jang , D.Y. Kang, S. Kim , B. Ko, J.S.H. Lee , Y. Lee , I.C. Park , Y. Roh, I.J. Watson 

Yonsei University, Department of Physics, Seoul, Korea

S. Ha , K. Hwang , B. Kim , H.D. Yoo 

Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Korea

M. Choi , M.R. Kim , H. Lee, Y. Lee , I. Yu 

College of Engineering and Technology, American University of the Middle East (AUM),

Dasman, Kuwait

T. Beyrouthy , Y. Gharbia 

Kuwait University - College of Science - Department of Physics, Safat, Kuwait

F. Alazemi 

Riga Technical University, Riga, Latvia

K. Dreimanis , A. Gaile , C. Munoz Diaz , D. Osite , G. Pikurs, A. Potrebko ,
M. Seidel , D. Sidiropoulos Kontos 

University of Latvia (LU), Riga, Latvia

N.R. Strautnieks 

Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania

M. Ambrozias , A. Juodagalvis , A. Rinkevicius , G. Tamulaitis 

National Centre for Particle Physics, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

I. Yusuff⁵⁶ , Z. Zolkapli

Universidad de Sonora (UNISON), Hermosillo, Mexico

J.F. Benitez , A. Castaneda Hernandez , H.A. Encinas Acosta, L.G. Gallegos Maríñez,
M. León Coello , J.A. Murillo Quijada , A. Sehrawat , L. Valencia Palomo 

Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, Mexico

G. Ayala , H. Castilla-Valdez , H. Crotte Ledesma, E. De La Cruz-Burelo , I. Heredia-
De La Cruz⁵⁷ , R. Lopez-Fernandez , J. Mejia Guisao , C.A. Mondragon Herrera,
A. Sánchez Hernández 

Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico

C. Oropeza Barrera , D.L. Ramirez Guadarrama, M. Ramírez García 

Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico

I. Bautista , F.E. Neri Huerta , I. Pedraza , H.A. Salazar Ibarguen , C. Uribe Estrada 

University of Montenegro, Podgorica, Montenegro

I. Bubanja , N. Raicevic 

University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand

P.H. Butler 

National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan

A. Ahmad , M.I. Asghar, A. Awais , M.I.M. Awan, H.R. Hoorani , W.A. Khan 

AGH University of Krakow, Krakow, Poland

V. Avati, A. Bellora , L. Forthomme , L. Grzanka , M. Malawski , K. Piotrkowski

National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland

H. Bialkowska , M. Bluj , M. Górski , M. Kazana , M. Szleper , P. Zalewski 

Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland

K. Bunkowski , K. Doroba , A. Kalinowski , M. Konecki , J. Krolikowski ,
A. Muhammad 

Warsaw University of Technology, Warsaw, Poland

P. Fokow , K. Pozniak , W. Zabolotny 

Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, Portugal

M. Araujo , D. Bastos , C. Beirão Da Cruz E Silva , A. Boletti , M. Bozzo 

T. Camporesi , G. Da Molin , P. Faccioli , M. Gallinaro , J. Hollar , N. Leonardo , G.B. Marozzo , A. Petrilli , M. Pisano , J. Seixas , J. Varela , J.W. Wulff 

Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

P. Adzic , P. Milenovic 

VINCA Institute of Nuclear Sciences, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

D. Devetak, M. Dordevic , J. Milosevic , L. Nadderd , V. Rekovic, M. Stojanovic 

Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain

J. Alcaraz Maestre , Cristina F. Bedoya , J.A. Brochero Cifuentes , Oliver M. Carretero , M. Cepeda , M. Cerrada , N. Colino , B. De La Cruz , A. Delgado Peris , A. Escalante Del Valle , D. Fernández Del Val , J.P. Fernández Ramos , J. Flix , M.C. Fouz , O. Gonzalez Lopez , S. Goy Lopez , J.M. Hernandez , M.I. Josa , J. Llorente Merino , C. Martin Perez , E. Martin Viscasillas , D. Moran , C. M. Morcillo Perez , Á. Navarro Tobar , C. Perez Dengra , A. Pérez-Calero Yzquierdo , J. Puerta Pelayo , I. Redondo , J. Sastre , J. Vazquez Escobar 

Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

J.F. de Trocóniz 

Universidad de Oviedo, Instituto Universitario de Ciencias y Tecnologías Espaciales de Asturias (ICTEA), Oviedo, Spain

B. Alvarez Gonzalez , J. Cuevas , J. Fernandez Menendez , S. Folgueras , I. Gonzalez Caballero , P. Leguina , E. Palencia Cortezon , J. Prado Pico , V. Rodríguez Bouza , A. Soto Rodríguez , A. Trapote , C. Vico Villalba , P. Vischia 

Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain

S. Blanco Fernández , I.J. Cabrillo , A. Calderon , J. Duarte Campderros , M. Fernandez , G. Gomez , C. Lasiosa García , R. Lopez Ruiz , C. Martinez Rivero , P. Martinez Ruiz del Arbol , F. Matorras , P. Matorras Cuevas , E. Navarrete Ramos , J. Piedra Gomez , L. Scodellaro , I. Vila , J.M. Vizan Garcia 

University of Colombo, Colombo, Sri Lanka

B. Kailasapathy⁵⁸ , D.D.C. Wickramarathna 

University of Ruhuna, Department of Physics, Matara, Sri Lanka

W.G.D. Dharmaratna⁵⁹ , K. Liyanage , N. Perera 

CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland

D. Abbaneo , C. Amendola , E. Auffray , G. Auzinger , J. Baechler, D. Barney , A. Bermúdez Martínez , M. Bianco , A.A. Bin Anuar , A. Bocci , L. Borgonovi , C. Botta , E. Brondolin , C.E. Brown , C. Caillol , G. Cerminara , N. Chernyavskaya , D. d'Enterria , A. Dabrowski , A. David , A. De Roeck , M.M. Defranchis , M. Deile , M. Dobson , G. Franzoni , W. Funk , S. Giani, D. Gigi, K. Gill , F. Glege , M. Glowacki, J. Hegeman , J.K. Heikkilä , B. Huber , V. Innocente , T. James , P. Janot , O. Kaluzinska , O. Karacheban²⁷ , G. Karathanasis , S. Laurila , P. Lecoq , E. Leutgeb , C. Lourenço , M. Magherini , L. Malgeri , M. Mannelli , M. Matthewman, A. Mehta , F. Meijers , S. Mersi , E. Meschi , V. Milosevic , F. Monti , F. Moortgat , M. Mulders , I. Neutelings , S. Orfanelli, F. Pantaleo , G. Petrucciani , A. Pfeiffer , M. Pierini , H. Qu , D. Rabady , B. Ribeiro Lopes , F. Riti , M. Rovere , H. Sakulin , R. Salvatico , S. Sanchez Cruz , S. Scarfi , C. Schwick, M. Selvaggi , A. Sharma , K. Shchelina , P. Silva , P. Sphicas⁶⁰ , A.G. Stahl Leiton , A. Steen , S. Summers 

D. Treille , P. Tropea , D. Walter , J. Wanczyk⁶¹ , J. Wang, S. Wuchterl , P. Zehetner ,
P. Zejdl , W.D. Zeuner

PSI Center for Neutron and Muon Sciences, Villigen, Switzerland

T. Bevilacqua⁶² , L. Caminada⁶² , A. Ebrahimi , W. Erdmann , R. Horisberger ,
Q. Ingram , H.C. Kaestli , D. Kotlinski , C. Lange , M. Missiroli⁶² , L. Noehte⁶² ,
T. Rohe , A. Samalan

ETH Zurich - Institute for Particle Physics and Astrophysics (IPA), Zurich, Switzerland

T.K. Aarrestad , M. Backhaus , G. Bonomelli , A. Calandri , C. Cazzaniga ,
K. Datta , P. De Bryas Dexmiers D'archiac⁶¹ , A. De Cosa , G. Dissertori , M. Dittmar,
M. Donegà , F. Eble , M. Galli , K. Gedia , F. Glessgen , C. Grab , N. Härringer ,
T.G. Harte, D. Hits , W. Lustermann , A.-M. Lyon , R.A. Manzoni , M. Marchegiani ,
L. Marchese , A. Mascellani⁶¹ , F. Nessi-Tedaldi , F. Pauss , V. Perovic , S. Pigazzini ,
B. Ristic , R. Seidita , J. Steggemann⁶¹ , A. Tarabini , D. Valsecchi , R. Wallny 

Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland

C. Amsler⁶³ , P. Bäertschi , M.F. Canelli , K. Cormier , M. Huwiler , W. Jin ,
A. Jofrehei , B. Kilminster , S. Leontsinis , S.P. Liechti , A. Macchiolo , P. Meiring ,
F. Meng , J. Motta , A. Reimers , P. Robmann, M. Senger , E. Shokr, F. Stäger ,
R. Tramontano 

National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan

C. Adloff⁶⁴, D. Bhowmik, C.M. Kuo, W. Lin, P.K. Rout , P.C. Tiwari³⁷ 

National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan

L. Ceard, K.F. Chen , Z.g. Chen, A. De Iorio , W.-S. Hou , T.h. Hsu, Y.w. Kao,
S. Karmakar , G. Kole , Y.y. Li , R.-S. Lu , E. Paganis , X.f. Su , J. Thomas-Wilsker ,
L.s. Tsai, D. Tsionou, H.y. Wu, E. Yazgan 

High Energy Physics Research Unit, Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand

C. Asawatangtrakuldee , N. Srimanobhas , V. Wachirapusanand 

Tunis El Manar University, Tunis, Tunisia

Y. Maghrbi 

Çukurova University, Physics Department, Science and Art Faculty, Adana, Turkey

D. Agyel , F. Boran , F. Dolek , I. Dumanoglu⁶⁵ , E. Eskut , Y. Guler⁶⁶ ,
E. Gurpinar Guler⁶⁶ , C. Isik , O. Kara, A. Kayis Topaksu , Y. Komurcu , G. Onengut ,
K. Ozdemir⁶⁷ , A. Polatoz , B. Tali⁶⁸ , U.G. Tok , E. Uslan , I.S. Zorbakir 

Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey

M. Yalvac⁶⁹ 

Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey

B. Akgun , I.O. Atakisi , E. Gülmez , M. Kaya⁷⁰ , O. Kaya⁷¹ , S. Tekten⁷² 

Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey

A. Cakir , K. Cankocak^{65,73} , S. Sen⁷⁴ 

Istanbul University, Istanbul, Turkey

O. Aydilek⁷⁵ , B. Hacisahinoglu , I. Hos⁷⁶ , B. Kaynak , S. Ozkorucuklu , O. Potok ,
H. Sert , C. Simsek , C. Zorbilmez 

Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey

S. Cerci , B. Isildak⁷⁷ , D. Sunar Cerci , T. Yetkin 

Institute for Scintillation Materials of National Academy of Science of Ukraine, Kharkiv, Ukraine

A. Boyaryntsev , B. Grynyov 

National Science Centre, Kharkiv Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkiv, Ukraine

L. Levchuk 

University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom

D. Anthony , J.J. Brooke , A. Bundock , F. Bury , E. Clement , D. Cussans , H. Flacher , J. Goldstein , H.F. Heath , M.-L. Holmberg , L. Kreczko , S. Paramesvaran , L. Robertshaw, V.J. Smith , K. Walkingshaw Pass

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom

A.H. Ball, K.W. Bell , A. Belyaev⁷⁸ , C. Brew , R.M. Brown , D.J.A. Cockerill , C. Cooke , A. Elliot , K.V. Ellis, K. Harder , S. Harper , J. Linacre , K. Manolopoulos, D.M. Newbold , E. Olaiya, D. Petyt , T. Reis , A.R. Sahasransu , G. Salvi , T. Schuh, C.H. Shepherd-Themistocleous , I.R. Tomalin , K.C. Whalen , T. Williams 

Imperial College, London, United Kingdom

I. Andreou , R. Bainbridge , P. Bloch , O. Buchmuller, C.A. Carrillo Montoya , G.S. Chahal⁷⁹ , D. Colling , J.S. Dancu, I. Das , P. Dauncey , G. Davies , M. Della Negra , S. Fayer, G. Fedi , G. Hall , A. Howard, G. Iles , C.R. Knight , P. Krueper, J. Langford , K.H. Law , J. León Holgado , L. Lyons , A.-M. Magnan , B. Maier , S. Mallios, M. Mieskolainen , J. Nash⁸⁰ , M. Pesaresi , P.B. Pradeep, B.C. Radburn-Smith , A. Richards, A. Rose , K. Savva , C. Seez , R. Shukla , A. Tapper , K. Uchida , G.P. Uttley , T. Virdee²⁹ , M. Vojinovic , N. Wardle , D. Winterbottom 

Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom

J.E. Cole , A. Khan, P. Kyberd , I.D. Reid 

Baylor University, Waco, Texas, USA

S. Abdullin , A. Brinkerhoff , E. Collins , M.R. Darwish , J. Dittmann , K. Hatakeyama , V. Hegde , J. Hiltbrand , B. McMaster , J. Samudio , S. Sawant , C. Sutantawibul , J. Wilson 

Catholic University of America, Washington, DC, USA

R. Bartek , A. Dominguez , A.E. Simsek , S.S. Yu 

The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, Alabama, USA

B. Bam , A. Buchot Perraguin , R. Chudasama , S.I. Cooper , C. Crovella , S.V. Gleyzer , E. Pearson, C.U. Perez , P. Rumerio⁸¹ , E. Usai , R. Yi 

Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

A. Akpinar , C. Cosby , G. De Castro, Z. Demiragli , C. Erice , C. Fangmeier , C. Fernandez Madrazo , E. Fontanesi , D. Gastler , F. Golf , S. Jeon , J. O'cain, I. Reed , J. Rohlf , K. Salyer , D. Sperka , D. Spitzbart , I. Suarez , A. Tsatsos , A.G. Zecchinelli 

Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island, USA

G. Barone , G. Benelli , D. Cutts , L. Gouskos , M. Hadley , U. Heintz , K.W. Ho , J.M. Hogan⁸² , T. Kwon , G. Landsberg , K.T. Lau , J. Luo , S. Mondal , T. Russell, S. Sagir⁸³ , X. Shen , M. Stamenkovic , N. Venkatasubramanian

University of California, Davis, Davis, California, USA

S. Abbott , B. Barton , C. Brainerd , R. Breedon , H. Cai , M. Calderon De La Barca Sanchez , M. Chertok , M. Citron , J. Conway , P.T. Cox , R. Erbacher , F. Jensen , O. Kukral , G. Mocellin , M. Mulhearn , S. Ostrom , W. Wei , S. Yoo , F. Zhang 

University of California, Los Angeles, California, USA

K. Adamidis, M. Bachtis , D. Campos, R. Cousins , A. Datta , G. Flores Avila , J. Hauser , M. Ignatenko , M.A. Iqbal , T. Lam , Y.f. Lo, E. Manca , A. Nunez Del Prado, D. Saltzberg , V. Valuev 

University of California, Riverside, Riverside, California, USA

R. Clare , J.W. Gary , G. Hanson 

University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California, USA

A. Aportela, A. Arora , J.G. Branson , S. Cittolin , S. Cooperstein , D. Diaz , J. Duarte , L. Giannini , Y. Gu, J. Guiang , R. Kansal , V. Krutelyov , R. Lee , J. Letts , M. Masciovecchio , F. Mokhtar , S. Mukherjee , M. Pieri , D. Primosch, M. Quinnan , V. Sharma , M. Tadel , E. Vourliotis , F. Würthwein , Y. Xiang , A. Yagil 

University of California, Santa Barbara - Department of Physics, Santa Barbara, California, USA

A. Barzdukas , L. Brennan , C. Campagnari , K. Downham , C. Grieco , M.M. Hussain, J. Incandela , J. Kim , A.J. Li , P. Masterson , H. Mei , J. Richman , S.N. Santpur , U. Sarica , R. Schmitz , F. Setti , J. Sheplock , D. Stuart , T.Á. Vámi , X. Yan , D. Zhang

California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA

S. Bhattacharya , A. Bornheim , O. Cerri, J. Mao , H.B. Newman , G. Reales Gutiérrez, M. Spiropulu , J.R. Vlimant , C. Wang , S. Xie , R.Y. Zhu 

Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA

J. Alison , S. An , P. Bryant , M. Cremonesi, V. Dutta , T. Ferguson , T.A. Gómez Espinosa , A. Harilal , A. Kallil Tharayil, M. Kanemura, C. Liu , T. Mudholkar , S. Murthy , P. Palit , K. Park, M. Paulini , A. Roberts , A. Sanchez , W. Terrill 

University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, Colorado, USA

J.P. Cumalat , W.T. Ford , A. Hart , A. Hassani , N. Manganello , J. Parkes , C. Savard , N. Schonbeck , K. Stenson , K.A. Ulmer , S.R. Wagner , N. Zipper , D. Zuolo 

Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, USA

J. Alexander , X. Chen , D.J. Cranshaw , J. Dickinson , J. Fan , X. Fan , S. Hogan , P. Kotamnives, J. Monroy , M. Oshiro , J.R. Patterson , M. Reid , A. Ryd , J. Thom , P. Wittich , R. Zou 

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois, USA

M. Albrow , M. Alyari , O. Amram , G. Apollinari , A. Apresyan , L.A.T. Bauerdick , D. Berry , J. Berryhill , P.C. Bhat , K. Burkett , J.N. Butler , A. Canepa , G.B. Cerati , H.W.K. Cheung , F. Chlebana , G. Cummings , I. Dutta , V.D. Elvira , J. Freeman , A. Gandrakota , Z. Gecse , L. Gray , D. Green, A. Grummer , S. Grünendahl , D. Guerrero , O. Gutsche , R.M. Harris , T.C. Herwig , J. Hirschauer , B. Jayatilaka , S. Jindariani , M. Johnson , U. Joshi , T. Klijnsma , B. Klima , K.H.M. Kwok 

S. Lammel , C. Lee , D. Lincoln , R. Lipton , T. Liu , K. Maeshima , D. Mason , P. McBride , P. Merkel , S. Mrenna , S. Nahn , J. Ngadiuba , D. Noonan , S. Norberg , V. Papadimitriou , N. Pastika , K. Pedro , C. Pena⁸⁴ , F. Ravera , A. Reinsvold Hall⁸⁵ , L. Ristori , M. Safdari , E. Sexton-Kennedy , N. Smith , A. Soha , L. Spiegel , S. Stoynev , J. Strait , L. Taylor , S. Tkaczyk , N.V. Tran , L. Uplegger , E.W. Vaandering , I. Zoi 

University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, USA

C. Aruta , P. Avery , D. Bourilkov , P. Chang , V. Cherepanov , R.D. Field , C. Huh , E. Koenig , M. Kolosova , J. Konigsberg , A. Korytov , K. Matchev , N. Menendez , G. Mitselmakher , K. Mohrman , A. Muthirakalayil Madhu , N. Rawal , S. Rosenzweig , Y. Takahashi , J. Wang 

Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida, USA

T. Adams , A. Al Kadhim , A. Askew , S. Bower , R. Hashmi , R.S. Kim , S. Kim , T. Kolberg , G. Martinez , H. Prosper , P.R. Prova , M. Wulansatiti , R. Yohay , J. Zhang 

Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, Florida, USA

B. Alsufyani , S. Butalla , S. Das , T. Elkafrawy¹⁸ , M. Hohlmann , E. Yanes 

University of Illinois Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, USA

M.R. Adams , A. Baty , C. Bennett , R. Cavanaugh , R. Escobar Franco , O. Evdokimov , C.E. Gerber , M. Hawksworth , A. Hingrajiya , D.J. Hofman , J.h. Lee , D. S. Lemos , C. Mills , S. Nanda , G. Oh , B. Ozek , D. Pilipovic , R. Pradhan , E. Prifti , T. Roy , S. Rudrabhatla , N. Singh , M.B. Tonjes , N. Varelas , M.A. Wadud , Z. Ye , J. Yoo 

The University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA

M. Alhusseini , D. Blend , K. Dilsiz⁸⁶ , L. Emediato , G. Karaman , O.K. Köseyan , J.-P. Merlo , A. Mestvirishvili⁸⁷ , O. Neogi , H. Ogul⁸⁸ , Y. Onel , A. Penzo , C. Snyder , E. Tiras⁸⁹ 

Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

B. Blumenfeld , L. Corcodilos , J. Davis , A.V. Gritsan , L. Kang , S. Kyriacou , P. Maksimovic , M. Roguljic , J. Roskes , S. Sekhar , M. Swartz 

The University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas, USA

A. Abreu , L.F. Alcerro Alcerro , J. Anguiano , S. Arteaga Escatel , P. Baringer , A. Bean , Z. Flowers , D. Grove , J. King , G. Krintiras , M. Lazarovits , C. Le Mahieu , J. Marquez , M. Murray , M. Nickel , M. Pitt , S. Popescu⁹⁰ , C. Rogan , C. Royon , S. Sanders , C. Smith , G. Wilson 

Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas, USA

B. Allmond , R. Gujju Gurunadha , A. Ivanov , K. Kaadze , Y. Maravin , J. Natoli , D. Roy , G. Sorrentino 

University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA

A. Baden , A. Belloni , J. Bistany-riebman , Y.M. Chen , S.C. Eno , N.J. Hadley , S. Jabeen , R.G. Kellogg , T. Koeth , B. Kronheim , Y. Lai , S. Lascio , A.C. Mignerey , S. Nabili , C. Palmer , C. Papageorgakis , M.M. Paranjpe , E. Popova⁹¹ , A. Shevelev , L. Wang , L. Zhang 

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA

C. Baldenegro Barrera , J. Bendavid , S. Bright-Thonney , I.A. Cali , P.c. Chou 

M. D'Alfonso , J. Eysermans , C. Freer , G. Gomez-Ceballos , M. Goncharov, G. Grosso, P. Harris, D. Hoang, D. Kovalskyi , J. Krupa , L. Lavezzo , Y.-J. Lee , K. Long , C. McGinn , A. Novak , M.I. Park , C. Paus , C. Reissel , C. Roland , G. Roland , S. Rothman , G.S.F. Stephans , Z. Wang , B. Wyslouch , T. J. Yang 

University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA

B. Crossman , C. Kapsiak , M. Krohn , D. Mahon , J. Mans , B. Marzocchi , M. Revering , R. Rusack , R. Saradhy , N. Strobbe 

University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA

K. Bloom , D.R. Claes , G. Haza , J. Hossain , C. Joo , I. Kravchenko , A. Rohilla , J.E. Siado , W. Tabb , A. Vagnerini , A. Wightman , F. Yan , D. Yu 

State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, New York, USA

H. Bandyopadhyay , L. Hay , H.w. Hsia , I. Iashvili , A. Kalogeropoulos , A. Kharchilava , M. Morris , D. Nguyen , S. Rappoccio , H. Rejeb Sfar, A. Williams , P. Young 

Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

G. Alverson , E. Barberis , J. Bonilla , B. Bylsma, M. Campana , J. Dervan , Y. Haddad , Y. Han , I. Israr , A. Krishna , P. Levchenko , J. Li , M. Lu , R. Mccarthy , D.M. Morse , V. Nguyen , T. Orimoto , A. Parker , L. Skinnari , E. Tsai , D. Wood 

Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois, USA

S. Dittmer , K.A. Hahn , D. Li , Y. Liu , M. McGinnis , Y. Miao , D.G. Monk , M.H. Schmitt , A. Taliercio , M. Velasco

University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana, USA

G. Agarwal , R. Band , R. Bucci, S. Castells , A. Das , R. Goldouzian , M. Hildreth , K. Hurtado Anampa , T. Ivanov , C. Jessop , K. Lannon , J. Lawrence , N. Loukas , L. Lutton , J. Mariano, N. Marinelli, I. Mcalister, T. McCauley , C. Mcgrady , C. Moore , Y. Musienko¹⁵ , H. Nelson , M. Osherson , A. Piccinelli , R. Ruchti , A. Townsend , Y. Wan, M. Wayne , H. Yockey, M. Zarucki , L. Zygalá 

The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, USA

A. Basnet , M. Carrigan , L.S. Durkin , C. Hill , M. Joyce , M. Nunez Ornelas , K. Wei, D.A. Wenzl, B.L. Winer , B. R. Yates 

Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey, USA

H. Bouchamaoui , K. Coldham, P. Das , G. Dezoort , P. Elmer , P. Fackeldey , A. Frankenthal , B. Greenberg , N. Haubrich , K. Kennedy, G. Kopp , S. Kwan , D. Lange , A. Loeliger , D. Marlow , I. Ojalvo , J. Olsen , F. Simpson , D. Stickland , C. Tully , L.H. Vage

University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, Puerto Rico, USA

S. Malik , R. Sharma

Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA

A.S. Bakshi , S. Chandra , R. Chawla , A. Gu , L. Gutay, M. Jones , A.W. Jung , A.M. Koshy, M. Liu , G. Negro , N. Neumeister , G. Paspalaki , S. Piperov , J.F. Schulte , A. K. Viridi , F. Wang , A. Wildridge , W. Xie , Y. Yao 

Purdue University Northwest, Hammond, Indiana, USA

J. Dolen , N. Parashar , A. Pathak 

Rice University, Houston, Texas, USA

D. Acosta , A. Agrawal , T. Carnahan , K.M. Ecklund , P.J. Fernández Manteca , S. Freed, P. Gardner, F.J.M. Geurts , I. Krommydas , W. Li , J. Lin , O. Miguel Colin , B.P. Padley , R. Redjimi, J. Rotter , E. Yigitbasi , Y. Zhang 

University of Rochester, Rochester, New York, USA

A. Bodek , P. de Barbaro , R. Demina , J.L. Dulemba , A. Garcia-Bellido , O. Hindrichs , A. Khukhunaishvili , N. Parmar , P. Parygin⁹¹ , R. Taus 

Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, New Jersey, USA

B. Chiarito, J.P. Chou , S.V. Clark , D. Gadkari , Y. Gershtein , E. Halkiadakis , M. Heindl , C. Houghton , D. Jaroslawski , S. Konstantinou , I. Laflotte , A. Lath , R. Montalvo, K. Nash, J. Reichert , P. Saha , S. Salur , S. Schnetzer, S. Somalwar , R. Stone , S.A. Thayil , S. Thomas, J. Vora 

University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee, USA

D. Ally , A.G. Delannoy , S. Fiorendi , S. Higginbotham , T. Holmes , A.R. Kanunganti , N. Karunarathna , L. Lee , E. Nibigira , S. Spanier 

Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, USA

D. Aebi , M. Ahmad , T. Akhter , K. Androsov⁶¹ , O. Bouhali⁹² , R. Eusebi , J. Gilmore , T. Huang , T. Kamon⁹³ , H. Kim , S. Luo , R. Mueller , D. Overton , A. Safonov 

Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas, USA

N. Akchurin , J. Damgov , Y. Feng , N. Gogate , Y. Kazhykarim, K. Lamichhane , S.W. Lee , C. Madrid , A. Mankel , T. Peltola , I. Volobouev 

Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee, USA

E. Appelt , Y. Chen , S. Greene, A. Gurrola , W. Johns , R. Kunnawalkam Elayavalli , A. Melo , D. Rathjens , F. Romeo , P. Sheldon , S. Tuo , J. Velkovska , J. Viinikainen 

University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia, USA

B. Cardwell , H. Chung, B. Cox , J. Hakala , R. Hirosky , A. Ledovskoy , C. Mantilla , C. Neu , C. Ramón Álvarez 

Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan, USA

S. Bhattacharya , P.E. Karchin 

University of Wisconsin - Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, USA

A. Aravind , S. Banerjee , K. Black , T. Bose , E. Chavez , S. Dasu , P. Everaerts , C. Galloni, H. He , M. Herndon , A. Herve , C.K. Koraka , A. Lanaro, R. Loveless , J. Madhusudanan Sreekala , A. Mallampalli , A. Mohammadi , S. Mondal, G. Parida , L. Pétré , D. Pinna, A. Savin, V. Shang , V. Sharma , W.H. Smith , D. Teague, H.F. Tsoi , W. Vetens , A. Warden 

Authors affiliated with an international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN

G. Gavrillov , V. Golovtcov , Y. Ivanov , V. Kim⁹⁴ , V. Murzin , V. Oreshkin , D. Sosnov , V. Sulimov , L. Uvarov , A. Vorobyev[†]

Authors affiliated with an institute formerly covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN

S. Afanasiev , V. Alexakhin , D. Budkouski , I. Golutvin[†] , I. Gorbunov , V. Karjavine , O. Kodolova^{95,91} , V. Korenkov , A. Lanev , A. Malakhov , V. Matveev⁹⁴ , A. Nikitenko^{96,95} , V. Palichik , V. Pereygin , M. Savina , V. Shalaev , S. Shmatov 

S. Shulha , V. Smirnov , O. Teryaev , N. Voytishin , B.S. Yuldashev⁺⁹⁷, A. Zarubin , I. Zhizhin , Yu. Andreev , A. Dermenev , S. Gninenko , N. Golubev , A. Karneyeu , D. Kirpichnikov , M. Kirsanov , N. Krasnikov , I. Tlisova , A. Toropin , T. Aushev , K. Ivanov , V. Gavrilov , N. Lychkovskaya , V. Popov , A. Zhokin , R. Chistov⁹⁴ , M. Danilov⁹⁴ , S. Polikarpov⁹⁴ , V. Andreev , M. Azarkin , M. Kirakosyan, A. Terkulov , E. Boos , V. Bunichev , M. Dubinin⁸⁴ , L. Dudko , A. Ershov , A. Gribushin , V. Klyukhin , M. Perfilov , V. Savrin , G. Vorotnikov , V. Blinov⁹⁴, T. Dimova⁹⁴ , A. Kozyrev⁹⁴ , O. Radchenko⁹⁴ , Y. Skovpen⁹⁴ , V. Kachanov , S. Slabospitskii , A. Uzunian , A. Babaev , V. Borshch , D. Druzhkin 

†: Deceased

¹Also at Yerevan State University, Yerevan, Armenia

²Also at TU Wien, Vienna, Austria

³Also at Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

⁴Also at Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

⁵Also at FACAMP - Faculdades de Campinas, Sao Paulo, Brazil

⁶Also at Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil

⁷Also at Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil

⁸Also at University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

⁹Also at China Center of Advanced Science and Technology, Beijing, China

¹⁰Also at University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

¹¹Also at China Spallation Neutron Source, Guangdong, China

¹²Now at Henan Normal University, Xinxiang, China

¹³Also at University of Shanghai for Science and Technology, Shanghai, China

¹⁴Now at The University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA

¹⁵Also at an institute formerly covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN

¹⁶Also at Zewail City of Science and Technology, Zewail, Egypt

¹⁷Also at British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt

¹⁸Now at Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt

¹⁹Also at Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA

²⁰Also at Université de Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France

²¹Also at Istinye University, Istanbul, Turkey

²²Also at Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia

²³Also at The University of the State of Amazonas, Manaus, Brazil

²⁴Also at University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany

²⁵Also at RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany

²⁶Also at Bergische University Wuppertal (BUW), Wuppertal, Germany

²⁷Also at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany

²⁸Also at Forschungszentrum Jülich, Juelich, Germany

²⁹Also at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland

³⁰Also at HUN-REN ATOMKI - Institute of Nuclear Research, Debrecen, Hungary

³¹Now at Universitatea Babes-Bolyai - Facultatea de Fizica, Cluj-Napoca, Romania

³²Also at MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary

³³Also at HUN-REN Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary

³⁴Also at Physics Department, Faculty of Science, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt

³⁵Also at Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, India

³⁶Also at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India

³⁷Also at Indian Institute of Science (IISc), Bangalore, India

³⁸Also at Amity University Uttar Pradesh, Noida, India

- ³⁹ Also at IIT Bhubaneswar, Bhubaneswar, India
- ⁴⁰ Also at Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, India
- ⁴¹ Also at University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India
- ⁴² Also at Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany
- ⁴³ Also at Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran
- ⁴⁴ Also at Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran
- ⁴⁵ Also at Department of Physics, University of Science and Technology of Mazandaran, Behshahr, Iran
- ⁴⁶ Also at Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Arak University, ARAK, Iran
- ⁴⁷ Also at Helwan University, Cairo, Egypt
- ⁴⁸ Also at Italian National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development, Bologna, Italy
- ⁴⁹ Also at Centro Siciliano di Fisica Nucleare e di Struttura Della Materia, Catania, Italy
- ⁵⁰ Also at Università degli Studi Guglielmo Marconi, Roma, Italy
- ⁵¹ Also at Scuola Superiore Meridionale, Università di Napoli 'Federico II', Napoli, Italy
- ⁵² Also at Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois, USA
- ⁵³ Also at Lulea University of Technology, Lulea, Sweden
- ⁵⁴ Also at Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche - Istituto Officina dei Materiali, Perugia, Italy
- ⁵⁵ Also at Institut de Physique des 2 Infinis de Lyon (IP2I), Villeurbanne, France
- ⁵⁶ Also at Department of Applied Physics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi, Malaysia
- ⁵⁷ Also at Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, Mexico City, Mexico
- ⁵⁸ Also at Trincomalee Campus, Eastern University, Sri Lanka, Nilaveli, Sri Lanka
- ⁵⁹ Also at Saegis Campus, Nugegoda, Sri Lanka
- ⁶⁰ Also at National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece
- ⁶¹ Also at Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland
- ⁶² Also at Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland
- ⁶³ Also at Stefan Meyer Institute for Subatomic Physics, Vienna, Austria
- ⁶⁴ Also at Laboratoire d'Annecy-le-Vieux de Physique des Particules, IN2P3-CNRS, Annecy-le-Vieux, France
- ⁶⁵ Also at Near East University, Research Center of Experimental Health Science, Mersin, Turkey
- ⁶⁶ Also at Konya Technical University, Konya, Turkey
- ⁶⁷ Also at Izmir Bakircay University, Izmir, Turkey
- ⁶⁸ Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey
- ⁶⁹ Also at Bozok Universiteleri Rektörlüğü, Yozgat, Turkey
- ⁷⁰ Also at Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey
- ⁷¹ Also at Milli Savunma University, Istanbul, Turkey
- ⁷² Also at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey
- ⁷³ Now at Istanbul Okan University, Istanbul, Turkey
- ⁷⁴ Also at Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey
- ⁷⁵ Also at Erzincan Binali Yildirim University, Erzincan, Turkey
- ⁷⁶ Also at Istanbul University - Cerrahpasa, Faculty of Engineering, Istanbul, Turkey
- ⁷⁷ Also at Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey
- ⁷⁸ Also at School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom
- ⁷⁹ Also at IPPP Durham University, Durham, United Kingdom
- ⁸⁰ Also at Monash University, Faculty of Science, Clayton, Australia
- ⁸¹ Also at Università di Torino, Torino, Italy

⁸²Also at Bethel University, St. Paul, Minnesota, USA

⁸³Also at Karamanoğlu Mehmetbey University, Karaman, Turkey

⁸⁴Also at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA

⁸⁵Also at United States Naval Academy, Annapolis, Maryland, USA

⁸⁶Also at Bingöl University, Bingöl, Turkey

⁸⁷Also at Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia

⁸⁸Also at Sinop University, Sinop, Turkey

⁸⁹Also at Erciyes University, Kayseri, Turkey

⁹⁰Also at Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering (IFIN-HH), Bucharest, Romania

⁹¹Now at another institute formerly covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN

⁹²Also at Texas A&M University at Qatar, Doha, Qatar

⁹³Also at Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea

⁹⁴Also at another institute formerly covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN

⁹⁵Also at Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

⁹⁶Also at Imperial College, London, United Kingdom

⁹⁷Also at Institute of Nuclear Physics of the Uzbekistan Academy of Sciences, Tashkent, Uzbekistan